

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/







• • . .** ****

ST PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS:

WITH A CRITICAL AND GRAMMATICAL COMMENTARY,

AND A REVISED TRANSLATION,

BY

CHARLES J. ELLICOTT D.D.

BISHOP OF GLOUCESTER AND BRISTOL.

THE THIRD EDITION, CORRECTED.

LONDON:
LONGMAN, GREEN, LONGMAN, ROBERTS & GREEN
1864.

100. W. 47.

Cambridgt:
PRINTED BY C. J. CLAY M.A.
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.



ADVERTISEMENT TO THE THIRD EDITION.

THE present edition has been revised in the same way as the third edition of the Commentary on the Epistle to the Galatians. A few alterations in reading will be found, especially in chap i. I, the newly discovered Sinaitic Manuscript having in some cases altered the balance of critical judgment. It is much to be desired that the respected Editor of the Manuscript should, if possible, put an end to the doubts which are still allowed to linger round this apparently venerable and trustworthy document.

Bristol,

December, 1863.



PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

THE second edition of the present Epistle is in all respects similar to the second edition of the Epistle to the Galatians which appeared a few months since, and is brought up, I sincerely hope, fully to the same standard.

It is perhaps right to say that little has been substantially altered, and that the reader of the first edition will scarcely find more than half a dozen passages where the opinions formerly maintained are either retracted or modified; still the additions are great, and the number of notes that have been recast or rewritten by no means inconsiderable. this means space has been obtained for the introduction of new matter; weaker arguments in contested passages have been made to give place to what might seem to put in a clearer light the stronger argument; logical and grammatical observations have been more grouped, and the links of thought that connect clause with clause or sentence with sentence more studiously exhibited. In this last respect the additions will be found great, and will I trust, by the blessing of God, be of no little use to the reader in properly pursuing the train of sublime thought that runs through this transcendent Epistle. This alas! is the point most commonly neglected in our general study of Scripture: we trust to general impressions and carry away general ideas, but the exact sequence of thought in the mind of the inspired writer is what, I fear, is only too frequently overlooked. It is useless to disguise that this close analysis of the sacred text is very difficult; that it requires a calm judgment and a disciplined

mind, no less than a loving and teachable heart; that it is not a power we can acquire in a week or in a month: yet if Scripture be, what I for one believe it to be, the writing of men inspired by the third Person of the adorable Trinity, then we may well think that no labour in this direction can be too severe, no exercise of thought too close or persistent. Let it also be not forgotten that no intelligent reader can now fairly say that he is without proper assistance, that the well is deep and he has nothing to draw with.

Setting aside all mention of the general improvement in the commentaries of the day, and supposing the tacit objector to be either unable or unwilling to face the labour of reading the great patristic expositors, let him still remember that the science of grammar is now so much advanced, that syntax and logic are now so well and so happily combined, that no one who is really in earnest, and to whom God has given a fair measure of ability, can for a moment justly plead that an accurate knowledge of the Greek of the New Testament is beyond his grasp, and a power of analysing the connexion of its weighty sentences not abundantly ministered to him. studiously limit myself to saying the Greek of the New Testament: individual industry, however steadily exercised, may sometimes fail in making a student a good general Greek scholar; he may have no natural power of appreciating those felicities of expression, no ready ability for discriminating between those subtle uses of particles which mark the best age of Attic Greek; but the language of the New Testament, its plain, hearty, truly simple, but truly Greek diction is, I am confident, above the reach of no one who will soundly study the general rules of thought and language, as they are now put before us by the grammarians of our own time. And this I say, partly to encourage the humbler reader who might have thought such acquirements decidedly out of his reach,

parts, and so felicitous in its combination of logic with grammar, as to form a most important contribution to the accurate study of the Greek language.

¹ I may here remark that the Greek Grammar of Dr Donaldson, noticed in the Preface to the *Galatians*, has now reached a second and enlarged edition, and is so complete in all its

partly for the sake of augmenting that kind and considerate company of students that have given these commentaries a hearing, and have borne patiently with the constant notice and repetition of grammatical details. I venture thus to dwell upon this topic—a topic in part alluded to in the preface to the first edition—as four years of hard study since that was written, and, what is more valuable for testing opinions, one year of responsible teaching, have convinced me that a really accurate knowledge of the language of the Greek Testament may be acquired far more easily than might at first have been imagined; and have further confirmed me in the belief that it is by these accurate investigations of the language of the Inspired Volume, that we are enabled really to penetrate into its deeper mysteries, and thence to learn to appreciate the more convincing certainty of our highest hopes, and the more assured reality of our truest consolations.

But to return to the present volume. The student will find a great, and I trust a welcome addition, in the constant citations from nine ancient Versions, viz. the Old Latin, the two Syriac Versions, the Vulgate, the Coptic, the Gothic, the two Ethiopic Versions, and the Armenian¹. All these have been carefully studied, their opinions maturely considered, and their views of debated passages exhibited in brief and unpretending, but (if labour may be allowed to make me hopeful) in correct and trustworthy enumerations.

Considerable additions have been made in the way of short critical notes, especially in those cases in which the Received Text differs from the reading which I have thought it right to follow. Here I have received some welcome assistance from the last, the so-called *seventh* edition of Dr Tischendorf's *New Testament*², though I regret to say I am still obliged to

with a good chrestomathy and a useful glossary, and has the great advantage of being perspicuous and brief.

¹ I may take this opportunity of noticing, for the benefit of those who may be disposed to study this interesting and not very difficult language, that I have derived much useful assistance from the Brevis Lingua Armeniaca Grammatica (Berl. 1841) of J. H. Petermann. It is furnished

² In deference to the opinion and present usage of this critic, I now designate the MS. of St Paul's Epp. formerly marked J in the critical editions by the new mark L.

reiterate the opinion which I have formerly expressed, that at any rate in the citations from the Ancient Versions, Dr Tischendorf is not always to be depended on. preface, though marked by great assumption of tone, will indeed itself confirm this; as he has, by his own admissions, depended nearly entirely on Leusden and Schaaf for the Peshito-Syriac; on the incorrect edition of Wilkins for the Coptic Version of the Epistles, to the complete neglect of the more recent edition of Bötticher; on a collator for Platt's Ethiopic; and for the Armenian, on the edition of a man whose general inaccuracies he has unsparingly denounced, Dr The subjective criticisms mixed up in the notes cannot be pronounced as either very useful or very satisfactory, and will serve to show how hard it is to find in one and the same person the patient and laborious palæographer and the sound and sagacious critic. Still we owe much to Dr Tischendorf, and it is probable shall have to owe much more; his unwearied labours command our highest respect, and may only the more make us regret that they are not set off by a greater Christian courtesy in his general tone, and by more forbearance towards those who feel it their duty to differ from him.

The last addition to the present edition which it is here necessary to specify is perhaps the most important, systematic reference to the sermons and treatises of our best English Divines. This, it will be remembered, appeared to some extent in the first edition, and has always formed a feature of these commentaries; still I am now enabled to give to the reader the results of a wider reading, and to entertain the hope that he will find but few really valuable illustrations from our best Divines overlooked in the present All I have done however is only in the way of reference. I much regret that neither space, nor the general character of the commentary, enable me to make long quotations: still I will repeat what I have said elsewhere, that as the references have been made with great care and consideration, I venture to think that the reader who will take the trouble of consulting the writers in the places

referred to will find himself abundantly rewarded for his labour. I have already received many kind recognitions of the service which this class of references has rendered to students in Theology; and I now continue them with renewed interest, feeling day by day more assured that in these latter times it is to our own great Divines of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries that we must go for our Theology; and that it is from them alone that we can provide ourselves with preservatives against the unsound, vaunting, and humanitarian theosophy, that is such a melancholy and yet such a popular characteristic of our own times.

Nothing now remains for me, except to notice briefly the works of fellow-labourers that have appeared since the publication of the first edition.

A new edition has recently appeared by Harless, but is, as the author himself apprizes us, too little changed to need any further notice than what has already appeared in the original Preface to this work. A very useful edition for the general reader has also appeared in America, from the pen of the estimable Dr Turner, but is too different in its principles of interpretation to have been of much use to me in a critical and grammatical commentary such as the present. To two commentaries however which have appeared in this country during the interval I have alluded to I have paid very great attention. The first is the Third Volume of my friend Dean Alford's Commentary; the second is the Third Part of Canon Wordsworth's Commentary; works which both deserve and have received the high approbation of all biblical students: the former for its able and attractive exegesis, the latter for its valuable citations from Patristic and English Divinity; and both for their accurate scholarship, and sound and intelligent criticism.

I now commend myself to the kind judgment of my readers; and with the hope that some time in the course of the following year, if God be pleased to give me health and strength, I may be enabled to complete another portion of my laborious undertaking, I here bring to its close a work that has claimed my incessant attention for some months.

X PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

May the blessing of God rest on this reappearance of a lowly tribute to His Honour and Glory, may its errors and shortcomings be forgiven, and its broken and partial glimpses of Divine Truth be permitted to excite in others a deeper reverence for the Eternal Word, and a more earnest longing for the full and perfect Day.

CAMBRIDGE,
August, 1859.

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

THE following pages form the second part of a commentary on St Paul's Epistles, founded on the same principles and constructed on the same plan as that on the

Epistle to the Galatians.

As I explained somewhat at length in the preface to that Epistle the general principles, critical, grammatical, and exegetical, upon which this commentary has been attempted, I will now only make a few special observations on this present portion of the work, and record my obligations to those expositors who have more particularly devoted themselves to

this Epistle.

With regard to the present commentary, I must remind the reader, that as in style, matter, and logical connexion, this sublime Epistle differs considerably from that to the Galatians, so the commentary must necessarily in many respects reflect these differences and distinctions. Several points of grammatical interest which particularly characterized the former Epistle are scarcely perceptible in the present; while difficulties which made themselves but slightly felt in the vivid, argumentative, expostulatory language of the Epistle to the Galatians, are here, amidst the earnest hortatory comments, the deeper doctrinal expositions, and the more profound enarrations of the primal counsels of God, ever maintaining a distinct and visible prominence. In the Epistle to the Galatians, for example, the explanation of the uses of the cases did not commonly involve many points of interest: in this Epistle, the cases, especially the genitive, present almost every phase and form of difficulty; the uses are most various, the combinations most subtle and significant. In the Epistle to the Galatians again, the particles, causal, illative, or adversative, which connected the clauses were constantly claiming the reader's attention, while the subordination or co-ordination of the clauses themselves and the inter-dependence of the different members and factors of the sentence were generally simple and perspicuous. In the present Epistle these difficulties are exactly reversed, the use of the particles is more simple, while the intertexture of sentences and the connexion of clauses, especially in the earlier portions of the Epistle, try the powers and principles of grammatical and logical analysis to the very uttermost.

In the first chapter more particularly, when we are permitted as it were to gaze upon the evolution of the archetypal dispensation of God, amidst those linked and blended clauses that, like the enwreathed smoke of some sweetsmelling sacrifice, mount and mount upwards to the very heaven of heavens, in that group of sentences of rarest harmony and more than mortal eloquence, these difficulties are so great and so deep, that the most exact language and the most discriminating analysis seem, as they truly are, too poor and too weak to convey the force or connexion of expressions so august, and thoughts so unspeakably profound.

It is in this part that I have been deeply conscious that the system of exposition which I have adopted has passed through its sorest and severest trial; and though I have laboured with anxious and unremitting industry, though I have spared neither toil nor time, but with fear and trembling, and not without many prayers, have devoted every power to the endeavour to develop the outward meaning and connexion of this stupendous revelation, I yet feel from my very heart how feeble that effort has been, how inexpressive my words, how powerless my grasp, how imperfect my de-

lineation.

Still, in other portions of this Epistle, I trust I am not presumptuous in saying that I have been more cheered and hopeful, and that I have felt increased confidence in the system of exposition I was enabled to pursue in the commentary on the preceding Epistle. I have thus (especially after the kind notices my former work has received) studiously maintained in the present notes the same critical and grammatical characteristics which marked the former commentary. The only differences that I am aware of will be found in the still greater attention I have paid to the Greek Expositors, a slight decrease in the references to some modern commentators in whom I have felt a diminishing confidence, a slight increase in the references to our best English Divines which the nature of this profound Epistle has seemed to require. I deeply regret that the limits which I have prescribed to myself in this commentary have prevented my embodying the substance of these references in the notes, as I well know the disinclination to pause and consult other authors which every reader, save the most earnest and truth-seeking, is certain to feel. Yet this I will say, that I think the student will not often regret the trouble he may have to take in reading those few portions of our great English Divines to

which I have directed his attention, and which, for his sake, I could wish had been more numerous. Such as they are, they are the results of my own private reading and observation.

In the grammatical portion of the commentary I must entreat the reader to bear with me, if, for the sake of brevity, and I might even say perspicuity, I have been forced to avail myself of the current forms of expression adopted by modern grammatical writers. They will all be found elucidated in the treatises to which I have referred, and of these every one, to the best of my belief, is well known and accessible, and will probably occupy a place in the library of most scholars.

I must now briefly notice the authors to whom, in addition to those mentioned in the preface to the Galatians, I am

indebted in the present Epistle.

Of the patristic commentators I have derived great benefit from some exceedingly valuable annotations of Origen, which are to be found in Cramer's Catenæ, and which have hitherto scarcely received any notice from recent expositors, though they most eminently deserve it.

Of modern commentators on this Epistle, I am deeply indebted to the admirable exposition of Harless, which, for accurate scholarship, learning, candour, and ability, may be pronounced one of the best, if not the very best commentary that has ever yet appeared on any single portion of Holy

Scripture

The exposition of this Epistle by Dr Stier under the title of Die Gemeinde in Christo Jesu, is very complete and comprehensive, but so depressingly voluminous as to weary out the patience of the most devoted reader. When I mention that it extends to upwards of 1050 closely printed pages, and that some single verses (e.g. ch. i. 23, ii. 15) are commented on to the extent of nearly thirty pages, I may be excused if I express my regret that a writer so earnest, so reverential, and so favourably known to the world as Dr Rudolph Stier, should not have endeavoured to have confined his commentary to somewhat more moderate dimensions. The chief fault I venture to find with Dr Stier's system of interpretation is his constant and (in this work) characteristic endeavour to blend together two or more explanations, and, in his earnest and most praiseworthy attempt to exhibit the many deeper meanings which a passage may involve, to unite what is often dissimilar and inharmonious. Still his commentary is the production of a learned and devout mind, and no reader will consult it in vain. A review of it may be found in the seventy-ninth volume of Reuter's Repertorium.

The third special commentary I desire to mention is the

full and laborious work of Professor Eadie. I have derived from it little directly, as it is to a great degree confessedly a compilation from existing materials, and these I have in all cases thought it my duty to examine and to use for myself; still I have never failed to give Professor Eadie's decisions my best consideration, and have in many cases felt myself edified by the devoutness, and not unfrequently the eloquence of his expositions. I trust however the learned author will excuse me when I say that I do not think the grammatical portion of the commentary is by any means so well executed as the exegetical, and that I cannot but regard this otherwise able work as to a certain extent an example of the truth of an opinion which I ventured to express in the preface to the Galatians, viz. that theological as well as grammatical learning is now so much increased, that it is hard to find a commentator who is able satisfactorily to undertake, at one and the same time, a critical, grammatical, exegetical, and dogmatical exposition of any portion of the New Testament. In his cumulative representation of the opinions of other commentators, as my notes will occasionally testify, Professor Eadie is also not always exact: with these abatements however, which candour compels me to make, I can heartily and conscientiously recommend this commentary as both judicious and comprehensive, and as a great and important addition to the exegetical labours of this country.

I need hardly add that the last edition of the accurate, perspicuous, and learned commentary of Dr Meyer has been most carefully consulted throughout, and I must again, as in the preface to the *Galatians*, avow my great obligations to the acumen and scholarship of the learned editor. In many doctrinal questions I differ widely from Dr Meyer, but as a critical and grammatical expositor he deserves the respect of all thoughtful readers.

I have now only to commit my work to the reader with the humble prayer to Almighty God, through Jesus Christ, that it may receive a blessing from above; and, though feebly and imperfectly, may still be permitted to minister somewhat to the more accurate knowledge of His blessed Word, and to the clearer perception of the outward forms and expressions of His everlasting Truth.

CAMBRIDGE,

June, 1855.

INTRODUCTION.

THE sublime Epistle to the Ephesians was written by St Paul during his first captivity at Rome (Acts xxviii. 16), and stands second or more probably third in the third of the four groups into which the Epistles of St Paul may be conveniently divided. The Ep. to the Colossians (Meyer, Einleit. p. 18, Wieseler, Chronol. p. 450 sq.), and also that to Philemon, appear to have immediately preceded, while that to the Philippians seems to have succeeded after an interval of perhaps a year, when the Apostle's confinement assumed a harsher character, and his prospects seemed in some measure more cheerless (Phil. i. 20).

It was thus written about the year A.D. 62, and was conveyed to the Church of Ephesus by Tychicus (Eph. vi. 21), either while on his way to deliver the Epistles addressed respectively to the Colossians and to Philemon, or, as has been thought more probable (Meyer, Einleit. p. 17), on his return after having performed that duty.

That the Epistle was addressed to the Christians of the important city of Ephesus is not open to very serious doubt. The critical arguments (see note on ch. i. 1), and the nearly unanimous consent of the early Church (Iren. Heer. v. 2. 3, Clem. Alex. Strom. Iv. 8, Vol. I. p. 592, ed. Potter, Orig. Cels. Vol. I. p. 458, ed. Bened.) are generally in favour of such a destination. Still as the critical arguments have to some extent been modified by the evidence of the Sinaitic MS., and as the omission of greetings and personal notices in an Epistle sent from the founder of the Church of Ephesus (Acts xix. 1 sq., comp. xviii. 19) to converts with whom he had dwelt nearly three years (Acts xx. 31) is certainly striking and noticeable, we may now the more confidently adopt the opinion of Usher (Annal. ann. 4068) and of several recent expositors, that this Epistle, if addressed primarily to the Christians at Ephesus,

was still designed for circulation in all the churches conterminous to or dependent on that city, and was thus left studiously general in form, and free from distinctive notices. Individual greetings and other messages of affection might well have been entrusted to a bearer who was specially commissioned to inform the receivers of the Epistle upon all points connected with the personal state of the Apostle (ch. vi. 21).

The Epistle does not appear to have been called forth by any particular circumstances, nor to have involved any warning against the peculiarities of Jewish or Eastern Philosophy, but was designed to set forth the origin and development of the Church of Christ, and to display to the Christian dweller under the shadow of the great temple of Diana the unity and beauty of that transcendently more glorious spiritual temple (ch. ii. 20) of which Christ Himself was the chief corner stone, and the saints portions of the superstructure. That it should also contain many thoughts nearly identical with those expressed in the Epistle to the Colossians is readily accounted for by the fact that both were written nearly at the same time, and both addressed to Churches which were sufficiently near to each other to have had many things in common, especially in the relations of social and domestic life.

The genuineness and authenticity admit of no reasonable doubt. The testimonies of the Early Church are unusually strong and persistent (see reff. above, and add Tertull. de Præser. ch. xxxvi, Hippol. Refut. Hær. p. 193, ed. Oxf.), and have never been called in question till comparatively recent times. The objections are purely of a subjective character, being mainly founded on imaginary weaknesses in style or equally imaginary references to early Gnosticism, and have been so fairly and fully confuted that they can no longer be considered to deserve any serious attention: see esp. Meyer, Einleit. p. 19 sq., Davidson, Introd. Vol. II. p. 352 sq., Alford, Prolegom. p. 8.

The arguments in favour of the Epistle having been written at Cæsarea will be found in Meyer, *Einleit*. § 2, but are far from convincing.

ΠΡΟΣ ΕΦΕΣΙΟΥΣ.

Apostolic address and salutation. ΠΑΥΛΟΣ ἀπόστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰη- Ι. σοῦ διὰ θελήματος Θεοῦ τοῖς ἀγίοις

Снар. I. 1. dπ6στολος X. 'I.] 'an Apostle of Christ Jesus;' gen. not of ablation (the source from which his commission proceeded; comp. Stier in loc.), but simply of possession, in reference to the Master whose servant and minister he was; see Acts xxvii. 23, οδ εlμl; Rom. i. I, δούλος 'I. X.: and comp. notes on Col. i. 1. The distinction between these forms of the gen. (which Eadie appears not to have fully felt) is often faintly marked (compare Scheuerl. Synt. § 16, 17); still Harless seems quite correct in saying that the idea of authorisation does not depend simply on the gen., but on the modal clauses, as κατ' έπιταγήν, 1 Tim. i. 1, which are commonly attached: comp. Gal. i. I, where the nature of the relations between the Apostle and his converts suggests language of unusual precision. διά θελήματος Geou] 'by the will of God;' modal clause appended to the preceding words, not so much to enhance his apostolic authority (comp. Alf.), as in that thankful remembrance of God's power and grace which any allusion to his ministerial office was sure to awaken in the Apostle's heart: comp. I Cor. xv. 10, Gal. i. 15. These and the preceding words occur in the same order and connexion in 2 Cor. i. 1, Col. i. 1, 2 Tim. i. 1; comp. 1 Cor. i. 1. Though it is not possible to doubt that the Apostle, in addressing different Churches or individuals, designedly adopted the same or different modes of salutation, still it is not in all cases easy to trace from external considerations the reasons for the choice; comp. notes on Col. i. 1. Rückert, who has slightly touched on the subject (on Gal. i. 1), refers the Apostle's present specification of his authority, $\delta i \hat{a} \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda$. Θ ., to the encyclical character of the Epistle. As this character, though probable (see crit. note), is merely hypothetical, it will be safer, and perhaps more natural, to adopt the more general explanation above alluded to; see Meyer on I Cor. i. I.

τοις άγίοις] 'to the Saints.' Christians are appy. called άγιοι in the N.T. in three senses: (a) generally, as members of a visible and local community devoted to God's service (Acts ix. 32, xxvi. 10, Rom. xv. 25), and, as such, united in a common outward profession of faith (1 Cor. i. 2; see Chrys. on Rom. i. 7); (b) more specifically, as members of a spiritual community (Col. iii. 12, 1 Pet. ii. 9); and (c) as also in many cases having personal and individual sanctity; comp. ver. 4, see Fell in loc. The context will

τοις οὖσιν [ἐν Ἐφέσφ] καὶ πιστοις ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ.

1. $[\epsilon \nu ' \pm \phi \epsilon \sigma \phi]$ In consequence of the omission of these words in the newly discovered & we are now perhaps at length justified in placing them in brackets. The facts of the case are as follows: (I) As far as our present collations can be depended upon, all the MSS., mss., and Vv. are unanimous in favour of the insertion; except B, where the words are supplied on the margin by a second hand (Tisch.), &, where the words are added by the fourth hand (Tischendorf's C), and 67, where they appear in the text, but with discritical marks indicative of suspicion: (2) Basil, whom we have reasons for believing to have been careful as a critic (see Georg. Syncell. Chron. p. 203, ed. Paris, 1651), certainly did not find the words έν τοῖς παλαιοῖς τῶν ἀντιγραφῶν Eunom. II. 19. Bp Middleton supposes Basil only to appeal to the ancient MSS. as containing τοις ο τ σιν έν 'Εφ., not simply τοις έν Έφ.; comp. Wiggers, Stud. u. Krit. for 1841, p. 423; this opinion however has no diplomatic support of any kind, and cannot fairly and logically be deduced from the words of Basil; see Meyer, Einleit. p. 2, note: (3) Tertullian (Marc. V. 11, 17) possibly was not aware of their existence; it is uncritical to say more. His words, 'veritas Ecclesiæ,' do not necessarily imply an absence of diplomatic evidence, nor can 'interpolare' (comp. Marc. IV. 1, V. 21) be pressed: (4) Origen (Caten. Vol. II. p. 102) appears to have accepted the omission, as he comments on the peculiarity of the expression rois àylous rois obow see Tisch. (ed. 7). The internal evidence, such as absence of greetings and personal notices, is of more importance. Still both combined do not as yet seem quite sufficient entirely to overthrow the preponderance of external authority, and the appy, unanimous tradition of the early Church, that this Ep. was addressed to the Ephesians (Iren. Hær. v. 2, 3; Clem. Al. Strom. Iv. 8; Tertull. l. c.; Origen, Cels. III. p. 458, ed. Ben.). We therefore now place the words in brackets, but retain them in the text, feeling it still possible that their omission in B and N may be due to an early exercise of criticism founded on supposed internal evidence, traces of which are found in Theodoret, Præf. in Eph.: comp. Wieseler, Chronol. p. 442 sq. The different theories and attempts to reconcile conflicting evidence will be found in Meyer, Einleit. § 1; Wieseler, Chronol. p. 432 sq.; and Davidson, Introd. Vol. II. p. 328 sq. Of the many hypotheses, that of Harless (Einleit. p. 57)—that the Ep. was designed not only for the Ephesians, but for the Churches dependent on Ephesus, or the Christians who had already been converted there—is perhaps the most plausible.

generally show which of these ideas predominates. In salutations like the present, $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\iota\sigma$ s appears to be used in its most comprehensive sense, as involving the idea of a visible (hence the local predicate), and also (as the complementary clause $\kappa al \pi\iota\sigma\tau\sigma$ is $\epsilon r \times \Lambda \rho$. It suggests) that of a spiritual and holy community: see Col. i. 2, and esp. I Cor. i. 2, where defining clauses

involving these different ideas are grouped round κλητοῖς ἀγίοις: comp. Thorndike, Review, 1. 33, Vol. I. p. 656 (A.-C. Libr.), and Davenant on Col. i. 2. πιστοῖς ἐν Χρ. 'Ἰησ.] 'faithful, sc. believing, in Christ Jesus.' Πιστὸς stands here not in its general and classical sense, 'qui fidem-præstat' (Grot., Alf.), but in its particular and theological sense, 'qui fi-

χάρις ύμιν και ειρήνη από Θεού πατρός ήμων και Κυρίου 2 Ίησού Χριστού.

Blessed be God who has Εὐλογητὸς ὁ Θεὸς καὶ πατὴρ τοῦ 3 predestinated us to the adoption of sons, redeemed us by Christ's blood, revealed to us His eternal purpose of uniting all in Him, and has commenced its fulfilment by sealing with His Spirit both Jew and Gentile.

dem habet' (comp. Syr.), a meaning which it indisputably bears in several passages in the N.T.; e.g. John xx. 27, 2 Cor. vi. 15, Gal. iii. 9, 1 Tim. iv. 3 (not 1 Tim. i. 12, Eadie), Titus i. 6. &c.: comp. Ecclus. i. 14, Psalm ci. 6, and see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. II. p. 741. έν Χριστῷ implies union, fellowship, with Christ (see notes on Gal. ii. 17), and qualifies only the more restricted term πιστός, not dyios (Phil. i. 1) and πιστός (Harl., Meyer). The clause is not however, on the one hand, a mere epexegesis of άγίοις (Beza), nor, on the other, a specification of another and separate class (Stier); but completes the description of the aviou, by the addition of a second and more distinctive predication: see Meyer in loc. Πιστός ἐν $X\rho$. thus approximates in meaning to πιστεύων els Χρ. (Gal. ii. 16), except that the latter involves a closer connexion of the verb and the prep. $(\pi \iota \sigma \tau. \epsilon ls...X \rho.)$, and points rather to an act of the will, while the former involves a closer connexion of the prep. and the noun $(\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dots \hat{\epsilon} \nu \times \rho)$, and marks a state and condition: see Fritz. Marc. p. 175, and Eadie in loc., where the full force of the preposition is eloquently expanded.

2. χάρις ὑμίν και εἰρήνη] 'Grace to you and peace;' scil. εἴη, not ἔστω (Meyer, Holzh.), which, though not untenable (Bernhardy, Synt. xI. 5, p. 392; comp. 2 Chron. ix. 8), is far less suitable and even less usual than the optative; see I Pet. i. 2, 2 Pet. i. 2, Jude 2; and comp. 2 John 3, where however ἔσται gives the wish the cha-

racter of a definite expectation. suggestion of Stier that xdpis and elphyn refer respectively to the ayioi and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o l$ does not seem tenable, as the formula is so common without any such antecedents (Rom. i. 7, 1 Cor. i. 3, 2 Cor. i. 2, al.); still they must not be diluted into mere equivalents of the ordinary forms of salutation (Fritz. Rom. i. 7, Vol. I. p. 23). Xdpis expresses God's love toward man; leρήνη, the state of peace and blessedness which results from it; είρηνεύει γάρ πρός του Θεον ό την εύαγγελικην άσπασάμενος πολιτείαν Theod. on Rom. i. 8; see notes on Gal. i. 3. It may be observed that as this form is regularly maintained in all St Paul's Epp. to Churches (Philem. 3 is no exception, being addressed also τŷ κατ' οἶκον ἐκκλησία), while in 1 Tim. i. 2, 2 Tim. i. 2, Tit. i. 4 (Rec., Lachm.), the more personal term & less is added, the latter might seem the form addressed to individuals, the former to communities; comp. too Rev. i. 4, 2 John 3, but consider Jude 2, Gal. vi. 16, and observe that in Tit. l.c. χάρις καὶ εἰρήνη is the reading best supported. James alone adopts the usual formula. χαίρεω: in 3 John 1, 2, the salutation passes into a prayer. Κυρίου] Scil. και άπὸ Κυρίου, κ.τ.λ.: so expressly Syr., Arm., both of which repeat the preposition. The Socinian interpretation, καὶ (πατρὸς) Κυρ., is grammatically admissible, but in a high degree forced and improbable: see esp. Tit. i. 4, and compare 1 Thess. iii. 11, 2 Thess ii. 16.

3. Εὐλογητός] 'Blessed,' scil. ἔστω

Κυρίου ήμων Ίησου Χριστου, ὁ εὐλογήσας ήμας ἐν πάση

forms of doxology; comp. 2 Cor. i. 3. In this solemn ascription of praise εύλογητός (ἐπαινεῖσθαι καὶ θαυμάζεσθαι αξιος Theod.-Mops.), as its position shows, has the principal emphasis, the rule of Fritz. (Rom. ix. 5, Vol. II. 274) being appy. reasonable, viz. that εὐλογητός or εὐλογημένος will occupy the first or some succeeding place in the sentence, according as the emphasis rests on the predicate (as it commonly does) or on the substantive; comp. 1 Kings x. 9, 2 Chron. l. c., Job l. c., and esp. Psalm l.c., which are thus more satisfactorily explained than by a supposed limitation of position in consequence of the inserted copula (Alf. on Rom. ix. 5). It has been remarked by Steiger on 1 Pet. i. 3 (comp. Harless), that in the N.T. εὐλογητὸς is only applied to God, εὐλογημένος to man: it may be added that in the LXX the latter is occasionally applied to God, the former but seldom to man, appy. only in Gen. xxvi. 29 (Alex.), Deut. vii. 14, 1 Sam. xv. 13, xxv. 33. For a good analysis of the present paragraph, in which the relations of the Church to the three persons of the blessed Trinity are distinctly unfolded, see Alford in loc. Θεός και πατήρ, κ.τ.λ.] 'God and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.' It is doubtful whether in this formula (which Rück, needlessly terms 'paulinisch,' see 1 Pet. i. 3) the gen. depends (a) on both (Theoph.), or (b) only on the latter (Syr., Æth., Theod.-Mops. 1, Theodoret) of the two nomi-

natives. Chrys. leaves it undecided.

Grammatical considerations do not

assist us; for, on the one hand, the

position of the article before Oeds

(2 Chron. ix. 8), or είη (Job i. 21,

Psalm cxiii. 2): the verb is however

commonly omitted in this and similar

rather than πατηρ (Olsh.) does not invalidate the latter interpretation (comp. Winer, Gr. § 19. 3, p. 115 note), nor the omission of τε before καl (Harless) the former; the usual 'preparative' force of $\tau\epsilon$ (Hartung, Partik. Vol. I. p. 98; Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 730) being here obviously out of place. To the former interpretation, Θεός μέν ώς σαρκωθέντος, πατήρ δε ώς Θεοῦ λόγου, there can be no doctrinal objections (see ver. 17, John xx. 17, and comp. Olsh. on Matth. xxi. 31, 32), but from the considerations suggested on Gal. i. 4, as well as from the fact that, except in ver. 17, St Paul has not elsewhere so designated the Father, the latter construction seems decidedly preferable. On the most suitable translation, see notes on Gal. i. 4 (Transl.). ό εὐλογήσας ήμᾶς] 'who blessed us;' 'antanaclasis; aliter nobis benedixit Deus, aliter nos benedicimus Illi; 'Bengel. The acrist participle (where the acristic force is always least obscure; Bernhardy, Synt. x. 9, p. 383) refers to the counsels of the Father as graciously completed in the Redemption, and is thus neither used (a) for a pres. (Holzh.), an untenable position, except in a sense and under limitations (Scheuerl. Syntax, § 32. 2, p. 331) which would here be doctrinally unsuitable; nor (b) as marking 'a customary or repeated act' (Eadie), a meaning which the acrist appears never to bear in the N. T.; see Winer, Gr. § 40. 5. 1, p. The reference of \(\delta\mu \alpha \s \can \) scarcely be doubtful: it cannot refer to St Paul (Koppe), - for comp. κάγώ, ver. 15-but, as the inclusive nature of the context (ver. 4, 11, 12) distinctly implies, must be extended to Christians generally. No fixed rules can be laid down as to the

εύλογία πνευματική εν τοις επουρανίοις εν Χριστφ,

reference of the plural pronoun: this must always be determined by the έν πάση εύλογία context. πνευματική] 'with every blessing of the Spirit;' agency by which the blessing was imparted, & here being appy. instrumental (see notes on I Thess. iv. 18), and perhaps not without some parallelism to the Hebrew בְּרֶךְ בְּיֵלְ, comp. the analogous construction, Tobit viii. 15, and James iii. 9, where however the instrumental sense is much more distinct. The meaning and force of πνευματική is slightly doubtful. Chrys. and Theod.-Mops. find in it an antithesis to the blessings of the Old Covenant (την Ἰουδαϊκην ένταθθα αίνίττεται εύλογία μέν γάρ ήν. άλλ' οὐ πνευματική. Chrys.; comp. Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. I. p. 756); so distinctly Syr., Æth., and, with a detailed enumeration of the blessings, Theod. in loc. It seems however much more in accordance both with the present context and with the prevailing usage of the N.T. (see Rom. i. 11, χάρισμα πνευματικόν, and I Cor. xii. Ι, των πνευματικών, compared with ver. 11), to refer the epithet directly to the Holy Spirit (Joel ii. 28 sq., Acts ii. 17). Bengel has not failed to notice the allusion to the Trinity, which, as Stier (Vol. I. p. 57) has clearly shown, pervades the whole of this sublime Epistle.

in heavenly regions; Line (in celo] Syr., 'in celis,' Æth. The exact meaning of these words is doubtful. Many of the ancient and several modern expositors explain τὰ ἐπουράνια, as 'heavenly blessings' (ἐπουράνια γὰρ τὰ δῶρα ταῦτα' Theodoret), 'heavenly institutions' (J. Johnson, Unbl. Sacr. Vol. I. p. 198, A.-C. Libr.), and thus

as standing in ethical contrast to ta έπίγεια (Chrys.), see John iii. 12; but comp. 1 Cor. xv. 40, where the same words are in physical contrast. This is not grammatically untenable, and would not require the omission of Tols (Rück., Eadie, al.), as the article would thus only correctly designate the class; see Middleton, Greek Art. III. 2. 2, p. 40, and comp. Winer, Gr. § 18. 3, p. 99. As however such a specification of the sphere, and thence of the spiritual character of the action, would seem superfluous after the definite words immediately preceding; as in the four other passages in this Ep. (i. 20, ii. 6, iii. 10, and vi. 12, but contr. Chrys.) the expression seems obviously local; and lastly, as throughout St Paul's Epp. (even 2 Tim. iv. 18) êmouparios has that local or physical force which the preposition ἐπὶ (Harless) would also seem further to suggest, it will be best, both on contextual and lexical grounds, to retain that meaning in the present case. 'Er tois exoup. must then here be referred as a local predication to εὐλογ. πνευμ., defining broadly and comprehensively the region and sphere where our true home is (Phil. iii. 20), where our hope is laid up (Col. i. 5), and whence the blessings of the Spirit, the ἡ δωρεὰ ἡ έπουράνιος (Heb. vi. 4), truly come: see notes to Transl.

ἐν Χριστῷ] Not for διὰ Χρ. (Chrys., Hamm.), but, as in ver. 1, 'in Christ;' 'in quo uno spirituali et sanctificâ benedictione donamur;' Beza. Thus εὐλογήσας contains the predication of time (Donalds. Gr. § 574 sq.), ἐν εὐλογ. πνευμ. the predication of manner, more exactly defined by the local predication ἐν τοῖς ἐπουρ., while ἐν Χρ. is that mystical predication which, as Stier well observes, 'is the very soul of this

4 καθως έξελέξατο ήμας εν αυτώ προ καταβολής κόσμου, είναι ήμας άγίους καὶ αμώμους κατενώπιον αυτοῦ, εν

Epistle,' and involves all other conceptions in itself. For a good example of this species of analysis of clauses and sentences, see Donalds. Crat. § 304.

4. καθώς] 'even as,' 'sicut,' Vulg., Clarom., Copt., al.; explanation and expansion of the preceding εὐλογή- σ as $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, the particle $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega s$, which in most cases has a purely modal, appearing here to have also a slightly explanatory or even causal force ('inasmuch as'), and to mark not only the accordance, but the necessary connexion of the εὐλογία with the έκλογή: see Rom. i. 28, 1 Cor. i. 6, and compare καθότι (used only by St Luke), which has both a modal (Acts ii. 45, iv. 35) and a causal (Acts ii. 24) meaning. The form $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\omega}$ s is not found in the older Attic writers, or in Lucian; see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 426, and notes on Gal. iii. 6.

ἐξελέξατο ήμᾶς] 'chose us out for Himself; 'elegit, Vulg., Clarom., al., but with some sacrifice of the fullest meaning. Without entering into the profound dogmatical questions connected with the meaning of this verb (only used by St Paul here and I Cor. i. 27 bis, 28), it may be simply observed that in έξελέξατο three ideas are suggested: (a) selection (not necessarily of individuals, see Ebrard. Dogm. § 560) from, out of, others not chosen (ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου, John xv. 19; contr. Hofmann, Schriftb. Vol. 1. p. 198), suggested by the plain meaning of the word: (b) simple unrestricted preterition of the act (alike irrespective of duration or relation; Bernhardy, Syntax, x. 8, p. 380, and esp. Fritz. de Aor. p. 17 sq.), conveyed by the tense, and further heightened by the 'timelessness' (Olsh.) of the quasi-temporal

predication πρὸ καταβολῆs; compare 2 Thess. ii. 13, είλατο ἀπ' ἀρχῆς: God is δ καλῶν (1 Thess. ii. 12) as well as δ καλέσας (Gal. i. 6), but not ὁ ἐκλεγόμενος: (c) reflexive action (for Himself; compare Eph. v. 27, Rev. xxi. 2), implied by the voice. While the primary meaning of ἐκλέγεσθαι and similar words is undoubtedly to be looked for in their general and national references in the O.T. (Usteri, Lehrbegr. II. 2. 2, p. 271; Knapp, Script. Var. Arg. p. 556), the modal clauses with which they are combined show the deeper and more distinctive sense in which they are used in the New Testament. On this profound subject, and on the estates of man (the estate of wrath, of reconciliation, and of election), see esp. Jackson, Creed, x. 37. 11 sq., Vol. IX. p. 312 sq., and comp. Hammond on God's Grace, Vol. 1. p. 667 sq. (Lond. 1674), and Laurence, Bampt. Lect. for 1804.

έν αὐτῷ] Not for δι αὐτοῦ, scil. διὰ τῆς els αὐτὸν πίστεως (Chrys., Hamm.), nor for εls αὐτόν (comp. Æth.), nor yet with an instrumental force (Arm.), but, as Olsh. correctly and profoundly explains it, 'in Him;' in Christ, as the head and representative of spiritual, as Adam was the representative of natural humanity; comp. 1 Cor. xv. πρό καταβολής κόσμου] This expression, used three times in the N.T. (John xvii. 24, 1 Pet. i. 20), here serves to define the archetypal character of the New Dispensation, and the wide gulf that separated the πρόθεσις πρό χρόνων αιωνίων (2 Tim. i. 9) of God with respect to Christians, from His temporal ἐκλογὴ of the Jews; see Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 522 (Bohn). είναι ήμας к.т. \lambda.] 'that we should be holy and

αγάπη προορίσας ήμας είς υίοθεσίαν δια Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ 5

blameless; object contemplated by God in His gracious ἐκλογή, the infin. being that of intention; scil. ἐπὶ τούτφ ἴνα άγιοι διμέν και άμωμοι Chrys.: comp. 2 Cor. xi. 2, Col. i. 22, and see Winer, Gr. § 44. 1, p. 284, Donalds. Gr. § 607. άγίους καὶ a, p. 598. dμώμους] 'holy and blameless;' positive and negative aspects of true Christian life. The meaning of dμωμος (dμεμπτος, καθαρός, άψεκτος Hesych.) is slightly doubtful; it may be (a) 'inculpatus,' ὁ ἀνεπίληπτον βίον ξχων (Chrys.), in accordance with its derivation (μῶμος, μέμφομαι); or (b) 'immaculatus' (Vulg., Clarom., Arm.; comp. Syr., Goth.), with possible reference to its application in the LXX to victims, Lev. i. 10, xxii. 19; comp. 1 Macc. iv. 42, leρeîs ἀμώμους, and see Tittm. Synon. p. 29. The latter meaning is strongly supported by 1 Pet. i. 19, άμνοῦ άμώμου καὶ άσπίλου, and Heb. ix. 14: still, as there is here no sacrificial allusion direct or indirect (comp. ch. v. 27), it seems best to retain the simple etymological meaning; see Col. i. 22, άγίους και άμώμους και άνεγκλήτους, and comp. Wisd. x. 15, λαὸν δσιον καλ σπέρμα άμεμπτον. It is more doubtful whether these epithets point to a moral condition, i.e. to the righteousness of sanctification (Chrys., Hamm.), or to the imputed righteousness of Christ (Olsh., Mey.). The former reference seems most consonant both with St Paul's general teaching (1 Thess. iv. 7), and the obvious inferences that may be drawn from other passages in the N.T., 1 Pet. i. 16, Rev. xxii. 11; see Stier in loc., and on the distinction between sanctifying and justifying righteousness, the excellent remarks of Hooker, Serm. II. 6, Vol. III. p. 611.

Katevániov autoû] 'before Him;' 'id

est vere, sincere,' Beza; ἀγιωσύνην ζητεῖ ῆν ὁ τοῦ Θεοῦ ὀφθαλμὸς ὀρῷ 'Chrys.
The form αὐτοῦ is here to be preferred,
as the reference to the subject is obviously remote and unemphatic; comp.
Bremi, Jahrb. der Philol. IX. p. 171
(Winer). The distinction however between the proper use of these two
forms cannot be rigorously defined;
see Buttin. Mid. Excurs. X. p. 140,
and Tisch. Prolegom. p. LVIII.

έν αγάπη may be joined with έξελέξατο; more probably with άγ. καὶ ἀμώμ. (Vulg., Copt.); but appy. most probably with \prooplous (Syr., Chrys., Theod.), as St Paul's object seems here not so much to define the nature of the required αγιωσύνη and αμεμφία on the part of man, as to reveal the transcendent principle of Love which (if we may so speak) was the moving principle of the προορισμός of God; και προείδεν ήμας και ήγάπησε Theod., comp. Theod.-Mops. The arguments derived from the collocation of the words are not decisive, for ἐν ἀγάπη could as well be joined with ay. rai άμ. here, as έν dγιωσύνη with άμέμπτους in 1 Thess. iii. 13; and again could as easily precede emphasis gratia προορίσας here, as it does έρριζωμένοι, ch. iii. 18. Lastly, it cannot be said that the second modal clause, rara τὴν εὐδ., is thus superfluous (Meyer): the two clauses point to two different attributes; ἐν ἀγάπη to the loving Mercy, κατά τὴν εὐδ. to the sovereign Power of God. For a good defence of the second form of connexion see Alford in loc.

5. προορίσας ἡμᾶς] 'having foreordained us;' i.e. not 'prædestinans,'
Beng., but 'quum prædestinasset,' Syr.Phil., the participle being most naturally regarded as temporal, not modal,
and its action as prior to, not syn-

είς αὐτόν, κατὰ τὴν εὐδοκίαν τοῦ θελήματος αὐτοῦ,

chronous with (as in ver. 9) that of έξελέξατο: comp. Rom. viii. 29, 30, and see Bernhardy, Synt. III. 9, p. 383, Donalds. Gr. § 574 sq. With regard to the prep. it would certainly seem that πρὸ does not refer to others (Baumg.), nor appy. to existence before time (Eadie), but simply to the realization of the event: the decree existed before the object of it came into outward manifestation; comp. προηλπικότας, ver. 12, and see Olsh. on Rom. ix. I. The distinction between εκλογή and προορισμός is thus drawn by Scherzer (cited by Wolf); 'different tantum ratione ordinativa et objectiva,' the ek of the former referring to the mass from whom the selection was made, the $\pi \rho \delta$ of the latter to the pre-existence and priority of the decree. On προορισμός, dec. see Petavius, Theol. Dogm. IX. I, Vol. 1. p. 565 sq., and Laurence, Bampt. Lect. VIII. p. 169 sq.

ets vioθeσίαν] 'for adoption,' scil. wa αὐτοῦ vlol λεγοί[ώ]μεθα καὶ χρηματίζωμεν' Theod.-Mops.; vloθεσία however not being merely sonship (Ust. Lehrb. II. 1. 2, p. 186), but as usual, 'adoptionem filiorum,' Vulg.; see notes on Gal. iv. 5, and Neander, Planting, Vol. 1. p. 477 (Bohn).

els αὐτόν] 'unto Him;' comp. Col.
i. 20, ἀποκαταλλάξαι τὰ πάντα els αὐτόν. As the exact meaning of these words is slightly obscure, it will be best to premise the following statements. (a) Els νίοθ...els αὐτόν must be regarded as a single compound clause expressive of the manner and nature of the προορισμόs, δί' Ἰησ. and els αὐτ. being separats sub-clauses further defining the prominent idea els νίοθεσίαν. (b) Αὐτόν (not αὐτόν) is not to be referred to Christ (De W.), but, with the Greek expositors,

to God. (c) Els aurèr is not merely equivalent to ἐν αὐτῷ (Beza), or לְנְחַלֵּתוֹ (Holzh.); nor is the favourite transl. of Meyer, 'in reference to Him' (comp. Rück.), though grammatically tenable (Winer, Gr. § 49. a, p. 354), by any means sufficient. In these deeper theological passages the prep. seems to bear its primary (els=evs Donalds. Crat. § 170) and most comprehensive sense of 'to and into' (see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v.); the idea of approach (την είς αὐτὸν dνάγουσαν Theoph.) being also blended with, and heightened by, that of inward union; comp. notes on Gal. iii. We may thus paraphrase, 27. 'God predestinated us to be adopted as His sons; and that adoption came to us through Christ, and was to lead us unto, and unite us to God.' Stier compares what he terms the bold expression, 2 Pet. i. 4. την εύδοκίαν κ.τ.λ.] 'according to the good pleasure of His will,' 'secundum placitum (propositum, Vulg.) voluntatis suæ,' Clarom.; the prep. κατά, as usual, marking 'rule, measure, accordance to,' Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. 357. The exact meaning of εὐδοκία is here doubtful. The Greek expositors (not Chrys.) refer it to the benevolentia (ἡ ἐπ' εὐεργεσία βούλησις: Œcum,), the Vulg., Syr., Goth. ('leikainai'), al. to the voluntas liberrima of God. The latter meaning rarely if ever (not even Ecclus. i. 27, xxxii. 5) occurs in the LXX; in the N.T. however, though there are decided instances of the former meaning, e.g. Luke ii. 14 (not 'lætitia,' Fritz.), Phil. i. 15 (δι' εὐδ. opp. to διὰ $\phi\theta$ όνον), still there is no reason to doubt (Harl.) that the latter occurs in Matth. xi. 26 (θέλησις καὶ ἀρέσκεια Theoph.), Luke x. 21, and probably Phil. ii. 13.

είς έπαινον δόξης της χάριτος αὐτοῦ, ἐν ἢ ἐχαρίτωσεν 6

6. & *j] So Tisch. (ed. 2, 7) with DE(F om. j)GKL; great majority of mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Goth., Syr.-Phil., Arm., al.; Bas., Chrys., Theod., al. and rightly; for js, though found in ABN; mss.; Syr., Æth.; Orig. (Cat.), Chrys. (i), al. (Lachm., Mey., Alf.), has less external support; and on internal grounds, as a grammatical correction, seems very suspicious. The statement of Alf., that 'a relative following a substantive is as often in a different case as the same,' certainly cannot be substantiated; see Winer, Gr. § 24. 1, p. 148.

Thus the context must decide. As here and ver. 9 εὐδοκία seems to refer exclusively to the actor (\pi\rho\rho\rho\rho\lambda\s, γνωρίσας), not to the objects of the action, it seems best with De Wette (mis-cited by Eadie) to adopt the latter meaning, though not in the extreme sense, τὸ σφοδρὸν θέλημα, as advocated by Chrys. In this the idea of goodness (ή άριστη και καλλίστη τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐκούσιος θέλησις Είχη. Μ.) is of course necessarily involved, but it does not form the prominent idea. For further details, see esp. Fritz. on Rom. x. 1, Vol. II. p. 369 sq., and Wordsw. in loc.

6. els traivor κ.τ.λ.] 'for the praise of the glory of His grace,' 'in' or rather 'ad [Clarom.; see Madvig, Opusc. Acad. p. 167 sq.; comp. Hand, Tursell. Vol. III. p. 317] laudem gloriæ gratiæ suæ, 'Vulg.; ινα ή τῆs χάριτος αὐτοῦ δόξα δειχθη · Chrys. : divine purpose of the προορισμός, εls here denoting the 'finis primarius' (Phil. i. 11), not 'consequens aliquid' (Grot.), as in 1 Pet. i. 7. It is scarcely necessary to say that neither is έπαινος δόξης for έπαινος ένδοξος (Grot.), nor δόξα της χάριτος for ένδοξος χάρις (Beza); both of them weak and, especially here, wholly inadmissible solutions. As Chrys. appears rightly to have felt, $\delta\delta\xi\eta s$ is a pure subst., and serves to specify that peculiar quality or attribute of the xdois which forms the subject of praise; comp. Winer,

Thus Gr. § 34. 3, obs. p. 211. then of the three genitives, the first is that 'of the object,' or more strictly speaking, 'of the point of view' (Scheuerl. Synt. § 18, p. 129), while the last two are united (Winer, Gr. § 30. 3. 1, p. 172), and form a common possessive genitive. Owing to the defining gen., the article is not indispensable; see Winer, Gr. § 19. 2. b, p. 113, and compare Madvig, Synt. § 10. 2. iv f] 'in qua,' Vulg., Clarom., not 'e quâ,' Beza, or 'quâ,' Arm. (instrum. case); the antecedent here much more naturally marking the state in which, than the means by which God showed us His έχαρίτωσεν ήμ.] 'Hε imparted His grace to us,' 'gratificavit,' Vulg., Clarom., 'largitus est,' Æth. The exact meaning of χαριτόω is doubtful. From the analogy of verbs in $\delta\omega$, whether in reference to what is material (e.g. χρυσόω, &c.) or what is immaterial (e.g. θανατόω, &c., see Harless), χαριτόω must mean 'χάριτι aliquem afficio.' As however χάριs is indeterminate, and may mean either the subjective state of the individual or the objective grace of God, έχαρίτωσε may still have two meanings: (a) ἐπεράστους ἐποίησε, Chrys., 'gratis sibi acceptos effecit,' Beza; comp. a somewhat similar use in Ecclus. xviii. 17, Psalm xviii. 26 (Symm.), and see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 1504; or (b) gratid amplexus est,

7 ήμας εν τῷ ἠγαπημένφ, εν ῷ ἔχομεν τὴν ἀπολύτρωσιν διὰ τοῦ αἵματος αὐτοῦ, τὴν ἄφεσιν τῶν παραπτωμάτων,

Beng., sim. Syr., 'gratiæ, quam effudit; comp. Luke i. 28. Both the context (comp. Alf.) and the prevailing meaning of $\chi d\rho\iota s$ in St Paul's Epp. seem distinctly in favour of the latter On the use of the aor., meaning. comp. note on έξελέξατο, ver. 4. έν τῷ ήγαπημένῳ] 'in the Beloved;' see Matth. iii. 17, and comp. Col. i. 13. 'Er is not here interchangeable with did (comp. Chrys.), or equivalent to propter (Grot., Locke), but retains its full primary meaning. Christ, as Olsh. well observes, is regarded not only as the mediator, but as the true representative of mankind.

7. & & in whom; further illustration and expansion of the preceding έχαρίτωσεν. Here again έν is neither instrumental (Arm.), nor identical in meaning with διά (Vatabl.). Fritzsche indeed (Opusc. p. 184) adduces this passage as an instance of this identity, and regards δια τοῦ αίμ. as a sort of epexegesis of & \$\varphi\$, 'per quem, i.e. eo quod sanguinem effudit;' but such an explanation falls greatly short of the true meaning. As usual, èv has here its primary and fullest theological meaning: it implies more than union with (Rück., Eadie); it points to Christ as the living sphere of redemption, while $\delta \iota d \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$. refers to the outward means of it; comp. Rom. iii. 24. As Olsh. profoundly observes: 'we have not redemption in His work without His person, but in His person with which His work forms a living unity; see Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 347 note. ξχομεν] 'we are having;' present, and not without emphasis; 'we are ever needing, and are ever having it:' Eadie. dπολύτρωσιν] 'the (not our, Conyb.) redemption;' scil. the long promised,

and now known and realized redemption. The use of this word is thus briefly but perspicuously elucidated by Usteri in loc.: 'Who is ransomed? Man, from the punishment he deserved. What is the λύτρον (Matth. xx. 28, Mark x. 45, 1 Tim. ii. 6)? The blood of Christ. To whom is it paid? To God. Who pays it? Christ in the first place; though strictly God who sent Him; so, God through Christ;' Lehrb. II. 1. 1, p. 107: see collection of texts, Waterl. Doctrine of Euch. IV. 3, Vol. IV. p. 513. We must not however too much limit the application of this important word. As the art, renders it impossible to explain it merely metonymice, 'a redeemed state' (comp. Corn. a Lap.), so it presents to us the conception of 'redemption' in its most general and abstract sense, alike from Satan, sin, and death: comp. Middleton, Greek Art. v. 1, p. 90 (ed. διά τοῦ αξματος αὐτοῦ] Rose). 'through His blood;' closer definition of the $\epsilon \nu \varphi$, by a notice of the 'causa medians,' the blood of Christ, that without which there could have been no αφεσις: comp. Heb. ix. 22, and see the sound remarks of Alf. and Wordsw. την άφεσιν κ.τ.λ.] in h. l.'the forgiveness of our transgressions;' in apposition to the preceding άπολύ- $\tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$, and a specification of its essential character. The distinction between ἄφεσις (condonatio) and πάρεσις (prætermissio, Rom. iii. 25) is noticed by Trench, Synon. § 33; more briefly but most acutely by Fritz. Rom. Vol. I. p. 199. Too much stress need not here be laid on the distinction between παραπτώματα and άμαρτίαι, for compare Col. i. 14. Still the former so naturally point to sins on the side of κατὰ τὸ πλοῦτος της χάριτος αὐτοῦ, ης ἐπερίσσευσεν εἰς 8 ήμας ἐν πάση σοφία καὶ Φρονήσει, γνωρίσας ήμιν τὸ ο

commission, sinful acts, the latter to sins as the result of a state, sinful conditions, that it seems best (with Beza) to preserve the distinction in translation; comp. notes on ch. ii. 1, where the distinction is more fully discussed. τὸ πλοῦτος τῆς χάρ. αὐτοῦ] 'the riches of His grace;' certainly not per Hebraismum for 'abundans bonitas' (Grot.), but with the usual meaning of the possessive gen., the riches which appertain to, are the property of His xdpis. On the form τὸ πλοῦτος, here rightly retained by Tisch., see Winer, Gr. § 9. 2. 2, p. 61. It occurs again, Eph. iii. 8, 16 (strongly supported), Eph. ii. 7, Phil. iv. 19, Col. i. 27, ii. 2 (well), 2 Cor. viii. 2 (doubtfully); comp. Tisch. Prolegom. p. Lv.

8. ης επερίσσευσεν] 'which He made to abound;' 'ufarassau ganohida' [abundanter concessit], Goth., 'abundare fecit,' Æth. Though περισσεύω is used intransitively by St Paul no less than twenty two times, yet as it is certainly transitive in 2 Cor. iv. 15, ix. 8, 1 Thess. iii. 12 (comp. Athen. Deipn. 11. 16 [42], περιττεύει τάς ώραs), and as there is no satisfactory instance in the N.T. of attraction in the case of a verb joined with a dat. (Fritzsche's explanation of Rom. iv. 17 is more than doubtful, and in I Tim. iv. 6, As [Lachm. ed. min.] is only supported by A in opp. to CDFGKLN), it seems better to adopt the latter meaning with Theod. (ἡμᾶς περικλύζει) and the Vv. above cited, than the intrans. with Syr., Vulg., Arm., and appy. Chrys. in loc. On the apparent violations of the law of attraction in the N.T., see Winer, Gr. § 24. I, p. 148. έν πάση σοφία και φρονήσει] 'in all wisdom and intelligence; sphere and element in which the exeplorevoev is evinced and realized. As there is some difficulty in (1) the meaning, (2) reference, and (3) connexion of these words, it will be best to consider these points separately. (1) Πασα σοφία can only mean 'all wisdom,' i.e. 'every kind of, all possible wisdom,' not 'summa sapientia' (Rosenm., Eadie); πâs, as Harless correctly observes, always denoting extension rather than intension, and thus often giving a concrete application to abstract nouns; comp. Col. iv. 12, and see Winer, Gr. § 18. 4, p. 101. The exx. adduced by Eadie (Matth. xxviii. 18, Acts v. 25 [23], 1 Tim. i. 15) do not in any way invalidate this principle. Zoφία and φρόνησις are not synonymous (Homb.; comp. Plato, Symp. 202 A), but may be thus distinguished: σοφία (cognate with $\sigma d\phi \eta s$, sapio) denotes 'wisdom' in its general sense, κοινώς ἀπάντων μάθησιν Suid. (see 4 Macc. i. 16); φρόνησις is rather 'intelligentia,' 'a right application of the φρήν' (τὸ δύνασθαι καλώς βουλεύσασθαι περί τὰ αὐτῷ άγαθὰ καὶ συμφέροντα: Aristot.),—in a word, an attribute or result of σοφία (ή δè σοφία ανδρί τίκτει φρόνησιν Prov. x. 23), thus serving here (like ἀποκάλυψις ver. 17, σύνεσις Col. i. q) to define and limit the reference of the more general and comprehensive word. That σοφία is theoretical, φρόνησις practical (Krebs; comp. Aristot. Ethic. VI. 5. 7, and Cicero, Off. 11. 2), is too bald a distinction; for σοφία in its Christian application necessarily wears a practical aspect, and may in this respect be as much contrasted with γνωσις (I Cor. viii. I), as φρόνησις with the more nearly synonymous σύνεσι: (Col. i. 9); μυστήριον τοῦ θελήματος αὐτοῦ, κατὰ τὴν εὐδοκίαν αὐ-10 τοῦ, ἣν προέθετο ἐν αὐτῷ εἰς οἰκονομίαν τοῦ πληρώμα-

see notes to Transl., Trench, Synon. Part II. § 25, and comp. Beck, Seelenl. (2) The reference is ц. 19, р. бі. to man, not God (Alf.), for though φρόνησις might be applied to God (see Prov. iii. 19, Jer. x. 12, 1 Kings iii. 28), and &ν σοφ. καλ φρον. might, symmetrically with er dydan ver. 4, denote the principle in which God was pleased to act, yet (a) mdon seems incompatible with such a reference; (b) the introduction of these attributes in reference to God disturbs the pervading reference to the Divine χάρις; (c) the analogy of Col. i. 9, urged by Olsh., forcibly suggests the reference (3) The connexion (left unto man. decided by Lachm., Tisch.) must then be that of the text. If the arguments a, b, c, be not considered valid, èv πάση κ.τ.λ. must be joined with γνωρίσας, as Theod. (μετά πολλής σοφίας ἐγνώρισεν), Griesb., al. The reference to God, if the ordinary punctuation be retained (De Wette), is in the highest degree unsatisfactory.

9. yvwploas] 'having made known,' or, more idiomatically, 'in making known;' participle explanatory of the preceding επερίσσευσεν...εν πάση σοφία και φρον., esp. of the latter words, and appy. denoting an act coincident, and terminating synchronously with the finite verb: see Bernhardy, Synt. x. 9, p. 383, Donalds. Gr. § 576; and esp. Herm. Viger, No. 224, Stallbaum, Plato, Phædo, 62 D. The 'ut notum faceret' of Vulg. (comp. Clarom., Goth.) is due to the reading γνωρίσαι found in FG; 76; Hil., and some Latin Ff. τὸ μυστήριον κ.τ.λ.] 'the mystery of His will;' not 'Hebræo loquendi genere' for consilium arcanum, Grot., but 'the mystery pertaining to it; τοῦ θελήμ. being

neither a gen. of apposition (τὸ ἀποκεκρυμμένον αὐτοῦ θέλημα καὶ ἄδηλον τοις πασι μυστήριον αὐτό καλών Theod .-Mops.), nor a gen. subjecti ('as it has its origin in,' Eadie), but simply a gen. objecti ('concerning His will,' Meyer) marking that to which the mystery was referred, and on which it turned: see Krüger, Sprachl. § 47. 7. 1, and Scheuerl. Synt. § 17. 1, p. 127. The incarnation of Christ and the redemption He wrought for us, though an actual revelation considered as a matter of fact, was a μυστήριον considered with reference to the depths of the divine will: see Theod.-Mops. cited above, and comp. Olsh. in loc. κατά την εύδοκ. αύτ.] 'according to His good pleasure; specification of the γνωploas as having taken place in strict dependence both in time and manner on the will of God; comp. ver. 5. To refer this to what follows ('to wit, His intention according to His good pleasure to gather,' Eadie) seems obviously incorrect, involved, and out of harmony with ver. 5: as $\kappa \alpha \tau \lambda \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. formed a modal clause to προορίσας there, so it naturally qualifies γνωρίσας

προίθετο] 'purposed;' 'proposuit,' Vulg., not 'præstituerat,' Beza. The verb προτίθεσθαι only occurs in the N. T. in two other passages, viz. Rom. i. 13 (ethical, as here), and Rom. iii. 25 (quasi-local, 'set forth'); the force of the prep. in both cases being local rather than temporal (Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 20), and analogous to the use of the prep. in προαιρεῖσθαι (2 Cor. ix. 7) and προχειρίζεσθαι (Acts iii. 20). It may indeed be doubted whether any instance can be found of προτίθεσθαι in a purely temporal sense: Polyb. Hist. VIII. 13.

τος των καιρων, ανακεφαλαιώσασθαι τὰ πάντα έν τφ

I, is not in point. έν αὐτῷ] 'in Himself ?' not αὐτῷ as Tisch. (ed. 2, 7), 'in eo,' Vulg. Though it is often difficult to decide between the reflexive and non-reflexive pronoun (see Buttm. Mid. Excurs. x. p. 140), yet as a general rule, where the attention is principally directed to the subject, the former is most natural; where it is diverted by the importance of the details, the latter. Thus in ver. 5, υlοθεσία is so distinctly the important word that aυτον is sufficiently explicit; here the connexion with $\pi \rho o \epsilon \theta \epsilon \tau o$ is so immediate that the reflexive form alone seems admissible. 10. els olkovoulav] 'for, with a view to, the dispensation;' els being not for $\ell\nu$ (Vulg., Auth.), or temporal, 'usque ad,' Erasm. (a more justifiable translation), but simply indicative of the purpose, intention, of the πρόθεσιs: comp. Winer, Gr. § 49. The meaning of 8, p. 354. olkovoµla has been much debated. It occurs nine times in the N.T.; (a) in the simple sense of stewardship, Luke xvi. 2 sq., a meaning which Wieseler (Chron. p. 448) maintains even in this place; (b) in reference to the apostolic office, to the olkos Ocoû, I Cor. ix. 17, Col. i. 25, and (more remotely) 1 Tim. i. 4; (c) in reference to the Divine government of the world, disposition, dispensation, here, and ch. iii. 2, 9; see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. II. p. 417, and esp. Schweigh. Lex. Polyb. s. v. The special meanings, 'dispensatio gratiæ,' 'redemptionis mysterium, scil. Christi evavθρώπησις (Suicer, Thesaur. s. v.; comp. Valesius, Euseb. Hist. 1. 1, Petav. de Incarn. II. I, Vol. IV. p. 110), which were probably deduced from the whole clause, cannot be admitted as explanations of the simple

word. The article is not required, as the governing substantive is sufficiently defined by the gen. which follows; see Winer, Gr. § 19. 2. b, p. 113 sq. του πληρώματος τών kaipev] 'of the fulness of the seasons;' scil. that moment which completes, and as it were fills up the ordained καιροί (time estimated in reference to the epochs in the Divine government) of the Gospel dispensation: compare the somewhat similar expression, $\pi \lambda \eta$ ρωσις ἡμερῶν, Dan. x. 3 (Theod.), Ezek. v. 2, where however the completion is estimated relatively to the act, rather than to the exact moment that made the remaining temporal void full; see notes on Gal. iv. 4. The genitival relation of these words to olkovoµla is very obscure. It would certainly seem that $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu$. $\kappa. \tau. \lambda$. cannot be (a) a gen. of the object (Theod.-Mops.), for, as Meyer justly observes, the πλήρωμα may be said έλθεῖν (Gal. l.c.), but not οἰκονομεῖσθαι: nor again (b) can it be an explanatory gen. or gen. of identity (Harless; comp. Scheuerl. Synt. § 12. 1, p. 82), for an essentially temporal conception can scarcely be used in explanation of an ethical notion: it may however be plausibly considered as (c) a gen. of the characterizing quality (Scheuerl. § 16. 3, p. 115), which, especially in local and temporal reference, admits considerable latitude of application; comp. Jude 6, κρίσις μεγάλης ἡμέρας and see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 30. 2, p. 168 sq., and in Hartung, Casus, p. The difficult expression οἰκον. τοθ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho$, κ , τ . λ . will thus seem to imply not merely 'the full-timed dispensation' (Eadie), but more exactly, 'the dispensation that was characterized by, that was to be set forth in, the fulness of time' ('propria plenitudini temp.,'

Χριστώ, τὰ ἐν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς καὶ τὰ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, ἐν

10. ἐν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς] Tisch. is perhaps right in maintaining this reading with AFGK; appy. majority of mss.; Copt.; Chrys., Theodoret (1), Theophyl., al. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, Harless, De W.); against ἐπὶ τοῖς οὐρανοῖς even with BDELN; about 40 mss.; Goth.; Theodoret (1), Dam., Œc., al. (Lachm., Rūck., Meyer, Alf.): for, conceding that it may be grammatically correct (comp. exx. Rost u. Palm, Lex. ἐπί, II. 1, Vol. I. p. 1035), we must still say that the internal objections, that ἐπὶ is never joined in the N.T. with οὐρανὸς or οὐρανοί, and that ἐν οὐρανῷ and ἐπὶ γῆς (probably not without significance) are invariably found in antithesis, are almost decisive: see Harless in loc.

Calov.); and must be referred not only to the period of the coming of Christ (ed. 1; Ust. Lehrb. II. 1, p. 83; comp. πλήρωμα των καιρών ή παρουσία αὐτοῦ $\vec{\eta}\nu$ Chrys.), but appy., as the more extended ref. of the context seems to suggest, the whole duration of the Gospel dispensation (Alf.): see Stier in loc. (p. 96), and contrast Gal. iv. 4, where, as the context shows, the reference is more restricted. The use and meaning of the term is noticed by Hall, Bampt. Lect. for 1797. dvaκεφαλαιώσασθαι] 'to sum up again together,' 'restaurare,' Clarom., 'summatim recolligere,' Beza; not dependent on $\pi \rho o \epsilon \theta \epsilon \tau o$, but an explanatory infinitive, defining the nature and purpose of the $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$: comp. I Thess. iv. 4, and see notes on Col. i. 22. This article is not necessary: see Winer, Gr. § 44. 2. obs. p. 286; notes on 1 Thess. iii. 3; and comp. Madvig, Syntax, § 144. The meaning of this word, connected as it here is with the counsels of Omnipotence, must be investigated with the most anxious care. Viewed simply, κεφαλαιώσαι (συντομώς συναγαγείν Hesych.) means 'summatim colligere,' Thucyd. III. 67, VI. 91, VIII. 53; draκεφαλαιώσασθαι 'summatim (sibi) recolligere;' comp. συγκεφαλαιοῦσθαι ('in brevem summam contrahere'), Polyb. Hist. 111. 3. 1, 1. 66. 11, &c.; see Schweigh. Lex. Polyb., and Raphel in loc. But viewed in connexion with the context, it gives rise to two important questions: (1) Is there any allusion to Christ as the κεφαλή (Chrys.)? In a writer so profound as St Paul this is far from impossible. The derivation of the word however (κεφάλαιον not κεφαλή), St Paul's use of it in its common meaning, Rom. xiii. 9, and most of all the context, which points to a union 'in Christo,' not 'sub Christo' (Beng.), to His atonement rather than His sovereignty (Col. ii. 10), render it improbable. (2) What is the force of and? From Rom. l. c. (see Fritz.) it has plausibly been considered latent; still, as even there this is very doubtful (see Meyer in loc.), it must not here be lightly passed over. What then is this force? Obviously not simple repetition; nor again (from reasons above) summation upwards, in reference to Christ as the Head (σύνδεσμον άνωθεν ἐπικειμένον: Chrys.), but re-union, re-collection, a 'partium divulsarum conjunctio,' in reference to a state of previous and primal unity: so far then, but so far only, a 'restoration' (Syr., Vulg.) to that state; comp. Beng. in loc., the editor's Destiny of the Creature, p. 162, and see an excellent discussion on the word in Andrewes, Serm. XVI. Vol. I. p. 265, 270 (A.-C. Libr.). The force of the middle voice must also appy. not be overlooked.

αὐτῷ, ἐν ῷ καὶ ἐκληρώθημεν προορισθέντες κατὰ πρόθε- 11

τά πάντα may imply 'all intelligent beings' (comp. notes on Gal. iii. 22), but, on account of the clauses which follow, is best taken in its widest sense, 'all things and beings,' Meyer; comp. Andrewes, Serm. XVI. Vol. I. p. 269. τά έν τοις ούρανοις κ.τ.λ.] 'the things in hearen and the things upon earth;' widest expression of universality designed to show the extent of the preceding τὰ πάντα (Andr.); comp. Col. i. 20. and see notes in loc. Without entering into the profound questions which have been connected with these words, it may be said that as on the one hand all limiting interpretationse.g. Jews and Gentiles (Schoettg.), dyγέλους και ανθρώπους (Chrys.), the world of spirits and the race of men (Meyer),—are opposed to the generalizing neuter (Winer, Gr. § 27. 5, p. 160) and the comprehensiveness of the expressions; so, on the other hand, any reference to the redemption or restoration of those spirits (Crellius), for whom our Lord Himself said τὸ πῦρ τὸ αἰώνιον (Matth. xxv. 41) was prepared, must be pronounced fundamentally impossible: comp. Bramhall, Castigations, &c. Disc. 11. Vol. IV. p. 354 (A.-C. Libr.), Hofmann, Schriftb. Vol. 1. p. 192, and the editor's Destiny of the Creature, p. 91 sq. The reading $\epsilon \pi l \tau$. $o \ell \rho$. (Lachm., Alf.), though strongly supported [BDELN], is the less probable one; see crit. note. èν αὐτῷ] 'in Him;' not added merely 'explicationis causa' (Herm. Viger, 123. b. 5), but as re-asseverating with great solemnity and emphasis (see Jelf, Gr. § 658) the only blessed sphere in which this ἀνακεφ. can be regarded as operative, and apart from which, and without which, its energies cannot be conceived as acting: see Destiny of the Creature, p. 8q. It forms also an easy transition to the following relative.

11. ἐν φ καὶ ἐκληρώθ.] 'In whom we were also chosen as His inheritance;' και obviously qualifying έκληρ., not the unexpressed pronoun (Auth.), and specifying the gracious carrying out and realization of the divine wp6- $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, ver. 9. This ascensive force may sometimes be expressed by 'really,' see Hartung, Partik. Kal, 2. 7, p. 132 sq.; the exact shade of meaning however will be best defined by a consideration of the exact tenor and tacit comparisons of the context; see Klotz. Devar. Vol. 11. p. 636. exact meaning of ἐκληρώθ. is very Passing over the more doubtful. obviously untenable interpretations of Bretsch., Wahl, Koppe, and others, we find four translations which deserve attention: (a) Pass. for middle; 'we have obtained an inheritance,' Auth., Conyb.; comp. Elsner, Obs. Vol. π. p. 204: this however is not fairly substantiated by the citations adduced, and is distinctly at variance with the significant passires which prevail throughout this profound paragraph in reference to man; even προσεκληρώθησαν, Acts xvii. 4, is best taken passively; see Winer, Gr. § 39. 2, p. 234: (b) Simple pass.; 'sorte vocati sumus,' Vulg., Syr., Goth.; comp. 1 Sam. xiv. 41, and see exx. in Elsner, l.c.; i.c. 'as though by lot,' in allusion to the sovereign freedom of God's choice; κλήρου γενομένου ήμας έξελέξατο. Chrys.: this however is seriously at variance with St Paul's modes of thought and the regular forms of expression (καλείν, ἐκλέγεσθαι) which he uses on this subject: see Harless and Meyer in loc.: (c) Passive, used like πιστεύομαι, μαρτυροθμαι (comp. ἀποροῦμαι, Gal. iv. 20, and see Winer, Gr. § 39. 1, p. 233), with an

σιν τοῦ τὰ πάντα ἐνεργοῦντος κατὰ τὴν βουλὴν τοῦ 12 θελήματος αὐτοῦ, εἰς τὸ εἶναι ἡμᾶς εἰς ἔπαινον δόξης

implied accus., scil. 'in hæreditatem adsciti sumus,' Grot. 2, Harl., Meyer ('were enfeoffed,' Eadie), with allusion to Josh. xiv. 1 sq., and reference to the κλήρος των άγίων, Col. i. 12: (d) Pass., in a special sense; 'eramus facti hæreditas (Domini),' Beng., Hamm. [mis-cited by De W.], i.e. hads έγκληρος, Deut. iv. 20, see ch. ix. 29, xxxii. 9. Between (c) and (d) it is somewhat hard to decide. While both present some difficulties, (c) in point of structure, (d) in the special character of its meaning, both harmonize well with the context, the former in its allusion to κληρονομία, ver. 14, the latter with reference to περιποίησις, ver. ib. As however (c) is doubtful in point of usage, and as the force of kal is well maintained by (d) in the gentle contrast it suggests between the general έκλογή and the more specially gracious κλήρωσις, this latter interpr. is certainly to be preferred; 'we were not only chosen out, but chosen out as a λαδε έγκληρος:' είπεν έξελέξατο ήμας άνωτέρω, ένταῦθά φησιν ἐκληρώθημεν Chrys. The reading $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, though found in ADEFG; Clarom., Sang., Boern., al. (Lachm.), seems almost certainly a sort of gloss for the more difficult and appy. ill-understood ἐκληρώθημεν. την βουλήν τοῦ θελήμ. αὐτοῦ] 'the counsel of His will,' 'consilium voluntatis suæ,' Vulg., Clarom.; assertion of the unconditioned and sovereign will of God appropriately introduced after έκληρώθημεν: ώστε ούκ έπειδη Ίουδαίοι ού προσείχου, διά τοῦτο τὰ ἔθνη ἐκάλεσεν, οὐδὲ ἀναγκασθείς Chrys. The expression βουλή $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \sigma s$ is not either pleonastic, or expressive of 'consilium liberrimum' (Beng.), but solemnly represents the Almighty Will as displaying itself in action; θέλημα designating the will generally, βουλή the more special expression of it. The distinction of Buttmann (Lexil. s. v. § 35, comp. Tittm. Synon. p. 124 sq.) that 'βούλομαι is confined to the inclination, $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega$ to that kind of wish in which there lies a purpose or design,' does not seem generally applicable to the N. T. (see Matth. i. 19, and comp. 1 Cor. iv. 5 with Eph. ii. 3), and probably not always to classical Greek: see Pape, Lex. s. v. βούλομαι, Vol. I. p. 383; Donalds. Crat. § 463. For further illustrations see notes on I Tim. v. 14.

12. els τὸ elvai κ.τ.λ.] 'that we should be to the praise of His glory; final cause of the κλήρωσις on the part of God mentioned in the preceding verse, εls τὸ κ.τ.λ. depending on εκληρ., and τούς προηλπικ. forming an opposition to $\eta \mu \hat{a}s$. To refer this clause to προορισθέντες, and to connect είναι with προηλπικότας (Harl.), is highly involved and artificial; see Meyer in loc. The reference of the pronoun is somewhat doubtful. Up to the present verse imeîs has designated the community of believers. Jews and Gentiles. It would seem most natural to continue it in the same sense; the meaning however assigned to $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\eta\rho$., that of $\pi\rho\sigma\eta\lambda\pi$., and most of all the opposition xal ύμειs (which De Wette does not invalidate by ref. to ch. ii. 1, Col. i. 8), seem convincingly to prove that ἡμεῖς refers especially to Jewish Christians, ύμεις to Gentile Christians. Chrys. has not expressed this, but the citation above (on $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho$.) would seem to imply distinctly that he felt it. be observed that the insertion of the



αὐτοῦ, τοὺς προηλπικότας ἐν τῷ Χριστῷ· ἐν ῷ καὶ ὑμεῖς, 13

art. της before δόξης, with A; many mss.; Chrys., al. (Rec.), is opposed to all other uncial MSS. and rejected by all recent editors. TOVE προηλπικ.] 'we, I say, who have before hoped;' Pai faura venjandans [hi ante sperantes], Goth.; the article with the part. standing in distinct and emphatic apposition with \(\delta\mu as, \) and defining more fully their spiritual attitude; comp. Winer, Gr. § 20. I. c, p. 121, but observe that the transl. 'quippe qui speravimus' (ed. 1, Winer, Mey., al.) is inexact, as this would imply a part. without, not as here with the article; on these distinctions of predication, see esp. Donalds. Crat. § 304 sq., Gr. § 492 sq. The prep. $\pi\rho\delta$ has received many different explanations, several of which (e.g. πρίν ή ἐπιστῆ ὁ μέλλων αίών, Theoph.; 'qui priores speravimus,' Beza; 'already, prior to the time of writing,' Eadie) appear to have resulted rather from preconceived opinions of the reference of $\eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s$, than from a simple investigation of the word. As προορίζω, ver. 5, implies an ὁρισμὸς before the object of it appeared, so προελπίζω seems to imply an exercise of $\epsilon \lambda \pi ls$ before the object of it, i.e. Christ, appeared. The perf. part., as usual, indicates that the action which is described as past still continues, see exx. Winer, Gr. § 40. 4. a, p. 244. έν Χριστῷ denotes the object in whom the hope was placed; comp. I Cor. xv. 19, and see notes on 1 Tim. iv. 10, Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 22, Vol. II. p. 222. The preceding reference of the fore-hope in the Messiah to the Jews (comp. Acts xxviii. 20) is in no way incompatible with the use of èv Χριστώ rather than of els Χριστόν (Holzh., Eadie): to have hoped in Christ was a higher characteristic than

to have directed hope towards Christ, and designated them as more worthy exponents of the praise of God's glory; comp. Stier in loc. p. 112, 114.

13. ἐν φ καὶ ὑμεῖς κ.τ.λ.] The construction of this verse is somewhat doubtful. A finite verb is commonly supplied, either from ἐκληρώθημεν, ver. 11, or προηλπικότας. If from the former (Harless), the $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho$. would now be limited to the Gentile Christians, though it formerly referred to both them and Jewish Christians: the regression too would seem unduly If from the latter, προηλπίκατε (not ήλπίκατε, Beza) must be supplied, which would imply what was contrary to the fact. Others (Mey., Alf., al.) supply the verb subst., 'in whom ye are,' but thus introduce a statement singularly frigid and out of harmony with the linked and everrising character of the context. It can scarcely then be doubted that we have here a form of the 'oratio suspensa' (Beng.), according to which the second èv & does not refer to a fresh subject (Mey.), but is simply resumptive of the first. The full force and meaning of this anacoluthon have scarcely been sufficiently expanded. Kal ὑμειs [ἡμειs, AKL; mss., but with no probability] directs the attention to the contrast between the pronouns; ἀκούσαντες κ.τ.λ. suggests a further reference to those who had hoped on less convincing evidence. This might have been followed at once by the finite verb $\epsilon \sigma \phi \rho \alpha \gamma$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.: but was so important a clause to follow at once on ακούσαντες? Surely dkon must be expanded into something more vital before it could be so blessed. Kal mior. is thus intercalated with all the ascensive force of καί (οὐ γὰρ μόνον ήκούσατε άλλά και έπιστεύσατε

ακούσαντες τον λόγον της αληθείας, το ευαγγέλιον της σωτηρίας υμών, εν φ και πιστεύσαντες εσφραγίσθητε τφ

Theod.), and thus, far from becoming superfluous (Mey.), is truly a necessary and vital member of the sentence. So appy. Syr., Copt., Goth., Æth., which, though suppressing the kal, and converting the participles into finite verbs, retain substantially the correct 'Eν φ may be joined structure. with πιστεύσαντες (Mark i. 15) as well as έσφραγ. (Scholef.), but as πιστεύειν Er Tivi is not used by St Paul, and as èν Ø in ver. 11 is not joined with the participle but the finite verb, it seems best to preserve the same construction in this somewhat parallel verse; see Rück., and Harl. in loc.

τὸν λόγον τῆς ἀληθείας] 'the word of the truth;' not the gen. of apposition (Harless), but the gen. substantiæ: see Scheuerl. Synt. § 12. 1, p. 82, Hartung, Casus, p. 21. The truth did not only form the subject (Mey.), but was the very substance and essence. The remark of Chrys. is thus perfectly in point, της άληθείας, οὐκέτι τὸν τοῦ τύπου, οὐδὲ τὸν τῆς εἰκόνος see notes τὸ εὐαγγέλιον on Col. i. 5. της σωτηρ. ύμων] 'the Gospel of your salvation;' not a gen. of apposition, nor exactly, as above, a gen. of the substance, but rather a gen. of the (spiritual) contents or subject-matter (Bernhardy, Synt. 111. 44, p. 161, Scheuerl. Synt. § 17. 1, p. 126), scil. 'the Gospel (τὸ κήρυγμα, Chrys.) which turns upon, which reveals salvation;' thus forming one of that large class of genitives of remoter reference (see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 30. 2. β , p. 169 sq.), and belonging appy. to the general category of the genitive of relation; see Donalds. Gr. § 453, p. 475 sq. For a list of the various substantives with which γγέλιον is associated (Θεοῦ, Rom.

i. 1, xv. 16, al.; Χριστοῦ, Rom xv. 19, Gal. i. 7, al.; της χάριτος τοῦ Θεοῦ, Acts xx. 24; τη̂s είρηνης, Eph. vi. 15), see esp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 8, Vol. 11. p. 81. πιστεύσαντες is not present (Eadie) and contemporaneous with ἐσφραγ. (Harl.), but antecedent; comp. Acts xix. 2, and see Usteri, Lehrb. 11. 2. 2, p. 267: the ordinary sequence, as Meyer observes, is (a) Hearing; (b) Faith, which of course implies preventing grace; (c) Baptism; (d) Communication of the Holy Spirit: compare together esp. Acts ii. 38, a, c, d; viii. 6, 12, 17, a, b, c, d; xix. 5, 6, c, d; Acts x. 44 d, c, and perhaps ix. 17, are exceptional cases. On the divine order or method mercifully used by God in our salvation, see the brief but weighty remarks of Hammond, Pract. Catech. I. 4, p. 83 (A.-C. Libr.).

ἐσφραγίσθητε] 'were sealed;' τὴν βεβαίωσιν έδέξασθε· Theod.-Mops.: see Suicer, Thesaurus. s. v. Vol. II. p. 1197. The seal of the Spirit is that blessed hope and assurance which the Holy Spirit imparts to our spirit, 871 έσμέν τέκνα Θεοῦ, Rom. viii. 16: see esp. Bull, Disc. III. p. 397 (Engl. Works, Oxf. 1844). Any purely objective meaning in ref. to heathen (Grot.) or even to Jewish customs (Schoettg. Hor, Hebr. Vol. II. p. 508; comp. Chrys.) seems here very doubtful: \(\delta\) $\sigma\phi\rho\alpha\gamma$ ls is undoubtedly used by eccl. writers simply for Baptism (Grabe, Spicil. Vol. 1. p. 331 sq., comp. Rom. iv. 11), but any special reference of this nature would appear not to be in harmony with the present context. τώ Πνεύματι της έπαγγελίας] 'the

τῷ Πνεύματι τῆς ἐπαγγελίας] 'the Spirit of promise,' Δοσι Σ΄, Ές [qui promissus erat] Syr., 'quem

Πνεύματι της επαγγελίας τῷ ἀγίῳ, ὅς ἐστιν ἀρραβων 14 της κληρονομίας ήμων, εἰς ἀπολύτρωσιν της περιποιή-σεως, εἰς ἔπαινον της δόξης αὐτοῦ.

promisit,' Æth. The genitival relation has here again received different explanations. The simple meaning derived from the most general use of the gen. as the case of ablation (Donalds. Gr. § 451; the 'whencecase,' Hartung, Casus, p. 12) requires but little modification. Τὸ Πν. τῆς έπ. is 'the Spirit which came from. i.e. was announced by, promise; ' δτι κατά έπαγγ. αὐτὸ ἐλάβομεν Chrys., or as Theoph. I, still more literally, δτι έξ έπαγγ. έδόθη so in effect Syr. The active sense, ὅτι βεβαιοῖ τὴν έπαγγελ. (Theoph. 2), is grammatically doubtful, as there is no such verbal basis in Πνεῦμα, compare Scheuerl. Synt. § 17. 1, p. 126; and is exegetically unnecessary, as the idea of βεβαίωσις lies in ἐσφραγίσθητε. See Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. 11. p. 1767, and comp. notes on Gal. iii. 14.

τῷ ἀγίῳ marks, with solemn emphasis, Him by whom they were sealed, Him whose essence was holiness, the personal Holy Spirit of God. For a weighty and practical sermon on this verse, see Usher, Serm. XII. Vol. XIII. p. 175 (ed. Elringt.), and for three discourses of a more general character Barrow, Serm. XIII. XIV. XV. Vol. I. p. 1—59 (Oxf. 1830).

14. δ_1 As the noun in the explanatory clause $(\delta_1,...,\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu)$ gains a prominence by being not only an elucidation or amplification (ch. i. 23), but a definition and specification of that in the antecedent, the relative agrees with it in gender: see esp. Winer, Gr. § 24. 3, p. 150, Madvig, Synt. § 98. b. Or need not therefore be referred to Christ, nor indeed to the personal nature of the Holy Spirit

(John xiv. 26), as 7d IIv. in its most distinct personal sense is invariably used with the neuter relative; compare the collection of exx. in Bruder, Concord. s. v. os, II. p. 619. The reading 5, adopted by Lachm. [with ABFGL; 15 mss.; Athan. (2), al.] seems clearly a grammatical gloss, and is rejected by most recent editors. dρραβών] 'earnest,' Auth., Arm.: a word used in the N.T. only here and 2 Cor. i. 22, v. 5; comp. 1127 Gen. xxxviii. 17 sq.; 'arrhabo,' Plaut. Most. III. 1. 3, and Rud. Prol. 45. It is a term probably of Phœnician origin (Gesen. Lex. s.v.), and denotes (I) a portion of the purchase money, an earnest of future payment, πρόδομα, Hesych.; ή έπι ταις ώναις παρά των ώνουμένων διδομένη προκαταβολή, Etym. M.: (2) pignus, Vulg., Clarom., 'vadi,' Goth.; see esp. Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 239. The word has here its primary meaning: the gifts and υἰοθεσία, of which the Spirit assures us now, are the earnest, the dπαρχή (Basil) of the κληρονομία (ἐν τῆ βασιλεία τοῦ Χρ. και Θεοῦ, ch. v. 5) hereafter; see Rom. viii. 23, and comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. 1V. 22, Vol. 11. p. 248. Christ is termed somewhat similarly the ἀρρ. της δικαιοσύνης ημών, Polyc. Phil. cap. 8, της αναστάσεως ημών, Constit. A post. V. 6: see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I. είς απολύτρωσιν της περιπ.] 'for the redemption of the purchased possession,' licia? رين رين [in redempt eorum qui vivunt, sc. servantur] Syr., 'in redemptionem adquisitionis,' Vulg.; first of the two final clauses, expressive

i; Διὰ τοῦτο κὰγώ, ἀκούσας την καθ I ever give thanks, and pray that ye may be enlightened to know the hope of His calling to riches of His inheritance, and the greatness of His power, which was especially displayed in the resurrection and supreme exaltation of Christ.

of the divine purpose involved in the έσφου γ loθητε κ.τ.λ.; see below (2). The explanations of these difficult clauses are very varied. Passing over those founded on questionable constructions, whether by participial solution (Koppe, Wahl), apposition (areλότρ. scil. περιπ., comp. Chrys., Theophyl. 1,), conjunction (drol. kal reper., comp. Holzh.), or virtual interchange (περιπ. τ η dπολ. Beza; Steph. Thesaur. s. v. wepur.), we will notice (1) the probable meaning of the words, (2) the probable connexion of the clause with the sentence. (Ι) ἀπολύτρωσις. a word always (e.g. ch. iv. 30, Rom. viii. 23), and here especially, modified by the context, appears to denote the final and complete redemption (†) καθαρά ἀπολ., Chrys.) from sufferings and sins, from Satan and from death: see Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1. I, p. 106, Neand. Planting, Vol. I. p. 456, and comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 17, Vol. II. p. 183 sq., who however is appy, unduly restrictive.

wεριποίησις is much more obscure; while its etymological form and syntactic use (comp. I Thess. v. 9, 2 Thess. ii. 14, Heb. x. 39) suggest an active and abstract interpretation (Beng.), the genitival relation with άπολυτρ. renders this in the present case wholly untenable. The same may be said of the concrete passive explanation 'hæreditas acquisita' (Calov.), even if that explanation be lexically demonstrable. The most ancient interpretation (Syr.), according to which $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi . = oi$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \iota \eta$ θέντες, scil. λαός είς περιπ. I Pet. ii. 9 (comp. Isaiah xliii. 21, and esp. , iii. 17), and is a Christian appliof the חָלְלַת יְהֹנָה the λαὸs περισύσιος (LXX) of the Old Testament, is on the whole the most satisfactory. The objection that reper. is never absolutely so used is of weight, and is not to be diluted by a forced reference to airou (Mey.); still, while the exx. adduced show such a meaning to be possible, the context, and esp. the genitival relation, render it in a high degree probable. The discussions of the other interpretations by Harless, and the comments of Stier (p. 129) on dralite, will repay (2) Connexion: els may be joined with 55 forw E.T.A. (Tisch., Rück.) in a temporal sense, 'until,' Auth. Ver., but much more probably belongs to ἐσφραγίσθητε. Εἰς ἀπολ. is thus a clause co-ordinate with els έπαινον κ.τ.λ., the former expressing the final clause in reference to man, the latter in more especial and ultimate reference to God.

15. Aud toîto kdyú] 'On this account I also;' ref. to the preceding verses as a reason for thanks to God for the spiritual state of the Ephesians, with a prayer (ver. 17) for their further enlightenment. The exact reference of these words is doubtful. Harless (after Chrys.) refers &ià τοῦτο to the whole paragraph; as however the Ephesians are first specially addressed in ver. 13 (kal bueîs), it seems best, with Theophyl., to connect διὰ τοῦτο only with ver. 13, 14; 'on account of thus having heard, believed, and having been sealed in Christ.' Κάγὼ ('I also, I too,' not 'I indeed,' Eadie) is thus faintly corresponsive with και ὑμεῖς, and hints at the union in prayer and praise which subsisted between the Apostle and his converts. De Wette refers ύμας πίστιν εν τῷ Κυρίφ Ἰησοῦ καὶ τὴν ἀγάπην τὴν εις πάντας τοὺς ἀγίους, οὐ παύομαι εὐχαριστῶν ὑπερ 16

καὶ to διὰ τοῦτο, adducing Col. i. q. but this example (comp. ver. 4 with ver. 9) certainly confirms the strict union of particle and pronoun; see notes in loc. Eadie and Bretschneider cite Rom. iii. 7, 1 Cor. vii. 8, xi. 1, Gal. iv. 12, 1 Th. iii. 5, al., but in all these instances kal has its full and proper comparative force: see Klotz, Devar. Vol. 11. p. 635. dκούσας] 'having heard.' All historical arguments (ώς μηδέπω θεασάμενος αὐτούς, -noticed, but rejected by Theodoret) derived, on the one hand, from pressing the meaning of the verb (De W.), or on the other, from the improbable (see Winer, Gr. § 40. 5. b. 1, p. 248, comp. on Gal. v. 24) frequentative force of the tense (Eadie), must be pronounced extremely precarious. St Paul certainly uses ἀκούσαντες, Col. i. 4, in reference to converts he had not seen: but this alone would not have proved it, and thus does not prevent our here referring aκούσας to the progress the Ephesians had made in the four or five years since he had last seen them: see Wieseler, Chronol., p. 445, Wiggers, Stud. u. Krit. 1841, p. 431 sq. την καθ' ύμας πίστιν] this is commonly regarded as a mere periphrasis for την υμετέραν π., or rather την π. ύμῶν, the possessive ὑμέτερος (comp. ήμέτ.) being used sparingly (only 4 times) in St Paul's Epp. It must be admitted that later writers appear to use κατά with acc. as equivalent to possess. pronoun or gen. (see Bernhardy, Synt. v. 20. b, p. 241, Winer, Gr. § 22. 7. obs. p. 139), still, as St Paul uses ἡ πίστ. ὑμῶν at least 16 times, and $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \alpha \theta'$ $\dot{\nu} \mu$. π . only once, there would seem to be a distinction; the latter (karà distributive) probably

denoting the faith of the community viewed objectively, 'the faith which is among you,' the former the subjective faith of individuals: see Harless and Stier in loc., and comp. John viii. 17, τῷ νόμφ τῷ ὑμετέρφ (addressed to Pharisees), with Acts aviii. 15, vouo0 τοῦ καθ' ὑμᾶς (in reference to Jews in Achaia), which seem to convey a parallel distinction, and at any rate to invert the supposition of Eadie, that ή καθ' ὑμ. π. denotes more distinctive characteristic possession than the former. έν τῷ Κυρίφ] 'in the Lord;' definition of the holy sphere and object of the $\pi l\sigma \tau \iota s$, the omission of the article giving a more complete unity to the conception, as it were, 'Christ-centered faith,' 'fidem erga Deum in Domino Jesu,' Beng.; see notes on Gal. iii. 26. It is instructive to compare with this the subsequent clause, την άγάπην την κ. τ. λ., where the second article [Lachm. omits with AB; 17 al.] seems inserted to convey two momenta of thought, love generally, further defined by that amplitude (οὐ τοὺς ἐπιχωρίους φησὶ μόνον, Chrys.) which is its true Christian characteristic; see Fritz. Rom. iii. 25, Vol. I. p. 195. As a general rule it may be observed, that when the defining prepositional clause is so incorporated with (e. g. ch. ii. 11), appended to (Col. iv. 7), or, as here, structurally assimilated (#10715, #1στεύω, έν, comp. ch. iii. 13, Rom. vi. 4) with the subst. it defines as to form only a single conception, the article is correctly omitted; see Harless in loc., and Winer, Gr. § 20. 2, p. 123. είς πάντας τούς dylous 'towards all the Saints;' objects towards whom the love was directed; 'omnes character Christianismi,'

ύμων, μνείαν ύμων ποιούμενος επί των προσευχών μου, 17 ωα ο Θεός τοῦ Κυρίου ήμων Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ὁ πατηρ

16. μνείων ὑμῶν ποιούμενος] So Tisch. with D³EKL (FG, Boern., transpose ὑμῶν and ποιούμ.) great majority of mss.; Sangerm., Aug., Vulg., Syr. (both), Copt., al.; Chrys., Theod., Dam., al. (Rec., Griesb., De W. e sil., Alf., Wordsw.). The omission of ὑμῶν is strongly supported by external evidence, viz. ABD¹N (not C, Eadie; this is one of its lacunæ); about 10 mss; Clarom., Goth.; Hil. (Rūck., Lachm., Mey., approved by Mill, Prolegom. p. 144?), but is perhaps slightly less probable; esp. as an omission of ὑμῶν owing to the preceding ὑμῶν is more likely than an explanatory insertion, where the meaning is so obvious, and as 1 Thess. i. 2 (where ABN similarly omit ὑμῶν) is appy. an instructive parallel.

Bengel: comp. ch. vi. 18, Philem. 5. On the meaning of aylovs, see notes on ch. i. 1.

16. οὐ παύομαι εὐχαριστών] 'Icease not giving thanks.' In this simple and well-known formula the participle points to a state supposed to be already in existence: see Winer, Gr. § 45. 4, p. 308 sq., Scheuerl. Synt. § 45. 5, p. 481. In many verbs (e. g. alσχύνομαι, Luke xvi. 3) this distinction between part. and inf. may be made palpable; in others, as in the present case, the verb is such as rarely to admit any other idiomatic structure: see Herm. Viger, No. 218; Donalds. Gr. § 591; and for a good paper on the general distinction between these uses of the participle and of the infin., Weller, Bemerk. z. Gr. μνείαν ύμων ποιούμ.] Synt. 'making mention of you;' limitation, or rather specification of the further direction of the εὐχαριστία, comp. 1 Thess. i. 2, Philem. 4, and see notes in locc. έπι τών προσευχών μου] 'in my prayers,' 'in orationibus meis,' Vulg., Clarom., Goth.; ἐπὶ here being not simply and crudely temporal, 'at the time of my prayers' (Eadie), but retaining also that shade of local resee of which even the more distemporal examples are not

wholly divested: see Bernhardy, Synt. v. 23. a, p. 246, and notes on 1 Thess. i. 2. The prep. thus serves to express the concurrent circumstances and relations, in which and under which an event took place; see Winer, Gr. § 47. g, p. 336.

17. (va & Θεός κ. τ. λ.) 'that the God &c.; subject of the prayer blended with the purpose of making it. The exact meaning of this particle both here and in similar passages requires a brief notice. The uses of Iva in the N. T. appear to be three: (1) Final, indicative of the end, purpose, or object of the action,-the primary and principal meaning, and never to be given up except on the most distinct counter-arguments: (2) Sub-final, -occasional force, especially after verbs of entreaty (not of command), the subject of the prayer being blended with, and even in some cases obscuring the purpose of making it; see esp. Winer, Gr. § 44. 8, p. 299, and notes on Phil. i. 9: (3) Eventual, or indicative of result,-appy. in a few cases, and due perhaps more to what is called 'Hebrew teleology' (i. e. the reverential aspect under which the Jews regarded prophecy and its fulfilment) than grammatical depravation; compare Winer, Gr. § 53. 6, p. 406 sq. After

της δόξης, δώη υμίν Πνευμα σοφίας και αποκαλύψεως, εν επιγνώσει αυτού, πεφωτισμένους τους όφθαλμους της 18

maturely weighing the evidence adduced by Winer and others, few perhaps will hesitate to characterize Fritzsche's and Meyer's strenuous denial of (2) and (3) as perverse, and the criticism of Eadie, who admitting (3), denies (2) after verbs of entreaty, as somewhat illogical. In the present case, independent of the parallelism afforded by numerous similar passages (ch. iii. 16, Phil. i. 9, Col. i. 9, iv. 3, 1 Thess. iv. 1, 2 Thess. i. .II), the presence of the opt. δψη after the pres. (hoped for, dependent realization, Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 622, Bernhardy, Synt. XI. 11, p. 407) inclines us distinctly to this sub-final or secondary telic use; comp. Winer, § 41. 1. obs. p. 260. On the late and incorrect form δώη for δοίη, see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 345, and Sturz, de Dial. Maced, p. 52. ό Θεός τοῦ Kυρίου ήμ.] 'the God of our Lord;' see John xx. 17, Metth. xxvii. 46. 'Deus ejus est quia ex eo natus in Deum est,' Hilar. de Trin. IV. 35, p. 96. The somewhat contorted explanations of this and the following clause cited by Suicer (Thes. Vol. I. p. 944) may be dispensed with if this only be observed, that 'the word God was never looked upon as a word of office or dominion, but of nature and substance,' Waterland, Sec. Def., Qu. II. Vol. 11. p. 399. The admirably perspicuous distinctions of the same author, in Answer to Pref. Vol. II. p. 415, deserve perusal.

o marrip rijs 86fns] 'the Father of glory;' comp. Psalm xxviii. 3, Acts vii. 2, 1 Cor. ii. 8, Heb. ix. 5; gen. of the characteristic quality: see Scheuerl. Synt. § 16. 3, p. 115, Winer, Gr. § 34. 2. b, p. 211. It is singular that a mere adjectival resolution

(Rückert), or a poetical and less usual meaning of warhe (sc. 'auctor,' Job xxxviii. 28, probably James i. 17, and perhaps Heb. xii. 9, but see context; not 2 Cor. i. 3 [Eadie], see De W., and Mey.) should so generally have been adopted instead of this simple and grammatical explanation. The use of πατήρ was probably suggested by the foregoing mention of our Lord, while the qualifying gen. δόξηs serves appropriately to carry on the reference to the eternal glory of God which pervades the whole of the first paragraph. The reference then of dofa to the glorified humanity (Stier), or to the divine nature of Christ (Athan., Greg.-Naz., see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 944), is by no means necessary.

Πνεθμα σοφίας κ.τ.λ.] 'the Spirit of wisdom and revelation;' the characterizing genitives denoting the special forms and peculiar manifestations in which the Apostle prayed for the gift of the Spirit to his converts; compare 2 Cor. iv. 13, 2 Tim. i. 7, see notes on Gal. vi. 1, and on the omission of the article with IIveûµa, notes on Gal. v. 5. The favourite subjective and objective distinctions of Harl., viz. that σοφ. is the subjective state, droxda. the objective medium, are not necessary, nor even, as the order (state before means, not vice versd) suggests, logically satisfactory; σοφία is simply the general gift of illumination, dποκάλ. the more special gift of insight into the divine mysteries: see further remarks in notes on 2 Tim. i. 7.

to επιγνώσει αὐτοῦ] 'in the (full) knowledge of Him,' 'in agnitione [or rather cognitione] ejus,' Vulg., Clarom.; èν not being for els (Grot., Wolf), or διά (Beza), but, as usual,

καρδίας ύμων, είς το είδέναι ύμας τίς έστιν ή έλπις της

marking the sphere or element in which the action takes place; the knowledge of God (not Christ, Calv., who is not referred to before ver. 20) was to be the sphere, the circumambient element in which they were to receive wisdom and revelation; comp. 2 Pet. i. 2, and see esp. Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 345. 'Εν ἐπιγν. thus belongs to the whole preceding clause, not specially to drokal, still less to what follows (Chrys., Lachm., al.), both of which connexions would interfere with the parallelism of ver. 15 and 16; $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \kappa \cdot \tau$. λ. corresponding to $\pi \epsilon \phi \omega \tau$. κ.τ.λ., and έν έπιγν. to els τὸ elδé-The eml in emlyνωσις val. may be either additive (Eadie), in ref. to the increments of knowledge continually received, or more probably, simply intensive, scil. 'cognitio accurata et certa,' Bretschn., erkenntniss; comp. 1 Cor. xiii. 12, see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. ἐπί, iv. c. 5, and Delitzsch. on Heb. x. 26.

18. πεφωτισμένους τούς όφθαλμούς κ.τ.λ.] 'having the eyes of your heart enlightened.' Three constructions are here possible: (a) Accus. absolute, πεφωτισμένουs agreeing with δφθαλμούs, Peile, Eadie: (b) Accusatival clause after δψη, και being omitted to give the clause an emphatically appositional aspect; see Harless and Stier: (c) Lax construction of part.; πεφωτ. referring to $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}$, and τ oùs $\dot{\delta}\phi\theta$ a $\lambda\mu$ oùs being accus. of limiting reference; Winer, Gr. § 32. 5. 6, p. 205, Madvig, Synt. § 31; comp. Hartung, Casus, p. 62. Of these (a) is grammatically doubtful, for though such accusatives undoubtedly do exist, esp. in later writers (see Wannowski's elaborate treatise de Construct. Abs. IV. 5, p. 146 sq), still they far more generally admit of an explanation from the

context; see Winer, § 32. 7, p. 206, comp. Bernh. Synt. 111, 30, p. 133. Again (b) is somewhat doubtful grammatically, on account of the article (see Beng.), and certainly exegetically unsatisfactory, 'enlightened eyes' rather defining the effect of the Spirit than forming any sort of apposition to it; see Meyer in loc. In (c) the connexion of the accusatives is less simple, but the other syntactic difficulties are but slight, as a permutation of case, esp. in participial clauses, is not uncommon in the N.T. (e.g. Acts xv. 22; Winer, § 63. I. I, p. 500), nor without distinct parallel in classical Greek: see exx. in Wannowski, IV. 6, p. 169 sq., Jelf, Gr. § 711. This then seems the most probable constr.: $\pi\epsilon\phi\omega\tau$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. serves to define the result of the gift of the Spirit (comp. Phil. iii. 21 [not Rec.], 1 Thess. iii. 13; Winer, Gr. § 66. 3, p. 549 sq.), and owing to the subsequent inf. (els 7ò elδέναι) which expresses the purpose of the illumination, not unnaturally lapses into the accusative. τούς όφθ. της καρδίας υμ.] 'the eyes of your heart;' a somewhat unusual and figurative expression, denoting the inward intelligence of that portion of our immaterial nature (the $\psi v \chi \eta$) of which the καρδία is the imaginary seat; comp. Acta Thom. § 28, τοὺς τῆς ψυ- $\chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \dot{v} s$, and see esp. Beck, Seelenl. III. 24. 3, p. 94 sq., and notes on I Tim. i. 5. On the use and meaning of φωτίζεω here, 'to illuminate with the brightness of inner light,' see esp. Harl. in loc., and contrast Eph. iii. 9, where, as the context shows, the illumination is somewhat less inward and vital; comp. Beck, Seelenl. II. 13. The reading of Rec., $\delta\phi\theta$. $\tau\hat{\eta}$ s $\delta\iota$ avolas, has only the support of some cursive mss.; Theod., Œcum.

κλήσεως αὐτοῦ, καὶ τίς ὁ πλοῦτος τῆς δόξης τῆς κληρονομίας αὐτοῦ ἐν τοῖς άγίοις, καὶ τί τὸ ὑπερβάλλον 19

Tis] 'what.' There appears no reason to adopt in this verse either a qualitative ('cujusnam naturæ,' Wahl, Harl.), or, what is appy. more questionable, a quantitative ($\pi o \tau \alpha \pi \eta$, πόση Holzh., Stier) translation; the ordinary meaning 'what' ('quæ... spes,' Vulg.) is fully sufficient, and includes all more special interpretations. The articles with έλπὶs and πλοῦτος only serve to point them out as wellknown and recognised, and as indirectly alluded to throughout the preceding paragraph: comp. Bernhardy, Synt. VI. 27, p. 324, Stallb. Plato, Crit. 43 C. ή έλπις κ. τ.λ.], 'the hope of His calling,' i.e. the hope which the calling works in the heart; κλήσεωs being the gen. of the causa efficiens, Scheuerl. Synt. § 17, p. 125. *Eλπίs is thus not objective, τὸ ἐλπιζόperor (Olsh., Eadie), a meaning scarcely fully substantiated even in Col. i. 5 (comp. notes in loc.), and here certainly unnecessary, but as usual subjective; έπλ ποίαις έλπίσι κεκλήμεθα παρ' αὐτοῦ· Theod. Like πίστις, it is probably occasionally used in an objective aspect ('objectivirt'), as 'the grounds, the state of hope,' but just as wloves is not used in the N.T. for 'religio Christiana' (see on Gal. i. 23), so it is very doubtful whether έλπίς ever fully amounts to 'res separata,' as asserted by Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. τίς ὁ πλοῦτος Vol. 1. p. 1095. κ.τ.λ.] 'what the riches of the glory of His inheritance; a noble accumulation of (possessive) genitives, setting forth the κληρονομία on the side of its glory, and that glory on the side of its All adjectival solutions, it riches. need scarcely be said, are wholly inadmissible; see notes on ver. 6, and Winer, Gr. § 30. 3. 1, p. 171 sq.

The prefixed kal is omitted by Lachm. with ABD¹FGN¹; 59; Clarom., Sangerm., Amit., Goth., al., but perhaps rightly retained by Tisch., Mey., al., with D3EKLN4; nearly all mss.; Copt., Syr. (both), Vulg., al.; Orig. cat., Chrys., Theod.; as the kal in the third member (ver. 19) might have so easily suggested an omission in the to tois dylous] 'among the saints;' a semilocal clause appended to τίς (ἐστω) ὁ πλοῦτος $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, defining the sphere (the whole community of the faithful, comp. Acts xx. 32, xxvi. 18) in which the πλοῦτος τής δόξ. τής κληρ. is peculiarly found, felt, and realized: comp. Col. i. 27, and see Meyer, h. l. Harless connects έν τοῖς ἀγίοις with κληρον. αὐτοῦ, an interpretation exegetically tenable (see Stier in loc. p. 161 sq.), but, on account of the omission of the article, by no means so grammatically admissible, even in Hellenistic Greek. as the somewhat sweeping language of Alf. in loc. would lead us to conclude. For as the former clause contains a defined and self-subsistent idea (not merely κληρον. ἐν κ.τ.λ. Job xlii. 15, dc., but κληρον. αὐτοῦ, sc. Θεοῦ, s. very distinct expression), the latter cannot easily be regarded as supplemental, and thus, as legitimately anarthrous; see notes on ver. 15. however ev rois ay. be immediately connected with the unexpressed earl, the omission of the article will be less sensibly felt (comp. Winer, Gr. § 19. 2. b, p. 114), and the harmony in the three clauses fully preserved: the first, $\ell \lambda \pi is \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. being stated generally; the second, $\pi\lambda o \hat{v} \tau o s \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. more nearly specialized by ev rois ay., the sphere in which it is found; the third, 7à $\dot{v}_{\pi} \epsilon \rho \beta d\lambda \lambda o \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. by els $\dot{\eta} \mu ds$, the μέγεθος της δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ εἰς ήμᾶς τοὺς πιστεύοντας 20 κατὰ την ενέργειαν τοῦ κράτους της ἰσχύος αὐτοῦ, ην

living objects towards whom it is and will be exercised.

19. και τί τὸ ὑπερβ. κ.τ.λ.] 'and what (is) the exceeding greatness of His power;' specification of that by which hope becomes quickened and realized; όση τίς περίεσται κτήσις άγαθων τοίς τοῦ Θεοῦ άγίοις ἐπὶ τοῦ μέλλοντος alŵvos Theod.-Mops. Chrvs., Theoph., and Œcum. refer this clause simply to the present life. doubtful, as the foregoing expressions έλπls and κληρονομία (ch. v. 5, comp. I Cor. vi. 9, Gal. v. 21), and the reference in the following verse, seem to point primarily to the power of God which shall hereafter quicken us even as it did Christ, and shall install us in our inheritance as it enthroned Him on the right hand of God. There is thus a kind of climax,-the hope which the calling awakens,-the exhaustless and inexpressible glory (Chrys.) of that inheritance to which hope is directed,the limitless power that shall bestow it. Still the individualizing els ημαs seems to show that a secondary reference to the present quickening power in the hearts of believers (ch. ii. 1, 5) is by no means to be excluded.

els ήμας τοὺς πιστ.] 'to us-ward who are believing;' objects towards whom the exceeding greatness of the power is displayed: the els ἡμας not being dependent on τῆς δυνάμ. αὐτοῦ (Harl., citing 2 Cor. xiii. 4, where however els ὑμας is most probably to be joined with ζήσομεν, see Mey. in loc.), but, as in the preceding member, on τί (ἐστί); and els having its regular and primary sense of ethical direction, admirably expressed by 'to us-ward,' A. V. from Tynd.; comp. Winer, Gr. § 49. a. c. δ, p. 353. The second and third clauses, τίς ὁ πλοῦτος κ.τ.λ. and τί τὸ ὑπερβ.

 $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, are thus perfectly symmetrical, the substantival sub-clauses forming a parallelism to each other, and the prepositional sub-clause εls ἡμάs being structurally parallel to the preceding έν τοιs άγίοιs, while at the same time it prepares us for the latent apposition suggested by the $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ X ρ . which follows; see Stier in loc., p. 155. την ένέργειαν does not refer to all three clauses (Harl.), but, as the correspondence of ideas and language distinctly suggests, to that immediately preceding; not however especially to πιστεύοντας (Rück.), for such a connexion, though doctrinally unexceptionable (see Col. ii. 12), is exegetically unsatisfactory from its interpolation of an unlooked-for idea, viz. the origin and antecedents of faith. The reference then is simply to the whole clause, not however as an explanation (Chrys.) or amplification (Calv.) of this power, but, in accordance with the full ethical force of kard ('measure,' 'proportion,' Bernhardy, Synt. V. 20. b, p. 239), as a definition of its mode of operation (Eadie), a mighty measure, a stupendous exemplar by which its infinite powers towards the believing, in its future, yea, and its present manifestations, might be felt, acknowledged, estimated, and realized; comp. Ignat. Trall. 9, where however the δμοίωμα of the έγερσις is more alluded to than in the present passage. As the meaning of κατά here falls short of 'propter' (comp. Griesb. Opuscula, II. 5), so it certainly transcends that of mere similitude. τοῦ κράτους της ίσχύος αὐτοῦ] 'the strength of His might,' 'robur potentiæ,' Æth., scil. the strength which appertains to, is evinced by His toxus: neither a Hebraism (Holzh.), nor a

ενήργησεν εν τῷ Χριστῷ, εγείρας αὐτὸν εκ νεκρῶν, καὶ εκάθισεν εν δεξιᾳ αὐτοῦ εν τοῖς επουρανίοις ὑπεράνω 21

20. ἐκάθισεν] So Rec., Tisch., with DEFGKL; most mss.; Clarom., Boern., Goth., Copt., Syr.; Chrys., Theodoret. καθίσας (Lachm.) has the support of ABN; about 14 mss.; Aug., Vulg.; Eus., Cyr.: αὐτὸν is added by AN; 4 mss.; Eus., Procop.

mere cumulative form of expression (Küttn.), but a specification of the outcoming and exhibition of that power which is the divine attribute; see ch. vi. 10, Dan. iv. 27. Each word has thus its distinct and proper force: $l\sigma\chi vs$, as its derivation ($l\sigma\chi\omega$, έχω) implies, refers rather to passive inherent power, Mark xii. 30; κράτος (KPA, KAP, cogn. with κάρα, comp. Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. II. 178) to power evinced in action; see Luke i. 51. The striking force of the expressions here used to specify this 'eminent act of God's omnipotency' is well illustrated by Pearson, Creed, Art. v. Vol. II. p. 222 (ed. Burt.).

20. Av evhoynger 'which He wrought,' scil. ήν ἐνέργειαν, which act of omnipotence God, as the principal cause (see Pearson, Creed, Art. v. Vol. I. p. 301, ed. Burt.), displayed in Christ, and in Him in us ('innuit efficaciam Dei in credentibus,' Cocc.) who share the humanity he vouchsafed to take, and are spiritually risen with our risen Lord; see Stier in loc. p. 172. The reading ἐνήργηκεν (AB; Cyr., Procop.) is adopted by Lachm., Mey.; but appy. on insufficient evidence. έν τῷ Χριστῷ] 'in Christ,' in Him as our spiritual Head; èv here being no mere 'nota dativi,' a construction now exploded in the N. T. (see Winer, Gr. § 31. 8, p. 195), but correctly indicating the substratum of the action; see notes on Gal. i. 24. It is scarcely necessary to recapitulate the caution of Theodoret and Theophyl., δηλον δέ

ότι ταθτα πάντα ώς περί ανθρώπου τέθεικε (Theod.), τὸ γὰρ ἀναστὰν ἄνθρωπος, εί και Θεώ ήνωτο (Theophyl.). In this passage, Phil. ii. 6-11, and Col. i. 14-19, as Olsh. well observes, we find the entire Christology of St eyelpas] 'when He Paul. raised Him,' Auth. or perhaps better 'in that He raised Him,' Arm.; contemporaneous act with ενήργησεν, see notes on yrwploas, ver. 9. και ἐκάθισεν] 'and He set Him;' change from the participial structure to the finite verb, especially designed to enhance the importance of the truth conveyed by the participle; see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 63. 2. b, p. 505 sq. The distinctive and emphatic mention of the consequent and connected acts heightens the conception of the al-

mighty ἐνέργεια of God (Father, Son, and Spirit: Pearson on Creed, Art. v. Vol. 1. p. 302), displayed in the resurrection of Christ from the dead. On the session of Christ at the right hand of God, see Knapp, Scripta Var. Argum. Art. 11.; let these words of Bp. Pearson's however never be forgotten, 'He shall reign for ever and ever, not only to the modificated eternity of His mediatorship, but also to the complete eternity of the duration of His humanity, which for the future is coeternal to His Divinity:' Art. vI. Vol. I. p. 335. de tole emoupavious] 'in the heavenly places'

Eth.; see notes on ver. 3. It is scarcely possible to doubt that these

ύμων, μνείαν ύμων ποιούμενος επί των προσευχων μου, 17 ίνα ο Θεός του Κυρίου ήμων Ίησου Χριστου, ο πατήρ

16. μνείαν ὑμῶν ποιούμενος] So Tisch. with D³EKL (FG, Boern., transpose ὑμῶν and ποιούμ.) great majority of mss.; Sangerm., Aug., Vulg., Syr. (both), Copt., al.; Chrys., Theod., Dam., al. (Rec., Griesb., De W. e sil., Alf., Wordsw.). The omission of ὑμῶν is strongly supported by external evidence, viz. ABD¹ℵ (not C, Eadie; this is one of its lacunæ); about 10 mss; Clarom., Goth.; Hil. (Rück., Lachm., Mey., approved by Mill, Prolegom. p. 144?), but is perhaps slightly less probable; esp. as an omission of ὑμῶν owing to the preceding ὑμῶν is more likely than an explanatory insertion, where the meaning is so obvious, and as 1 Thess. i. 2 (where ABN similarly omit ὑμῶν) is appy. an instructive parallel.

Bengel: comp. ch. vi. 18, Philem. 5. On the meaning of àylous, see notes on ch. i. 1.

16. οὐ παύομαι εὐχαριστών] 'Icease not giving thanks.' In this simple and well-known formula the participle points to a state supposed to be already in existence: see Winer, Gr. § 45. 4, p. 308 sq., Scheuerl. Synt. § 45. 5, p. 48t. In many verbs (e. g. alσχύνομαι, Luke xvi. 3) this distinction between part. and inf. may be made palpable; in others, as in the present case, the verb is such as rarely to admit any other idiomatic structure: see Herm. Viger, No. 218; Donalds. Gr. § 591; and for a good paper on the general distinction between these uses of the participle and of the infin., Weller, Bemerk. z. Gr. μνείαν ύμων ποιούμ.] 'making mention of you;' limitation. or rather specification of the further direction of the εὐχαριστία, comp. 1 Thess. i. 2, Philem. 4, and see notes in locc. έπι τών προσευχών μου] 'in my prayers,' 'in orationibus meis,' Vulg., Clarom., Goth.; ent here being not simply and crudely temporal, 'at the time of my prayers' (Eadie), but retaining also that shade of local reference of which even the more distinctly temporal examples are not

wholly divested: see Bernhardy, Synt. v. 23. a, p. 246, and notes on 1 Thess. i. 2. The prep. thus serves to express the concurrent circumstances and relations, in which and under which an event took place; see Winer, Gr. § 47. g, p. 336.

17. (va. δ Θεός κ. τ. λ.) 'that the God &c.; subject of the prayer blended with the purpose of making it. The exact meaning of this particle both here and in similar passages requires a brief notice. The uses of wa in the N. T. appear to be three: (1) Final, indicative of the end, purpose, or object of the action,-the primary and principal meaning, and never to be given up except on the most distinct counter-arguments: (2) Sub-final, -occasional force, especially after verbs of entreaty (not of command), the subject of the prayer being blended with, and even in some cases obscuring the purpose of making it; see esp. Winer, Gr. § 44. 8, p. 299, and notes on Phil. i. 9: (3) Eventual, or indicative of result, - appy. in a few cases, and due perhaps more to what is called 'Hebrew teleology' (i. e. the reverential aspect under which the Jews regarded prophecy and its fulfilment) than grammatical depravation; compare Winer, *Gr.* § 53. 6, p. 406 sq. After

της δόξης, δώη υμίν Ηνευμα σοφίας και αποκαλύνρεως, εν επιγνώσει αὐτοῦ, πεφωτισμένους τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς τῆς 18

maturely weighing the evidence adduced by Winer and others, few perhaps will hesitate to characterize Fritzsche's and Meyer's strenuous denial of (2) and (3) as perverse, and the criticism of Eadie, who admitting (3), denies (2) after verbs of entreaty, as somewhat illogical. In the present case, independent of the parallelism afforded by numerous similar passages (ch. iii. 16, Phil. i. o. Col. i. 9, iv. 3, 1 Thess. iv. 1, 2 Thess. i. ιι), the presence of the opt. δψη after the pres. (hoped for, dependent realization, Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 622, Bernhardy, Synt. XI. 11, p. 407) inclines us distinctly to this sub-final or secondary telic use; comp. Winer, § 41. 1. obs. p. 260. On the late and incorrect form δψη for δοίη, see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 345, and Sturz, de ό Θεός τοῦ Dial. Maced. p. 52. Κυρίου ήμ.] 'the God of our Lord;' see John xx. 17, Matth. xxvii. 46. 'Deus ejus est quia ex eo natus in Deum est,' Hilar. de Trin. IV. 35, p. 96. The somewhat contorted explanations of this and the following clause cited by Suicer (Thes. Vol. 1. p. 944) may be dispensed with if this only be observed, that 'the word God was never looked upon as a word of office or dominion, but of nature and substance,' Waterland, Sec. Def., Qu. II. Vol. 11. p. 399. The admirably perspicuous distinctions of the same author, in Answer to Pref. Vol. II. p. 415, deserve perusal.

• πατήρ τῆς δόξης] 'the Father of glory;' comp. Psalm xxviii. 3, Acts vii. 2, I Cor. ii. 8, Heb. ix. 5; gen. of the characteristic quality: see Scheuerl. Synt. § 16. 3, p. 115, Winer, Gr. § 34. 2. b, p. 211. It is singular that a mere adjectival resolution

(Rückert), or a poetical and less usual meaning of πατηρ (sc. 'auctor,' Job xxxviii. 28, probably James i. 17, and perhaps Heb. xii. 9, but see context; not 2 Cor. i. 3 [Eadie], see De W., and Mey.) should so generally have been adopted instead of this simple and grammatical explanation. The use of $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ was probably suggested by the foregoing mention of our Lord, while the qualifying gen. dofns serves appropriately to carry on the reference to the eternal glory of God which pervades the whole of the first paragraph. The reference then of δόξα to the glorified humanity (Stier), or to the divine nature of Christ (Athan., Greg.-Naz., see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 944), is by no means necessary.

Πνεθμα σοφίας κ.τ.λ.] 'the Spirit of wisdom and revelation;' the characterizing genitives denoting the special forms and peculiar manifestations in which the Apostle prayed for the gift of the Spirit to his converts; compare 2 Cor. iv. 13, 2 Tim. i. 7, see notes on Gal. vi. 1, and on the omission of the article with Πνεθμα, notes on Gal. v. 5. The favourite subjective and objective distinctions of Harl., viz. that σοφ. is the subjective state, ἀποκάλ. the objective medium, are not necessary, nor even, as the order (state before means, not vice versa) suggests, logically satisfactory; σοφία is simply the general gift of illumination, ἀποκάλ. the more special gift of insight into the divine mysteries: see further remarks in notes on 2 Tim. i. 7.

iv in the (full) knowledge of Him,' in agnitione [or rather cognitione] ejus,' Vulg., Clarom.; iv not being for els (Grot., Wolf), or διά (Beza), but, as usual,

καρδίας ύμων, είς το είδεναι ύμας τίς εστιν ή έλπις της

marking the sphere or element in which the action takes place; the knowledge of God (not Christ, Calv., who is not referred to before ver. 20) was to be the sphere, the circumambient element in which they were to receive wisdom and revelation; comp. 2 Pet. i. 2, and see esp. Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 345. Έν ἐπιγν. thus belongs to the whole preceding clause, not specially to ἀποκαλ., still less to what follows (Chrys., Lachm., al.), both of which connexions would interfere with the parallelism of ver. 15 and 16; $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \kappa \cdot \tau$. λ. corresponding to $\pi \epsilon \phi \omega \tau$. κ.τ.λ., and έν έπιγν. to els τὸ elδέ-The eml in emlyνωσις Pal. may be either additive (Eadie), in ref. to the increments of knowledge continually received, or more probably, simply intensive, scil. 'cognitio accurata et certa,' Bretschn., erkenntniss; comp. 1 Cor. xiii. 12, see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. $\epsilon \pi l$, iv. c. 5, and Delitzsch. on Heb. x. 26.

18. πεφωτισμένους τούς όφθαλμούς κ.τ.λ.] 'having the eyes of your heart enlightened.' Three constructions are here possible: (a) Accus. absolute, πεφωτισμένους agreeing with όφθαλμούs, Peile, Eadie: (b) Accusatival clause after δψη, και being omitted to give the clause an emphatically appositional aspect; see Harless and Stier: (c) Lax construction of part.; πεφωτ. referring to ὑμῶν, and τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς being accus. of limiting reference; Winer, Gr. § 32. 5. 6, p. 205, Madvig, Synt. § 31; comp. Hartung, Casus, p. 62. Of these (a) is grammatically doubtful, for though such accusatives undoubtedly do exist, esp. in later writers (see Wannowski's elaborate treatise de Construct. Abs. IV. 5, p. 146 sq), still they far more generally admit of an explanation from the

context; see Winer, § 32. 7, p. 206, comp. Bernh. Synt. 111. 30, p. 133. Again (b) is somewhat doubtful grammatically, on account of the article (see Beng.), and certainly exegetically unsatisfactory, 'enlightened eyes' rather defining the effect of the Spirit than forming any sort of apposition to it; see Meyer in loc. In (c) the connexion of the accusatives is less simple, but the other syntactic difficulties are but slight, as a permutation of case, esp. in participial clauses, is not uncommon in the N.T. (e.g. Acts xv. 22; Winer, § 63. I. I, p. 500), nor without distinct parallel in classical Greek: see exx. in Wannowski, IV. 6, p. 169 sq., Jelf, Gr. § 711. This then seems the most probable constr.: $\pi\epsilon\phi\omega\tau$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. serves to define the result of the gift of the Spirit (comp. Phil. iii. 21 [not Rec.], I Thess. iii. 13; Winer, Gr. § 66. 3, p. 549 sq.), and owing to the subsequent inf. (els $\tau \delta$ elδέναι) which expresses the purpose of the illumination, not unnaturally lapses into the accusative. τούς όφθ. της καρδίας υμ.] 'the eyes of your heart;' a somewhat unusual and figurative expression, denoting the inward intelligence of that portion of our immaterial nature (the $\psi v \chi \eta$) of which the καρδία is the imaginary seat; comp. Acta Thom. § 28, τούς της ψυ- $\chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \psi s$, and see esp. Beck, Seelenl. III. 24. 3, p. 94 sq., and notes on 1 Tim. i. 5. On the use and meaning of φωτίζεω here, 'to illuminate with the brightness of inner light,' see esp. Harl. in loc., and contrast Eph. iii. 9, where, as the context shows, the illumination is somewhat less inward and vital; comp. Beck, Seelenl. 11. 13. The reading of Rec., 2, p. 37. $\delta\phi\theta$. $\tau\eta$ s diavolas, has only the support of some cursive mss.; Theod., Œcum.

κλήσεως αὐτοῦ, καὶ τίς ὁ πλοῦτος τῆς δόξης τῆς κληρονομίας αὐτοῦ ἐν τοῖς άγίοις, καὶ τί τὸ ὑπερβάλλον 19

Tis] 'what.' There appears al. no reason to adopt in this verse either a qualitative ('cujusnam naturæ,' Wahl, Harl.), or, what is appy. more questionable, a quantitative $(\pi o \tau \alpha \pi \eta,$ πόση Holzh., Stier) translation; the ordinary meaning 'what' ('que... spes,' Vulg.) is fully sufficient, and includes all more special interpretations. The articles with έλπλε and πλούτος only serve to point them out as wellknown and recognised, and as indirectly alluded to throughout the preceding paragraph: comp. Bernhardy, Synt. VI. 27, p. 324, Stallb. Plato, Crit. ή έλπιε κ. τ.λ.], 'the hope 43 C. of His calling,' i.e. the hope which the calling works in the heart; κλήσεωs being the gen. of the causa efficiens, Scheuerl. Synt. § 17, p. 125. Eλπis is thus not objective, τὸ ἐλπιζόμενον (Olsh., Eadie), a meaning scarcely fully substantiated even in Col. i. 5 (comp. notes in loc.), and here certainly unnecessary, but as usual subjective; έπι ποίαις έλπίσι κεκλήμεθα παρ' αὐτοῦ· Theod. Like πίστις, it is probably occasionally used in an objective aspect ('objectivirt'), as 'the grounds, the state of hope,' but just as wloves is not used in the N.T. for 'religio Christiana' (see on Gal. i. 23), so it is very doubtful whether έλπίς ever fully amounts to 'res separata,' as asserted by Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. 1. p. 1095. τίς ὁ πλούτος κ.τ.λ.] 'what the riches of the glory of His inheritance;' a noble accumulation of (possessive) genitives, setting forth the κληρονομία on the side of its glory, and that glory on the side of its riches. All adjectival solutions, it need scarcely be said, are wholly inadmissible; see notes on ver. 6, and Winer, Gr. § 30. 3. 1, p. 171 sq.

The prefixed kal is omitted by Lachm. with ABD¹FGN¹; 59; Clarom., Sangerm., Amit., Goth., al., but perhaps rightly retained by Tisch., Mey., al., with D3EKLX4; nearly all mss.; Copt., Syr. (both), Vulg., al.; Orig. cat., Chrys., Theod.; as the kal in the third member (ver. 19) might have so easily suggested an omission in the en tois divious] second. 'among the saints;' a semilocal clause appended to τίς (ἐστιν) ὁ πλοῦτος κ . τ . λ ., defining the sphere (the whole community of the faithful, comp. Acts xx. 32, xxvi. 18) in which the πλοῦτος της δόξ. της κληρ. is peculiarly found, felt, and realized: comp. Col. i. 27, and see Meyer, h. l. Harless connects ev rois aylors with khapov. αὐτοῦ, an interpretation exegetically tenable (see Stier in loc. p. 161 sq.). but, on account of the omission of the article, by no means so grammatically admissible, even in Hellenistic Greek, as the somewhat sweeping language of Alf. in loc. would lead us to conclude. For as the former clause contains a defined and self-subsistent idea (not merely κληρον. έν κ.τ.λ. Job xlii. 15, &c., but $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\sigma\nu$. $\alpha\dot{\sigma}\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}$, sc. $\Theta\epsilon\sigma\dot{\nu}$, a very distinct expression), the latter cannot easily be regarded as supplemental, and thus, as legitimately anarthrous; see notes on ver. 15. however iv rois dy. be immediately connected with the unexpressed early the omission of the article will be less sensibly felt (comp. Winer, Gr. § 10. 2. b, p. 114), and the harmony in the three clauses fully preserved: the first, $\delta \lambda \pi ls \ \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$ being stated generally; the second, $\pi \lambda o \hat{v} \tau o s \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$. more nearly specialized by èv τοιs άγ., the sphere in which it is found; the third, 7à \dot{v} $\pi e \rho \beta d \lambda \lambda o \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$ by els $\dot{\eta} \mu as$, the

μέγεθος της δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ εἰς ήμᾶς τοὺς πιστεύοντας 20 κατὰ την ενέργειαν τοῦ κράτους της ἰσχύος αὐτοῦ, ῆν

living objects towards whom it is and will be exercised.

19. και τί τὸ ὑπερβ. κ. τ. λ.] 'and what (is) the exceeding greatness of His power;' specification of that by which hope becomes quickened and realized; δση τις περίεσται κτήσις άγαθων τοίς τοῦ Θεοῦ άγίοις ἐπὶ τοῦ μέλλοντος Chrys., alŵvos Theod.-Mops. Theoph., and Œcum. refer this clause simply to the present life. This is doubtful, as the foregoing expressions έλπις and κληρονομία (ch. v. 5, comp. 1 Cor. vi. 9, Gal. v. 21), and the reference in the following verse, seem to point primarily to the power of God which shall hereafter quicken us even as it did Christ, and shall install us in our inheritance as it enthroned Him on the right hand of God. There is thus a kind of climax,-the hope which the calling awakens,—the exhaustless and inexpressible glory (Chrys.) of that inheritance to which hope is directed,the limitless power that shall bestow it. Still the individualizing els ημας seems to show that a secondary reference to the present quickening power in the hearts of believers (ch. ii. 1, 5) is by no means to be excluded.

els ήμᾶς τοὺς πιστ.] 'to us-ward who are believing;' objects towards whom the exceeding greatness of the power is displayed: the εἰς ἡμᾶς not being dependent on τῆς δυνάμ. αὐτοῦ (Harl., citing 2 Cor. xiii. 4, where however εἰς ὑμᾶς is most probably to be joined with ζήσομεν, see Mey. in loc.), but, as in the preceding member, on τἱ (ἐστὶ); and εἰς having its regular and primary sense of ethical direction, admirably expressed by 'to us-ward,' A. V. from Tynd.; comp. Winer, Gr. § 49. a. c. δ, p. 353. The second and third clauses, τἰς ὁ πλοῦτος κ.τ.λ. and τἱ τὸ ὑπερβ.

 $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, are thus perfectly symmetrical, the substantival sub-clauses forming a parallelism to each other, and the prepositional sub-clause είς ἡμας being structurally parallel to the preceding έν τοῖς ἀγίοις, while at the same time it prepares us for the latent apposition suggested by the $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ X ρ . which follows; see Stier in loc., p. 155. την ἐνέργειαν does not refer to all three clauses (Harl.), but, as the correspondence of ideas and language distinctly suggests, to that immediately preceding; not however especially to πιστεύοντας (Rück.), for such a connexion, though doctrinally unexceptionable (see Col. ii. 12), is exegetically unsatisfactory from its interpolation of an unlooked-for idea, viz. the origin and antecedents of faith. The reference then is simply to the whole clause, not however as an explanation (Chrys.) or amplification (Calv.) of this power, but, in accordance with the full ethical force of κατά ('measure,' 'proportion,' Bernhardy, Synt. v. 20. b, p. 239), as a definition of its mode of operation (Eadie), a mighty measure, a stupendous exemplar by which its infinite powers towards the believing, in its future, yea, and its present manifestations, might be felt, acknowledged, estimated, and realized; comp. Ignat. Trall. 9, where however the ὁμοίωμα of the ἔγερσις is more alluded to than in the present passage. As the meaning of κατά here falls short of 'propter' (comp. Griesb. Opuscula, II. 5), so it certainly transcends that of mere similitude. τοῦ κράτους της Ισχύος αὐτοῦ] 'the strength of His might,' 'robur potentiæ,' Æth., scil. the strength which appertains to, is evinced by His toxus: neither a Hebraism (Holzh.), nor a

ενήργησεν εν τῷ Χριστῷ, εγείρας αὐτὸν εκ νεκρῶν, καὶ εκάθισεν εν δεξιᾳ αὐτοῦ εν τοῖς επουρανίοις ὑπεράνω 21

20. ἐκάθισεν] So Rec., Tisch., with DEFGKL; most mss.; Clarom., Boern., Goth., Copt., Syr.; Chrys., Theodoret. καθίσας (Lachm.) has the support of ABN; about 14 mss.; Aug., Vulg.; Eus., Cyr.: αὐτὸν is added by AN; 4 mss.; Eus., Procop.

mere cumulative form of expression (Küttn.), but a specification of the outcoming and exhibition of that power which is the divine attribute; see ch. vi. 10, Dan. iv. 27. Each word has thus its distinct and proper force: $l\sigma\chi vs$, as its derivation ($l\sigma\chi\omega$, έχω) implies, refers rather to passive inherent power, Mark xii. 30; κράτος (KPA, KAP, cogn. with κάρα, comp. Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. II. 178) to power evinced in action; see Luke i. 51. The striking force of the expressions here used to specify this 'eminent act of God's omnipotency' is well illustrated by Pearson, Creed, Art. v. Vol. II. p. 222 (ed. Burt.).

20. fly evipyyour which He wrought,' scil. ήν ένέργειαν, which act of omnipotence God, as the principal cause (see Pearson, Creed, Art. v. Vol. I. p. 301, ed. Burt.), displayed in Christ, and in Him in us ('innuit efficaciam Dei in credentibus,' Cocc.) who share the humanity he vouchsafed to take, and are spiritually risen with our risen Lord; see Stier in loc. p. 172. The reading ἐνήργηκεν (AB; Cyr., Procop.) is adopted by Lachm., Mey.; but appy. on insufficient eviέν τῷ Χριστῷ] 'in dence. Christ,' in Him as our spiritual Head; èv here being no mere 'nota dativi,' a construction now exploded in the N. T. (see Winer, Gr. § 31. 8, p. 195), but correctly indicating the substratum of the action; see notes on Gal. i. 24. It is scarcely necessary to recapitulate the caution of Theodoret and Theophyl., δήλον δὲ

τέθεικε (Theod.), τὸ γὰρ ἀναστὰν ἄν- $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \sigma$, ϵl $\kappa \alpha l$ $\Theta \epsilon \omega \tilde{\eta} \nu \omega \tau \sigma$ (Theophyl.). In this passage, Phil. ii. 6-11, and Col. i. 14-19, as Olsh. well observes, we find the entire Christology of St Paul. eyelpas] 'when He raised Him,' Auth. or perhaps better 'in that He raised Him,' Arm.; contemporaneous act with ἐνήργησεν, see notes on γνωρίσας, ver. 9. και ἐκάθισεν] 'and He set Him;' change from the participial structure to the finite verb, especially designed to enhance the importance of the truth conveyed by the participle; see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 63. 2. b, p. 505 sq. The distinctive and emphatic mention of the consequent and connected acts heightens the conception of the almighty ἐνέργεια of God (Father, Son, and Spirit: Pearson on Creed, Art. v. Vol. 1. p. 302), displayed in the resurrection of Christ from the dead. On the session of Christ at the right hand of God, see Knapp, Scripta Var. Argum. Art. 11.; let these words of Bp. Pearson's however never be forgotten, 'He shall reign for ever and ever, not only to the modificated eternity of His mediatorship, but also to the complete eternity of the duration of His humanity, which for the future is coeternal to His Divinity:' Art. vi. Vol. I. p. 335. dy TOEs èπουρανίοις] 'in the heavenly places'

ότι ταθτα πάντα ώς περί **άν**θρώπου

emoupavious] 'in the heavenly places'
[in coolo] Syr., Goth.,
Æth.; see notes on ver. 3. It is
scarcely possible to doubt that these

πάσης άρχης καὶ έξουσίας καὶ δυνάμεως καὶ κυριότητος,

words have here a local reference. The distinctly local expressions, endθισεν, εν δεξιά, -the Scripture doctrine of Christ's literal and local ascent (Mark xvi. 19, al.),-His regal session in heaven in His glorified and resplendent Body (Acts vii. 56, ἐστῶτα έκ δεξίων al., see Phil. iii. 30),- His future literal and local judiciary descent (Acts i. II, δν τρόπον έθεάσασθε αὐτὸν πορεύομενον),-all tend to invalidate the vague and idealistic 'status cælestis' urged by Harless in loc. The choice of the more general expression, έν τοῖς ἐπουρ., 'in the heavenly regions' (comp. ch. iv. 10), rather than the more specific èv τοîs ocoarois was perhaps suggested by the nature of the details in ver. 21.

The reading οὐρανοῖς (Lachm. with B; al.; Victorin., Hil.) has weak external support, and seems an almost self-evident gloss.

21. ὑπεράνω] 'over above,' 'supra,' Vulg., Clarom., 'ufaro,' Goth.; not 'longe supra,' Beza, and so Auth., Alf., al.: specification of the nature and extent of the exaltation. intensive force which Chrys. and Theophyl. find in this word, Iva τὸ ἀκρότατον ὕψος δηλώση, and which has recently been adopted by Stier and Eadie, is very doubtful; as is also the assertion (Eadie) that this prevails 'in the majority of passages' in the LXX: see Ezek. i. 26 (Alex.), viii. 2, x. 19, xi. 22, xliii. 15, and even Deut. xxvi. 19, xxviii. 1. Such distinct instances as Ezek. xliii. 15, and in the N.T., Heb. ix. 5, the similarly unemphatic use of the antitheton ὑποκάτω in John i. 51, Luke viii. 16, and the tendencies of Alexandrian and later Greek to form duplicated compounds (see Peyron, ad Pap. Taurin. Vol. 1. p. 89), make it highly probable that ὑπεράνω,

both here and ch. iv. 10, implies little more than simple local elevation. So too Syr. and appy. all the ancient Vv. πάσης dρχής κ.τ.λ.] 'all (every) rule and authority and power and lordship:' no parenthesis, but a fuller explanation of έν τοις έπουρανίοις; see Winer, Gr. § 64. 1. 2, p. 614 (ed. 5). The context and the illustrations afforded by ch. iii. 10, Col. i. 16, and 1 Pet. iii. 22, seem to preclude any mere generic reference to all forms of power and dominion (Olsh.), or any specific reference to the orders of the Jewish hierarchy (Schoettg.), or the grades of authority among men (see ap. Pol. Syn.). The abstract words (δυνάμεών τινων ονόματα ήμων άσημα. Chrys.) seem to be designations of the orders of heavenly Intelligences, and are used by St Paul in preference to any concrete terms (άγγέλων, άρχαγ- $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$) to express with the greatest amplitude and comprehensiveness the sovereign power and majesty of Christ; εί τι ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ, πάντων ἀνώτερος γέγονε Chrys., see Calv. in loc. As this verse relates to Christ's exaltation in heaven rather than His victory over the powers of hell (I Cor. xv. 24, comp. Rom. viii. 38), reference is probably made exclusively to good Angels and Intelligences, 1 Tim. v. 21. Any attempt to define more closely (see authors cited in Hagenbach, Hist. of Doctr. § 131, Petavius, de Angelis, 11. 1, Vol. III. p. 101 sq.) is alike presumptuous and precarious: see the excellent remarks of Bp. Hall, Invisible World, Book I. § 7. On the nature of Angels, consult the able treatise by Twesten, Dogmatik, Vol. II. esp. § I. 4, the essay by Stuart, Bibliotheca Sacra for 1843, pp. 88-154, Ebrard, Dogmatik, § 228 sq.

καὶ παντὸς ὀνόματος ὀνομαζομένου οὐ μόνον ἐν τῷ αἰῶνι τούτω ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐν τῷ μέλλοντι, καὶ πάντα 22

Vol. I. p. 276, and the remarks of Lange, Leb. Jes. Part II. p. 41 sq. και παντός δνόματος] 'and, in a word, every name named;' concluding and comprehensive designation; kal having here that species of adjunctive force according to which a general term is appended to foregoing details: see Winer, Gr. § 53. 3, p. 388, notes on Phil. iv. 12, Fritz. Matth. p. 786. Παν δνομα is not 'every title of honour' (Grinf. Scholl. Hell.), a particular explanation to which δνομαζ. (which has always its simple meaning in the N. T., even in Rom. xv. 20, see Fritz.) is distinctly opposed,-nor is it used in reference to Heavenly Powers which are dκατονόμαστοι (Theophyl.),-nor even as a generic representation of the foregoing abstract nouns (Wahl, Harless), -but simply with reference to everything in existence ('quicquid existit,' Beza), personal or impersonal, 'everything bearing a name and admitting designation; comp. Col. i. 16, where a similar latitude is implied by the four times repeated elre, and see notes in ού μόνον κ.τ.λ.] clause appended not to ἐκάθισεν (Beza, Koppe), but to παντός όνόμ. όνομαζ., to which it gives a still further expansion, both in respect of time and locality, i. c. everything named whether now or hereafter, in the present state of things or the world to come; παντός βητοθ και δυομαστοῦ, οὐ μόνον τοῦ ἐνταῦθα δνομαζομένου, άλλά και τοῦ ἐκεῖθεν δυναμένου βηθήναι καὶ δνομασθήναι. Œcum. τῷ αἰῶνι τούτῳ] 'this world,' scil. 'this present state of things,' 'systems rerum,' Beng. With regard to the meaning of alw it may be observed that in all passages where it occurs a temporal notion is more or less apparent. To this, in the majority, an ethical idea is united, so that o alws of ros, as Olsh. has observed, is 'the temporary and terrestrial order of things in which sin predominates' (comp. Gesen. Lex. s. v. עוֹלֵם, B), to which αίων μέλλων (= βασιλεία Θεού), the holy state of things founded by Christ, is the exact contrast; see his Comment. on Matth. xii. 31, 32, and Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 500, 501 (Bohn). In a few passages like the present a semi-local meaning seems also superadded, causing alw to approach in meaning to κόσμος, though it still may be always distinguished from it by the temporal and (commonly) ethical notions which ever form its background; see notes, ch.

22. και πάντα ύπέταξεν κ.τ.λ.] 'and put all things under His feet;' further specification of the majesty of Christ, -not only the highest conceivable exaltation (ver. 21), but the most unbounded sovereignty. strong similarity of the language scarcely leaves a doubt that here and Heb. ii. 8, there is a distinct allusion to Psalm viii. 7, πάντα ὑπέταξας ὑποκάτω τῶν ποδῶν αὐτοῦ· comp. Gen. i, 28. Nor is this due to any 'rabbinischtypischer Interpretationsweise' (Mey.) on the part of St Paul, but to a direct reference under the guidance of the Spirit to a passage in the O. T. which in its primary application to man involves a secondary and more profound application to Christ. In the grant of terrestrial sovereignty the Psalmist saw and felt the antitypical mystery of man's future exaltation in Christ, even more fully than Tholuck and even Hengstenberg in loc. appear to admit. The reference thus seems less to the

ύπεταξεν ύπο τους πόδας αυτοῦ, καὶ αυτον εδωκεν 23 κεφαλην ύπερ πάντα τη εκκλησία, ητις εστίν το

subjugation of foes, as in I Cor. xv. 27 (Hamm., Stier), than to the limitless nature of Christ's sovereignty, which the words $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$ τους κ.τ.λ. ($\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\dot{a}\tau\eta$ ὑποταγή, Chrys.) still more heighten On this and the and enhance. next verse see a sound sermon by Beveridge, in which the three points, Christ's headship over all things, His headship to the Church, and His relation to it as His body, are well discussed; Serm. XXXII. Vol. II. p. 124 8q. (A.-C. Libr.) ξδωκεν is not synonymous with] Τ], ξθηκεν, ξστησεν (Wolf, Holzh., and even Harl.), either here or ch. iv. 11, but (as the dat. ἐκκλησία and the emphatic position of αὐτὸν seem to suggest) retains its primary and proper sense. The meaning then seems to be, 'Though He was so exalted and so glorified, yet even HIM did God, out of his boundless mercy and beneficence, give to the Church to be its head.'

κεφαλήν ύπερ πάντα] 'head over all things.' The exact construction and immediate reference of these words is not perfectly clear. Υπέρ πάντα evidently qualifies $\kappa \epsilon \phi$., not however as an immediate and adjectival epithet ('summum caput,' Beza, Conyb.), but as an accessory and quasi-participial definition, i.e. ὑπερέχουσαν πάντων, πάντα being used in exactly the same general sense as before, without any limiting reference to $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda$. (Harl.), or any implied contrast to other subordinate heads, Apostles, Prophets, &c. (Olsh.). The accus. κεφ. may be regarded either as (a) a simple appositional accus. to the preceding αὐτόν, a second κεφ. being supplied (per brachylogiam) before τη έκκλ.,—' He gave Him, Head ever all, (as Head) to his Church;

comp. Jelf. Gr. § 893. c.; or (b) as an accus. of further predication, serving to complete the notion of the verb, and forming a species of tertiary predicate (Donalds. Gr. § 489); 'He gave Him as Head over all,' i.e. 'in the capacity of head over all:' comp. Madvig, Synt. § 24. a, and see the various exx. in Donalds. Gr. § 490. Of these (a) was adopted in ed. 1 (so also Stier, Mey.), and it coincides in meaning with the ungrammatical order (ἔδωκεν αὐτὸν [ὅντα] ὑπὲρ πάντα κεφ. τŷ ἐκκλ.) of Syr., Æth.-Platt, Chrys., al., but is, grammatically considered, less simple than (b), and, considered exegetically, but little different in meaning: if God gives Christ to the Church, and Christ at the same time is Head over all things (tertiary predication), He becomes necessarily head to the Church. seems best then, with Syr.-Phil. (appy.), Vulg. ('caput supra omnem ecclesiæ'), Clarom., Arm., to adopt the latter view; comp. Alf. in loc.

23. Trus | 'which indeed:' not exactly 'ut quæ,' Meyer, 'but 'quæ quidem,' the force of the indef. relative being here rather explanatory than causal, and serving to elucidate the use and meaning of κεφαλή by the introduction of the corresponding term $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$. On the uses of $\delta \sigma \tau is$, see notes τὸ σώμα αὐτοῦ] on Gal. iv. 24. 'His body;' not in any merely figurative sense, but really and truly; the Church is the veritable body of Christ mystical (ch. iv. 12, 16, esp. v. 30), no mere institution subject to Him as to a κεφαλή used in any ethical sense, but united to Him as to a κεφαλή used in its simple and literal sense; ενα γάρ μη ακούσας κεφαλήν αρχήν τινα καί έξουσίαν νομίσης, σωματικώς φησίν σωμα αὐτοῦ, τὸ πλήρωμα τοῦ τὰ πάντα ἐν πᾶσιν · πληρουμένου.

ήμῶν ἐστί κεφαλή Œcum. This great and vital truth, and the nature of our union with Christ which it involves and implies, is well illustrated in the beautiful treatise of Bp Hall, Christ Mystical, esp. ch. VII.

τὸ πλήρωμα κ.τ.λ.] 'the fulness, &c.: apposition to the preceding τὸ σῶμα αὐτοῦ, designed still more to expand the full meaning of the preceding identification of the Church with the Lord's body, the general truth conveyed being τὸ πλήρωμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ ἡ ἐκκλησία. Chrys. The special meaning and reference of these mysterious words has been greatly contested. This however seems clear (esp. after the long and careful note of Fritz. Rom. xi. 12, Vol. II. p. 469), that πλήρωμα is here used passively, and that of its two passive meanings, (a) id quod impletum est, and (b) id quo res impletur (see notes on Gal. iv. 4), the former, sc. τὸ πεπληρωμένον, though less common (comp. Lucian, Ver. Hist. II. 37, δύο πληρωμάτων, 'manned ships'), is here alone applicable. The Church then is τὸ πεπληρωμένον, not however in the sense 'plenum Christi agmen,' 'hominum a Christo impletorum caterva,' as Fritz. paraphrases; but in a simple and almost local sense, 'that which is filled up by Christ,' 'the receptacle' (Eadie), as it were, of all the gifts, graces, and blessings of Christ: comp. Philo, de Præm. et Pæn. p. 920, where the soul is called a πλήρωμα άρετῶν, and contrast the opposed κένωμα, as used by the Gnostics to express the void world of sense: Baur, Gnosis, p. 157, 462 (cited by Mey.). τοῦ τὰ πάντα κ.τ.λ.] 'of Him who filleth all things with all things,' 'qui rerum universitatem omnibus rebus [sibi] implet,' Fritz.; èv being here used in its instrumental sense (see notes on 1 Thess. iv. 18), as serving to specify that with which the filling takes place (see ch. v. 18), and πασιν being used with an equal latitude to τὰ πάντα (ver. 22) as implying not only 'all blessings' (Eadie), but 'all things' unrestrictedly; for by Christ was the whole Universe made, and all things therein: see Col. i. 16, and comp. in ref. generally to the terms of the expression, Philo, Sacrif. Cain, § 18, Vol. I. p. 175 (ed. Mang.), πεπληρωκώς πάντα διά πάντων. It has been doubted whether πληροῦσθαι is (a) passive, as Vulg., Clarom., Chrys., al.; or (b) middle, as Syr., Copt., Goth., Arm., whether in a purely active sense (Xen. Hell. VI. 2. 14, 35, see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. Vol. II. p. 956), or perhaps, as this unique use of the middle in the N.T. suggests, in a specially reciprocal sense 'sibi implere.' Of these the latter alone seems admissible, as the idea of Christ receiving completion in His members (Est., comp. Harl.) implies restrictions little accordant with the inclusive τὰ πάντα. meaning then of the whole would seem to be, that the Church is the veritable mystical Body of Christ, yea the recipient of the plenitudes of Him who filleth all things, whether in heaven or in earth, with all the things, elements, and entities, of which they are composed. And this, as both the parallelism of τὸ σῶμα αὐτοῦ and τὸ πληρ. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$, and the absence of any hint of a change of person seem distinctly to suggest, must be referred, not to God (Theod., Alf.), but to Christ; see esp. ch. iv. 10. On the doctrine of the omnipresence of Christ, an eternal

٠.

II. Καὶ ὑμᾶς ὄντας νεκροὺς τοῖς παρα- You too who were quickened, raised, and even enthroned with and in Christ, to show all ages the riches of His grace and love. Your salvation is by grace not works.

truth of vital importance (Bull, Def. Fid. Nic. § 4. 3. 1 sq., Waterland, Sermon, VII. 3, Vol. II. p. 164), to which this verse seems to allude, see notes on ch. iv. 10, Jackson, Creed, Book XI. 3. 10 sq., and the calm and conciliatory observations of Martensen, Dogmatik, § 177 sq. Well and clearly has it been said by Andrewes, 'Christ is both in Heaven and earth: as He is called the Head of His Church, He is in Heaven, but in respect of His body which is called Christ He is on earth,' Serm. XII. Vol. v. The omission of τa p. 407. (Rec.) is opposed to all the MSS. and to the majority of mss., and adopted by none of the best recent editors.

CHAPTER II. I. Kal vuâs] 'And you also,' 'you too;' special address and application of the foregoing to the case of the readers; και neither (a) simply connecting the verse with what precedes, sc. καὶ ὑπέταξεν, καὶ ἔδωκεν, καὶ ὑμᾶς κ.τ.λ. (Lachm.), as ver. 23 is plainly a conclusion of the foregoing clause; nor (b) serving to introduce a special exemplification of the general act of grace in ver. 23 (Peile), as the force of the correlation between veκρούς and συνεζωοπ. is thus seriously impaired; but rather (c) applying what has been said to the vuas, to which word it gives emphasis and prominence. The Ephesians are reminded how they also had experienced in their moral death the energy of the same quickening power which raised Christ from physical death (ch. i. 20), the ascensive force of kal being just perceptible in the implied parallelism between the νέκρωσις ψυχική in the case of the Ephesians (see next note), and

the νέκρωσις σωματική on the part of Christ; comp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. и. р. 636. The connexion has also its difficulties. According to the most simple view, ver. 1, after having its structure interrupted by the two relatival sentences, ver. 2, 3, is renewed in ver. 4 (not ver. 5, Schott.) by means of δè resumptive (Herm. Viger, No. 544), and there further elucidated by the interpolated nominative Ocos, expanded in application by the more comprehensive ημα̂s, and concluded in ver. 5; see Theoph. in όντας νεκρούς] 'being dead,' sc. spiritually; νέκρωσις οὐκ ή σωματική ή έκ τοῦ 'Αδάμ άρξαμένη, άλλα ή ψυχική ή έξ ήμων συνισταμένη · Theophyl.; compare Bramhall, Castig. III. 2, Vol. 1V. 233 (A.-C. Lib.). The proleptic reference to physical death scil. 'certo morituri' (Mey.), seems irreconcileable with the context. The πλούσιος ων έν έλέει, which seems to specify God's mercy in extending the exercise of His resurrectionary power, would thus lose much of its appropriateness, and the particle kal (ver. 5) its proper ascensive force. On this and the two following verses, see a good practical sermon by Usher, Serm. IV. Vol. XIII. p. 45 (ed. Elringt.). τοίς παραπτώμασιν κ.τ.λ.] 'by the trespasses and sins which ye had committed,' 'delictis et peccatris vestris,' Vulg., Goth.; not 'in delictis,' &c. Arm.; the dat. being appy. that of the causa instrumentalis: see Hartung, Casus, p. 79, Winer, Gr. § 31.7, p. 194. In the closely parallel passage Col. ii. 13, νεκρούς δυτας έν τοῖς παραπτώμα- σw , the same general sentiment is expressed under slightly different rela-

tions: here sin is conceived as that

πτώμασιν καὶ ταῖς άμαρτίαις ύμῶν, ἐν αἶς ποτὲ περιε- 2 πατήσατε κατὰ τὸν αἰῶνα τοῦ κόσμου τούτου, κατὰ τὸν

1. $\delta\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$] This word was omitted in ed. 1 with Rec. and Tisch. (ed. 2) on the authority of KL; great majority of mss.; Chrys., Dam., al.: but in spite of the variation of A $(\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu)$, is now restored on the greatly preponderating authority of BDEFGN; 15 mss.; nearly all Vv.; Theod., al. So Lachm., and Tisch. (ed. 1, and 7).

which kills (Olsh.), there it is described as the element or state in which the vékρωσις shows and reveals itself; comp. notes in loc. It is doubtful whether the distinction drawn by Tittmann (Synon. p. 45) between $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau$., sins rashly ('a nolente facere injuriam'), and auapria, sins designedly committed, can be fully substantiated; both equally referring to 'peccata actualia,' whether in thought, word, or deed, and differing more in the images ('missing,' 'stumbling') under which they are presented to our conceptions, than in the degree of intention ascribed to the perpetrator; see Fritz. Rom. v. 15, Vol. 1. p. 324, comp. Müller, Doctr. of Sin, I. 1. 2, Vol. I. p. 92 (Clark). Perhaps we may say generally, that παραπτώματα, as its derivation suggests, is the more limited term, viz. particular, special acts of sin; αμαρτίαι [ά μέρος, μείρω, Buttm. Lexil. No. 15, note] the more inclusive and abstract, embracing all forms, phases, and movements of sin. whether entertained in thought or consummated in act; see Trench, Synon. Part II. § 16, and comp. notes on Col. ü. 13.

2. ev ats] 'in which;' not so much with ref. to the prevailing direction (De Wette), as the sphere in which they habitually moved. It does not however seem necessary to press the meaning of περιπατείν ('sphere in which they trod,' Eadie), this being one of those words in the N.T. which

are used with so strong a Hebraistic colouring (see the list, Winer, Gr. § 3, p. 31), that in several passages it denotes little more than 'vivere:' see Fritz. Rom. xiii. 12, Vol. III. p. 141, Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. 11. p. 679. κατά τὸν αίωνα, κ.τ.λ.] 'according to the course of this world,' Auth., الن الفكن صدفكف [سيم danitatem mundi hujus | Syr. ; the ethical meaning of alw here appy. predominating; see on ch. i. 21. In such cases as the present the meaning seems to approach that of 'tendency, spirit, of the age' (Olsh.), yet still not without distinct trace of the regular temporal notion, which, even in those passages where alw seems to imply little more than our 'world' (comp. 2 Tim. iv. 10), may still be felt in the idea of the (evil) course, development, and progress ('ubi ætas mala malam excipit'), that is tacitly associated with the term; see Beng. in loc., and comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 20, Vol. II. p. 228. Any Gnostic reference (Baur, Paulus, p. 433), as St Paul's frequent use of the word satisfactorily proves, is completely out of the question. ката тоу αρχοντα κ.τ.λ.] 'according to the prince of the power or empire of the air,' soil. the devil; climax to the foregoing member, the contrast being κατά Θεόν, ch. iv. 24. Without entering into the various interpretations these difficult words have received, we will here only notice briefly, (1) the

ἄρχοντα της έξουσίας τοῦ ἀέρος, τοῦ πνεύματος τοῦ νῦν

simple meaning of the words; (2) their grammatical connexion; (3) their probable explanation. (I) The two cardinal words are έξουσία and άήρ. The former, like many words in -la (Bernhardy, Synt. I. 2, p. 47), seems to be used, not exactly for έξουσίαι, scil. as an abstract implying the concrete possessors of the ¿ξουσία (comp. Dionys. Hal. VIII. 44), but as a collective designation of their empire and sovereignty; see esp. Lobeck, Phryn. p. 469. 'Anp is used thrice by St Paul besides this place, thrice in the rest of the N.T.; (a) 'the air' simply and generally, Acts xxii. 23, 1 Cor. ix. 26, xiv. 9, and appy. Rev. ix. 2; (β) as 'the air,' probably with strict physical reference, Rev. xvi. 17; (γ) as 'the air or sky,' appy. tacitly correlative to $\gamma\hat{\eta}$ (the seat of the $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\lambda\epsilon\iota$ πόμενοι), I Thess. iv. 17. We seem then bound to reject all partial interpretations, e.g. σκότος (Heinsius, Küttn. ap. Peile), πνεθμα (Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 403), and to leave the context to define the specific meaning and application of the word. (2) The gen. déρos is not a gen. objecti, 'cui potestas est aeris,' Beza; nor qualitatis, scil. άέριος, ἀσώματος (so Chrys. appy., but not the Gk. Fathers generally), but a gen. of place, denoting their ἐναέριον διατριβήν (Œcum.), the seat of their spiritual empire; οὐχ ώς τοῦ ἀέρος δεσπόζοντα, άλλ' ώς αὐτῷ έμφιλοχωρούντα Theoph.: compare Bernhardy, Synt. III. 33. a, p. 137. (3) The explanation really turns on the latitude of meaning assigned to ahp. Without venturing to deny that the word may mysteriously intimate a near propinquity of the spirits of evil, it may still be said that the limitation to the physical atmosphere (Mey.) is as precarious in doctrine, as the refer-

ence to some ideal 'atmosphere belting a death-world' (Eadie), or to 'the common parlance of mankind' (Alf.), is too vague and undefined. The natural explanation seems to be this; that as οὐρανὸs is used in a limited and partial (Matth. vi. 26), as well as an uncircumscribed meaning, so conversely άήρ, which is commonly confined to the region of the air or atmosphere, may be extended to all that supraterrestrial but sub-celestial region (ò ὑπουράνιος τόπος, Chrys.) which seems to be, if not the abode, yet the haunt of evil spirits; see esp. Job i. 7 LXX, έμπεριπατήσας την ύπ' ούρανόν: comp. Olsh. in loc., and Stuart, Bibl. Sacra for 1843, p. 139; see also Hagenbach, Stud. u. Krit. Vol. I. p. 479. Quotations out of Rabbinical writings and Greek philosophers will be found in Wetst. and Harl. in loc., but that St Paul drew his conceptions from the former (Mey.) or the latter (Wetst.) we are slow indeed to believe: see the remarks on Gal. iv. 24. πνεύματος] 'the spirit;' scil. the evil principle of action, more specially defined by the succeeding words. The explanation of this gen. is not easy, as exegesis appears to suggest one construction, grammar another. The most convenient assumption, an anomaly of case (gen. for accus. in apposition to $\tau \partial \nu$ $\delta \rho \chi$. $\kappa. \tau. \lambda$., Heinichen, Euseb. Hist. Eccl. v. 20, Vol. II. p. 99), is so doubtful, that it seems best with Winer (Gr. § 67. 3, p. 558) to regard the gen. as dependent on τον άρχοντα, and in apposition with έξουσlas: πνεθμα not referring like έξουσία to the aggregate of individual πνεύματα (πάντος έναερίου πνεύματος, Theoph.; comp. Eadie, Alf.), a very doubtful meaning, owing to the difference of termination, but to the evil principle

ενεργούντος εν τοις υίοις της απειθείας, εν οίς και ημείς 3 πάντες ανεστράφημεν ποτε εν ταις επιθυμίαις της σαρκός

which animated the empire, and emanated from Satan the ruler of it. There is confessedly an exegetical difficulty in the expression $\tau \partial \nu \ d\rho \chi$. τοῦ πνεύμ.: this however may be removed, either by supplying a similar but more appropriate substantive out of τον άρχ., or (what is in effect the same) by observing that τοῦ πνεύματος has a species of objective meaning reflected on it from the words with which it is in apposition. There is probably, as Harless and Meyer suggest, a tacit antithesis in τοῦ πν. to the Πνεθμα τὸ ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ: comp. 1 Cor. ii. 12. vûv is commonly referred to the period since the redemption, the time of increased Satanic energy and of hottest strife (De W.); comp. Rev. xii. 12. This however is more than the words seem intended to convey. As ποτέ, ver. 2, is again repeated in ver. 3, we find the natural antithesis νῦν...ποτέ: the Apostle specifying the present active existence in one class, the children of disobedience, of the same spirit which formerly wrought not only in his readers but in all: sim. Hammond, and Harless in loc. דסוֹב טוֹסוֹב דחָב dues0.] 'the sons of disobedience;' a Hebraistic circumlocution nearly equivalent to ol έξ ἀπειθείας (comp. Fritz. Rom. ii. 8, Vol. I. p. 105), and serving to mark, more vividly than the adjectival construction, the essential and innate disobedience of the subjects, a disobedience to which they belong as children to a parent: comp. ch. v. 6, Col. iii. 6, 1 Thess. v. 5 (notes), 2 Thess. ii. 3; and see Winer, Gr. § 34. 3. b, note 2, p. 213, and Gurlitt, Stud. u. Krit. 1829, p. 728. 'Απείθεια, as in Col. iii, 6 (see critical note in loc.), is neither 'diffidentia' (Vulg., Clarom.,

3. ev ols] 'among whom,' Auth., scil. ων και αὐτοι όντες, Rück.; not έν ofs sc. παραπτώμασαν (Syr., Jer.), in which case ver. 2 would illustrate the duapr., ver. 3 the mapaur. The parallelism (èv als...èv ols) is a specious argument for such a reference (see Stier in loc., p. 252); still, grammatical perspicuity, the studied change to dνεστράφημεν, and even more the very general nature of the distinction between παραπτώματα and άμαρτίαι, are seriously opposed to it: comp. 2 Cor. i. 12, where ἀνεστρ. is similarly used with a double $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, the first here (semi-local) referring to the surrounding objects, I Tim. iii. 15; the second (ethical) to the element in which they moved, 2 Pet. ii. 18. Kal thele πάντες] 'even we all;' Jews and Gentiles, not Jews alone (Mey.). As $\dot{v}\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}s$ (ver. 1, 2) denotes the Gentile world, so it might be argued ημεῖς would seem naturally to refer to the Jews. To this however the addition of πάντες presents an insuperable objection, as being almost obviously designed to preclude any such limitation, and to expand to both classes the reference (συντάττει καὶ ἐαυτόν, Theod.): we all, both called and reclaimed Jews and converted Gentiles, were once members of that fearful company, the viol τ fis aπειθεlas: comp. Alf. in loc.

ήμων, ποιούντες τὰ θελήματα τῆς σαρκὸς καὶ τῶν διανοιῶν, 4 καὶ ἢμεν τέκνα φύσει ὀργῆς, ὡς καὶ οἱ λοιποί· ὁ δὲ Θεός,

τα θελήματα τῆς σαρκός] 'the (various) desires of the flesh.' The plural is not elsewhere found in the N.T. (Acts xiii. 22 is a quotation), though not unusual in the LXX; Psalm cxi. 2, 2 Chron. ix. 12, Isaiah xliv. 28, lviii. 13, al. It here probably denotes the various exhibitions and manifestations of the will, and is thus symmetrical with, but a fuller expansion of ἐπιθυμίαις. On the true meaning of σάρξ, 'the life and movement of man in the things of the world of sense,' see Müller, Doctr. of Sin, II. 2, Vol. I. p. 352 sq., and esp. notes on Gal. v. 16. τών διανοιών] 'of the thoughts,' scil. 'of the evil thoughts' (comp. διαλογισμοί πονηροί, Matth. xv. 19); the ethical meaning however not being due to the plural ('die schwankenden wechselnden Meinungen,' Harl.), but, as Mey, justly observes, to the context; comp. τὰ διανοήματα, Luke xi. 17. It is added, not to strengthen the meaning of $\sigma d\rho \xi$ (Holzh.), but to include both sources whence our evil desires emanate, the worldly sensual tendency of our life on the one hand, and the spiritual sins of our thoughts and intentions on the other: so Theod. in loc., except that he too much limits the meaning of $\sigma d\rho \xi$. On the meaning of diávoiai, as usually marking the motions of the thoughts and will on the side of their outward manifestations, see Beck, Seelenl. II. 19, p. 58. και ήμεν] 'and we were;' with great definiteness as to the relation of time, the change of construction from the (present) part. to the oratio directa being intended to give emphasis to the weighty clause which follows (see notes, ch. i. 20), and also to disconnect it from any possible relation to the present; 'we were children of wrath by nature,—it was once our state and condition, it is now so no longer.'

'children by nature—of wrath.' This important clause can only be properly investigated by noticing separately (1) the simple meaning of the words; (2) their grammatical connexion; (3) their probable dogmatical application.

(1) We begin with (a) τέκνα, which is not simply identical with the Hebraistic viol, ver. 2, but, as Bengel felt, is obviously more significant and suggestive; see Steiger on 1 Pet. i. 14. The word arouses the attention: 'we were τέκνα,'-- that bespeaks a near and close relation ;-but of what? Of God? No,-'of wrath;' its actual and definite objects: see Stier in loc. p. 256, and comp. Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 497. (b) 'Οργή has its proper meaning, and denotes, not τιμωρία or κόλασις itself (Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 505), but the moving principle of it, God's holy hatred of sin, which reveals itself in His punitive justice; comp. Rom. i. 18. (c) The meaning of φύσει has been much contested. The general distinction of Waterland (Second Defence, Qu. XXIV. Vol. II. p. 723) seems perfectly satisfactory, that φύσει in Scripture relates to something inherent, innate, fixed, and implanted from the first, and is in opposition to something accessional, superinduced, and accidental; or, as Harl. more briefly expresses it, 'das Gewordene im Gegensatz zum Gemachten: 'comp. Thorndike, Covenant of Grace, II. 10, Vol. III. p. 170 (A.-C. Libr.). The more exact meaning must be determined by the context: comp. Gal. ii. 15, Rom. ii. 14, Gal. iv. 8, where φύσει respectively means, (a) transmitted, inborn nature; (B) inheπλούσιος ων εν ελέει, δια την πολλην αγάπην αὐτοῦ ην ηγάπησεν ήμας, καὶ όντας ήμας νεκρούς τοίς παρα- 5

rent nature; (γ) essential nature. The connexion must here guide us. Connexion. Φύσει is to be joined with τέκνα, not δργής (Holzh., Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 497), and defines the aspect under which the predicate shows itself (see Madvig, Synt. § 40); the unusual order [with BKN: ADE FGL reverse it but appy. by way of emendation] appearing to have arisen from a limitation of a judgment which St Paul was about to express unlimitedly: the Jews were the covenant people of God; Jews and Gentiles (ήμειs) could not then equally and unrestrictedly be called τέκνα όργης: see Müller, Doctr. of Sin, IV. 2, Vol. 11. p. 306. (3) The doctrinal reference turns on the meaning of φύσεω This the limiting connexion seems to show must imply what is innate; for if it implied 'habitual or developed character' (e.g. Ælian, Var. Hist. IX. I. φύσει φιλάργυρος: see exx. in Wetst.. and comp. Fritz. Rom. Vol. 1. p. 116), there would be little need of the limitation, and little meaning in the assumed contrast to 'filii adoptione,' Estius ap. Poli Syn, This is further confirmed by the tense (see above) and the argument 'ex simili' in ws kal ol λοιποί (ήσαν), for it must have been some universal state to have applied to all the rest of mankind. Still it must fairly be said that the unemphatic position of φύσει renders it doubtful whether there is any special contrast to xdpiri, or any direct assertion of the doctrine of Original Sin; but that the clause contains an indirect, and therefore even more convincing assertion of that profound truth, it seems impossible to deny. The very long but instructive note of Harless in loc. may be consulted with

profit.

δ δè Θεός] 'but God.' Re-4. sumption of ver. I after the two relatival sentences, èv als ver. 2, and èv ofs ver. 3; & being correctly used rather than our, as the resumption also involves a contrast to the preceding verse. The declaration of the theos of God forms an assuring and consoling antithesis to the foregoing statement that by nature all were the subjects of His δργή. On the use of $\delta \epsilon$ after a parenthesis, see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 377, Hartung, Partik. 8é, 3. 2, Vol. I. p. 173: the use of 'autem' in Latin is exactly similar, see esp. Hand, Tursell, s. v. § q, Vol. I. p. 569; Beza's correction of the 'autem' of the Vulg. to 'sed' is therefore not necessary.

πλούσιος ων κ.τ.λ.] 'being rich in mercy,' scarcely 'ut qui dives sit,' Beza (comp. Madvig, Lat. Gramm. § 366. 2), as the participial clause does not here so much assign the reason, as characterize (in the form of a secondary predicate of time, 'being as He is; comp. Donalds. Gr. § 442. a) the general principle under which the divine compassion was exhibited. The more particular motive (De W.) is stated in the succeeding clause. The expression πλούσιος έν (οὐχ ἀπλῶς έλεήμων, Chrys.) occurs James ii. 5, and points to the object or sphere in which the richness is apparent; comp. 1 Cor. i. 5. On the distinction between έλεοs and οἰκτιρμόs, the former being more generic, the latter more specific and stronger, see Fritz. Rom. ix. 15, Vol. II. p. 315. ήγάπησεν ήμας] 'wherewith He loved

us;' cognate accus., serving to add force and emphasis to the meaning of the verb; see exx. in Winer, Gr. πτώμασιν συνεζωοποίησεν τῷ Χριστῷ (χάριτί ἐστε 6 σεσωσμένοι), καὶ συνήγειρεν, καὶ συνεκάθισεν ἐν τοῖς

§ 32. 2, p. 200, and in Donalds. Gr. § 466. The pronoun $\eta\mu\hat{a}s$ obviously includes both Jewish and Gentile Christians, and is co-extensive with $\eta\mu\hat{e}s$ $\pi d\nu\tau es$, ver. 3.

5. και όντας ήμας νεκρ.] 'even while we were dead;' kal not being otiose (comp. Syr., Æth.), nor the simple copula (Mey.), nor a mere repetition of καί from ver. 1, but qualifying όντας (Syr.-Phil.), and suggesting more forcibly than in ver. I (where it qualifies ὑμᾶς) the might of the quickening power of God which extended even to a state of moral death. Καὶ νεκρούς κ.τ.λ. would certainly seem a more natural order (Fritz. Conject. in N. T., p. 45; comp. Chrys. τούς νεκρούς . . . τούτους έζωοπ.), but as St Paul seems to wish to make their state of death, its permanence and its endurance, more felt than the mere fact of it, the ascensive particle is joined with the participle rather than with the predicate; see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. р. 638. συνεζωοποίησεν τφ Xp.] 'He together quickened with Christ,' not 'in Christ,' Copt., Arm., Vulg., perhaps following the reading συνεζ. έν, B; 17, al.; but 'with Christ,' Syr., al.; eçwoποίησε κάκείνον και ήμας Chrys. The previous statement of the spiritual nature of their death, and the similar (but, owing to the mention of baptism, not wholly parallel) passage, Col. ii. 13, seem to show that συνεζ. has reference to spiritual life, the life of grace. It is thus not necessary to consider the realization as future (Theod.), nor even with Theoph. (ἡμᾶς δυνάμει νῦν, μετ' όλίγον δέ και ένεργεία) to limit the present degree of it: the acrist has its proper and characteristic force;

what God wrought in Christ He wrought 'ipso facto' in all who are united with Him. Meyer aptly cites Fritz. Rom. Vol. 11. p. 206, 'ponitur aoristus de re, quæ quamvis futura sit, tamen pro peractà recte censeatur . . . cum alia re jam facta contineatur.' It is then just possible that συνεζ. may include also a future and physical reference (Rom. viii. 1c, 11, see notes ver. 6), but that its primary reference is to an actually existent and spiritual state, it seems very difficult to deny. χάριτί έστε σεσωσμένοι] 'by grace ye have been (and are) saved;' see notes on ver. 8. This emphatic mention of grace (grace, not works) is to make the readers feel what their own hearts might otherwise have caused them to doubt,-the real and vital truth, that they have present and actual fellowship with Christ in the quickening, yea and even in the resurrectionary and glorifying power of God; see esp. Origen (Cram. Caten.), and comp. Bp. Hall, Christ Mystical, ch. v. I, ad init.

6. συνήγειρεν . . . συνεκάθισεν] 'He raised us with (Him), He enthroned us with (Him).' The simple meaning of these verbs, and esp. of the latter, seems to confine the reference to what is future and objective. Still, as συνεζωοποίησεν, though primarily spiritual and present, may have a physical and future reference,-so here conversely, a present spiritual resurrection and enthronement may also be alluded to: as Andrewes truly says, 'even now we sit there in Him, and shall sit with Him in the end;' Serm. VII. Vol. I. p. 115 (A.-C. Libr.). This may be referred (a) to the close nature of our union with Christ, so επουρανίοις εν Χριστώ Ίησου, ίνα ενδείξηται εν τοις αιωσιν 7 τοις επερχομένοις το υπερβάλλον πλουτος της χάριτος

that His resurrection and exaltation may be said to be actually ours in Him (κεφαλή γάρ ήμων ὁ συνεδρεύων, ἀπαρχὴ ἡμῶν ὁ συμβασιλεύων Theod.); or more simply, (b) to that divine efficacy of the quickening power of God which extends itself to issues spiritually indeed present (Phil. iii. 20, Rev. i. 6), but strictly speaking future and contingent: compare esp. Rom. viii. 30, where the aorists are used with equal significance and efέν τοῦς ἐπουρανίοις] 'in the heavenly places;' see notes on ch. i. 3, 20. Bengel has noticed how appropriately St Paul omits the specific ἐν δεξια of ch. i. 20; 'non dicit in dextra; Christo sua manet excellentia:' comp. Est. in loc.

έν Χρ. Ίησοῦ must not be connected simply with ἐν τοῖς ἐπουρ. (Peile, Eadie), but with συνήγειρεν and συνεκάθισεν έν τοιε έπουρ.: comp. ch. i. 3. At first sight the clause might seem superfluous, but, when more attentively considered, it will be found to define the deep mystical nature of the union: God ήγειρεν, έκάθισεν, ήμας, not only σύν Χρ., but έν Χρ.: not only with Christ by virtue of our fellowship, but in Christ by virtue of our mystical, central, and organic union with Him. On the nature of this union, see Hooker, Serm. III. Vol. III. p. 762 (ed. Keble), Ebrard, Dogmatik, §445, Vol. 11. p. 323, Martensen, Dogmatik, § 176. obs.

7. Vva evδelξηται] 'in order that He might show forth;' divine purpose of the gracious acts specified in ver. 5, 6. The middle voice ἐνδείξασθαι is not used (either here or Rom. ii. 15, ix. 17, 22, 2 Cor. viii. 24) with any reference to 'a sample or specimen of what belonged to Him' (Rück., Eadie), but either simply

implies 'for Himself, i. e. for His glory' (comp. Jelf, Gr. § 363. 1), 'let be seen' (Peile); or, still more probably, is used with only that general subjective reference, 'show forth his, &c.' (the 'dynamic' middle of Krüger, Sprachl. § 52. 8. 5; see Kuster de Verb. Med. § 58, and exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v.), which, owing to the following aυτου, can hardly be retained in translation. The word occurs eleven times in the N.T. (only in St Paul's Epp. and Heb.), always in the middle voice. In fact, as δείκνυμι is but rarely used in the middle voice, though in a few formulæ (see Ast, Lex. Plat. s. v.) it involves a middle sense; so ἐνδείκνυμαι, which is not common in the act. except in legal forms, may in the middle involve little more than an active meaning; comp. Donalds. Gr. § 434, p. έν τοις αλώσιν τοις 447. emepχ.] 'in the ages which are coming.' These words have been unduly limited. Any special references to the theu present and immediately coming age ('per omne vestrum tempus,' Mor.), or to the still future kingdom of Christ, the alw ο μέλλων, ch. i. 21 (Harl., Olsh.), seem precluded respectively by the use of the plural and the appended pres. part. ἐπερχομ. The most simple meaning appears to be 'the successively arriving ages and generations from that time to the second coming of Christ,' 'tempora inde ab apostolicis illis ad finem mundi secutura:' Wolf. Such expressions as the present deserve especial notice, as they incidentally prove how very ill-founded is the popular opinion adopted by Meyer and others, that St Paul believed the Advent of the

Lord to be close at hand; see on t

8 αὐτοῦ ἐν χρηστότητι ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ. τῆ γὰρ χάριτί ἐστε σεσωσμένοι διὰ πίστεως καὶ τοῦτο οὐκ

8. διὰ πίστεωτ] So Lachm. with BD¹FGN; 4 mss.; Chrys., al. In ed. 1, 2, the reading adopted was διὰ τῆs πίστεως with AD³EKL: nearly all mss.; Theod., Dam., al. (Tisch.); now however the addition of N seems in the present case sufficient just to turn the critical balance.

Thess. iv. 15. τὸ ὑπερβάλλον πλοῦτος] 'the exceeding riches;' an especially and studiedly strong expression designed to mark the 'satis superque' of God's grace in our redemption by Christ; comp. ch. iii. 20, I Tim. i. 14, and see Andrewes, Serm. I. Vol. II. p. 197 (A.-C. Libr.). The neuter πλοῦτος is adopted with ABD¹FGΝ² (Ν¹ omits the verse); 17 67**; Orig. (1), and by Lachm., Tisch., and most recent editors.

έν χρηστότητι έφ' ήμας έν Χρ. 'Ιησ.] 'in goodness towards us in Christ Jesus;' a single compound modal clause appended to ἐνδείξ.: ἐν χρ. ἐφ' ἡμ. being closely connected (comp. Luke vi. 35; the art. is not necessary, see notes on ch. i. 16), and defining accurately the manner in which God displays 'the riches of His grace,' while ev X. 'I. ('in,' not 'through Christ Jesus,' Auth.; see Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 347, note 3) specifies, as it were, the everblessed sphere to which its manifestations are confined, and in which alone its operations are felt. Well do Calvin and Stier call attention to this 'notanda repetitio nominis Christi' (contrast the melancholy want of appreciation of this in De W.), and the reiteration of that eternal truth which pervades this divine epistle, - 'nur in Christo Jesu das alles, und anders nicht,' Stier, p. 273; see notes on ch. i. 3. On the meaning of χρηστότης see notes on Gal. v. 22.

τῆ γὰρ χάρντι] 'For by grace;' confirmatory explanation of the truth and justice of the expression τὸ ὑπερβ.

 $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. by a recurrence to the statement made parenthetically in ver. 5. The article is thus not added merely because yapis 'expresses an idea which is familiar, distinctive, and monadic in its nature' (Eadie), but because there is a retrospective reference to χάριτι in ver. 5, where the noun, being used adverbially, is properly anarthrous: see Middleton, Greek Art. v. 2, p. 96 (ed. Rose). It may be observed that the emphasis rests on $\tau \hat{\eta}$ χάριτι, the further member διά πίστεωs being added to define the weighty έστε σεσωσμένοι. χάρις is the objective, operating, and instrumental cause of salvation; $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s$ the subjective medium by which it is received, the causa apprehendens, or to use the language of Hooker, 'the hand which putteth on Christ to justification,' Serm. II. 31; comp. Waterland, Justif. Vol. VI. p. 22, and a good sermon by Sherlock, Vol. 1. p. 323 sq. (ed. Oxf.). έστε σεσωσμένοι] 'ye have been (and are) saved.' It is highly improper to attempt to dilute either the normal

are) saved.' It is highly improper to attempt to dilute either the normal meaning of the verb ('salvum facio,' 'ad eternam vitam perduco,' see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v.) or the proper force of the tense. The perfect indicates 'actionem plane præteritam, quæ aut nunc ipsum seu modo finita est, aut per effectus suos durat' (Poppo, Progr. de emend. Matth. Gramm. p. 6); and in short serves to connect the past and the present, while the aorist leaves such a connexion wholly unnoticed; see esp. Schmalfeld, Synt. § 56, and comp. Scheuerl. Synt. § 32.

έξ ύμων, Θεου το δωρον· οὐκ έξ ἔργων, ΐνα μή τις καυ- 9 χήσηται· αὐτου γάρ έσμεν ποίημα, κτισθέντες εν Χριστώ 10

5, p. 342. Thus then ἐστὲ σεσωσμ. denotes a present state as well as a terminated action; for, as Eadie justly observes, 'Salvation is a present blessing, though it may not be fully realized.' On the other hand, ἐσώθημεν (Rom. viii. 24) is not έν τοῖς σωζομένοις έσμέν (Peile), but simply 'we were saved,' the context ἐλπίδι supplying the necessary explanation. δια πίστεως] 'through faith;' subjective medium and condition; see above, and comp. Hammond, Pract. Catech. p. 42 (A.-C. Libr.). It is not necessary to adopt here the modification suggested by Bull: 'per fidem hic intelligit obedientiam evangelio præstitam, cujus fides specialiter sic dicta non tantum initium est sed et radix et fundamentum,' Harm. Apost. 1. 12, The contrast with έξ ξργων, and connexion with xdpiri, seem to show that wloves is 'reliance on the divine grace' (Waterland, Justif. Vol. VI. p. 37), 'the living capacity,' as it is termed by Olsh., 'for receiving the powers of a higher world; ' xdpis being thus identical with imparting, wlotis with receiving love; see Olshaus. on Rom. iii. 21, and comp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. I. 1, p. 151. και τοῦτο] 'and this,' so. τὸ σεσωσμ. eiras (Theoph, 2), not 'nempe hoc quod credidistis,' Bull, loc. cit., with Chrys., Theod., Theoph. 1, al., see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 728. Grammatically considered, και τοῦτο (=καὶ ταῦτα, Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. οὖτος, Vol. II. p. 599) might be referred to a verbal notion (τὸ πιστεύει») derived from wloves, but the logical difficulty of such a connexion with & ξργων (parallel and explanatory to έξ ὑμῶν) seems insuperable. Still it may be said that the clause καὶ τοῦτο

 $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. was suggested by the mention of the subjective medium mlores, which might be thought to imply some independent action on the part of the subject (comp. Theod.): to prevent even this supposition, the Apostle has recourse to language still more rigorously exclusive. Θεοῦ τὸ δώρον] 'of God is the gift,' scil. Θεοῦ δώρον τὸ δώρον ἐστί: the gen. Θεοῦ, emphatic on account of the antithesis to ὑμῶν, being thus the predicate; τὸ $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho$ ov ('the peculiar gift in question,' τὸ σεσωσμ. είναι διά της πίστ.) the subject of the clause: see Rückert in loc. Harl., Lachm., and De W. inclose these words in a parenthesis, but certainly without reason: the slight want of connexion seems designed to add force and emphasis.

9. ouk if ipywv] 'not of works;' more exact explanation of the preceding οὐκ ἐξ ὑμῶν, and thus standing more naturally in connexion with kal τοῦτο than with τὸ δῶρον [ἐστί] (Mey.). The sense however in either case is the same. The grammatical meaning of Efforws is investigated in notes on Gal. ii. 16; its doctrinal applications are noticed by Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 419 (Bohn). Eva my Tis Kavx.] 'that no man should boast;' purpose of God, involved in and included in the 'lex suprema' alluded to in the foregoing οὐκ ἐξ ἔργων, comp. Rom. iii. 27. The repression of boasting was not the primary and special object of God's appointment of salvation by grace through faith (comp. Mackn.), still less was it merely the result (Peile), but was a purpose (ba εύγνώμονας περί την χάριν ποιήση, Chrys.) that was necessarily inseparable from His gracious plan of man's salvation. On the force and use of Ίησοῦ ἐπὶ ἔργοις ἀγαθοῖς, οἶς προητοίμασεν ὁ Θεὸς ἵνα ἐν αὐτοῖς περιπατήσωμεν.

tra, see notes on ch. i. 17.

10. αὐτοῦ γάρ κ.τ.λ.] 'for we are His handiwork,' 'ipsius enim sumus factura,' Vulg.; proof of the foregoing sentences καὶ τοῦτο...δώρον and οὐκ ἐξ ἔργων; the emphatic αὐτοῦ pointing to the positive statement that the gift of salvation comes from God, and the assertion of our being His (spiritual) wolnua to the negative statement that salvation is not ex ὑμῶν, or as further explained, οὐκ ἐξ ξργων. If we are God's ποίημα, our salvation, our all, must be due to Him (comp. Bramhall, Castig. Vol. IV. 232, A.-C. Libr.): if we are a spiritual ποίημα (την άναγέννησιν ένταθθα αίνίττεται, Chrys.), spiritually formed and designed for good works, our salvation can never be έξ ξργων (whether of the natural, moral, or ritual law) which preceded that ἀνάκτισις: see Neander, Planting, Vol. 1. p. 476 note (ed. Bohn). KTLO-DÉVTES ev Xp. 'Inσ.] 'created in Christ Jesus;' defining clause, explaining the true application and meaning of the preceding wolnua: compare ver. 15, and the expression καινή κτίσις, 2 Cor. v. 17, Gal. vi. 15, with notes in loc. That the reference of molnua is not to the physical, and that of $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta$. to the spiritual creation ('quantum ad substantiam fecit, quantum ad gratiam condidit,' Tertull. Marc. v. 17), but that both refer to the spiritual dvdkrisis, not only appears from the context, but is asserted by the best ancient (οὐ κατά τὴν πρώτην λέγει δημιουργίαν, άλλά κατά την δευτέραν, Theod., comp. Œcum.), and accepted by the best modern commentators; still it does not seem improbable that the more general and inclusive word ποίημα was designed to suggest the

analogy (Harl.) between the physical creation and the spiritual re-creation of man. For a sound sermon on this text see Beveridge, Serm. IV. Vol. II. p. 417 sq. (A.-C. Libr.). iπl ipyous dyabols] 'for good works,' i. e. 'to do good works;' ἐπὶ denoting the object or purpose for which they were created: see Winer, Gr. § 48. c, p. 351, notes on Gal. v. 13, 1 Thess. iv. 7, and exx. in Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 546. On the doctrinal and practical aspects of the clause, see Beveridge, Serm. IV. Vol. II. p. 418. ols προητοίμασεν] 'which God afore grepared,' عبد لهند إحد [ab initio paravit] Syr., 'prius paravit,' Copt., Æth., 'præparavit,' Vulg., Clarom. The construction, meaning, and doctrinal significance of these words, have been much discussed. We may remark briefly, (1) that owing to the absence of the usual accus. after προητοίμ. (Isaiah xxviii. 24, Wisdom ix. 8, Rom. ix. 23), ols cannot be 'the dative of the object,' 'for which God hath from the first provided,' Peile, but is simply (by the usual attraction) for a: see Winer, Gr. § 24. I, p. 147, and § 22. 4. obs. p. 135. So Vulg., Syr., Copt., al., and the majority of commentators. (2) Προητοίμ. is not neuter (Beng., Stier): the simple verb is so used, Luke ix. 52, 2 Chron. i. 4 (?), but there is no evidence of a similar use of the compound. Nor is it equivalent (in regard to things) with προορίζω (in regard to persons), Harl., a paraphrastic translation rightly condemned by Fritz. Rom. ix. 23, Vol. II. p. 339, 'aliud est enim parare έτοιμάζειν [to make έτοιμα, έτα, see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. ετοιμος], aliud de-

finire oplicer.' Lastly, neither here

nor Rom. l.c. must the force of $\pi \rho \delta$ be neglected: compare Philo, de Opif. § 25, Vol. I. p. 18 (ed. Mang.), ώς οίκειοτάτφ . . . ζώφ τὰ ἐν κόσμφ πάντα προητοιμάσατο, rightly translated by Fritz., 'ante paravit quam conderet.' (3) Thus then we adhere to the simplest meaning of the words, using the latter part of the clause to explain any ambiguity of expression in the former: 'God, before we were created in Christ, made ready for us, pre-arranged, prepared, a sphere of moral action, or (to use the simile of Chrys.) a road, with the intent that we should walk in it, and not leave it; this sphere, this road, was $\xi \rho \gamma a \, d\gamma a \theta d$: comp. Beveridge, Serm. l.c. p.428. On the important doctrinal statement fairly deducible from this text,-'bona opera sequuntur hominem justificatum non præcedunt in homine justificando,' see Jackson, Creed, x1. 30. 6.

ri. Διό] 'Wherefore,' since God has vouchsafed such blessings to you and to all of us; not in exclusive reference to ver. 10, δτι ἐκτίσθημεν ἐπ' έργοις άγαθοῖς, Chrys., nor alone to ver. 4-10 (Mey.), but, as the use of υμείς (comp. ver. 1) suggests, to the whole, or rather to the declaratory portion of the foregoing paragraph, ver. 1-7; ver. 8-10 being an argumentative and explanatory addition. On St Paul's use of διό, comp. notes on Gal. iv. 31. The construction, which is not perfectly clear, is commonly explained by the introduction of over before tà thun (Fuld.), or he before (Syr.) or after (Goth.) ἐν σαρκί. This is not necessary: the position of ποτè (as rightly maintained by Lachm., Tisch., with ABD1EN1; Clarom., Sangerm., Aug., Vulg., al.)

seems to suggest that $\tau \grave{a} \in \theta \nu \eta \quad \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. is simply in apposition to υμείς. "Οτι and $\pi o \tau \hat{\epsilon}$ are then respectively resumed by ότι and τῷ καιρῷ ἐκείνῳ in ver. 12; see Meyer in loc. ta town in Gapel 'Gentiles in the flesh.' On the correct insertion of the article before έθνη (to denote class, category), see Middl. Gr. Art. III. 2. 2, p. 40 (Rose); and on its equally correct omission before $\ell \nu$ ($\tau \dot{\alpha} \ \ell \theta \nu$. $\ell \nu \ \sigma$. forming only one idea), see Winer, Gr. § 20. 2. p. 123, notes ch. i. 15, and Fritz. Rom. iii. 25, Vol. 1. p. 195. 'Εν σαρκί is not in reference 'to their natural descent' (Hamm.), nor to their corrupted state (οὐκ ἐν πνεύματι, Theoph., 'unregenerate Gentiles,' Peile; comp. Syr.), but, as the use of the word below distinctly suggests, to the corporeal mark; 'præputium profani hominis indicium erat:' Calv. They bore the proof of their Gentilism in their flesh and on their bodies. οί λεγόμενοι άκροβυστία κ.τ.λ.] 'who are called contemptuously the Uncircumcision by the so called Circumcision,' Both άκροβ. and περιτ. are used as the distinctive names or titles of the two classes, Gentiles and Jews. On the omission of the art. before ἀκροβυστ. (a verb 'vocandi' having preceded), see Middl. Gr. Art. III. 3. 2, p. 43 (Rose); and on the derivation of the word (an Alexandrian corruption of άκροποσθία), Fritz. Rom. ii. 26, Vol. I. р. 136. έν σαρκί χειροποιήτου] 'wrought by hand in the flesh,' 'et est opus manuum in carne,' Syr.; a tertiary predication (see Donalds. Gr. § 489 sq., and observe the idiomatically exact transl. of Syr.), added by the Apostle reflectively rather than descriptively: 'the cir-

ήτε τφ καιρφ εκείνω χωρίς Χριστοῦ, ἀπηλλοτριωμένοι

cumcision,-yes, hand-wrought in the flesh; only a visible manual operation on the flesh, when it ought to be a secret spiritual process in the heart; only κατατομή, not περιτομή:' comp. Rom. ii. 28, 29, Phil. iii. 3, Col. ii. Thus then, as Calvin rightly felt, the Apostle expresses no contempt for the outward rite, which he himself calls a σφραγίδα της δικαιοσύνης, Rom. iv. 11, but only (as the present words suggest) at the assumption of such a title (observe $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu$., not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu$.) by a people who had no conception of its true and deep significance. The Gentiles were called, and really were the ἀκροβυστία: the Jews were called the περιτομή, but were not truly so.

12. от фте] 'that ye were;' resumption of the ore in ver. 11, and continuation of the suspended sentence; see notes on ver. II. καιρφ έκείνω] 'at that time;' 'in your heathen state.' The prep. iv (here rightly omitted by Lachm., Tisch., with ABD'FGN; mss.; Clarom., Sang., Aug., al.; Chrys.), though occasionally omitted (2 Cor. vi. 2 quotation, Gal. vi. q), is more commonly, and indeed more correctly, inserted in this and similar forms: comp. Rom. iii. 26, xi. 5, 2 Cor. viii. 13, 2 Thess. ii. 6; and see Wannowski, Constr. Abs. III. 1, p. 88, Madvig, Synt. § 39, and comp. ib. Lat. Gr. § 276. ...χωρίς Χριστοῦ] 'ye were...without Christ;' $\chi\omega\rho$ ls $X\rho$. forming a predicate (Syr.; 'et nesciebatis Christum,' Æth.), not a limiting clause to hre ... $d\pi\eta$ λλοτρ. (De W., Eadie), which would be a singularly harsh construction. The Ephesians, whom St Paul here views as the representatives of Gentilism (Olsh.), were, in their heathen ante-Christian state, truly xwpls

Xρ., without the Messiah, without the promised Seed (contrast Rom. ix. 4 sq.); now however 'eum possidetis non minus quam ii quibus promissus fuerat:' Grot. in loc. The two following clauses, each of two parts, more exactly elucidate the significance of the expression. the distinction between drev ('absence of object from subject') and χωρίς ('separation of subject from object'), see Tittmann, Synon. p. 94. distinction however does not appear to be perfectly certain (comp. Phil. ii. 14, with 1 Pet. iv. 9), and must at all events be applied with caution, when it is remembered that $\chi \omega \rho l$; is used 40 times in the N. T., and drev only 3 times, viz. Matth. x. 29, 1 Pet. iii. 1, iv. 9. Where, in any given writer or writers, there is such a marked preference for one rather than another of two perfectly simple words, it is well not to be hypercritical. άπηλλοτριωμένοι κ.τ.λ,] 'being aliens, or in a state of alienation, from the commonwealth of Israel;' in opp. to συμπολίται των άγίων, ver. 19. There is a slight difficulty in the exact meaning and application of the words. Reversing the order, for the sake of making the simpler word define the more doubtful, we may observe that 'Ισραήλ is clearly the theocratic name of the Jewish people, the title which marks their religious and spiritual, rather than their national or political distinctions; see Rom. ix. 6, 1 Cor. x. 18, Gal. vi. 16. From this it would seem to follow that moderate,which may be either (a) 'reipublicae forma, status,' των την πόλω οικούντων

τάξις τις, Aristot. Pol. 111. 1. 1 (comp.

νομίμους πολιτείας opp. to παρανόμους

έθισμούς, 2 Macc. iv. 11, προγονική πολιτεία, viii. 17); or (b) 'jus civi-

της πολιτείας τοῦ Ἰσραηλ καὶ ξένοι τῶν διαθηκῶν της ἐπαγγελίας, ἐλπίδα μη ἔχοντες καὶ ἄθεοι ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ.

tatis,' comp. Acts xxii. 28, 3 Macc. iii. 21; or (c) 'vivendi ratio,' comp. 'conversatione,' Vulg., Clarom., see Theoph. on ver. 13, and Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 795,—is here used only in the first sense, and with a distinctly spiritual application; so Æth.-Platt, Arm., and most modern commentators. The gen. is thus, not that of the 'identical notion,' e. g. $d\sigma\tau\nu$ ' $A\theta\eta\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$ (Harl.), but a simple possessive gen.,—the 'reipublicse status' which belonged to Israel.

dπηλλοτριωμένοι is a noticeable and emphatic word (οὐκ εἶπε κεχωρισμένοι ...πολλή των βημάτων ή ξμφασις πολύν δεικνῦσα τὸν χωρισμόν, Chrys.), which seems to hint at a state of former unity and fellowship, and a lapse or separation $(d\pi\delta)$ from it; see ch. iv. 18, Col. i. 21, Ecclus. xi. 34, 3 Macc. i. 3, and comp. Joseph. Antiq. XI. 5. 4, exx. in Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 295, and in Schweigh. Polyb. Lex. s. v. This union, though not historically demonstrable, is no less spiritually Jew and Gentile were once under one spiritual modirela, of which the Jewish was a subsequent visible manifestation. The Gentile lapsed from it, the Jew made it invalid (Matth. xv. 6, comp. Chrys.); and they parted, only to unite again (ξθνη και λαοι Ίσραήλ, Acts iv. 27) in one act of uttermost rebellion, and yet, through the mystery of redeeming Love, to remain thereby (ver. 15, 16) united in Christ for ever.

ξένοι τῶν διαθηκῶν] 'strangers from the covenants;' second and more specializing part of the first explanatory clause. The gen. after ξένοι is not due to any quasi-participial power (Eadie), but belongs to the category of the (inverted) possessive gen. (Bernhardy, Synt. III. 49, p. 171), or perhaps rather to the gen. of 'the point of view' ('extraneos quod ad pactorum promissiones attinet,' Beza); see Scheuerl. Synt. § 18. 3. a, p. 135. The use of the plural διαθήκαι must not be limited, either here or Rom. ix. 4, to the two tables of the law (Elsn., Wolf), nor again unnecessarily extended to God's various covenantpromises to David and the people (comp. De W.), but appears simply to refer to the several renewals of the covenant with the patriarchs: see esp. Wisd. xviii. 22, δκρους πατέρων καὶ διαθήκας: 2 Macc. viii. 15, τάς πρός τούς πατέρας αὐτῶν διαθήκας: comp. Rom. xv. 8. The great Messianic promise (Gen. xiii. 15, xv. 18, xvii. 8; Chrys., Theoph.) was the subject and substratum of all.

έλπίδα μη έχοντες] 'not having hope,' Auth., 'spem non habentes,' Vulg., Clarom., comp. Syr.; general consequence of the alienation mentioned in the preceding member; not however with any special dependence on that clause, scil. ώστε μη έχειν έλπίδα, ' so that you had no (covenanted) hope,' 'spem promissioni respondentem' (Beng., comp. Harl.);—for (a) the absence of the article shows that έλπίδα cannot here be in any way limited, but is simply 'hope' in its most general meaning; and (b) $\mu \eta$ can be no further pressed than as simply referring to the thought and feeling of the subject introduced by μνημονεύετε, ver. 11, 'having (as you must have felt) no hope; comp. Winer, Gr. § 55. 5, p. 428, Herm. Viger, No. 267, and the good collection of exx. in Gayler, Partic. Neg. ch. IX. p. 275 sq. On the general use in the N. T. of $\mu \eta$ with participles, see notes on I Thess.

Ίησοῦ ἐπὶ ἔργοις ἀγαθοῖς, οἶς προητοίμασεν ὁ Θεὸς ἵνα ἐν αὐτοῖς περιπατήσωμεν.

tra, see notes on ch. i. 17.

10. αὐτοῦ γάρ κ.τ.λ.] 'for we are His handiwork,' 'ipsius enim sumus factura,' Vulg.; proof of the foregoing sentences καὶ τοῦτο...δῶρον and ούκ έξ ξργων; the emphatic αὐτοῦ pointing to the positive statement that the gift of salvation comes from God. and the assertion of our being His (spiritual) wolnua to the negative statement that salvation is not és ύμῶν, or as further explained, οὐκ ἐξ ἔργων. If we are God's ποίημα, our salvation, our all, must be due to Him (comp. Bramhall, Castig. Vol. IV. 232, A.-C. Libr.): if we are a spiritual ποίημα (την άναγέννησιν ένταθθα αίνίττεται, Chrys.), spiritually formed and designed for good works, our salvation can never be έξ ξργων (whether of the natural, moral, or ritual law) which preceded that dvdktiois: see Neander, Planting, Vol. 1. p. 476 note (ed. Bohn). KTLOTOÉVTES ev Xp. 'Iησ.] 'created in Christ Jesus;' defining clause, explaining the true application and meaning of the preceding molnua: compare ver. 15, and the expression καινή κτίσις, 2 Cor. v. 17, Gal. vi. 15, with notes in loc. That the reference of wolnua is not to the physical, and that of $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta$. to the spiritual creation ('quantum ad substantiam fecit, quantum ad gratiam condidit,' Tertull. Marc. v. 17), but that both refer to the spiritual ανάκτισις, not only appears from the context, but is asserted by the best ancient (οὐ κατά τὴν πρώτην λέγει δημιουργίαν, άλλά κατά την δευτέραν, Theod., comp. Œcum.), and accepted by the best modern commentators; still it does not seem improbable that the more general and inclusive word wolnμα was designed to suggest the

analogy (Harl.) between the physical creation and the spiritual re-creation of man. For a sound sermon on this text see Beveridge, Serm. IV. Vol. II. p. 417 sq. (A.-C. Libr.). έπὶ ἔργοις dyaθοῖς] 'for good works,' i. e. 'to do good works;' έπι denoting the object or purpose for which they were created: see Winer, Gr. § 48. c, p. 351, notes on Gal. v. 13, 1 Thres. iv. 7, and exx. in Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 546. On the doctrinal and practical aspects of the clause, see Beveridge, Serm. IV. Vol. II. p. 418. ols προητοίμασεν] 'which God afore prepared,' عبل کمیّے دی [ab initio paravit] Syr., 'prius paravit,' Copt., Æth., 'præparavit,' Vulg., Clarom. The construction, meaning, and doctrinal significance of these words, have been much discussed. We may remark briefly, (1) that owing to the absence of the usual accus. after προητοίμ. (Isaiah xxviii. 24, Wisdom ix. 8, Rom. ix. 23), ols cannot be 'the dative of the object,' 'for which God hath from the first provided,' Peile, but is simply (by the usual attraction) for a: see Winer, Gr. § 24. 1, p. 147, and § 22. 4. obs. p. 135. So Vulg., Syr., Copt., al., and the majority of commentators. (2) Προητοίμ. is not neuter (Beng., Stier): the simple verb is so used, Luke ix. 52, 2 Chron. i. 4 (?), but there is no evidence of a similar use of the compound. Nor is it equivalent (in regard to things) with προορίζω (in regard to persons), Harl., a paraphrastic translation rightly condemned by Fritz. Rom. ix. 23, Vol. II. p. 339, 'aliud est enim parare ἐτοιμάζειν [to make ἔτοιμα, ἔτα, see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. ετοιμος], aliud definire oplicie.' Lastly, neither here

nor Rom. l.c. must the force of $\pi \rho \delta$ be neglected: compare Philo, de Opif. § 25, Vol. I. p. 18 (ed. Mang.), ώς οίκειοτάτω . . . ζώω τὰ ἐν κόσμω πάντα προητοιμάσατο, rightly translated by Fritz., 'ante paravit quam conde-(3) Thus then we adhere to ret.' the simplest meaning of the words. using the latter part of the clause to explain any ambiguity of expression in the former: 'God, before we were created in Christ, made ready for us, pre-arranged, prepared, a sphere of moral action, or (to use the simile of Chrys.) a road, with the intent that we should walk in it, and not leave it; this sphere, this road, was $\xi \rho \gamma a \, d\gamma a \theta d$: comp. Beveridge, Serm. l. c. p. 428. On the important doctrinal statement fairly deducible from this text,-- 'bona opera sequuntur hominem justificatum non præcedunt in homine justificando,' see Jackson, Creed, xt. 30. 6.

11. A.6] 'Wherefore,' since God has vouchsafed such blessings to you and to all of us; not in exclusive reference to ver. 10, ὅτι ἐκτίσθημεν ἐπ' έργοις άγαθοῖς, Chrys., nor alone to ver. 4-10 (Mey.), but, as the use of ύμεις (comp. ver. 1) suggests, to the whole, or rather to the declaratory portion of the foregoing paragraph, ver. 1-7; ver. 8-10 being an argumentative and explanatory addition. On St Paul's use of διό, comp. notes on Gal. iv. 31. The construction, which is not perfectly clear, is commonly explained by the introduction of over before tà thun (Fuld.), or he before (Syr.) or after (Goth.) ἐν σαρκί. This is not necessary: the position of wore (as rightly maintained by Lachm., Tisch., with ABD¹EN¹; Clarom., Sangerm., Aug., Vulg., al.)

seems to suggest that τὰ ἔθνη κ.τ.λ. is simply in apposition to υμείς. "Οτι and $\pi \circ \tau \hat{\epsilon}$ are then respectively resumed by $\delta \tau \iota$ and $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\varphi}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon l \nu \varphi$ in ver. 12; see Meyer in loc. TO EBYT EY Gapel 'Gentiles in the flesh.' On the correct insertion of the article before ἔθνη (to denote class, category), see Middl. Gr. Art. III. 2. 2, p. 40 (Rose); and on its equally correct omission before $\ell \nu$ ($\tau \dot{a}$ $\ell \theta \nu$. $\ell \nu$ σ . forming only one idea), see Winer, Gr. § 20. 2. p. 123, notes ch. i. 15, and Fritz. Rom. iii. 25, Vol. 1. p. 195. Έν σαρκὶ is not in reference 'to their natural descent' (Hamm.), nor to their corrupted state (οὐκ ἐν πνεύματι, Theoph., 'unregenerate Gentiles,' Peile; comp. Syr.), but, as the use of the word below distinctly suggests, to the corporeal mark; 'præputium profani hominis indicium erat:' Calv. They bore the proof of their Gentilism in their flesh and on their bodies. οί λεγόμενοι άκροβυστία κ.τ.λ.] 'who are called contemptuously the Uncircumcision by the so called Circumcision.' Both $d\kappa\rho\sigma\beta$. and $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau$. are used as the distinctive names or titles of the two classes, Gentiles and Jews. On the omission of the art. before ἀκροβυστ. (a verb 'vocandi' having preceded), see Middl. Gr. Art. III. 3. 2, p. 43 (Rose); and on the derivation of the word (an Alexandrian corruption of άκροποσθία), Fritz. Rom. ii. 26, Vol. I. έν σαρκί χειροр. 136. ποιήτου] 'wrought by hand in the flesh,' 'et est opus manuum in carne,' Syr.; a tertiary predication (see Donalds. Gr. § 489 sq., and observe the idiomatically exact transl. of Syr.), added by the Apostle reflectively rather than descriptively: 'the cir-

ήτε τῷ καιρῷ ἐκείνῳ χωρὶς Χριστοῦ, ἀπηλλοτριωμένοι

cumcision, -yes, hand-wrought in the flesh; only a visible manual operation on the flesh, when it ought to be a secret spiritual process in the heart; only κατατομή, not περιτομή:' comp. Rom. ii. 28, 29, Phil. iii. 3, Col. ii. Thus then, as Calvin rightly felt, the Apostle expresses no contempt for the outward rite, which he himself calls a σφραγίδα της δικαιοσύνης, Rom. iv. 11, but only (as the present words suggest) at the assumption of such a title (observe της λεγομ., not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu$.) by a people who had no conception of its true and deep significance. The Gentiles were called, and really were the ἀκροβυστία: the Jews were called the περιτομή, but were not truly so.

12. δτι ήτε] 'that ye were;' resumption of the ore in ver. 11, and continuation of the suspended sentence; see notes on ver. II. καιρφ ἐκείνω] 'at that time;' 'in your heathen state.' The prep. iv (here rightly omitted by Lachm., Tisch., with ABD¹FGN; mss.; Clarom., Sang., Aug., al.; Chrys.), though occasionally omitted (2 Cor. vi. 2 quotation, Gal. vi. 9), is more commonly, and indeed more correctly, inserted in this and similar forms: comp. Rom. iii. 26, xi. 5, 2 Cor. viii. 13, 2 Thess. ii. 6; and see Wannowski, Constr. Abs. III. 1, p. 88, Madvig, Synt. § 39, and comp. ib. Lat. Gr. § 276. ...χωρίς Χριστοῦ] 'ye were...without Christ;' $\chi\omega\rho$ ls $X\rho$. forming a predicate (Syr.; 'et nesciebatis Christum,' Æth.), not a limiting clause to ήτε ...dπηλλοτρ. (De W., Eadie), which would be a singularly harsh construction. The Ephesians, whom St Paul here views as the representatives of Gentilism (Olsh.), were, in their heathen ante-Christian state, truly χωρίς

 $X\rho$., without the Messiah, without the promised Seed (contrast Rom. ix. 4 sq.); now however 'eum possidetis non minus quam ii quibus promissus fuerat:' Grot. in loc. The two following clauses, each of two parts, more exactly elucidate the significance of the expression. the distinction between drev ('absence of object from subject') and χωρίς ('separation of subject from object'), see Tittmann, Synon. p. 94. distinction however does not appear to be perfectly certain (comp. Phil. ii. 14, with 1 Pet. iv. 9), and must at all events be applied with caution, when it is remembered that $\chi \omega \rho l_s$ is used 40 times in the N.T., and drev only 3 times, viz. Matth. x. 29, I Pet. iii. I, iv. o. Where, in any given writer or writers, there is such a marked preference for one rather than another of two perfectly simple words, it is well not to be hypercritical. άπηλλοτριωμένοι κ.τ.λ,] 'being aliens,

or in a state of alienation, from the commonwealth of Israel;' in opp. to συμπολίται των άγίων, ver. 19. There is a slight difficulty in the exact meaning and application of the words. Reversing the order, for the sake of making the simpler word define the more doubtful, we may observe that 'Ισραήλ is clearly the theocratic name of the Jewish people, the title which marks their religious and spiritual, rather than their national or political distinctions; see Rom. ix. 6, 1 Cor. x. 18, Gal. vi. 16. From this it would seem to follow that modure(a,which may be either (a) 'reipublicae forma, status,' των την πόλιν οικούντων τάξις τις, Aristot. Pol. III. 1. 1 (comp. νομίμους πολιτείας opp. to παρανόμους έθισμούς, 2 Macc. iv. 11, προγονική πολιτεία, viii. 17); or (b) 'jus civiτης πολιτείας τοῦ Ἰσραηλ καὶ ξένοι τῶν διαθηκῶν της έπαγγελίας, ἐλπίδα μη ἔχοντες καὶ ἄθεοι ἐν τῷ κόσμφ.

tatis,' comp. Acts xxii. 28, 3 Macc. iii. 21; or (c) 'vivendi ratio,' comp. 'conversatione,' Vulg., Clarom., see Theoph. on ver. 13, and Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 795,—is here used only in the first sense, and with a distinctly spiritual application; so Æth.-Platt, Arm., and most modern commentators. The gen. is thus, not that of the 'identical notion,' e. g. dστυ 'Αθηνῶν (Harl.), but a simple possessive gen.,—the 'reipublicæ status' which belonged to Israel.

dπηλλοτριωμένοι is a noticeable and emphatic word (οὐκ εἶπε κεχωρισμένοι ...πολλή τῶν ἡημάτων ἡ ἔμφασις πολύν δεικνῦσα τὸν χωρισμόν, Chrys.), which seems to hint at a state of former unity and fellowship, and a lapse or separation $(d\pi\delta)$ from it; see ch. iv. 18, Col. i. 21, Ecclus. xi. 34, 3 Macc. i. 3, and comp. Joseph. Antiq. XI. 5. 4, exx. in Kypke, Obs. Vol. 11. p. 295, and in Schweigh. Polyb. Lex. s. v. This union, though not historically demonstrable, is no less spiritually Jew and Gentile were once under one spiritual modirela, of which the Jewish was a subsequent visible manifestation. The Gentile lapsed from it, the Jew made it invalid (Matth. xv. 6, comp. Chrys.); and they parted, only to unite again (ξθνη καί λαοί Ίσραήλ, Acts iv. 27) in one act of uttermost rebellion, and yet, through the mystery of redeeming Love, to remain thereby (ver. 15, 16) united in Christ for ever.

ξένοι τῶν διαθηκῶν] 'strangers from the covenants;' second and more specializing part of the first explanatory clause. The gen. after ξένος is not due to any quasi-participial power (Eadie), but belongs to the category of the (inverted) possessive gen. (Bernhardy, Synt. III. 49, p. 171), or perhaps rather to the gen. of 'the point of view' ('extraneos quod ad pactorum promissiones attinet,' Beza); see Scheuerl. Synt. § 18. 3. a, p. 135. The use of the plural διαθήκαι must not be limited, either here or Rom. ix. 4, to the two tables of the law (Elan., Wolf), nor again unnecessarily extended to God's various covenantpromises to David and the people (comp. De W.), but appears simply to refer to the several renewals of the covenant with the patriarchs: see esp. Wisd. xviii. 22, δκρους πατέρων καὶ διαθήκας: 2 Macc. viii. 15, τάς πρός τούς πατέρας αὐτῶν διαθήκας: comp. Rom. xv. 8. The great Messianic promise (Gen. xiii. 15, xv. 18, xvii. 8; Chrys., Theoph.) was the subject and substratum of all.

έλπίδα μη έχοντες] 'not having hope,' Auth., 'spem non habentes,' Vulg., Clarom., comp. Syr.; general consequence of the alienation mentioned in the preceding member; not however with any special dependence on that clause, soil. ώστε μη έχειν έλπίδα, 'so that you had no (covenanted) hope,' 'spem promissioni respondentem' (Beng., comp. Harl.);—for (a) the absence of the article shows that ἐλπίδα cannot here be in any way limited, but is simply 'hope' in its most general meaning; and (b) μη can be no further pressed than as simply referring to the thought and feeling of the subject introduced by μνημονεύετε, ver. 11, 'having (as you must have felt) no hope; comp. Winer, Gr. § 55. 5, p. 428, Herm. Viger, No. 267, and the good collection of exx. in Gayler, Partic. Neg. ch. IX. p. 275 sq. On the general use in the N. T. of $\mu\eta$ with participles, see notes on I Thess.

13 νυνὶ δὲ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ ὑμεῖς οἱ ποτὲ ὅντες μακρὰν
14 ἐγγὺς ἐγενήθητε ἐν τῷ αἵματι τοῦ Χριστοῦ. αὐτὸς γάρ

ii. 15. άθεοι έν τφ κόσμφ] 'without God in the world;' objective negation (à being here equiv. to ov with an adj., Harl.; see however Gayler, Partic. Neg. p. 35), forming the climax and accumulation of the misery involved in χωρίς Χριστοῦ: they were without church and without promise, without hope, and were in the profane wicked world (ἐν τῷ κόσμφ being in contrast to πολιτ. τοῦ Ἰσρ., and like it ethical in its reference),-without God. "A θεος may be taken either with active, neuter, or passive reference, i.e. either denying (see exx. Suicer, Thes. s. v.), ignorant of (Gal. iv. 8; 'nesciebatis Deum,' Æth.; Ερημοι της θεογνωσίας, Theod.; comp. Clem. Alex. Protrept. 14), or foreaken by God (Soph. Ed. Rex, 661, αθεος αφιλος): the last meaning seems best to suit the passive tenor of the passage, and to enhance the dreariness and gloom of the picture. On the religious aspects of heathenism, see the good note of Harless in loc.

13. vuvl & G' 'But now;' in antithesis to τῷ καιρῷ ἐκείνῳ, ver. 12. έν Χρ. 'Ιησοῦ] 'in Christ JESUS;' prominent and emphatic; standing in immediate connexion with vuvl (not έγενήθητε, Mey.), which it both qualifies and characterizes, and forming a contrast to $\chi\omega\rho$ is $X\rho$., ver. 12. The addition of Inσοῦ, far from being an argument against such a contrast (Mey.), is in fact almost confirmatory of it. Such an addition was necessary to make the circumstances of the contrast fully felt. Then they were $\chi \omega \rho ls X \rho$., separate from and without part in the Messiah; now they were not only ἐν Χριστῷ but ἐν Χριστώ 'Ιησοῦ, in a personal Saviour,

—in One who was no longer their future hope, but their present salvation. The personal reference is appropriately continued by $\dot{e}\nu \tau \dot{\varphi}$ al $\mu a \tau \epsilon$,—not merely $a\dot{\nu}\tau o \hat{\nu}$, but $\tau o \hat{\nu} \times \rho$.; He who poured out His blood, Jesus of Nazareth, was truly Christ.

έγγυς έγενήθητε] 'became nigh,' were brought nigh to God's holy and spiritual πολιτεία: of μακράν όντες της πολιτ. τοῦ Ἰσρ., τῆς κατά Θεὸν ἐγγὺς έγενήθητε Œcum. On the passive form εγενήθ. see notes on ch. iii. 7, and on the use of the words μακρά» and έγγθs in designating Gentiles and Jews (compare the term προσήλυτοι), see the very good illustrations of Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. Vol. I. p. 761 sq., and of Wetst. in loc.; comp. also Isaiah lvii. 19, Dan. ix. 7 (Theod.), and Valck. on Acts ii. 39, cited by Grinfield, Schol. Hell. on this verse. order έγεν. έγγὺs is adopted by Lachm. with ABN; mss.; Aug., Vulg., Goth., al., but seems due to a mistaken correction of the emphatic juxtaposition μακράν έγγύς. аїнать] 'by the blood;' èr having here appy. its instrumental force; see Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 346. No very precise distinction can be drawn between this use and δια τοῦ αΐμ. ch. i. 7. We may perhaps say the latter implies mediate and more simple, the former, immanent instrumentality: comp. Jelf, Gr. § 622. 3, Winer, l. c. p. 347 note, and notes on I Thess. iv. 18.

14. αὐτὸς γάρ] 'For He, and none other than He:' confirmatory explanation of ver. 13, the emphasis resting, not on εἰρήνη ἡμῶν (De W.), but (as the prominent position of ἐν Χρ. Ἰησ. and repetition of Χριστοῦ, ver. 13, seem decisively to show) on

έστιν ή εἰρήνη ήμῶν, ὁ ποιήσας τὰ ἀμφότερα εν καὶ τὸ μεσότοιχον τοῦ φραγμοῦ λύσας, τὴν ἔχθραν, ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ 15

αὐτός, which is thus no mere otiose pronoun (comp. Thiersch, de Pentat. p. 98), but is used with its regular and classical significance; see Winer, Gr. § 22. 4. obs. p. 135, and comp. Herm. de Pronom. αὐτός, ch. x. ή εἰρήνη ἡμῶν] 'our Peace.' Though the context, and defining participle ò ποιήσας, seem very distinctly to prove that elphun is here used in some degree 'per metonymiam' (comp. 1 Cor. i. 30, Col. i. 27), and so in a sense but little differing from ελρηνοποιός (Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2, p. 253), the abstract subst. still has and admits of a fuller and more general application. Not only was Christ our 'Pacificator,' but our 'Pax,' the true שֵׁר שָׁלוֹם (Isaiah ix. 6), the very essence as well as the cause of it; comp. Olsh. in loc. Thus considered, elphyn seems to have here its widest meaning; not only peace between Jew and Gentile, but also between both and God. In ver. 15 the context limits it to the former reference; in ver. 17 it reverts to its present and more inclusive reference. τὰ dμφότερα] 'both,' Jews and Gentiles; explained by τους δύο and τους αμφοτέρους, ver. 15, 16. We have here no ellipsis of γένη, ἔθνη κ.τ.λ., but only the abstract and generalizing neuter; see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 27. 5, p. 160. Kall 'and,' sc. 'namely;' the particle having here its explanatory force: see Fritz. Rom. ix. 23, Vol. II. p. 339, Winer, Gr. § 53. 3. obs. p. 388, and notes on Phil. iv. τὸ μεσότοιχον τοῦ φραγμοῦ] 'the middle wall of the fence or partition,' scil. between Jew and Gentile. The genitival relation has been differently explained. There is of course no real (Pisc.) or virtual (Beza) interchange of words for τὸν

φρ. τοῦ μεσοτ., nor does τοῦ φραγμοῦ appear to be here either (a) a gen. of the characterizing quality, scil. 70 διαφράσσον, τὸ διατειχίζον (Chrys. 1, Harl.; comp. Clem. Alex. Strom. VI. 13, p. 793, τὸ μεσότοιχον τὸ διορίζον), or (b) a gen. of identity, 'the middlewall which was or formed the φραγμός' (Mey.); but either (c) a gen. of origin, τὸ ἀπὸ φραγμοῦ (Chrys. 2), or still more simply (d) a common possessive gen., 'the wall which pertained to, belonged to the fence,'-a use of the case which is far from uncommon in the N.T., and admits of some latitude of application; comp. Donalds. Gr. \$ 454. aa, p. 481 sq. exact reference of the φραγμός (110) Buxtorf, Lex. s.v. p. 1447) is also somewhat difficult to fix, as both elphyn and ξχθρα (ver. 15), and indeed the whole tenor of the passage, seem to imply something more than the relations of Jews and Gentiles to each other, and must include the relations of both to God; comp. Alf. in loc. If this be so, the φραγμός would seem to mean the Law generally (Zonaras, Lex. p. 1822), not merely the ceremonial law (Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 49, ed. Bohn), nor the 'discrimen præputii' (Beng.), but the whole Mosaic Law, esp. in its aspects as a system of separation; comp. Chrys. in loc., who appositely cites Isaiah v. 2. Whether there is any direct reference to the έρκίον δρυφάκτου λιθίνου (Joseph. Antiq. xv. 11. 5) between the courts of the Jews and Gentiles (Hamm.) is perhaps doubtful; see Meyer. We may well admit however, as indeed the specific and so to say localizing φραγμός seems to suggest, an allusion both to this and to the veil which was rent (Matth.

αὐτοῦ, τὸν νόμον τῶν ἐντολῶν ἐν δόγμασιν καταργήσας,

xxvii. 51) at our Lord's crucifixion; the former illustrating the separation between Jew and Gentile, the latter between both and God. As has been well remarked, the temple was as it were a material embodiment of the law, and in its very outward structure was a symbol of spiritual distinctions; see Stier in loc. p. 322, 323.

15. την ξχθραν] 'the enmity;' 'ponenda hic ὑποστιγμή,' Grot.; in apposition to, and a further explanation of $\tau \delta$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma$. $\tau \circ \hat{\nu} \phi \rho$., to wit, the root of the enmity ('parietem, qui est odium,' Æth.) between Jew and Gentile, and between both and God. The exact reference of $\xi \chi \theta \rho a \nu$ has been greatly debated. That it cannot imply exclusively (a) 'the enmity of Jews and Gentiles against God' (Chrys.) seems clear from the foregoing context (comp. ὁ ποιήσας τὰ άμφότερα εν, ver. 14), in which the enmity between Jew and Gentile is distinctly alluded to. That it cannot denote simply (b) 'the reciprocal enmity of Jew and Gentile' (Meyer, comp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2. 1, p. 253) seems also clear from its appositional relation to μεσ. τοῦ φρ., from the preceding term εlρήνη, and from the subsequent explanation afforded by τον νόμον τῶν ἐντ. κ.τ.λ. The reference then must be to both, sc. to the $\xi \chi \theta \rho a$ which was the result and working of the law regarded as a system of separation,-the enmity due not only to Judaical limitations and antagonisms, but also and, as the widening context shows, more especially to the alienation of both Jew and Gentile from God; ἐκατέραν έχθραν καὶ ἐκάτερον μεσότοιχον έλυσε Χριστός ὁ Θεός ἡμῶν Phot. ap. Œcum. This though not distinctly put forward in ed. 1, and peremptorily rejected by

De W. and Mey., seems, on reconsideration, the only explanation that satisfies the strong term $\ell\chi\theta\rho a$, and the very inclusive context.

έν τη σαρκί αὐτοῦ] 'in His crucified flesh;' comp. Col. i. 22, ἐν τῷ σώματι της σαρκός αὐτοῦ διά τοῦ θανάτου. These words cannot be connected with την έχθραν (Arm., Chrys., Cocc.), as in such a case the article could not be dispensed with even in the dialect of the N.T., but must be joined as a specification of the manner, or perhaps rather of the instrument,—either (a) with καταργήσας, to which this clause is emphatically prefixed (ed. 1, De W., Mey.), or perhaps more naturally (b) with λύσας (Syr., Æth., Theod., Theoph., Œcum.), to which it subjoins an equally emphatic specification. Stier (comp. Chrys.) extends the ref. of σάρξ to Christ's incarnate state and the whole tenor of His earthly life ('Fleisches-lebens'); comp. Schulz, Abendm. p. 95 sq. This is doubtful: the context appears to refer alone to His death; comp. ver. 13, $\epsilon v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ αζματι; ver. 16, διά τοῦ σταυροῦ. On the distinction between the odog and the $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha$ (the $\sigma\hat{\alpha}\rho\xi$ $\delta o\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\alpha$) of Christ, comp. Lücke on John vi. 51, Vol. II. p. 149 sq. τὸν νόμον τῶν έντ. έν δόγμ.] 'the law of ordinances expressed in decrees,' scil. 'the law of decretory ordinances; comp. Col. ii. 14. The Greek commentators join ἐν δόγμ. with καταργ., referring δόγματα (scil. την πίστω, Chrys.; την εύαγγελικην διδασκαλίαν, Theod.) to Christian doctrines: this meaning of δόγμα however is untenable in the N.T. Harless (comp. Syr.) retains the same construction, but regards & δόγμ. as defining the sphere in which the action of Christ's death was manifested, 'on the side of, in the matter of decrees.'

ΐνα τοὺς δύο κτίση ἐν ἐαυτῷ εἰς ἔνα καινὸν ἄνθρωπον, ποιῶν εἰρήνην, καὶ ἀποκαταλλάξη τοὺς ἀμφοτέρους ἐν ἐνὶ σώματι 16

This is plausible, and much to be preferred to Fritzsche's expl., 'nova præcepta stabiliendo' (Dissert. ad 2 Cor. p. 168); still the article ($\tau o \hat{s} \delta \delta \gamma \mu$.) seems indispensable, for, as Winer observes (Gr. p. 250. ed. 5), both the law and the side or aspect under which it is viewed are fairly definite. We retain therefore the ordinary explanation, according to which έν δόγμ. is closely united with των ἐντολων, and therefore correctly anarthrous; see Winer, Gr. § 20. 2, p. 123, and notes ch. i. τ5. The gen. ἐντολ. thus serves to express the contents (Bernhardy, Synt. 111. 45, p. 163), εν δόγμ. the definite mandatory form ('legem imperiosam,' Erasm.) in which the èvτολαί were expressed; see Tholuck, Beiträge, p. 93 sq., and esp. Winer, (ir. § 31. 10. obs. 1, p. 196, ed. 6, but more fully in ed. 5, p. 250.

'that He might make the two in Himself into one new man; purpose of the abrogation; peace between Jew and Gentile by making them (οὐκ εἶπε μεταβάλη, ϊνα δείξη τὸ ἐνεργὲς τοῦ γενομένου, Chrys.) in Himself, in His person (not δι' ἐαυτοῦ, Chrys.), into, not merely one man, but one new man; ενα άνήνεγκε θαυμαστόν, αὐτὸς τοῦτο πρώτον γενόμενος Chrys. Meier's assertion that καινός has here no moral significance is obviously untenable: comp. ch. iv. 24, and notes in loc. The reading is slightly doubtful. Lachm. adopts αὐτῷ with ABFN¹; 10 mss.; Procop.; a more difficult reading, and appy. as strongly attested as έαυτφ [DEGKLN4; bulk of mss.], but not improbably due to the frequent confusion between the oblique cases of autos and those of the reflexive pronoun. ποιών είρήνην]

'so making peace,' scil. between Jews and Gentiles, and between both and God, πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ πρὸς ἀλλήλους. Chrys.; contrast τὴν ἔχθραν, ver. 15. It may be observed that the aorist is not used (as in ver. 16), but the present: the 'pacificatio' is not mentioned as in modal or causal dependence on the 'creatio,' but simply as extending over and contemporaneous with the whole process of it: comp. Scheuerl. Synt. § 31. 2. a, p. 310.

16. και άποκαταλλάξη τους άμφ.] ' and might reconcile us both;' parallel purpose to the foregoing, and stated second in order, though really from the nature of the case the first; the divine procedure being, as De W. observes, stated regressively, ενα κτίση ... [ενα] άποκατ....άποκτείνας. double compound $d\pi o \kappa a \tau$. is used only here and Col. i. 20, 21. In both cases $d\pi \delta$ does not simply strengthen (e. g. άποθαυμάζω, ἀπεργάζομαι, Meyer, Eadie), but hints at a restoration to a primal unity, 'reduxerit in unum gregem,' Calv.; comp. ver. 13, and Winer, de Verb. Comp. IV. p. 7, 8. gives rather a different and perhaps doubtful turn, δεικνύς ὅτι πρὸ τούτου ή άνθρωπίνη φύσις εὐκατάλλακτος ήν, οίον ἐπὶ τῶν ἀγίων καὶ πρὸ τοῦ νόμου. The profound dogmatical considerations connected with καταλλαγή (alike active and objective, and passive and subjective, comp. 2 Cor. v. 18 with ib. 20) are treated perspicuously by Usteri, Lehrb. II. I. I, p. 102 sq.: see also Jackson, Creed, Book x. 49. 3, Pearson, ibid. Vol. I. p. 430 sq. (Burέν ένὶ σώματι] 'in one (corporate) body,' scil. in the Church. The reference to the human $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau o \hat{v} \times \rho$. (Chrys.) is plausible,

but en nearer examination not tenable.

τῷ Θεῷ διὰ τοῦ σταυροῦ, ἀποκτείνας τὴν ἔχθραν ἐν 17 αὐτῷ. καὶ ἐλθὼν εὐηγγελίσατο εἰρήνην ὑμῖν τοῖς μακρὰν

Had this been intended, the order (comp. the position of ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ αὐτοῦ) would surely have been different, if only to prevent this very connexion of τους άμφοτ. and έν ένι σώμ. which their present juxtaposition so obviously suggests. Moreover, the query of B.-Crus. why Christ's human body should be here designated êv $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu a$, has not been satisfactorily answered, even by Stier: the application of it to the mystical body is intelligible and appropriate, comp. ch. iv. 4. 'Er does not thus become equivalent to els, but preserves its proper meaning: they were $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau as \dot{\epsilon} ls \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho$. thus κτισθέντας, Christ reconciles them both èν èνὶ σώμ. (scil. ὄντας, Olsh.) to God: see Winer, Gr. § 50. 5, p. 370. dποκτείνας] 'having slain,' i. e. 'after He had slain;' temporal participle, standing in contrast with ποιῶν, ver. 15. The use of the particular word has evidently been suggested by ôcà τοῦ σταυροῦ: not λύσας, not ἀνελών, but anoktelvas, 'quia crux mortem adfert,' Grot.; and thus in the words, though not the application of Chrys., ώστε μηκέτι αὐτὴν ἀναστῆναι. The $\xi \chi \theta \rho \alpha$ here specified is not merely and exclusively the enmity between Jew and Gentile (comp. ed. 1), but also, as in ver. 15, and here even still more distinctly and primarily, the enmity between both and God; μάλλον πρός τὸν Θεόν, τὸ γὰρ έξης τοῦτο δηλοί, Chrys., comp. Alf. in loc. έν αὐτῷ] 'in it,' scil. 'upon it.' Hamm., not 'in corpore suo,' Bengel: see Col. ii. 15 and notes in loc. In FG; Vulg. ('in semet ipso'), Syr.-Phil., and several Latin Ff., we find $\ell \nu$ $\ell a \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$,—a reading probably owing its origin and support to the reference of έν ένλ σώμ. to Christ.

17. Kal ilbiv] 'And having come, &c.: 'not 'and came' (Auth.), as this obscures the commencement of the new sentence (see Scholef. Hints, p. 100), nor 'and coming' (Eadie), as the action described by ελθών is not here contemporaneous with, but prior to that of εύηγγελίσατο: comp. Bernhardy, Synt. x. 9, p. 382. This verse seems clearly to refer back to ver. 14, αὐτὸς γάρ κ.τ.λ., there being, as B.-Crus. suggests, a faint apposition between Χρ. ἐστιν ἡ εἰρήνη ἡμ., ver. 14, and εὐηγγελ. εἰρήνην, ver. 17; still, as ver. 15 and 16 cannot be considered parenthetical, the connexion is carried on by kal, and the verse is linked with what immediately precedes. 'Ελθών thus following dποκτείνας will more naturally refer to a spiritual advent (see esp. Acts xxvi. 23), or a mediate advent in the person of His Apostles, than to our Lord's preaching when on earth. The participle ἐλθών (no mere redundancy, Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 471) in fact serves to give a realistic touch to the whole group of clauses; 'Christ is our peace; yes, and He came, and by His Spirit and the mouths of His Apostles He preached it;' see Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 1, p. 338. είρηνην] 'peace,' not only την πρός τον Θεόν (Chrys.), but also την προς dλλήλους; see notes ver. 14. repetition of elphynu is rightly maintained by Tisch. with ABDEFGX; mss.; Vulg., Clarom., Goth., Copt., Æth., Arm.; and many Ff. It gives an emphasis and solemnity to the passage, which is here (though denied by Stier, p. 370, comp. Bengel) especially appropriate. Meyer compares Rom. iii. 31, viii. 15.

καὶ εἰρήνην τοῖς ἐγγύς, ὅτι δι' αὐτοῦ ἔχομεν τὴν προσα- 18 γωγὴν οἱ ἀμφότεροι ἐν ἐνὶ Πνεύματι πρὸς τὸν πατέρα. ἄρα οὖν οὐκέτι ἐστὰ ξένοι καὶ πάροικοι, ἀλλ' ἐστὰ συν- 19

18. Tr. Si' avroû] 'seeing that through Him,' not merely explanatory, 'to wit that we have' (B.-Crus.), nor yet strongly causal, 'because we have' (Beng.), but with somewhat more of a demonstrative or confirmatory force, 'as it is a fact that, dc.;' compare 2 Cor. i. 5, and see notes on 2 Thess. iii. 7. The 'probatio,' as Calvin observes, is 'ab effectu;' the principal moment of thought however does not rest on Exomer, on the reality of the possession (Harl.), or on any appeal to inward experience, 'for -is it not so?' (Stier); but, as the order suggests, on δι' αὐτοῦ, on the matter of fact that it was 'through Him, and none but Him' that we have this προσαγωγή. For a sound sermon on this text see Sherlock, Serm, XVI. Vol. I. p. 288 sq. (ed. Hughes). ξχομέν] 'we are having,' present; the action is still going on: contrast έσχήκαμεν, Rom. v. 2, where the reference is to the period when they became Christians, and where consequently the προσαγωγή is spoken of as a thing past. τήν προσαγωγήν] 'our introduction, admission,' 'quia ipse adduxit,' Æth.; not intransitively either here or Rom. v. 2, scil. 'access,' Auth., 'accessum,' Vulg., 'adventum' (dshini), Copt., 'atgagg,' Goth.; but transitively, 'adeundi copiam,' 'admissionem,' the latter being the primary and proper meaning of the word; see Meyer on Rom. v. 2, and comp. (appy.) Xen. Cyrop. VII. 5. 45, τούς έμους φίλους δεομένους προσαγωγη̂ς ib. I. 3. 8, and the various applications of the word in Polybius, e.g. Hist. 1. 48. 2, των μηχανημάτων πρ., XIV. 10. 9, των δργάνων. Christ is thus our προσαγωγεύε to the Father; ούκ

είπεν πρόσοδον άλλά προσαγωγήν, οὐ γὰρ ἀφ' ἐαυτῶν προσήλθομεν, ἀλλ' ύπ' αύτοῦ προσήχθημεν : Chrys. on ver. 21; see 1 Pet. iii. 18, ໃνα ήμας $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \gamma d \gamma \eta \tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$. There may possibly be here (less probably however in Rom. v. 2) an allusion to the προσαγωγεύς ('admissionalis,' Lampridius, Sever. 4) at Oriental courts, Tholuck, Rom. l. c., and Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1. I, p. 101; at any rate the supposition does not merit the contempt with which it has been treated by Rückert. The uses of προσαγωγή are well illustrated by Wakefield, in Steph. Thes. s. v. Vol. 11. p. 86 (ed. Valpy), and by Bos, Obs. Misc. 35, p. 149 8q. ev evl IIvevuati] 'in one Spirit, common to Jew and Gentile;' not for διά (Chrys.; comp. (Ecum., Calv., al.), but as usual, 'united in' (Olsh.); comp. 1 Cor. xii. 13. The Holy Spirit is, as it were, the vital sphere or element in which both parties have their common προσαγωγή to the Father. The mention of the three Persons in the blessed Trinity, with the three prepp. διά, έν, πρός, is especially noticeable and distinct.

19. dpa ovv] 'Accordingly then,' 'so then;' 'rebus ita comparatis igitur:' conclusion and consequence from the declarations of ver. 14-18, with a further expansion of the ideas of ver. 13. On the use of ἄρα οὖν, see notes on Gal. vi. 10, and comp. Rom. v. 18, vii. 3, 25, viii. 12, ix. 16, 18: in all these cases the weaker ratiocinative force of apa is supported by the collective ov. This union of the two particles is not found in classical Greek, except in the case of the interrogative form dpa: see Herm. Viger, ξένοι καλ πάρ-No. 202.

20 πολίται των άγίων καὶ οἰκείοι τοῦ Θεοῦ, ἐποικοδομηθέν-

olkoil 'strangers and sojourners;' 'peregrini atque incolæ,' Cic. Offic. I. 34. 125. The two expressions seem to constitute a full antithesis to συνπολίται, and to include all who, whether by national and territorial demarcation, or by the absence of civic privileges, were not citizens. IIdpoikos then is here (comp. Acts vii. 6, 29, 1 Pet. ii. 11) simply the same as the classical μέτοικος (a form which does not occur in the N.T., and only once, Jer. xx. 3, in the LXX), and was probably its Alexandrian equivalent. used frequently in the LXX, in 11 passages as a translation of 73, and in וס of בושוה: 'accolas fuisse dicit gentiles quatenus multi ex illis morabantur inter Judæos, ...non tamen iisdem legibus aut moribus aut religione utentes: Estius. Harless (after Beng.) regards $\pi d\rho$. as in antithesis to οίκεῖοι, ξένοι to συνπολίται, the former relating to domestic, the latter to civic privileges: this is plausible—see Lev. xxii. 10 sq., Ecclus. xxix. 26 sq.—but owing to the frequent use of mapoikos simply for μέτοικος, not completely demonstrable. An allusion to proselvtes (Whitby) is certainly contrary to the context; see ver. II sq. συνπολίται, though partially vindi-

συνπολίται, though partially vindicated by Raphelius, Annot. Vol. II. p. 472, belongs principally to later Greek, e.g. Ælian, Var. Hist. III. 44, Joseph. Antiq. XIX. 2. 2, but also Eur. Heracl. 826; see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 172. The tendency to compound forms without an adequate increase of meaning is appy. a very distinct characteristic of 'fatiscens Græcitas;' comp. Thiersch, de Pentat. II. 1, p. 83. With regard to the orthography we may observe that the form συνπολ. is adopted by Tisch. (ed. 7) with AB¹C DEFGN, and must be retained, as it

is supported by so clear a preponderance of uncial authority; see Tisch. Prolegom. p. XLVII. τῶν ἀγίων] 'the saints;' not inclusively the holy 'of all times and lands' (Eadie), for the mention of the πολιτεία του Ίσρ., ver. 12, is distinct and specific; nor exclusively the Jews as a nation (Hamm.), or the saints of the Old Testament (Chrys.), for this the nature of the argument seems to preclude; but the members of that spiritual community in which Jew and Gentile Christians were now united and incorporated, and to which the external theocracy formed a typical and preparatory institution. The expression is further heightened and defined by olκείοι τοῦ Θεοῦ. On this use of olkeios, see notes on Gal. vi. 10, and for a good sermon on this text, Beveridge, Serm. XLVIII. Vol. II. p. 381 sq.

20. ἐποικοδομηθέντες] 'built up,' 'superædificati,' Vulg.; the preposition being not otiose, but correctly marking the super-position, superstructure; comp. 1 Cor. iii. 10, 12, 14, Col. ii. 7. The accus. is not used here (as in I Cor. iii. 12) because the idea of rest predominates over that of motion or direction. That the dat. rather than the gen. of rest is here used, can hardly be said to be 'purely accidental' (Meyer), as the former denotes absolute and less separable, the latter partial and more separable super position: see esp. Donalds. Gr. § 483. a, Krüger, Sprachl. II. §68.41.1. Though this distinction must not be overpressed in the N. T. (see Luke iv. 29), or even in classical writers (see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. επί, 11. Vol. I. p. 1035), it still appears to have been correctly observed by St Paul. The reading $\epsilon \pi l \tau o \hat{i} s o \ell \rho a v o \hat{i} s$, ch. i. 10 (Lachm.), which would apparently form

τες επί τῷ θεμελίφ τῶν ἀποστόλων καὶ προφητῶν, ὅντος ἀκρογωνιαίου αὐτοῦ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ἐν ῷ πᾶσα οἰκοδομή 21

20. 'Ιησοῦ Χριστοῦ] So CDEFGKL; several Vv.; Orig. (1) and many Ff. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, De W., Meyer): ℵ¹ reads simply τοῦ Χριστοῦ for αὐτ. Ίησ. Χρ., and Chrys. (text) omits Ἰησ. Tisch. inverts the order with ABℵ²; Vulg., Goth., Copt.; Orig. (2), Theophyl.; Ambrosiast., August. (frequently), and many others (Rück., Lachm., Alf.). The evidence of seven uncial MSS. seems to preponderate.

an exception in this very Ep., is still (though now supported by N¹) of somewhat doubtful authority.

τών αποστόλων και προφητών] 'of the Apostles and Prophets.' Two questions of some interest present themselves, (1) the nature of the gen., (2) the meaning of $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. With regard to (1) it may be said, that though the gen. of apposition (θεμέλιος ol $d\pi \delta \sigma \tau$. kal ol $\pi \rho o \phi$., Chrys., comp. Theoph., Œcum.) is perfectly tenable on grammatical grounds (comp. Winer, Gr. § 59. 8, p. 470), and supported by the best ancient commentators, all exegetical considerations seem opposed The Apostles were not the foundations (Rev. xxi. 14 is not, like the present, a dogmatical passage, see Harl.), but laid them; see I Cor. iii. The gen. will therefore more probably be a gen. subjecti, not however in a possessive sense (Calv. 2, Cocc., Alf.), as this seems tacitly to mix up the θεμέλιος and the ἀκρογων. (comp. Jackson, Creed, XI. 5. 2), but simply as a gen. of the agent or originating cause (Scheuerl. Synt. § 17. 1, p. 125; see on I Thess. i. 6): what the Apostles and prophets preached formed the θεμέλιος, comp. Rom. xv. 20, Heb. vi. 1. Thus all seems consistent, and in accordance with the analogy of other passages: the doctrine of the Apostles, i. e. Christ preached, is the θεμέλιος; Christ personal (αὐτ. Ἰησοῦ Χρ.) the άκρογωνιαίος; Christ mystical the πλήρωμα: comp. ch. i. 23. (2) That

the prophets of the New (Grot., al.) and not of the Old Testament (Chrys., Theod.) are now alluded to seems here rendered highly probable, by the order of the two classes (arbitrarily inverted by Calv., and insufficiently accounted for by Theod.), -by the analogous passages, ch. iii. 5, iv. 11,-by the known prophetic gifts in the early Church, I Cor. xii. 10, al.,—and still more by the apparent nature of the gen. subjecti; see above. No great stress can be laid on the absence of the article: this only shows that the Apostles and Prophets were regarded as one class (Winer, Gr. § 19. 4. d, p. 116), not that they were identical (Harl.): Sharp's rule cannot be regularly applied to plurals; see Middleton, Art. III. 4. 2, p. 65 (ed. Rose). This prominence of 'prophets' has been urged by Baur (Paulus, p. 438) as a proof of the later and Montanist origin of this Ep.: surely δεύτερον προφήτας, 1 Cor. xii. 28, is an indisputable proof that such a distinct order existed in the time of St Paul. On the nature of their office, see notes on ch. iv. II. dkpoywvialov] 'chief corner stone;' dκρογων. scil. λίθου; 'summus angularis lapis is dicitur qui in extremo angulo fundamenti positus duos parietes ex diverso venientes conjungit et continet,' Estius: comp. Psalm cxviii. 22, Jer. li. (xxviii.) 26, Isaiah xxviii. 16, Matth. xxi. 42, 1 Pet. ii. 6. In I Cor. iii. II, Christ is represented as the θεμέλιος: the image is slightly

22 συναρμολογουμένη αύξει είς ναὸν άγιον έν Κυρίφ, έν 🗳

changed, but the idea is the same,-Christ is in one sense the substratum and in another the binding-stone of the building; ὁ λίθος ὁ ἀκρ. καὶ τοὺς τοίχους συνέχει και τούς θεμελίους, Chrys.; see Suicer, Thes. s v. and Vol. II. p. 242. On the doctrinal meaning and application of this attribute of Christ, see the excellent discussion of Jackson, Creed, XI. 5, Vol. X. p. 88. αύτοῦ 'Ιησ. Χρ.] 'Jesus Christ Himself,' no human teachers; the pronoun being obviously referred not to $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda l \varphi$ ('angulari ejus,' Beng.), or to ἀκρογων. (as possibly Vulg., 'ipso summo angulari lapide Chr. Jesu'), but to Christ: so rightly Auth., Syr., Clarom., and appy. Goth.: Copt., Æth., Arm. omit. The art. before $\Pi_{\eta\sigma}$. X_{ρ} ., the absence of which is pressed by Beng., may not only be dispensed with (see Luke xx. 42), but would even, as Harl. suggests, be here incorrect; it would strictly then be 'He Himself, viz. Christ' (see Fritz. Matth. iii. 4, p. 117), and would imply a previous mention of Christ; whereas Christ is here mentioned for the first time in the clause, and in emphatic contrast with those who laid the foundations; see Stier in loc., p. 394.

21. ἐν ῷ] 'in whom;' further and more specific explanation of the preceding clause; the pronoun referring, not to ἀκρογωνιαίω (Œcum.), but to Ἰησ. Χρ.: ὁ τὸ πᾶν συνέχων ἐστὶν ὁ Χριστός. Chrys.

olkoδομή] 'all the building;' σως [totum ædificium] Syr., 'omne illud æd.,' Copt., Arm. (with the distinctive n), Syr.-Phil. There is here some difficulty owing to the omission of the article; the strictly

grammatical translation of πασα οίκοδ.

(scil. 'every building') being wholly irreconcileable with the context, which clearly implies a reference to one single building. Nor can it be readily explained away; for πασα olκ. can never mean 'every part of the building' (Chrys.), nor can olkoo. (per se) be regarded as implying 'a church' (Mey.). We seem therefore compelled either to adopt the reading of Rec., and insert η [with ACN2; many mas.; Chrys. (text), Theoph.: but opp. to BDEFGKLX1, majority of mss.; Clem., al.], or, with more probability, to class οἰκοδομή in the present case with those numerous nouns (see the list in Winer, Gr. § 19) which, from referring to what is well known and defined (e.g. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \gamma \hat{\eta}$, Thucyd. II. 43, see Poppo in loc. p. 233), can, like proper names, dispense with the art.: comp. πασα έπιστολή, Ignat. Eph. § 12, Pearson, Vind. Ignat. II. 10. 1, and Winer, Gr. § 18. 4, p. 101. It must be admitted that there appears no other equally distinct instance in the N.T. (Matth. ii. 3, Luke iv. 13, Acts ii. 36, vii. 22, cited by Eadie, are not in point, as being either exx. of proper names or abstract substt.), nor appy. even in the Greek Pentateuch (most of the exx. of Thiersch, Pentat. III. 2, p. 121, admit of other explanations); still in the present case this partial laxity of usage can scarcely be denied. The late and non-Attic form οlκοδομή (Lobeck, Phryn. p. 421, 487), used both for οἰκοδόμημα and οἰκοδόμησις (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v.), is here perhaps adopted in preference to olkos as less distinctly implying the notion of a completed building; see Harl. in loc. συναρμολογουμένη] 'fitly framed together,' Auth., 'compaginata,' Jerome (not Vulg.); present part.; the process was still going on. The rare verb

καὶ ὑμεῖς συνοικοδομεῖσθε εἰς κατοικητήριον τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐν Πνεύματι.

συναρμολογ. (= συναρμόζειν) is only found here and iv. 16. Wetst. cites Anthol. III. 32. 4, ήρμολόγησε τάφον. aufeil 'groweth;' the present marking not only the actual progress, but the normal, perpetual, unconditioned, nature of the organic increase; see Scheuerl. Synt. § 32. 4, p. 339, 340. This increase must undoubtedly be understood as extensive (opp. to Harl.) as well as intensive, and as referring to the enlargement and development of the Church, as well as to its purity or holiness; comp. Thiersch, Apostol. Church, p. 52 sq. (Transl.). pres. αδξω (more common in poetry) is only found once in the LXX $(\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu)$ αυξουσαν, Isaiah lxi. 11), and in the N. T. only here and Col. ii. 19. in the Lord (Jesus Christ), the usual meaning of Kύρ. in St Paul's Epp.; see Winer, Gr. § 19. 1, p. 113. It is difficult to decide how these words are to be connected; whether (a) with αδξει, Meyer; (b) with άγιον, Harl., Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1, p. 249; or (c) with radr ayior (comp. Stier), to which it is to be regarded as a kind of tertiary predicate; comp. Donalds. Gr. § 489 sq. Of these, (a) seems tautologous; (b) gives perhaps a greater prominence to the special nature of the holiness than the context requires; (c) on the contrary, as the order shows (ναὸν ἄγ., not ἄγ. ναόν; comp. Gersdorf, Beiträge, v. p. 334 sq.), gives no special prominence to the idea of holiness, but almost defines, as a further predication of manner, how the whole subsists and is realized;-- 'and it is a holy temple in the Lord, and in Him alone: comp. notes on ver. 11. On this account, and from the harmony with εν Πνεύματι, ver. 22, (c) is to be preferred.

22. ev of Kal buels] 'in whom ye also;' further specification in ref. to those whom the Apostle is addressing; & φ not being temporal ('dum,' Syr., but not Phil.), nor referring to the more remote ναδν κ.τ.λ. (Eadie); but, as in ver. 21, to the preceding ev Kuρίφ, καὶ with its ascensive and slightly contrasting force (comp. notes on Phil. iv. 12) marking the exalted nature of the association in which the Ephesians shared; they also were living stones of the great building: comp. Alf. in συνοικοδομείσθε] 'are builded together;' clearly not imperative (Calv.), as St Paul is evidently impressing on his readers what they are, the mystical body to which they actually belong, not what they ought to be. The force of συν appears similar to that in συνέκλεισεν, Gal. iii. 22 (see notes), and to refer to the close and compact union of the component parts of the building. Meyer aptly cites Philo, de Præm. § 20, Vol. II. p. 427 (ed. Mang.), οίκιαν εδ συνφκοδομημένην και συνηρμοσμένην. The comma after συνοικοδ. (Griesb.), which would refer els κατοικ. to αὔξει, does not seem necessary. έν Πνεύματι] 'in the Spirit;' tertiary predication ('and it is in the Spirit') exactly similar and parallel to έν Κυρίφ, ver. 21. Two other translations have been proposed: (a) 'through the Spirit,' Auth., Theoph., Meyer; (b) 'in a spiritual manner,' opp. to ev σαρκί: i.e. the κατοικ. is πνευματικόν, not χειροποίητον, see Acts vii. 48 (Olsh.). Of these (a) violates the apparent parallelism with & Kυρ., and presupposes, in order to account for the position of èv IIv., an emphasis in it which does not seem to exist; while again (b) introduces an idea not hinted at

III. Τούτου χάριν ἐγὰ Παῦλος ὁ δέ- so I pray for you, believing ye know τοῦ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν to me the mystery of the call of the might learn God's manifold wisdom. Faint not then at my troubles.

in the context, and obscures the reference to the Holy Trinity, which here can scarcely be pronounced doubtful. It has been urged by Meyer that in the interpretation here adopted the 'continens' and 'contentum' are confounded together; but see Rom. viii. 9, and observe that the second & refers rather to the act of κατοίκησις involved in the verbal subst.; 'we are built in Christ, form a habitation of God, and are so inhabited in and by the influence of the Spirit;' see Alf. in loc., and comp. Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 2, p. 105 sq. Lastly, no argument in favour of (b) can be founded on the absence of the article, as Πνεῦμα is used with the same latitude as proper names: see notes on Gal. v. 5. The opinion also there expressed against the distinction of Harless (h. l.) between the 'subjective' and 'objective' Holy Spirit seems perfectly valid. For a practical sermon on this verse ('the essence of religion a disposition to God'), see Whichcote, Serm. XLVIII. Vol. 11. p. 383.

CHAPTER III. 1. Τούτου χάριν] 'For this reason,' 'hujus rei gratia,' Vulg., Clarom.; sc. 'because ye are so called and so built together in Christ.' The exact meaning of these words will of course be modified by the view taken of the construction. Out of the many explanations of this passage, two deserve attention. (a) That of Syr. and Chrys. (followed by Tynd., Cran., Gen.), according to which elul is supplied after ὁ δέσμ. τοῦ Χρ. Ἰησ., ὁ δέσμιος being the predicate, 'I am the prisoner of Chr. Jesus,' the prisoner κατ' έξοχήν ('multa enim erat istius captivitatis celebritas,' Beza); τούτου xdpw then being 'for the sake of this edification of yours,' ch. ii. 22: (b) that of Theodoret, al., according to which ὁ δέσμιος is in apposition, and the construction resumed, ver. 14; τούτου χάριν then implying 'on this account,' 'because ye are so built together' (De W.), or more probably, as above, with a wider ref. to the whole foregoing subject; ἀκριβῶς ἐπιστάμενος, και τίνες ήτε, και πως ἐκλήθητε, και έπι τίσω έκλήθητε, δέομαι και ίκετεύω τὸν τῶν ὅλων Θεὸν βεβαιῶσαι ὑμᾶς $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ Theod. The interpretation 'per brachylogiam,' according to which $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$. $\epsilon l \mu l$ is to be supplied (Wiggers, Stud. u. Krit. p. 841, p. 431 note, Meyer, ed. 1), is so clearly untenable, that Meyer (ed. 2) has now given it up in favour of (a). This former interpr. deserves consideration, but on account of the virtual tautology in τούτ. χάρ. and ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν, the analogy of ch. iv. I, and still more the improbability that St Paul would style himself ὁ δέσμιος when, as he well knew, others were suffering like himself (1 Cor. iv. q sq.), the latter is to be preferred; see Winer, Gr. § 62. 4, p. 400. The recent explanation of Wieseler, which makes ὁ δέσμιος to be in apposition, but dispenses with all assumption of a parenthesis or of an abbreviated structure, is not very satisfactory or intelligible; see Chron. Synops. p. 446. τοῦ Χρ. 'Ingoû] 'of Christ Jesus,' scil. 'whom Christ and His cause have made a prisoner,' Olsh.; gen. of the author or originating cause of the captivity: comp. Philem. 13, δεσμοί τοῦ εὐαγγε- λlov ; and see Winer, $Gr. \S 30. 2. \beta$, obs. p. 170, Hartung, Casus, p. 17, and notes on 1 Thess. i. 6.

τῶν ἐθνῶν—εἴγε ἠκούσατε τὴν οἰκονομίαν τῆς χάριτος 2 τοῦ Θεοῦ τῆς δοθείσης μοι εἰς ὑμᾶς, ὅτι κατὰ ἀποκά- 3 λυψιν ἐγνωρίσθη μοι τὸ μυστήριον, καθὼς προέγραψα

ὑπὶρ ὑμ. τῶν ἐθνῶν] 'in behalf of you Gentiles,' introducing the subject of the Apostle's calling as an Apostle of the Gentiles, which is resumed ver. 8.

2. elye] 'if indeed,' 'as I may suppose,' 'on the assumption that;' gentle appeal, expressed in a hypothetical form, and conveying the hope that his words had not been quite forgotten. Elye is properly 'si quidem,' and if resolved, 'tum certe si' (see Klotz, Derar. Vol. II. p. 308); it does not in itself imply the rectitude of the assumption made (' είγε usurpatur de re quæ jure sumpta creditur,' Herm. Viger, No. 310), but derives that shade of meaning from the context; see notes on Gal. iii. 4. In the present case there could be no real doubt; 'neque enim ignorare quod hic dicitur poterant Ephesii quibus Paulus ipse evangelium plusquam biennio prædicaverat,' Estius; comp. ch. iv. 21, 2 Cor. v. 3, Col. i. 23. No argument then can be fairly deduced from these words against the inscription of this Ep. to the Ephesians (Mill, Prolegom. p. 9; De Wette), nor can the hypothetical form be urged as implying that the Apostle was personally unknown to τήν οίκονομίαν his readers. κ. τ. λ.] ' the dispensation of the grace of God which was given to me, &c.' In this passage two errors must be avoided; first, της δοθείσης niust not be taken virtually or expressly 'per hypallagen' for $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu$, comp. Col. i. 25: secondly, no special meanings must be assigned either to olkoroula or χάρις. Οlκονομία is not 'the apostolic office' (Wieseler, Synops. p. 448), but, as in ch. i. 10 (see notes), ' disposition,' 'dispensation;' της χάριτοs being the gen., -not subjecti,

(Œcum., who reads εγνώρισε, as in Rec.), but as the pass. $\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \rho l \sigma \theta \eta$ seems rather to suggest, -- objecti, or still better the gen. of 'the point of view.' which serves to complete the conception, sc. 'the dispensation in respect of the grace of God, &c.';—see Scheuerl. Synt. § 18, p. 129, comp. Winer, Gr. § 30. 2. β, p. 170. This is further explained by ὅτι κατὰ ἀποκ., ver. 3; οίκονομίαν χάριτος φησί την άποκάλυψιν Chrys. There is thus no need to depart from the strict meaning of xdpis: it is not 'munus Apostolicum' (Estius), but the assisting and qualifying grace of God for the performance els duas is well translated 'to you-ward,' Auth. from Tynd.; it is not 'in vobis,' Vulg., or even 'for you' (dat. commodi), but with the proper force of els (ethical direction), 'toward you,' 'to work in you: 'comp. ch. i. 19, and Winer,

3. STI K.T. A.] 'that by way of revelation; ' objective sentence (Donalds. Gr. § 584) dependent on the preceding ἡκούσατε κ.τ.λ., and explanatory of the nature and peculiarity of the olkovou., the emphasis obviously falling on the predication of manner κατά αποκάλυψιν. These latter words are used in a very similar though not perfectly identical manner in Gal. ii. 2 (comp. 2 Cor. viii. 8, Gal. iv. 29 and note, Phil. ii. 3): there however the allusion is rather to the norma or rule, here to the manner, 'by way of revelation,' 'revelation-wise;' comp. Bernhardy, Synt. v. 20. b, p. 239.

Gr. § 49. a, p. 354.

τὸ μυστήριον] 'the mystery,' not of redemption generally, nor of St Paul's special call, but, in accordance with the context, of that which is the evi4 εν ολίγω, προς δ δύνασθε αναγινώσκοντες νοήσαι την

mission of the Gentiles to fellowship and heirship with Christ in common with the Jews; μυστήριον γάρ έστι τὸ τὰ ἔθνη έξαίφνης είς μείζονα τῶν Ἰουδαίων εὐγένειαν ἀναγαγεῖν. Chrys.; see Usteri, Lehrb. p. 252. On the use and meaning of the word μυστήριον The readsee notes on ch. v. 32. ing εγνώρισε [Rec. with D3EKL; many mss.; Æth. (both); Dam., Theoph., al.] is distinctly inferior to the text [ABCD1FGN; many mss.; Syr. (both), Vulg., Clarom., Goth., Copt., al.] in external authority, and seems to have been an intended emendation of strucπροέγραψα] 'have afore written,' Hamm.; a translation here preferable to the acristic 'wrote afore' (Auth.), as serving better to define that the reference does not relate to any earlier (Chrys., but not Theod., Theoph.), but simply to the present Epistle; comp. ch. i. 9 sq., ii. 13 sq. The clause seems introduced to confirm the readers, the ref. being, as ver. 4 clearly shows, neither to κατά άποκάλ. nor to μυστήρ. but to έγνωρίσθη μοι τὸ μυστ. It was the fact of this knowledge having been imparted, not the manner in which he attained it, or the precise nature of it, that the Apostle desires to specify and reiterate. To enclose this clause and ver. 4 in a parenthesis (Wetst., Griesb.) is thus obviously unsatisfactory. نكر [in paucis] Syr.,

dent subject of the passage,-the ad-

'in brevi,' Vulg., διὰ βραχέων, Chrys.; see Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 293. The meaning 'a short time before,' 'just now' (comp. Theod.), is distinctly untenable: this would be πρὸ ὀλίγου: ἐν ὀλίγω in a temporal sense can only mean, as Mey. and Harl. correctly observe, 'in a short space of time:'

see Acts xxvi. 28, where however, as in the present case, the meaning 'briefly,' 'with a compendious form of argument' (not 'lightly,' Alf.; see Meyer in loc.), is appy. more tenable. Stier alludes to the common epistolary expression, 'a few lines.'

4. πρός ὅ] 'in accordance with which,' 'agreeably to which,' scil. the mpoye- $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu$, not $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\delta} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\phi}$ (Kypke): from what the Apostle had written in this Epistle his insight into the mystery of Christ was to be inferred by his readers; 'ex ungue leonem,' Beng. The remark of Harl., that πρὸs (with acc.) in its ethical use denotes the relation of conformity to, seems correct and comprehensive. Whether this be in reference to cause and effect (' owing to,' Herod. IV. 161, comp. Matth. xix. 8; see exx. in Rost u. Palm. Lex. s. v. b. aa, Vol. 11. p. 1157); design and execution ('in order to,' I Cor. xii. 7, al.); simple comparison (Rom. viii. 18; Herod III. 34, πρόs τὸν πατέρα, cited by Bernhardy, Synt. v. 31, p. 265); or, as here, rule and measure (see notes on Gal. ii. 14), must be determined by the context. If we add to these the indication of simple mental direction ('in regard to,' 'in reference to,' Heb. i. 7, see Winer, Gr. § 49. h, p. 360, comp. notes on ch. iv. 12), the ethical uses of πρόs with acc. will be sufficiently delineated. For a good and comprehensive list of exx. see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. II. p. 1156 sq.

Súvacose dvayer. voñoal] 'you can while reading, or as you read, perceive;' the temporal participle expressing the contemporary act, comp. Donalds. Gr. § 576. The aor. voñoas is appy. here used as marking, not exactly the sudden and transitory nature of the act (Alf.; contrast Bern-

σύνεσιν μου εν τῷ μυστηρίφ τοῦ Χριστοῦ, ὁ ετέραις 5 γενεαῖς οὐκ εγνωρίσθη τοῖς υίοῖς τῶν ἀνθρώπων, ὡς νῦν

hardy, Synt. x. 9, p. 383), but the distinct manifestations of it, the single act being regarded as, so to say, the commencement of a continuity: see esp. Schmalfeld, Synt. § 173. 4, Donalds. Gr. § 427. d. The student must be careful in pressing the aor. in this mood, as so much depends on the context, and the mode in which the action is contemplated by the writer: see Bernhardy, Synt. l. c., Krüger, Sprachl. 53. 6. 9; and observe that δύναμαι and similar verbs, έχω, δυνατός είμι, θέλω, are often idiomatically followed by the aor. rather than the present; see Winer, § 44. 7, p. 298, and the note of Mätzner in his ed. of Antiph. p. 153 sq. עווד σύνεσίν μου κ. τ. λ.] 'my insight, my understanding, in the mystery of Christ.' The article is not needed before the prep., as σύνεσις έν τῷ μυστ. forms a single composite idea; comp. 3 Esdr. i. 33, της συνέσεως αὐτοῦ ἐν τῷ νόμφ Κυρίου (Harl.), and see notes on ch. i. 15. The formula συνιέναι έν (or els) occurs several times in the LXX, 2 Chron. xxxiv. 12, Nehem. xiii. 7, al., and thus justifies the omission of the article with the derivative subst. : see Winer, § 20. 2, p. 123. The distinction between συνιέναι ('to understand,' 'verstehn') and voeîv ('to perceive,' 'merken') is noticed by Tittmann, Synon. p. 191. τοῦ Χριστοῦ is commonly taken as a gen. objecti, 'the mystery relating to Christ,' sc. of which His reconciliation and union of the Jews and Gentiles in Himself formed the subject: comp. Theoph. in loc. By comparing however the somewhat difficult passage, Col. i. 27, τοῦ μυστηρίου..... ὅς ἐστω Χριστὸς ἐν ὑμῶν, it would certainly seem that it is rather a species of gen.

materiæ, or of identity: 'Christus selbst ist das Concretum des göttlichen Geheimnisses,' Meyer; comp. Stier in loc., and see exx. in Scheuerlein, Synt. § 12. I, p. 82, 83.

5. 8] 'which,' soil. which μυστήριον τοῦ Χρ. ver. 4; there being no parenthesis (see above), but that simple linked connexion by means of relatives which is so characteristic of this érépais yeveals] 'in other generations, ages,' 'anharaim aldim,' Goth.; dative of time; see Winer, Gr. § 31. 9, p. 195, comp. notes, ch. ii. 12. Meyer, maintaining the usual meaning of yeved, explains the dat. as a simple dat. commodi, and τοῖς vioîs as a further explanation. This is unnecessary precision, as in Col. i. 26, dπό των αιώνων και dπό των γενεών, the less usual meaning 'age' can scarcely be denied: see Acts xiv. 16, and probably Luke i. 50. In the LXX, yeved is the usual translation of הוֹד, which certainly (see Gesen. Lex. s.v.) admits both meanings. In one instance, Isaiah xxiv. 22, even מיםים is so translated. The insertion of èv before èrépais (Rec.) rests only on the authority of a few mss.; Copt., and Syr.-Phil. TOIS VIOIS των ανθρ.] 'to the sons of men;' 'latissima appellatio, causam exprimens ignorantiæ, ortum naturalem;' so Beng., who however proceeds less felicitously to refer the expression to the ancient prophets. This is neither fairly demonstrable from the use of DJ&TJ (Ezek. vii. 2, al.), nor by any means consonant with the present passage, where no comparison is instituted between the prophets of the Old and of the New Test., but between the times,-the then and the now. The expression, viol $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $d\nu \theta \rho$, seems chosen απεκαλύφθη τοῖς αγίοις αποστόλοις αὐτοῦ καὶ προφήταις 6 εν Πνεύματι, εἶναι τὰ ἔθνη συνκληρονόμα καὶ σύνσωμα

to make the contrast with the $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\iota o\iota$ $d\pi \delta \sigma \tau$. $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau o\hat{\upsilon}$ $\kappa al \pi \rho o\phi$., the $\Theta \epsilon o\hat{\upsilon}$ $d\nu - \theta \rho \omega \pi o\iota$ (2 Pet. i. 21, Deut. xxxiii. 1), more fully felt.

os] Observe the comparison which the particle introduces and suggests: έγνωρίσθη μέν τοῖς πάλαι προφήταις, άλλ' ούχ ώς νῦν ού γάρ τὰ πράγματα είδον [comp. 1 John i. 1] άλλά τούς περί τών πραγμάτων προέγραψαν λόγους. Theod. τοις άγίοις άποστ.] 'to His holy Apostles.' The epithet άγίοις has been very unreasonably urged by De Wette as a mark of the post-apostolic date of the epistle. It is obviously used to support and strengthen the antithesis to the viol $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \nu \theta \rho$. The Apostles were $\delta \gamma \iota o \iota$ in their office as God's chosen messengers, ayıcı in their personal character as the inspired preachers of Christ; comp. Luke i. 70, Acts iii. 21, 2 Pet. i. 21 (Lachm.), where the prophets are so designated. The meaning of $\pi \rho o$ - $\phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ is here the same as in ch. ii. 20, the 'N.T. prophets;' see notes on ch. έν Πνεύματι] 'by the iv. 11. Spirit; Auth., Arm. (instrumental case); the Holy Agent by whom the ἀποκάλυψις was given, ἐν having here more of its instrumental force: $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta}$ γάρ το Πνεθμα εδίδαξε τον Πέτρον, ούκ αν τον έθνικον Κορνήλιον μετά των σὺν αὐτῷ παρεδέξατο· Theoph.; comp. Chrys., who certainly appears erroneously cited (De W., Eadie) as joining $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\Pi\nu$. with $\pi\rho o\phi$., 'prophets in the Spirit,' sc. θεοπνεύστους. This latter construction, though fairly admissible (comp. Winer, Gr. § 20. 4, p. 126), is open to the decisive exegetical objection that it is an 'idem per idem:' if prophets were not divinely inspired, 'prophets in the Spirit,' the name would be misapplied. On the omission of the art. see ch. ii. 22. The traces of Montanism which Baur (Paulus, p. 440) finds in these words are so purely imaginary as not to deserve serious notice or confutation.

6. elval tà Horn] 'to wit that the Gentiles are,' 'gentes esse,' Vulg., Clarom., Goth.; not 'should be,' Auth., Eadie; the objective infin. here expressing not the design but the subject and purport of the mystery: τοῦτ' έστι τὸ μυστήριον τὸ ε**ίναι τὰ έθνη συγ**κληρενόμα τῷ Ίσραὴλ τῆς ἐπαγγελίας, καὶ συμμέτοχα. Theoph.; comp. Donalds. Gr. § 584. συνκληρονόμα κ. τ. λ.] 'fellow-heirs and fellow-members, and fellow-partakers of the promise.' It does not seem correct to regard these three epithets on the one hand as merely cumulative and oratorical, or on the other as studiedly mystical and significant (comp. Stier, who here finds a special allusion to the Trinity). The general fact of the συνκληρονομία is re-asserted, in accordance with the Apostle's previous expressions, both in its outward and inward relations. The Gentiles were fellow-heirs with the believing Jews in the most unrestricted sense: they belonged to the same corporate body, the faithful; they shared to the full in the same spiritual blessings, the έπαγγελία: see Theod. in loc. The compounds σύνσωμος ('concorporalis,' Vulg., see Suicer, Thes. s.v. Vol. II. p. 1191) and συνμέτοχος ('comparticeps,' Vulg.; ch. v. 7) appear to have been both formed by St Paul, being only found in this Ep. and the Ecclesiastical writers. The verb συμμετέχω occurs in classical Greek, e.g. Eurip. Suppl. 648, Plato, Theæt. p. 181 c. Tisch. (ed. 7) now adopts the forms

καὶ συνμέτοχα τῆς ἐπαγγελίας ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ διὰ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου, οὖ ἐγενήθην διάκονος κατὰ τὴν δωρεὰν τῆς 7 χάριτος τοῦ Θεοῦ τὴν δοθεῖσάν μοι κατὰ τὴν ἐνέργειαν

συνκληρ. and σύνσωμ. [ABIDEFGN], and συνμέτ. [AB1CD1FGN], appy. on right principles; see his Prolegom. p. XLVII. της έπαγγελίας] 'the promise of salvation,' not merely of the Holy Spirit (Eadie); for though the promise of the Spirit was one of the prominent gifts of the New Covenant (Gal. iii. 14), it would here be not only too restricted, but even scarcely consonant with the foregoing συνκληρονόμα. The addition of autou after $\tau \eta s$ emany. (Rec.) is fairly supported [D⁹D³EFGKL; many mss.; Vulg. (not all codd.), Goth., Syr.-Phil.; Theod., al.], but is not found in ABCD¹ℵ; mss.; Clarom., Sang., Amit., Copt., Syr.; and thus is rightly rejected by the best recent editors. iv Xp. 'Ino. and Sid Tou chayy. both refer to the three foregoing epithets. The former points to the objective ground of the salvation, Him in whom it centred, the latter to the medium by which it was to be subjectively applied (Mey.): $\tau \hat{\omega}$ πεμφθήναι καὶ πρὸς αὐτούς, καὶ τῷ πιστεύσαι ού γάρ άπλως, άλλά διά τοῦ εὐαγγελίου. Chrys. On the distinction between ev and did in the same sentence, see Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 347 note, and comp. ch. i. 7. The reading of Rec. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \times \rho$. [DEF GKL; most mss.; Clarom., Sang., Boern.; Orig. (3), al.] is rejected by most recent editors in favour of $\epsilon \nu X \rho$. 'Inσ. which is found in ABCN; some mss.; Aug., Vulg., Goth., Copt., al. 7. έγενήθην] 'I became:' this less usual form is rightly adopted by Lachm., Tisch., al., on the authority of ABD¹FGN, against CD³EKL which read εγενόμην. The passive form however implies no corresponding differ-

ence of meaning (Rück., Eadie): 71γνομαι in the Doric dialect was a deponent pass., $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\nu\eta\theta\eta\nu$ was thus used in it for έγενόμην, and from thence occasionally crept into the language of later writers: see Buttmann, Irrey. Verbs, s. v. FEN-, Lobeck, Phryn. p. 108, 109, and comp. notes on Col. iv. 11. διάκονος] 'α minister; ' so Col. i. 23, 2 Cor. iii. 6. Meyer rightly impugns the distinction of Harless, that διάκ. points more to activity in relation to the service, ὑπηρέτης to activity in relation to the master. This certainly cannot be substantiated by the exx. in the N.T.; see 2 Cor. vi. 4, xi. 23, 1 Tim. iv. 6, where διάκ. is simply used in reference to the master, and Luke i. 2, where ὑπηρέτης refers to the service. On the derivation of διάκ. (διήκω), see Buttm. Lexil. s.v. διάκτορος, § 40. 3: for its more remote affinities [AK-AFK- 'bend'], Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. 11. p. 22. την δωρ. της χάριτος] 'the gift of the grace; gen. of identity, that of which the gift, i.e. the apostolic office, the office of preaching to the Gentiles, consisted; comp. Plato, Leg. VIII. p. 844 D, διττάς δωρεάς χάριτος, and see Scheuerl. Synt. § 12. 1, p. 82, Winer, Gr. § 59. 8, p. 470. δοθείσαν μοι] 'which was given to me;' not a mere reiteration of the preceding δωρεάν, but associated closely with the following words which define the manner of the δόσις. The reading της δοθείσης (Lachm.) is supported by very strong external authority [ABC D'FGN; 10 mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Copt.], but appears so likely to have arisen from a conformation to ver. 2, that it can hardly be adopted with safety. The accus. is found in D3E

8 της δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ· ἐμοὶ τῷ ἐλαχιστοτέρφ πάντων άγίων ἐδόθη ἡ χάρις αὕτη, ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν εὐαγγελίσασθαι 9 τὸ ἀνεξιχνίαστον πλοῦτος τοῦ Χριστοῦ, καὶ φωτίσαι

KL; majority of mss.; Syr. (both), Goth., al.; Chrys., Theod., al., and is adopted by Tisch. and most recent critics. κατά την ένέργ.] 'according to the working or operation of His power; defining prepositional clause, dependent, not on έγενήθην (Mey.), but on $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma d \nu \mu o \iota$, which would otherwise seem an unnecessary addition: 'the mention of the power of God is founded on the circumstance that St Paul sees in his change of heart from a foe to a friend of Christ an act of omnipotence;' Olsh. On the proper force of kard, see notes on ch. i. 19.

8. έμοι τφ έλαχιστοτέρφ] 'unto me who am less than the least,' Auth.; a most felicitous translation. No addition was required to the former period; the great Apostle however so truly, so earnestly, felt his own weakness and nothingness (εί καὶ οὐδέν είμι, 2 Cor. xii. 11), that the mention of God's grace towards him awakens within, by the forcible contrast it suggests, not only the remembrance of his former persecutions of the church (I Cor. xv. 9, 10), but of his own sinful nature (1 Tim. i. 15, $\epsilon l\mu l$, not $\tilde{\eta}\nu$), and unworthiness for so high an office. Calvin and Harl. here expound with far more vitality than Est., who refers this ταπεινοφροσύνης ὑπερβολὴν (Chrys.) solely to the memory of his former persecutions. It is perfectly incredible how in such passages as these, which reveal the truest depths of Christian experience, Baur (Paulus, p. 447) can only see contradictions and arguments against the apostolic origin of the Epistle. On the form έλαχιστ. see Winer, Gr. § 11. 2, p. 65, and the exx. collected by Wetst. in loc., out

of which however remove Thucyd. IV. 118, as the true reading is κάλλιον. έν τοις έθν. εὐαγγελ.] 'to preach among the Gentiles; explanatory and partly appositional clause, the emphatic er τοῖς ἔθνεσιν marking the Apostle's distinctive sphere of action, and the inf. defining the preceding h xapes autn: see Krüger, Sprachl. § 57. 10. 6, Schmalfeld, Synt. § 192, Winer, Gr. § 44. 1, p. 284. To make this clause dependent on δωρεάν, ver. 7, and to regard $\epsilon \mu o l \dots a \ddot{v} \tau \eta$ as parenthetical (Harl.), seems a very improbable connexion, and is required neither by grammar nor by the tenor of the pas-Lachm. omits & with ABCN; 3 mss.; Copt.; (Alf.): but the authority for retaining it [DEFG KL; nearly all mss.; Syr. (both), Clarom., Vulg., Goth., al.; Chrys., Theod., al.] seems slightly to preponderate. πλούτος τού Χρ.] 'riches of Christ,' i.e. the exhaustless blessings of salvation; compare Rom. xi. 33. It is due ξιχυίαστου (LXX, Job v. 9, ix. 10, Heb. אין חקר) both in its nature, extent, and application.

9. καὶ φωτίσαι πάντας] 'and to illuminate all, make all see;'

proferam omni homini] Syr.; expansion of the foregoing clause as to the process (the Apostle had grace given not only outwardly to preach the Gospel, but inwardly to preach the Gospel, but inwardly to enlighten), though appy. not as to the persons (ed. 1), as owing to its unemphatic position the πάντας can scarcely be thought more inclusive than the foregoing τὰ ἔθνη: see Meyer. The significant verb φωτίσαι must not be explained away as if it were synonymous with διδάξαι

πάντας τίς ή οἰκονομία τοῦ μυστηρίου τοῦ ἀποκεκρυμμένου ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων ἐν τῷ Θεῷ τῷ τὰ πάντα κτίσαντι, ἵνα γνωρισθῆ νῦν ταῖς ἀρχαῖς καὶ ταῖς ἐξουσίαις ἐν τοῖς 10

(De W.): this derivative meaning is found in the LXX, see Judges xiii. 8 (Alex.), 2 Kings xii. 2, xvii. 27, 28, but not in the N.T., where the reference is always to light, either physical (Luke xi. 36), metaphorical (1 Cor. iv. 5), or spiritual (Heb. vi. 4, al.); comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. iv. 15, Vol. II. p. 156 note. Christ is properly δ φωτίζων (John i. 9); His apostles illuminate 'participatione ac ministerio:' Estius. On the use of the word in ref. to baptism, see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 1491. Tisch. (ed. 7) omits και apparently by mistake.

Lachm. brackets πάντας as being omitted by A, 2 mss.; Cyr., Hil., al.; to these & is now added. οlκονομία κ.τ.λ.] 'the dispensation of the mystery, &c.' 'dispositio sacramenti absconditi,' Vulg., Clarom.;—scil. the dispensation (arrangement, regulation) of the mystery (the union of Jews and Gentiles in Christ, ver. 6), which was to be humbly traced and acknowledged in the fact of its having secretly existed in the primal counsels of God, and now having been revealed to the heavenly powers by means of the Church. On the meaning of olkovoula, see notes on ch. i. 10.

The reading κουωνία (Rec.) has only the support of cursive mss., and is a mere explanatory gloss.

dπὸ τῶν alώνων] 'from the ages,' scil.
'since the ages of the world began;'
comp. Δίνιρ Gen. vi. 4: terminus a
quo of the concealment. The counsel
itself was formed πρὸ τῶν alώνων,
I Cor. ii. 7; the concealment of it dated
dπὸ τῶν alώνων, from the commencement of the ages when intelligent
beings from whom it could be concealed
were called into existence; comp. Rom.

xvi. 25, μυστηρίου χρόνοις αίωνίοις σετφ τα πάντα σιγημένου. KTIGAVTI] 'who created all things,' 'qui omnia creavit,' Vulg., Clarom.; certainly not, 'quippe qui omnia creavit,' Meyer, - a translation which would require the absence of the article; comp. notes on ch. i. 12, and see esp. Donalds. Crat. § 306. The exact reason for this particular designation being here appended to $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ has been somewhat differently estimated. The most simple explanation would seem to be that it is added to enhance the idea of God's omnipotence; the emphatic position of τὰ πάντα ('nulla re prorsus exceptâ,' Est.) being designed to give to the idea its widest extent and application; -- 'who created all things,' and so with His undoubted prerogative of sovereign and creative power ordained the very μυστήριον itself. A reference to God's omniscience would more suitably have justified the concealment, the reference to His omnipotence more convincingly vindicates the εὐδοκία according to which it was included in and formed part of His primal counsels. It is not necessary to limit τὰ πάντα, but the tense seems to show that it refers rather to the physical (οὐδὲν γὰρ χωρίς αὐτοῦ πεποίηκε, Chrys.), than to the spiritual creation (Calv.). This latter view was perhaps suggested by the longer reading κτίσ. δια Ίησοῦ Χρ. [Rec. with D3EKL; most mss.; Syr.-Phil. with asterisk; Chrys., Theod., al.], which however is rightly rejected by most recent editors with ABCD¹FGℵ; a few mss.; Syr., Vulg., Goth., al.; Basil, Cyr., and many Ff.

10. Vva γνωρισθή νῦν] 'in order that there might be made known now;'

επουρανίοις διὰ τῆς ἐκκλησίας ἡ πολυποίκιλος σοφία τοῦ 11 Θεοῦ, κατὰ πρόθεσιν τῶν αἰώνων ἡν ἐποίησεν ἐν Χριστῷ

divine object and purpose of the general dispensation described in the two foregoing verses; not of either of the facts specified in the two participial clauses immediately preceding, for neither the concealment of the mystery (Meyer), nor the past act of material creation (Harl.), could be properly said to have had as its purpose and design the present (νῦν opp. to ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων) exhibition of God's wisdom to angels. The Apostle (as Olsh. well remarks), in contrasting the greatness of his call with the nothingness of his personal self, pursues the theme of his labour through all its stages: the έλαχιστότερος has grace given him εὐαγγελίσασθαι, κ.τ.λ., nay more, φωτίσαι πάντας κ.τ.λ., and that too that heaven might see and acknowledge the πολυποίκιλος σοφία of God; see Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 518 ταίς άρχαίς κ.τ.λ.] ' to the principalities and to the powers in the hearenly regions;' sc. to the good angels and intelligences; a ref. to both classes (Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. 1. p. 315) being excluded, not so much by έν τοιs έπουρ. (Alf., for comp. ch. vi. 12), as by the general tenor of the passage; evil angels more naturally recognise the power, good angels the wisdom of God. On the term doxaîs και έξουσ., each with the art. to add weight to the enumeration, see notes on ch. i. 21, and on τοῖs ἐπουρ. notes on ch. i. 3, 20. δια τής έκκλησίας] 'through the Church,' scil., 'by means of the Church;' διὰ τη̂s περί την έκκλησίαν οίκονομίας Theod. The Church, the community of believers in Christ (Col. i. 24), was the means by which these ministering spirits were to behold and contemplate God's wisdom: comp. Calvin in loc.,

'ecclesia...quasi speculum sit in quo contemplantur Angeli mirificam Dei sapientiam;' ὅτε ἡμεῖς ἐμάθομεν, τότε κάκεινοι δι' ἡμῶν Chrys. That the holy angels are capable of a specific increase of knowledge, and of a deepening insight into God's wisdom, seems from this passage clear and incontrovertible; comp. 1 Pet. i. 12, eis & emiθυμοῦσιν άγγελοι παρακύψαι, and see Petavius, Theol. Dogm. Vol. III. p. 44 sq., Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 46. πολυποίκιλος] 'manifold,' 'multiformis,' Vulg., Clarom.; see Orph. Hymn. VI. 11, LXI. 4. This characteristic of God's wisdom is to be traced, not in the παράδοξον, by which issues were brought about by unlooked-for means (διά τῶν ἐναντίων τὰ ἐναντία κατωρθώθη, διά θανάτου ζωή, δι' άσθενείας δύναμις, δι' άτιμίας δόξα, Greg.-Nyss. ap. Theoph.), but in the πολύτεχνον (Theoph.), the variety of the divine counsels, which nevertheless all mysteriously co-operated toward a single end,-the call of the Gentiles, and salvation of mankind by faith in Jesus Christ. The use of πολυποίκ. in reference to Gnosticism (Irenæus, Hær. 1. 4. 1, ed. Mass.) does not give the slightest reason for supposing (Baur, Paulus, p. 429) that the use of the word here arose from any such allusions.

11. κατά πρόθ. τῶν αἰώνων] 'according to the purpose of the ages;' modal clause dependent on ἴνα γνωρισθη, specifying the accordance of the revelation of the divine wisdom with God's eternal purpose; νῦν μέν, φησί, γέγονεν, οὐ νῦν δὲ ὥριστο, ἀλλ' ἄνωθεν προτετύπωτο' Chrys. The gen. τῶν alώνων is somewhat obscure: it can scarcely be (a) a gen. objecti ('the foreordering of the ages,' Whitby, comp.

Ίησοῦ τῷ Κυρίῳ ἡμῶν, ἐν ῷ ἔχομεν τὴν παἰρρησίαν καὶ 12 τὴν προσαγωγὴν ἐν πεποιθήσει διὰ τῆς πίστεως αὐτοῦ.

Peile), or even (b) a gen. of the point of view (Scheuerl. Synt. § 18. 1, p. 120), -for the Apostle is not speaking of God's purpose in regard to different times or dispensations, but of His single purpose of uniting and saving mankind in Christ,-but will be most naturally regarded as (c) belonging to the general category of the gen. of possession ('the purpose which pertained to, existed in, was determined on in the ages'), and as serving to define the general relation of time; comp. Jude 6, κρίσιν μεγάλης ήμέρας, and see Winer, Gr. § 30. 2, p. 169. The meaning is thus nearly equivalent to that of the similar expression πρόθεσω... πρό χρόνων αίωνίων, 2 Tim. i. 9; God's purpose existed in His eternal being, and was formed in the primal ages ('a sæculis,' Syr.) before the foundation of the world; comp ch. i. 4. fiv emolycev] 'which he wrought,' 'quam fecit,' Vulg., Clarom., Copt., 'gatavida,' Goth. The exact meaning of exolycer is doubtful. The mention of the eternal purpose would seem to imply rather 'constituit' (Harl., Alf.) than 'executus est' (De W., Mey.), as the general reference seems more to the appointment of the decree than to its historical realization (see Calv.; Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. 1. p. 204): still the words έν Χρ. Ίησοῦ τῷ Κυρίφ ήμών seem so clearly to point to the realization, the carrying out of the purpose in Jesus Christ,-the Word made flesh (compare Olsh.), - that the latter (Matth. xxi. 31, John vi. 38, r Kings v. 8, Isaiah xliv. 28) must be considered preferable. As however St Paul has used a middle term, neither προέθετο nor ἐπετέλεσε, a middle term (e. g. 'wrought,' 'made,'not 'fulfilled,' Conyb.) should be re-

tained in translation. The reading is slightly doubtful. Lachm. and Tisch. (ed. 1 and 7) insert τψ before Xρ. with ABC¹; 37. 116. al.: as however the title δ Xρ. Ἰησ. δ Κύρ. ἡμῶν does not appy. occur elsewhere (Col. ii. 6 is the nearest approach to it; see Middl. Gr. Art. Append. II. p. 495, ed. Rose), and the omission is well supported [C³DEKLN; most mss.; Ath., Chrys., Theod.], we still retain the reading of Rec., Tisch. (ed. 2), and the majority of editors.

12. ev o exouev] 'in whom (founded in whom) we have; appeal to, and proof drawn from their Christian experience, the relative \$\sqrt{\psi}\$ having here a slightly demonstrative and explanatory force (δτι δε διά τοῦ Χρ. γέγονεν άπαν, έν φ έχομεν φησί κ. τ. λ. Chrys., comp. Theod.), and being nearly equivalent to & avril γdρ; see Jelf, Gr. § 834. 2, Bernhardy, Synt. VI. 12, p. 293, and note on ofs την παρόηon Col. i. 27. olav] 'our boldness,' 'fiduciam,' Vulg., Clarom.; not here 'libertatem oris, whether in ref. to prayer (Beng.), or to preaching the Gospel (Vatabl.); for, as in many instances (Lev. xxvi. 13 μετά παρρ. Πιρήρ, 1 Macc. iv. 18, Heb. iii. 6, 1 John ii. 28, al.), the primitive meaning has here merged into that of 'cheerful boldness' (θάρpos, Zonar. Lex. p. 1508; 'Freudigkeit,' Luth.); that 'freedom of spirit' ('freihals,' Goth.) which becomes those who are conscious of the redeeming love of Christ; άγιάσας γάρ ήμας διά του ίδιου αξματος προσήγαγε θαβρούντας Œcum.; see notes on I Tim. Hi. 13. τήν προσαγωγήν] 'our admission;' ούχ ώς αλχμάλωτοι, φησί, προσήχθημεν, άλλ' ώς συγγνώμης άξιούμενοι Chrys., and sim. the

13 διὸ αἰτοῦμαι μη ἐνκακεῖν ἐν ταῖς θλίψεσίν μου ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν, ητις ἐστὶν δόξα ὑμῶν.

other Greek commentators; comp. Æth., 'ductorem nostrum,' and see notes on ch. ii. 18. The transitive meaning there advocated is appy. a little less certain in the present case, on account of the union with the intrans. παβρησίαν, still both lexical authority and the preceding reference to our Lord seem to require and justify it; comp. Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 850. How 'the use of the article before both nouns signalizes them as the twin elements of an unique privilege' (Eadie), is not clear; see on the contrary Winer, Gr. § 19. 5, p. 117. Lachm. omits the second art., with ABℵ¹; 2 mss.; but in opp. to CDE $(D^1E au)$ ν προσ. κ. τ. παβρ.)FG(FGτην προσ. είς τ. παβρ.)KLN4; nearly all mss.; Ath., Chrys., Theod., al.;authority appy. preponderant.

έν πεποιθήσει] 'in confidence,' μετά τοῦ θαβρείν, Chrys.,—a noble example of which is afforded by St Paul himself in the sublime words of Rom. viii. 38, 39 (Mey.). The present clause does not qualify προσαγωγή ('no timorous approach,' Eadie), but is the predication of manner, and defines the tone and frame of mind ('alacriter libenterque,' Calv.) in which the προσαγωγή is enjoyed and realized. Thus then $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ X ρ . marks the objective ground of the possession, διὰ τῆς πίστ. the subjective medium by which, and έν πεποιθ. the subjective state in which it is apprehended: 'tres itaque gradus sunt faciendi, nam primum Dei promissionibus credimus, deinde his acquiescentes concipimus fiduciam ut bono simus tranquilloque animo: hinc sequitur audacia, quæ facit ut profligato metu intrepide et constanter nos Deo commendemus: 'Calv. Πεποίθησις (2 Kings xviii. 19) is only used in the N. T. by St Paul (2 Cor. i. 15, iii. 4, viii. 22, x. 2, Phil. iii. 4), and is a word of later Greek: see Eustath. on Odyss. 111. p. 114. 41, Lobeck, Phryn. τής πίστως αὐτοῦ] p. 294 8q. 'faith on Him;' gen. objecti, virtually equivalent to πίστ. είς αὐτόν: see Rom. iii. 22, Gal. ii. 16, and comp. notes in loc. It is doubtful whether the . deeper meaning which Stier (comp. Matth.) finds in the words, sc. 'faith of which Christ is not only the object, but the ground,' can here be fully substantiated. On the whole verse, see three posthumous sermons of South, Serm. XXIX. sq. Vol. IV. p. 413 sq. (Tegg).

13. S.6] 'On which account.' 'wherefore,' sc. since my charge is so important and our spiritual privileges so great; διότι μέγα τὸ μυστήριον τῆς κλήσεως ήμων, και μεγάλα ά ένεπιστεύθην έγώ· Theoph. The reference of this particle has been very differently explained. Estius and Meyer with some plausibility connect it simply with the preceding verse; 'cum igitur ad tantam dignitatem vocati sitis. ejusque consequendæ fiduciam habeatis per Christum; rogo vos, &c.' Est. As however ver. 8-11 contain the principal thought to which ver. 12 is only subordinate and supplementary. the former alluding to the nature and dignity of the Apostle's commission, the latter to its effects and results. in which both he and his converts (ἔχομεν) share, the particle will much more naturally refer to the whole paragraph. The union of the Apostle's own interests and those of his converts in the following words then becomes natural and appropriate. The use of διδ by St Paul is too varied to enable us safely to adduce any gramOn this account (I Υούτου χάριν κάμπτω τὰ γόνατά μου 14 the Father to give you strength within, and teach you the incomprehensible love of Christ, and fill you with God's fulness.

matical considerations: see notes on Gal. iv. 31. αίτοῦμαι μή evkakeîv] 'I entreat you not to lose heart;' ὑμᾶς (Æth.) not τὸν Θεὸν (Theod.) being supplied after the verb; comp. 2 Cor. v. 20, Heb. xiii. 19 (2 Cor. vi. 1, x. 2, cited by De W., are less appropriate), where a similar supplement is required. Such constructions as 'I pray (God) that ye lose not heart,' or 'that I lose not heart' (Syr.), are both open to the objection that the object of the verb and subject of the inf. (both unexpressed) are thus made different without sufficient reason. Moreover such a prayer as that in the latter interpretation would here fall strangely indeed from the lips of the great Apostle who had learnt in his sufferings to rejoice (Col. i. 24), and in his very weakness to find ground for boasting; comp. 2 Cor. xi. 30, xii. 5. On the form evkakeîv, not ἐκκακεῖν, see notes on Gal. vi. q. ev ταις θλίψεσιν κ.τ.λ.] 'in my tribulations for you,' 'in (not 'ob,' Beza) tribulationibus meis,' Vulg., Clarom.: ev as usual denoting the sphere as it were in which the faint-heartedness of the Ephesians might possibly be shown; see Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 345. So close was their bond of union in Christ, that the Apostle felt his afflictions were theirs; they might be fainthearted in his, as if they were their own. The article is not necessary before $i\pi\epsilon\rho$, as $\theta\lambda l\psi\epsilon\sigma\iota$ can be considered in structural union with ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν: comp. θλίβεσθαι ὑπέρ τινος, 2 Cor. i. 6; see notes on ch. i. 15.

is your glory;' reason (ὑμετέρα γὰρ δόξα κ.τ.λ. Theod.), or rather explanation, why they were not to be fainthearted; the indef. relative being here explanatory (comp. ch. i. 23, notes on Gal. iv. 24, and Hartung, Casus, p. 286), and referring to θλίψεσω on the common principle of attraction by which the relative assumes the gender of the predicate; see Winer, Gr. § 24. 3, p. 150, Madvig, Synt. § 98. The way in which St Paul's tribulations could be said to tend to the glory of the Ephesians is simply but satisfactorily explained by Chrys., ὅτι οὕτως αὐτοὺς ἡγάπησεν ὁ Θεός, ώστε καὶ τὸν υίον ύπερ αὐτῶν δοῦναι καὶ τοὺς δούλους κακούν τνα γάρ οὖτοι τύχωσι τοσούτων άγαθών [see ver. 6] Παῦλος έδεσμεῖτο. The personal reason, 'quod doctorem habetis qui nullis calamitatibus frangitur,' Calixt. (compare Theod.), in which case ητις must refer to μη ένκακείν, seems wholly out of the question. Glory accrued to the Ephesians from the official dignity, not the personal fortitude (καρτερία, Theod.) of the sufferer.

14. Toutou xápiv] 'On this account,' sc. 'because ye are so called and so built together in Christ, 'resumption of ver. Ι (ταῦτα πάντα ἐν μέσφ τεθεικώς, αναλαμβάνει τον περί προσευχης λόγον Theod.); τούτου χάρω referring to the train of thought at the end of ch. ii., and to the ideas parallel to it in the digression; in brief, έπειδη ούτως ηγαπήθητε παρά Θεού Œcum. κάμπτω τὰ γόvaτa κ.τ.λ.] 'I bend my knees (in prayer) to; expression indicative of the earnestness and fervency of his prayer; την μετά κατανύξεως δέησιν ἐσήμανε, Theoph., comp. Chrys. Κάμπτειν γόνυ (usually κ. ἐπὶ γόνυ in the LXX) is joined with the dat. in its simple sense (Rom. xi. 4, xiv. 11, both quotations); but here, in the metaphorical sense of προσεύχεσθαι, is ap15 προς τον Πατέρα, έξ οῦ πᾶσα πατριὰ ἐν οὐρανοῖς καὶ 16 ἐπὶ γῆς ὀνομάζεται, ἵνα δῷ ὑμῖν κατὰ τὸ πλοῦτος

16. $\delta\hat{\varphi}$] So ABCFGN; 3 mss.; Orig. (Cat.), Bas., Method., al. (Lachn., Mey., al.). In ed. 1 and 2 the rarer form $\delta\hat{\psi}\eta$ was adopted with DEKL; great majority of mss.; Ath., Chrys., Theod., al. (Rec., Tisch. ed. 2, 7), and on the evidence then extant probably rightly. The addition of N seems however now just sufficient to turn the scale; comp. critical note on ch. ii. 8.

propriately joined with $\pi \rho \delta s$ to denote the object towards whom (as it were) the knees were bowed,—the mental direction of the prayer; see Winer, $Gr. \S 49$. h, p. 360. On the posture of kneeling in prayer, see Bingham, Antiq. XIII. 8. 4, and esp. Snicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 777.

The interpolation of the words τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμών Ι. Χ. after πατέρα, though undoubtedly ancient, and well supported [DEFGKLN⁴; nearly all mss.; Syr. (both), Vulg., Goth., al.; Chrys., Theod., al.], is rightly rejected in favour of the text [ABCN¹; 2 mss.; Demid., Copt., Æth. (both), al.; Orig., Cyr., al.] by nearly all modern editors except De Wette and Eadie.

15. if oi from whom, 'after whom;' ex pointing to the origin or source whence the name was derived; see notes on Gal. ii. 16, and comp. Xen. Mem. IV. 5. 12, ξφη δὲ καὶ τὸ διαλέγεσθαι δνομασθήναι έκ τοῦ συνιόντας κοινή βουλεύεσθαι. Hom. Il. x. 68, πατρόθεν έκ γενεής ονομάζων. Less direct origination is expressed by dπό; comp. δνομάζ. ἀπό, Herod. VI. 130. πάσα πατριά] 'every race, family,' not 'the whole family,' Auth.; see Middleton in loc., p. 361 (ed. Rose). The use of the particular term πατριά is evidently suggested by the preceding πατέρα; its exact meaning however, and still more its present reference, are both very debateable. With regard to the first it may be said that πατριά does not imply (a) 'paternitas,' Vulg., Syr., al. (κυρίως πατήρ, καί $d\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ s πατηρ δ Θεόs, Theod.; comp. Tholuck, Bergpr. p. 394),—a translation defensible neither in point of etymology or exegesis, but is either used in (b) the more limited sense of 'familia' (metiōt, Copt.; comp. Arm.), or more probably (c) that of the more inclusive 'gens' (Heb. אַשְׁשַּׁבְּחָה, less commonly בות אבות, comp. Gesen. Lex. s. v. תְּיָם, נס); see Herod. ו. 200, כּוֹסוֹ סֿבּׂ αὐτών [Βαβυλωνίων] πατριαί τρείs, and compare Acts iii. 25 with Gen. xii. 3, where πατριά and φυλή are interchanged. If then, as seems most correct, we adopt this more inclusive meaning, the reference must be to those larger classes and communities into which, as we may also infer from other passages (comp. ch. i. 21 notes, Col. i. 16 notes), the celestial hosts appear to be divided, and to the races and tribes of men ('quæque regionum,' Æth.), every one of which owes the very title of πατριά by which it is defined to the great $\Pi a \tau \eta \rho$ of all the πατριαί both of angels and men: this title οὐκ ἀφ' ἡμῶν ἀνῆλθεν ἄνω, ἀλλ° ανωθεν ήλθεν els ήμας. Severian ap. Cramer, Caten. in loc.; see Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. I. p. 1238, and Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. 11. p. 637.

oνομάζεται is thus taken in its simple etymological sense, 'is named, bears the name of,' scil. of πατριά, 'dicitur,' Copt., al., 'namnajada,' Goth.; see Meyer in loc. All special interpolations, e.g. 'nominantur filis Dei' (Beng., comp. Beza), or arbitrary interpretations of δνομάζ., e.g. 'existit,

της δόξης αὐτοῦ δυνάμει κραταιωθηναι διὰ τοῦ Πνεύματος αὐτοῦ εἰς τὸν ἔσω ἄνθρωπον, κατοικησαι τὸν 17

originem accipit' (Estius, al.; comp. Rück.),—meanings which even καλεῖ-σθαι (Eadie) never directly bears,—are wholly inadmissible.

16. Iva & û iµIv] 'that he would grant you;' subject of the prayer being blended with the purpose of making it; see notes on ch. i. 17. On the reading see critical notes.

κατά τὸ πλοῦτος κ.τ.λ.] 'according to the riches of His glory,' according to the abundance and plenitude of His own perfections; see notes on ch. i. 7. δυνάμει] 'with power,' 'with (infused) strength;' 'ut virtute seu fortitudine ab eo acceptá corroboremini: Estius. This dative has been differently explained; it cannot be (a) the dat. of 'reference to,'or more correctly speaking, of 'ethical locality' (see notes on Gal. i. 22, and exx. in Krüger, Sprachl. § 48. 15, e.g. χρήμασι δυνατοί είναι, &c.), for it was not one particular faculty, power, as opp. to knowledge, &c. but the whole 'inner man,' which was to be strengthened. Harl. cites Acts iv. 33, but the example is inapplicable. Nor again (b) does it appear to be used adverbially (dat. of manner, Jelf, Gr. § 603. 2), for this interpr., though more plausible (see Rück.), is open to the objection of directing the thought to the strengthener rather than to the subject in whom strength is to be infused; see Meyer in loc. It is thus more correctly regarded as (c) the simple instrumental dat. (Arm.) defining the element or influence of which the Spirit is the 'causa medians;' comp. εν δυνάμει, Col. i. 11.

els τον έσω άνθρωπον] 'into the inner man;' direction and destination of the prayed for gift of infused strength; the clause being obviously connected with κραταιωθ. (Vulg., Goth.,—appy.)

not with κατοικήσαι (Syr., Copt., Æth., and Gr. Ff.); and els not being for èv (Beza), nor even in its more lax sense 'in regard of' (Mey.; comp. Winer, Gr. § 49. a, p. 354), but in its more literal and expressive sense of 'to and into:' the 'inner man' is the recipient of it (ὁ χωρῶν, Schol. ap. Cram. Caten.), the subject into whom the δύναμις is infused; comp. notes on Gal. iii. 27. The expression δ $\delta \sigma \omega$ $d\nu\theta\rho$. (Rom. vii. 22) is nearly identical with, but somewhat more inclusive than ὁ κρυπτὸς της καρδίας ανθρωπος (I Pet. iii. 4), and stands in antithesis to δ ξξω ανθρωπος (2 Cor. iv. 16); the former being practically equivalent to the vovs or higher nature of man (Rom. vii, 23), the latter to the σὰρξ or the μέλη: see Beck, Seelenl. III. 21. 3, p. 68. It is within this έσω dνθρωπος that the powers of regeneration are exercised (Harless, Christl. Ethik, § 22. a), and it is from their operation in this province that the whole man ('secundum interna spectatus, Beng.) becomes a νέος ζνθρωπos (as opp. to a former state), or a καινός ἄνθρωπος (as opp. to a former corrupt state, ch. iv. 24), and is either δ κατά Θεόν κτισθείς (ch. iv. 24), or δ άνακαινούμενος είς ἐπίγνωσιν κατ' είκόνα τοῦ κτίσαντος αὐτόν (Col. iii. 10), according to the point of view under which regeneration is regarded; see Harless, Ethik, § 24. c. The distinction between this and the partially synonymous terms πνεθμα and νοθε may perhaps be thus roughly stated: πνεθμα is simply the highest of the three parts of which man is composed (see notes on 1 Thess. v. 23); νοῦς the πνεῦμα regarded more in its moral and intellectual aspects, 'quatenus intelligit, cogitat, et vult' (see

18 Χριστον διά της πίστεως εν ταις καρδίαις ύμων, εν

notes on Phil. iv. 7); $\delta \in \sigma \omega \, d\nu \theta \rho$. the πνεῦμα, or rather the whole immaterial portion, considered in its theological aspects, and as the seat of the inworking powers of grace: comp. Olsh. on Rom. vii. 22, Opusc. Theol. р. 143 sq., Beck, Seelenl. п. 13, р. 35, and on the threefold nature of man generally, Destiny of the Creature, Serm. v. p. 103 sq. (ed. 3). The attempt to connect St Paul's inspired definitions with the terminology of earlier (δ ἐντὸς ἄνθρ., Plato, Republ. IX. p. 589 A) or of later Platonism (ò ένδον άνθρ., Plot. Ennead. I. I. 10), as in Fritz. Rom. Vol. 11. p. 63, will be found on examination to be untenable. The dissimilarities are marked, the supposed parallelisms illusory.

17. κατοικήσαι τον Xp.] 'that Christ may dwell...in your hearts;' issue and result (ωστε κατοικήσαι, Orig.), not purpose (Eadie), of the inward strengthening; the present clause not being parallel to δυνάμει κραταιωθ. (Mey.), and dependent on $\delta \hat{\varphi}$, but, as the emphatic position of κατοικήσαι seems clearly to show, appended to κραταιωθηναι with a partially climactic force, but a somewhat lax grammatical connexion: see Winer, Gr. § 44. 1, p. 284, comp. Madvig, Synt. § 153. The meaning is thus perfectly clear and simple; the indwelling of Christ, the taking up of His abode (κατοικήσαι, Matth. xii. 45, Luke xi. 26, Col. i. 19 and notes, 2 Pet. iii. 13; the simple form is however used in Rom. viii. 9, 1 Cor. iii. 16) is the result of the working of the Holy Spirit on the one side, and the subjective reception of man ($\delta\iota\dot{\alpha} \ \tau\hat{\eta}s \ \pi l\sigma\tau$.) on the other; 'non procul intuendum esse Christum fide, sed recipiendum esse animæ nostræ complexu:' Calv. τον Χριστόν] The attempt of Fritz. (Rom. viii. 10, Vol. 11. p. 118) to show that Xpiotòs is here merely 'mens quam Christus postulat,' by comparing such passages as Arist. Acharn. 484, καταπιών Εὐριπίδην, is as painful as it is unconvincing. What a contrast is the vital exegesis of Chrys., #@s 82 δ Χρ. κατοικεί έν ταίς καρδίαις; ακουε αὐτοῦ λέγοντος τοῦ Χριστοῦ, Ἐλευσόμεθα έγω και δ πατήρ και μονήν παρ' iv rais kapbiais] αὐτῷ ποιήσομεν. 'in your hearts;' 'partem etiam designat ubi legitima est Christi sedes, nempe cor: ut sciamus non satis esse si in linguâ versetur, aut in cerebro volitet:' Calv. On the meaning of καρδία (properly the imaginary seat of the $\psi v \chi \eta$, and thence the seat and centre of the moral life viewed on the side of the affections), see Delitzsch, Bibl. Psychol. IV. II, p. 203 sq., and notes on Phil. iv. 7.

18. ev dyáπη κ.τ.λ.] 'ye having been rooted and grounded in love; state consequent on the indwelling of Christ, viz. one of fixedness and foundation in love, the participle reverting irregularly to the nominative for the sake of making the transition to the following clause more easy and natural: δοκεί μοι σαφώς τὰ έξης έν σολοικίφ είρησθαι, ώς πρός την φράσων πρός γάρ το δώη υμίν ακόλουθον ήν είπειν έρριζωμένοις και τεθεμελιωμένοις... δ δε θέλων αποκαταστήσαι τά κατά τον τόπον χωρίς σολοικίας. σκέψαι εί μη βιάσεται οῦτω την φράσιν άποκαταστάς Orig. Cat. The assumed transposition of Iva (Iva ¿pp. καὶ $\tau \epsilon \theta$. έξισχ., Auth., Winer, Mey.; -but adopted by none of the ancient Vv. except Goth.), which Origen thus properly rejects, cannot be justified by any necessity for emphasis, or by the passages adduced by Fritz. (Rom. xi. 31, Vol. II. p. 541), viz. Acts xix. 4,

αγάπη ερρίζωμενοι καὶ τεθεμελιωμένοι, ΐνα εξισχύσητε καταλαβέσθαι σὺν πασιν τοις άγιοις, τι τὸ πλάτος καὶ

John xiii. 20, 1 Cor. ix. 15, 2 Cor. ii. 4, Gal. ii. 10, 2 Thess. ii. 7; as in all of them (except Thess. l. c. which is not analogous) the premised words are not as here connected with the subject, but form the objective factor of the sentence. The only argument of any real weight against the proposed interpr. is not so much syntactic (for see the numerous exx. of similar irregularities in Winer, Gr. § 63. 2, p. 505, Krüger, Sprachl. § 56. 9. 4) as exegetical, it being urged that the perf. part, which points to a completed state is inconsistent with a prayer which seems to refer to a state of progress, and to require the present part. (see The answer however seems Mey.). satisfactory,-that the clause does express the state which must ensue upon the indwelling of Christ, before what is expressed in the next clause (ba έξισχ.) can in any way be realized, and that therefore the perf. part. is correctly used. The Apostle prays that they may be strengthened, that the result of it may be the indwelling of Christ, the state naturally consequent on which would be fixedness in the principle of Christian love. We now notice the separate words.

in love, —not either of Christ (comp. Chrys. αγάπη αὐτοῦ), or of God (Wolf), either of which references would certainly have required some defining gen., but the Christian principle of love,—love δ ἐστω σύνδεσμος τῆς τελειότητος, Col. iii. 14. This was to be their basis and foundation, in which alone they were to be fully enabled to realize all the majestic proportions of Christ's surpassing love to man; comp. 1 John iv. 7 sq.

The absence of the article is unduly pressed both by Meyer (= 'in amando')

and Harl. ('subjective love,' 'man's love to Christ'), such omissions in the case of abstract nouns, esp. when preceded by prepp., being not uncommon in the N.T.; see exx. Winer, Gr. § 19. 1, p. 109, and comp. Middleton, Greek Art. VI. 1, p. 98 (ed. Rose).

έρρι. και τεθεμ.] It has been said that there is here a mixture of metaphors; comp. Olsh., Mey., al. This is not strictly true: μιζόω is abundantly used both with an ethical (Herod. I. 64, Plutarch, Mor. 6 E) and a physical (Hom. Od. XIII. 163) reference, without any other allusion to its primitive meaning than that of fixedness, firmness at the base or foundation; see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. II. p. 1337, and Wetst. in loc.

το dξισχύσητε] 'in order that ye may be fully able;' object contemplated in the prayer for Christ's indwelling in their hearts, and their consequent fixedness in love: ἐξισχύσητε φησίν, ὤστε ἰσχύος πολλῆς δεῖ· Chrys.: comp.
 Εcclus. vii. 6, μὴ οὐκ ἐξισχύσεις ἐξῶραι ἀδικίας.
 καταλαΒίσθαι] 'to comprehend:' the tense

βίσθαι] 'to comprehend;' the tense perhaps implying the singleness of the act (see exx. Winer, Gr. § 44. 7, p. 296, but see notes on ver. 4), and the voice the exercise of the mental power: see esp. Donalds. Gr. § 432. bb, where this is termed the appropriative middle, and Krüger, Sprachl. § 52. 8. 1 sq., where it is termed the dynamic middle, as indicating the earnestness or spiritual energy with which the action is performed. The meaning of the verb (κατανοείσθαι, Hesych.) can scarcely be doubtful: the meaning 'occupare' (comp. Goth., 'gafahan;' Copt., taho), adopted by Kypke (Obs. Vol. II.p. 294), but supported only by one proper example, is here plainly untenable,

19 μήκος καὶ βάθος καὶ υψος, γνωναί τε την υπερβάλ-

as the middle voice only occurs in the N.T. in reference to the mental powers; see Acts iv. 13, x. 34, xxv. τί τὸ πλάτος κ.τ.λ.] 25. what is the breadth and length and depth and height;' certainly not 'latitudinem quandam, &c., Kypke (Obs. Vol. II. p. 294), such a use of τi implying a transposition, and assigning a meaning here singularly improbable. The exact force and application of these words is somewhat doubtful. Without noticing the various spiritual applications (see Corn. a Lap., and Pol. Syn. in loc.) all of which seem more or less arbitrary, it may be said (t) that St Paul is here expressing the idea of greatness, metaphysically considered, by the ordinary dimensions of space; διά γὰρ τοῦ μήκ. καὶ πλ. καὶ βάθ. και ΰψ. τὸ μέγεθος παρεδήλωσεν. ἐπειδή ταῦτα μεγέθους δηλωτικά. Theod. It is however more difficult (2) to specify what it is of which the greatness and dimensions are predicated. Setting again aside all arbitrary references (ή τοῦ σταυροῦ φύσις, Orig., Sever.; 'contemplatio Ecclesiæ,' Beng., Eadie), we seem left to a choice between a reference to (a) ἡ ἀγάπη τοῦ Θεοῦ πῶς πανταχοῦ ἐκτέταται, Chrys., της χάριτος τὸ μέγεθος, Theod.-Mops., or (b) ή ἀγάπη τοῦ Χρ., Calv., Meyer. If the preceding dydan had referred to the love of God, (a) would have seemed most probable: as it does not, and as its general meaning there would be inapplicable here, (b) seems the most natural explanation. Thus then the consequent clause, without being dependent or explanatory, still practically supplies the defining gen.: St Paul pauses on the word vyos, and then, perhaps feeling it the most appropriate characteristic of Christ's love, he appends, without finishing

the construction, a parallel thought which hints at the same conception (ὑπερβάλλουσαν), and suggests the required genitive. The order βάθος κ. υψος has the support of AKLN; most mss.; Syr.-Phil.; Orig., Chrys., Theod., al. (Rec., Tisch, -who both in ed. 2 and 7 has by some oversight reversed the authorities); and is appy. rightly maintained, even in opp. to BCDEFG; mss.; Vulg., Clarom., Syr., Goth., Copt.; Ath., Maced. (Lackm.), which adopt the more natural, and for this very reason the more suspicious order.

19. yvevat Te] 'and to know;' supplemental clause to καταλαβέσθαι $\kappa. \tau. \lambda.$, the former referring to the comprehensive knowledge of essentials (Olsh.), the latter further specifying the practical knowledge arising from religious experience. It may be remarked, that though the union of sentences by $\tau \epsilon$ is characteristic of later Greek (Bernhardy, Synt. xx. 17, p. 483), it is comparatively rare in the Gospels. In the Epistles, but most especially in the Acts, it is of more common occurrence. Te is to be distinguished from kal as being adjunctive rather than conjunctive: like 'que,' it appends to the foregoing clause (which is to be conceived as having a separate and independent existence. Jelf, Gr. § 754. 6) an additional, and very frequently a new thought;-a thought which, though not necessary to (Herm. Viger, No. 315), is yet often supplemental to, and a further development of the subject of the first clause; comp. Acts ii. 33, Heb. i. 3, and see Winer, Gr. § 57. 3, p. 517 (ed. 5). την υπερβάλλ. της γνώσεως dy.] 'the knowledge-surpassing love; the gen. γνώσεως being due to the notion of comparison involved

λουσαν της γνώσεως άγάπην τοῦ Χριστοῦ, ΐνα πληρωθητε εἰς πᾶν τὸ πλήρωμα τοῦ Θεοῦ.

in ὑπερβάλλεω: comp. Æsch. Prom. 923, βροντής ὑπερβάλλοντα κτύπον Arist. Pol. 111. 9; and see Jelf, Gr. § 504, Bernhardy, Synt. III. 48. b, p. 169. The words can scarcely be twisted into meaning 'the exceeding love of God in bestowing on us the knowledge of Christ' (Dobree, Advers. Vol. 1. p. 573), nor can the participle $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho\beta$. be explained in an infinitival sense, 'to know that the love of Christ is ἀνεξιχνίαστον' (comp. Harl.), -a translation untenable in point of grammar (Winer, Gr. § 45. 4, note, p. 309), and unsatisfactory in exegesis, -but, as its position shows, must be regarded as simply adjectival. The sentence then contains an oxymoron or apparent paradox (comp. 1 Cor. i. 21, 25, 2 Cor. viii. 2, Gal. ii. 19, 1 Tim. v. 6), thus simply and satisfactorily explained by Chrys. (ed. Savile) and Œcum., el kal ύπερκείται πάσης γνώσεως άνθρωπίνης [this is too restricted] ή ἀγάπη τοῦ Χρ., δμως υμείς γνώσεσθε εί τον Xρ. σχοίητε ἐνοικοῦντα comp. Theoph. Γνώναι is thus contrasted with γνώσις; the former being that knowledge which arises from the depths of religious experience (τὸ γνώναι ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀπολαῦσαι λέγει, Theod.-Mops.), the knowledge that is ever allied with love, Phil. i. q; the latter abstract knowledge, not merely dνθρωπίνη (Chrys.), and most certainly not ψευδώνυμος (Holzh.), but knowledge without reference to religious consciousness or Christian love; comp. 1 Cor. viii. 1 sq., xiii. 8.

ροῦσθαι πάσης άρετης ής πλήρης έστιν δ Θεός Chrys. (ed. Sav.). There is some little difficulty in these words, arising from the ambiguity of the meaning of πλήρωμα. If we adhere (a) to the more strict meaning, 'id quo res impletur' (see Fritz. Rom. Vol. II. p. 469 sq., notes on Gal. iv. 4), the words must imply 'that ye may be so filled as God is filled' (Olsh.), τοῦ Θεοῦ being the possessive gen., and τὸ πλήρ. referring, not to the essence, still less to the δόξα (Harl.), but to the spiritual perfections of God. Owing to the somewhat obvious objection, that such a fulness could never be completely realized in this present state of human imperfection (I Cor. xiii. 9 sq.), De W. and Meyer adopt (b) the secondary meaning of πλήρωμα, scil. πλοῦτος, πλήθος (see Fritz. Rom. Vol. II. p. 471), the translation being either, 'ut pleni fiatis usque eo ut omnes Dei opes animis vestris recipiatis' (Fritz. ib.), or 'ut omnibus Dei donis abundetis' (Est.), according as Ocoû is regarded more as a possessive gen., or as a gen. of the originating cause (notes on 1 Thess. i. 6). Both these latter interpretations are however so frigid, and so little in harmony with the climactic character of the passage (δυνάμει κραταιωθήναι διά τοῦ Πν....κατοικήσαι τὸν Χρ....ινα πληρωθ. els παν το πλήρ. τοῦ Θεοῦ), and with the apparently well considered use of els (not év instrumental, or an ablatival dat.), that we do not hesitate to adopt (a), and urge, with Olsh., that where Christ the living Son of God dwells, there surely πᾶν τὸ πλήρ. τοῦ Θεοῦ is already; comp. Col. ii. 9.

cls πῶν τὸ πλήρ.] 'to all the fulness;'
in omnem plenitudinem,' Vulg., Clarom.; εls not implying 'accordance

Τφ δὲ δυναμένφ ὑπὲρ πάντα ποιῆσαι Doxologs.
 ὑπερεκπερισσοῦ ῶν αἰτούμεθα ἢ νοοῦμεν, κατὰ τὴν δύνα μιν τὴν ἐνεργουμένην ἐν ἡμῖν, αὐτῷ ἡ δόξα ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησία

21. ἐν τŷ ἐκκλησία καὶ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ] So ABCN; 73. 80. 213; Vulg., Copt., Arm.; Dam. (Lachm.), and perhaps rightly. In ed. 1 and 2 the more familiar reading ἐν τŷ ἐκκλησία ἐν Χρ. Ἰησ. was retained, though only with D³ [Ε, Χρ. Ἰ. ἐν τŷ ἐκκλ.]ΚL; great majority of mss.; Syr. (both), Goth., al.; Chrys., Theod., Dam. (text), Theoph., Œcum.; Vig. (Rec., Tisch.); it being easy to account satisfactorily for the variations (see note in ed. 1 and 2). Though the text is thus not wholly free from suspicion, this is still one of those cases in which the testimony of N seems a sufficient addition to lead us cautiously to withdraw a former opinion.

to' (Eadie), but with its usual and proper force, denoting the end (here quantitatively considered) or limit of the πλήρωσις: see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. els, III., Vol. I. p. 803, and comp. Bernhardy, Synt. v. II. b, p. 218.

20. Τφ δε δυναμένω] ' Now to Him that is able;' concluding doxology, not without some antithesis (δè) between Him who is the subject of the present verse, and the finite beings who are the subjects of the preceding verses. ύπλο πάντα ποιήσαι] 'to do (effect, complete) beyond all things; ' 'periphrasis Dei Patris emphatica:' Vorst. That $i\pi \hat{\epsilon}\rho$ cannot here be taken adverbially seems almost self-evident; the order would then be needlessly artificial and the sentence tautological: comp. Winer, Gr. § 50. 7, note 2, p. 376. ύπερεκπερισσού ών κ.τ.λ.] 'superabundantly beyond what we ask or think; second member explanatory of the preceding, we not referring to πάντα, but forming with αlτούμ. and νοοῦμ. a fresh and more specific subject: ὅρα δὲ δύο ὑπερβολάς· τὸ ὑπὲρ πάντα ποιῆσαι τὰ εἰρημένα, καὶ ύπερεκπερισσού ποιήσαι & ποιεί: ἔνι γὰρ καί πλείονα ποιούντα τών αίτηθέντων κεφάλαια μη πλουσίως μητε δαψιλώς ξκαστον ποιήσαι Œcum. The cumulative compound $b\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\kappa$, occurs 1 Thess.

iii. 10 (comp. notes), v. 13 (Rec.), and belongs to a class of compounds (those with $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$) for which the Apostle seems to have had a somewhat marked predilection; comp. ὑπερνικάω, Rom. viii. 37; ὑπερπερισσεύω, Rom. v. 20, 2 Cor. vii. 4; ὑπερλίαν, ib. xi. 5; ὑπερυψόω, Phil. ii. 9; ὑπεραυξάνω, 2 Thess. i. 3; ύπερπλεονάζω, 1 Tim. i. 14: see Fritz. Rom. v. 20, Vol. I. p. 351. It is noticeable that ὑπέρ occurs nearly thrice as many times in St Paul's Epp. and the Ep. to the Heb. as in the rest of the N. T.; and that of the 28 words compounded with ὑπέρ, 22 are found in these Epp., and 20 of these there alone. The gen. $\delta \nu$ is governed by ὑπερεκπ. as γνώσεως by ὑπερβάλλουσαν, ver. 19; comp. Bernh. Synt. III. 34, p. 139 sq. ή νοοῦμεν] 'we ask or think;' not only the requests we actually prefer, but all that it might enter into the mind to conceive; 'cogitatio latius patet quam preces,' Beng.: comp. Phil. iv. 7. την ένεργ. έν ήμιν] 'which worketh in us, sc. in our souls,' 'quæ operatur in nobis,' Vulg., Clarom.; ἐνεργ. being here not passive (Hamm.; Bull, Exam. II. 3) but middle (Syr., Goth., Æth., Arm.), as in Gal. v. 6, where see notes. On the constructions of ενεργέω, see notes on Gal. ii. 8; and on the

καὶ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ, εἰς πάσας τὰς γενεὰς τοῦ αἰῶνος τῶν αἰώνων ἀμήν.

distinction between the uses of act. (mainly in personal ref.) and middle (mainly in non-personal ref.), Winer, Gr. § 38. 6, p. 231. The δύναμις which so energizes is the power of the Holy Ghost; comp. ver. 16, Rom. viii. 26. 21. αὐτῷ] 'to Him;' rhetorical repetition of the pronoun; -not however in accordance with 'Hebrew usage' (Eadie), but in agreement with the simple principles of emphasis; see Bernhardy, Synt. VI. 11. c, p. 290. if Sofa] 'the glory that is due to Him, and redounds to Him from such gracious dealings towards us;' see notes on Gal. i. 5. έν τῆ έκκλ. καὶ in the Church and in Christ Jesus;' the first member denoting the outward province, the second the inward and spiritual sphere in which God was to be praised. With the reading now adopted this seems the clear distinction; but it may be added that even if the ral be omitted (see crit. note) the explanation will most probably be the same: $\ell \nu \times \rho$. In σ . will be neither for διά Xρ. (Theoph.), nor for σύν Χρ. (Œcum.), but will retain its proper meaning, specifying, not exactly the manner (De W.), but the true element in which alone praise was duly to be ascribed to God; 'if any glory come from us to God it is by [in] Christ,' Sanderson (cited by Wordsw. in loc.). The ordinary explanation of the more familiar reading, 'the Church (which is) in Christ Jesus,' is objectionable, not so much on account of the absence of the article (for comp. I Thess. i. I, 2 Thess. i. I), as on account of the then appy. superfluous character of the words (the έκκλ. here mentioned could only be the Christian Church), which in our present interpr. echo the preceding τοῦ Χριστοῦ (ver. 19) with special and appropriate force: contrast Alf. in loc., who still partially connects the two members; but comp. Syr., which by its omission of the relative here, and its insertion in Thess. U. cc., seems not obscurely to favour the opinion here expressed. είς πάσας τάς γενεάς κ.τ.λ.] 'to all the generations of the age of the ages;' comp. Dan. vii. 18, ξως τοῦ αίωνος των αίωνων 3 Esdr. iv. 38, είς τὸν αίωνα τοῦ αίωνος, and see notes on Gal. i. 5. The cumulative expression is somewhat peculiar. It is not improbable, as Grotius suggests, that the two formulæ expressive of endless continuity, γενεαί γενεών, Luke i. 50 (Rec.), Isaiah xxxiv. 17, and alώνες τών alώνων, are here blended together. The use of yeveal suggests the use of the singular αίών, as the conception of the successive generations composing the entirety of the alw is thus more clearly presented; while again the subjoined plural shows alw also to be composed of a series of alwres (gen. of the content) of which it is the sum and aggregation. Harless finds a difference between the two expressions αίωνες των αίωνων and αίων τῶν αἰώνων, the former being rather extensive, and conveying the idea of maures alwes, the latter being rather intensive, 'sæculum sæculorum, quod omnia sæcula in se continet' (Drus.), and more strictly in accordance with the Hebrew superlative. This is ingenious, but apparently of doubtful application, as in actual practice the difference between the two expressions is hardly appreciable. Baur (Paulus, p. 433) finds in this expression distinct traces of Gnosticism: it is unnecessary to refute such utterly foregone conclusions.

IV. Παρακαλῶ οὖν ὑμᾶς ἐγὼ ὁ δέσμιος ἐν Walk worthy of your vocation in lowliness, in love, and especially in unity; there is but one body, one Spirit, one Lord, and one God.

CHAPTER IV. 1. Παρακαλώ κ.τ.λ.] 'I exhort you then;' commencement of the practical portion of the Epistle (comp. Rom. xii. 1), following naturally, and with an appropriate retrospective reference (ofv) to what has preceded; οὖτως αὐτοῖς ἐπιδείξας τῆς θείας εὐεργεσίας τὸν πλοῦτον, ἐπὶ τὰ είδη προτρέπει της άρετης Theod. The meaning of παρακαλώ will thus be both here and in Rom. l.c. more naturally 'hortor' (παρακ. τὸ προτρέπω, ώς ἐπὶ τὸ πολύ Thom. M. p. 684, ed. Bern.) than 'obsecto' (Vulg., Clarom., Arm., and most Vv.),—a meaning which it sometimes bears, but which would seem inapplicable in the present context; see Fritz. Rom. Vol. III. p. 4, and for a general notice of the word, Knapp, Script. Var. Arg. p. 127 sq.; comp. also notes on I Thess. v. II. The exact reference of ov is more doubtful: Meyer refers it to the verse immediately preceding, Winzer and Alford (Rom. l. c.) to the whole doctrinal portion of the Ep.; the former view however seems too narrow, the latter too vague. The more natural ref. is appy. to those passages in the preceding chapter which relate to the spiritual privileges and calling of the Ephesians, e.g. ver. 6, 12, but especially to 14 sq., in which the tenor of the prayer incidentally discloses how high and how great that calling really was. On the true force of this particle, see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 117, Donalds. Gr. § 548. 31, and comp. notes on Phil. ii. 1.

δ δέσμιος ἐν Κυρίφ] 'the prisoner in the Lord,' i.e. 'ego vinctus in Christi castris,' as paraphrased by Fritz.; not παρακ. ἐν Κυρ., a construction at variance both with the grammatical

order of the words, and the apparent force of the exhortation: see Winer, Gr. § 20. 2, p. 123. St Paul exhorts not merely as the prisoner, but as the prisoner in the Lord; 'a vinculis majorem sibi auctoritatem vindicat,' Calv., comp. Gal. vi. 17. Thus ἐν Κυρ. is not for διά Κυρ. (Chrys., Theod.), or σύν Κυρ. (Œeum.), but denotes the sphere in which captivity existed, and out of which it did not exist; 'in Domini enim vinculis constrictus est, qui έν Κυρίφ ών vinctus est,' Fritz. Rom. viii. 1, Vol. II. p. 84; comp. notes on Gal. i. 24. The distinction between this and $\delta \delta \delta \sigma \mu$. $\tau \circ \hat{v} \times \rho$. (ch. iii. 1) seems to be that in the latter the captivity is referred immediately to Christ as its author and originator, in the former to the union with Him and devotion to His service. It must be conceded that occasionally & Κυρίφ appears little more than a kind of qualitative definition (comp. Rom. xvi. 8, 13, 1 Cor. iv. 17, Phil. i. 14, al.); still the student cannot be too much put on his guard against the frigid and even unspiritual interpretations into which Fritz. has been betrayed in his elaborate note (Rom. viii. 1, Vol. 11. p. 82 sq.) on this and the similar expression $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu} \times \chi_{\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}}$. On the nature of this union with Christ, comp. Hooker, Serm. III. Vol. III. p. 762.

†s ἐκλήθητε] 'wherewith ye were called,' 'quâ vocati estis,' Vulg., Clarom., Goth.; †s here appy. standing for †g (comp. dat. 2 Tim. i. 9, but not I Cor. vii. 20 [De W.], as there ἐν precedes), and so violating slightly the usual law of attraction, unless, following the analogy of such phrases as κλήσω καλεῦν, παράκλησω παρακ., we suppose the relative to stand as usual for the

εκλήθητε, μετὰ πάσης ταπεινοφροσύνης καὶ πραΰτη- 2 τος, μετὰ μακροθυμίας, ἀνεχόμενοι ἀλλήλων ἐν ἀγάπη,

accus. ήν: comp. Winer, Gr. § 24. I, p. 148. De W. indeed denies the existence of such a phrase as κλήσιν καλείν, but see Arrian, Epict. p. 112 (Raphel), καταισχύνειν την κλήσιν ήν κέκληκεν.

2. µetà máons tam.] 'with all lowliness;' dispositions with which their moral walk was to be associated, comp. Col. iii. 12; μετὰ ('cum,' Vulg., Goth.,-not 'in,' Copt.) being used with ref. to the mental powers and dispositions with which an action is as it were accompanied; comp. Luke i. 30, 2 Cor. vii. 15, and see Winer, Gr. § 47. h, p. 337. Σύν denotes rather coherence (Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 13. 1), not uncommonly with some collateral idea of assistance; comp. 1 Cor. v. 4. On the use of $\pi d\sigma \eta s$, comp. notes on ch. i. 8; and on the meaning of the late word 7aπεινοφροσύνη, 'the esteeming of ourselves small because we are so,' 'the thinking truly, and because truly therefore lowlily, of ourselves,' see Trench, Synon. § 42, and Suicer, Thesaur. s. v., where several definitions of Chrysostom are cited. Most of these openly or tacitly ascribe to the ταπανόφρων a consciousness of greatness (ταπ. έστὶν ὅταν μεγάλα τις ἐαυτῷ συνειδώς μηδέν μέγα περί αύτοῦ φαντάζηται); this however, as Trench observes, is alien to the true sense and spirit of the word. πραθτητος] 'meekness,' in respect of God, and in the face of men; see Trench, Synon. § 42, Tholuck, Bergpr. (Matth. v. 5), p. 82 sq., and notes on Gal. v. 23. The less definite meaning of 'gentleness' is appy. maintained by some of the Vv. (Vulg. 'mansuetudine,' Goth. 'qairrein' [comp. Lat. cicur], Arm., al.), and also by the Greek commentators (έσο ταπεινός όμοιως δέ και πράος, έστι γάρ ταπεινόν μέν είναι, όξὺν δὲ καί δργίλον Chrys.; comp. Theoph. on Gal. v. 3); the deeper and more biblical sense however is distinctly to be preferred. A good general definition will be found in Stobæus, Floril. I. I The reading $\pi \rho a \vec{v} \tau \eta \tau o s$, though only supported by BCN; mss., is appy. to be preferred to πραδτητος (Rec., Lachm., with ADEFGL; majority of mss.), as the best attested form in the dialect of the New Test.; Tisch. Prolegom. p. L. μακροθυμίας] 'with long-suffering;' separate clause more fully elucidated by the following words, dνεχόμενοι $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Two other constructions have been proposed; (a) the connexion of μετά μακρ. with άνεχ. (Est., Harl.) so as to form a single clause; (b) the union of all the clauses in one single sentence. The objections to (a) are, (I) that dvex. is the natural expansion of μετά μακροθ.—(2) that undue emphasis must thus, owing to the position, be ascribed to μετά μακροθ.— (3) that the parallelism of the participial clauses would be needlessly violated: to (b) that the passage of the general (ἀξίως περιπ.) into the special (ἀνεχόμ. ἀλλ.) becomes sudden and abrupt, instead of being made easy and gradational by means of the interposed prepositional clauses; comp. Mey. in The fine word μακροθυμία ('long suffering,' 'forbearance;' 'usbeisnai' Goth.), implies the reverse of οξυθυμία, and is well defined by Fritz. (Rom. ii. 4, Vol. I. p. 98) as 'clementia, quâ iræ temperans delictum non statim vindices, sed ei qui peccaverit pœnitendi locum relinquas.' The gloss of Chrys. on 1 Cor. xiii. 4, μακρόθυμος διά τοῦτο λέγεται, ἐπειδή μακράν τινα

3 σπουδάζοντες τηρείν την ένότητα τοῦ Πνεύματος έν τῷ

καὶ μεγάλην ἔχει ψυχήν (Clarom., 'magnanimitate'), is too inclusive and general, that of Beza, 'iræ cohibitione,' too limited and special. On the sentiment generally, comp. James i. 19. dνεχόμενοι κ.τ.λ.] 'forbearing one another in love;' manifestation and exhibition of the μακροθυμία: comp. Col. iii. 13. The relapse of the participle from its proper case into the nom. is here so perfectly intelligible and natural, that any supplement of ἐστὲ or $\gamma l \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (Heins., al.) must be regarded as wholly unnecessary; see notes on ch. iii. 18, and Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. έν αγάπη is referred p. 211 sq. by Lachm. and Olsh. to σπουδάζοντες. Such a punctuation, though supported by Origen (Caten.), seems wholly inadmissible, as it disturbs the symmetry of the two participial clauses, and throws a false emphasis on $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{a}\gamma d\pi\eta$.

3. σπουδάζ τηρεῖν] 'using diligence to keep;' participial member parallel to the foregoing, specifying the inward feelings (Mey.) by which the dνέχεσθαι is to be characterized, and the inward efforts by which it is to be promoted; οὐκ ἀπόνως ἰσχύσομεν εἰρηνεύειν' Theoph. For two good discussions of this verse, though from somewhat different points of view, see Laud, Serm. VI. Vol. I. p. 155 sq. (A.-C. Libr.), and Baxter, Works, Vol. xvi. p. 379 (ed. Orme).

την ένότητα τοῦ Πν.] 'the unity of the Spirit,' scil. 'wrought by the Spirit' (την ένότ. ην το Πνεῦμα ἔδωκεν ἡμῶν Theoph.; comp. Chrys., Œcum.), τοῦ Πν. being the gen. of the originating cause (Scheuerl. Synt. § 17. 1, p. 125), not the possessive gen. (as appy. Orig. Caten.), or both united (as Stier, see Vol. II. p. 18), neither of which seem here so pertinent: see notes on 1 Thess. i. 6, and on Col. i.

23. That the ref. is to the personal Holy Spirit seems so clear, that we may wonder how such able commentators as Calvin and Estius could regard τοῦ Πν. as the human spirit, and acquiesce in an interpr. so frigid as 'animorum concordia,' 'animorum inter vos conjunctio.' De Wette, -whose own interpr., 'die Einheit des kirchlichen Gemeingeistes' (comp. Theod.-Mops., Πνεθμ., τὸ ἀναγεννήσαν $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$), is very far from satisfactory, urges ένότης πίστεως, ver. 13 (comp. Origen), but the two passages are by no means so closely analogous as to suggest any modification of the simple personal meaning here assigned to Πνεθμα; see Laud, Serm. VI. Vol. I. p. 162 (A.-C. Libr.). έν τῶ συνδέσμφ της είρηνης] 'in the bond of peace;' element or principle in which the unity is maintained, viz. 'peace;' της είρην. not being the gen. objecti ('that which binds together, maintains, peace,' Rückert; 'vinculum quo pax retinetur,' Beng.; scil. ἀγάπη, Col. iii. 14), but the gen. of identity or apposition; see Scheuerl. Synt. § 12. I, p. 82, Winer, Gr. § 59. 8, p. 470. The former interpretation is plausible, and appy. as ancient as the time of Origen (της άγάπης συνδεούσης κατά τὸ Πνεῦμα ένουμένους ap. Cram. Caten. p. 165), but derives very doubtful support from Col. l. c., where $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta$ is specified, and was perhaps only due to the assumption that êv was here instrumental (= &id, Œcum.), and that $\sigma v \nu \delta$, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon l \rho$. was a periphrasis for the agent $(\dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta)$ supposed to be referred to. 'Ev however correctly denotes the sphere, the element, in which the evorys is to be kept and manifested (see Winer, Gr. § 48. a, p. 345), thus preserving its parallelism with èv in ver. 2, and conveying a συνδέσμφ της ειρήνης. Εν σωμα και εν Πνεθμα, καθώς 4 και εκλήθητε εν μια ελπίδι της κλήσεως υμών είς 5

very simple and perspicuous meaning: the Ephesians were to evince their forbearance in love, and to preserve the Spirit-given unity in the true bond of union, the 'irrupta copula' of peace. The etymological identity of σύνδεσμος and εἰρήνη must not be pressed (Reiners, ap. Wolf), as the derivation of εἰρήνη from ΕΙΡΩ 'necto' is less probable than from ΕΙΡΩ 'dico;' see Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. II. p. 7, Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. I. p. 799.

4. ξν σώμα] 'There is one body;' declaration asserting the unity which pervades the Christian dispensation, designed to illustrate and enhance the foregoing exhortation; the simple verb έστι, not γίνεσθε or έστέ (οίπερ έστέ, Camer.), being appy. the correct supplement; see Winer, Gr. § 64. 2, p. 516. The connexion of thought between ver. 3 and 4 is somewhat doubtful. That the verse is not directly hortatory, and connected with (Lachm.), dependent on ('ut sitis,' Syr.; Est. 2), or in apposition to ('existentes,' Est. 1) what precedes, seems clear from the parallelism with ver. 5 and 6: still less does it introduce a reason for the previous statement by an ellipse of γάρ (Eadie), all such ellipses being wholly indemonstrable; 'nulla in re magis pejusque errari quam in ellipsi particularum solet;' Herm. Viger, Append. II. p. 701 (ed. Valpy). It seems then only to contain a simple assertion, the very unconnectedness of which adds weight and impressiveness, and seems designed to convey an echo of the former warning; 'remember, there is one body, &c.;' comp. Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. 11. p. 108. the explanation of the sentiment the Greek commentators somewhat vacillate; we can however scarcely doubt that the $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu a$ implies the whole community of Christians, the mystical body of Christ (ch. ii. 16, Rom. xii. 5, Col. i. 24, al.), and that the $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ is the Holy Spirit which dwells in the Church (Eadie), and by which the $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu a$ is moved and vivified (I Cor. xii. 13): comp. Jackson, Creed, XII. 3. 4, Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2. I, p. 249, and Wordsw. in loc. On this text, see the discourse by Barrow, Works, Vol. VII. p. 626 sq. (ed. Oxf.).

καθώς] 'even as;' illustration and proof of the unity, as more especially afforded by the unity of the hope in which they were called. On the later form καθώς, see notes on Gal. iii. 6.

και έκλήθητε έν μιά έλπ.] 'ye were also called in one hope,' 'vocati estis in una spe,' Vulg., Clarom., Arm.; kal marking the accordance of the calling with the previously-stated unity ('unitas spiritus ex unitate spei noscitur,' Cocc.), and èv being neither equiv. to \$\(\epsilon\) (Chrys.) or \(\epsilon\) (R\(\mathre{u}\)ck.), nor even instrumental, but simply specifying the moral element in which as it were the κλησις took place; comp. Winer, Gr. § 50. 5, p. 370. Meyer adopts the instrumental sense; as however there are not here, as in Gal. i. 6 (see notes), any prevailing dogmatical reasons for such an interpretation, and as the two remaining passages in which καλείν is joined with έν (I Cor. vii. 15, I Thess. iv. 7) admit of a similar explanation, it seems most correct to adhere to the strict, and so to say theological meaning of this important preposition: we were called ἐπ' ἐλευθερία (Gal. v. 13), and els ζωήν alώνιον (1 Tim. vi. 12), but έν είρηνη (1 Cor. vii. 15), ἐν ἀγιασμῷ (1 Thess. iv. 7), and ἐν ἐλπίδι; comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 15, p. 146.

6 Κύριος, μία πίστις, εν βάπτισμα· είς Θεος καὶ πατηρ

τῆς κλήσεως ὑμῶν] 'of your calling,' κλήσεως being not the gen. of possession (Eadie, Alf.), but of the origin or originating cause; κουὴ ἐστὶν ἡμῶν ἐλπὶς ἐκ τῆς κλήσεως γενομένη' Œcum.: see notes on 1 Thess. i. 6.

5. els Κύριος] 'one Lord,' sc.

Christ; placed prominently forward as the Head of His one body the Church, and the one divine object toward whom faith is directed and into whom all Christians are baptized; comp. Rom. vi. 8, Gal. iii. 27; and for a good sermon on this text, Barrow, Serm. XXII. Vol. v. p. 261 sq. μία πίστις] 'one faith;' not the 'fides quæ creditur,' and still less the 'regula fidei,' Grot.,-this meaning in the N. T. being extremely doubtful, see notes on Gal. i. 23,-but the 'fides qua creditur,' the 'fides salvifica,' which was the same in its essence and qualities for all Christians (Mey.). That this however must not be unduly limited to the feeling of the individual, sc. to faith in its utterly subjective aspect, seems clear from the use of µla, and the general context. As there is one Lord, so the $\mu la \pi l \sigma \tau is$ is not only a subjective recognition of this eternal truth (Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1. 4, p. 238), but also necessarily involves a common objective profession of it: comp. Rom. x. 10, and see Stier, Vol. f. p. 33, Pearson, Creed, Art. IX. Vol. I. p. 399 (ed. Burt.). ξν βάπτισμα] 'one baptism;' a still further 'consequentia' to els Kúpios: as there was one Lord and one faith in Him, so was there one and one only baptism into Him (Gal. iii. 27), one and one only inward element, one and one only outward seal. Commentators

have dwelt, perhaps somewhat unprofitably, upon the reasons why no men-

tion is made of the other sacrament, the els doros (1 Cor. x. 17) of the Holy Communion. If it be thought necessary to assign any reason, it must certainly not be sought for in the mere historical fact (Mey.) that the Holy Communion was not at that time so separate and distinct in its administration (comp. Bingham, Antiq. xv. 7. 6, 7, Waterland, Eucharist, Ch. I. Vol. IV. p. 475) as Holy Baptism,for the words of inspiration are for all times,-but must be referred to the fundamental difference between the sacraments. The one is rather the symbol of union (Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2, p. 284), the other, from its single celebration and marked individual reference, presents more clearly the idea of unity,-the idea most in harmony with the context; see Kahnis, Abendm. p. 249, 276.

6. els Θεός και πατήρ] 'one God and Father;' climactic reference to the eternal Father (observe the distinct mention of the three Persons of the blessed Trinity, ver. 4, 5, 6) in whom unity finds its highest exemplification; 'etiamsi baptizamur in nomen Patris, Filii, et Spiritus Sancti, et filium unum Dominum nominamus, tamen non credimus nisi in unum Deum:' Cocc. On this solemn designation, see notes on Gal. i. 4; and for a discussion of the title 'Father,' see Pearson, Creed, Art. 1. Vol. 1. p. 35 sq. (ed. Burt.), Barrow, Creed, Serm. x. Vol. ό ἐπὶ πάντων] IV. p. 493 sq. 'who is over all;' δ κύριος καλ ἐπάνω πάντων Chrys.; the relation expressed seems that of simple sovereignty, not only spiritual (Calv.), but general and universal (δεσποτείαν σημαίνει, Theod.); comp. Rom. ix. 5, and see Winer, Gr. § 50. 6, p. 372,—where the associated reference to 'protection' (ed. 5) is now

πάντων, ὁ ἐπὶ πάντων καὶ διὰ πάντων καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν. ·
Further, Christ gives Ενὶ δὲ ἐκάστω ἡμῶν ἐδόθη ἡ χάρις κατὰ 7 to each, as the Scripture testifies.

rightly excluded: this would have been more naturally expressed by $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$: see Krüger, Sprachl. § 68, 28. It is unnecessary to remark that the three clauses are no synonymous formulæ (Koppe), but that the prepositions mark with scrupulous accuracy the threefold relation in which God stands to his creatures: see notes on Gal. i. r. and Winer, Gr. l. c., and Stier, Vol. 1. P. 44. The gender of $\pi d\nu \tau \omega \nu$ is doubtful. It seems arbitrary (Vulg., Clarom.) to regard ¿πὶ πάντων and ἐν πασιν [ήμιν] as masc., and διά πάντων as neuter, as there is nothing in the context or in the meaning of the prepp. to require such a limitation: the gender of one may with propriety fix that of the rest. As $\pi \hat{a} \sigma i \nu$ then certainly seems masculine, πάντων may be assumed to be of the same gender; so Copt., which by the omission of hōb seems to express a definite opinion. In Rom. ix. 5, πάντων is commonly (and properly) interpreted as neuter (opp. to Fritz. in loc. Vol. II. p. 272), there being no limitation or restriction implied in the context. The reading is very doubtful: ἡμῖν (Rec. ὑμῶν with mss.; Chrys. comment., al.) is added to maow with DEFGKL; 40 mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Syr. (both). Goth.; Iren. int., Dam., al.: but seems rightly omitted with ABCℵ; 10 mss.; Copt., Æth. (both); Ath., Greg. Naz., Chrys. (text), al., as a not improbable gloss; so Lachm., Tisch., and appy. the majority of recent editors. Sid πάντων και έν πασιν] 'through all and in all.' These two last clauses are less easy to interpret, on account of the approximation in meaning of the two prepositions. Of these did is

referred (a) by the Greek expositors to God the Father, in respect of his providence (ὁ προνοών καὶ διοικών, Chrys.); (b) by Aquinas (ap. Est.), al., to God the Son, 'per quem omnia facta sunt' (comp. Olsh.),-a very inverted interpretation; (c) by Calvin, Meyer, al. 'to the pervading charismatic influence and presence of God by means of the Holy Spirit.' This last interpretation seems at first sight most in unison with the strict meaning of both prepp., did pointing to the influence of the Spirit which passes through ('transcurrit,' Jerome) and perrades all hearts [operative motion], èν His indwelling (ὁ οἰκῶν, Chrys.) and informing influence [operative rest]; see ed. 1: still as the three Persons of the blessed Trinity have been so lately specified, as references to this holy Truth seem very noticeably to pervade this Ep. (see Stier, Eph. Vol. I. p. 35), and as the ancient interpr. of Irenæus 'super omnia (?) quidem Pater...per omnia (?) autem Verbum...in omnibus autem nobis Spiritus,' Hær. v. 18 (comp. Athan. ad Serap. § 28, Vol. II. p. 676, ed. Bened.), seems to have a just claim on our attention, it seems best and safest to maintain that allusion in the present case (opp. to Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 184), and to refer δια πάν- $\tau\omega\nu$ to the redeeming and reconciling influences of the Eternal Son which pervade all hearts, while έν πασιν, as above, marks the indwelling Spirit; see Stier in loc., and comp. Waterl. Def. of Queries, Vol. 1. p. 280.

7. 'Ev\ δ\ excorp ຖືμῶν] 'But to each of us,' 'to each one individually:' further inculcation of this unity in what might at first sight have seemed.

ŀ

8 τὸ μέτρον της δωρεάς τοῦ Χριστοῦ. διὸ λέγει 'Ανα-

to militate against it; ôè neither being transitional (comp. Eadie), nor encountering any objection (Grot., comp. Theoph.), but merely suggesting the contrast between the individual and the martes previously mentioned, ver. In the general distribution of gifts, implied in the ὁ Θεὸς ἐν πᾶσιν, no single individual is overlooked (I Cor. xii. 11, διαιροῦν ίδία έκάστω); each has his peculiar gift, each can and ought to contribute his share to preserving 'the unity of the Spirit:' so in effect Chrys., who in the main has rightly felt and explained the connexion, τὰ πάντων κεφαλαιωδέστερα, φησί, κοινά πάντων έστι, το βάπτισμα κ.τ.λ. εί δέ τι ο δείνα πλέον έχει έν τῷ χαρίσματι, μη άλγει. See also Theod.-Mops. in εδόθη ή χάρις] 'the loc. grace was given,' sc. by our Lord after His ascension; χάρις however not being simply equivalent to χάρισμα (= 'gift of grace,' Peile), but, as De W. rightly observes, retaining some shade of a transitive force, and denoting the energizing grace which manifests itself in the peculiar gift; comp. Rom. xii. 6. The omission of the art. (Lachm. with BD1FGL; 5 mss.; Dam.) is due appy. to an error in transcription, caused by the preceding η , by which it became absorbed, and is retained by Tisch. (with ACD3 **正长**於; great majority of mss.; Chrys., Theod., al.), and most recent editors. κατά τὸ μέτρον κ.τ.λ.] 'according to the measure of the gift of Christ,' scil. in proportion to the amount of the gift which Christ gives,' καθώς την έαυτου δωρεάν έκάστω ήμων ο δεσπότης ἐπεμέτρησε Χριστός Theod.-Mops.; δωρεαs being thus a simple possessive gen. (the measure which the gift has, which belongs to and defines the gift), and Χριστοῦ the gen. of ablation

(Donalds. Gr. § 451), or more specifically, of the agent, the giver (compare δωρεάς χάριτος, Plato, Leg. VIII. 844 D, and see notes on 1 Thess. i. 6); not of the receiver (Oeder ap. Wolf),—an idea which is in no sort of harmony with the context, έδωκεν δόματα, ver. 8; see 2 Cor. ix. 15. Stier very infelicitously (in point of grammar) endeavours to unite both.

8. Sid heyer] 'On which account He saith;' on account of this bestowal of the gift of Christ, and that in differing measures; — ὅτι, φησίν, ἡ χάρις δωρεά έστι τοῦ Χρ. καὶ αὐτὸς μετρήσας ἔδωκεν, άκουε, φησί, τοῦ Δαυίδ Œcum. The difficulties of this verse, both in regard to the connexion, the source, and the form of the citation, are very great, and must be separately, though briefly noticed. (1) Connexion. There is clearly no parenthesis; verse 8 is to be closely connected with verse 7, and regarded as a scriptural confirmation of its assertions. These assertions involve two separate moments of thought, (a) the primary, that each individual has his peculiar and appropriate gifts, further elucidated and exemplified in ver. 11; (b) the secondary, that these gifts are conferred by Christ. The intrinsic rather than the contextual importance of (b) induces the Apostle to pause and add a special confirmation from Scripture. The cardinal words are thus so obviously έδόθη, δωρεά, and έδωκεν δόματα, that it is singular how so good a commentator as Olsh. could have supposed the stress of the citation to be on $\tau o \hat{s}$ $d \nu \theta \rho$.

(2) The source of the citation is not any Christian hymn (Storr, Opusc. III. p. 309), but Psalm lxviii.,—a psalm of which the style, age, purport, and allusions, have been most differently estimated and explained (for details

βας είς υψος ήχμαλώτευσεν αιχμαλωσίαν, έδωκεν δόματα

8. ξδωκεν] The reading here is somewhat doubtful. Tisch. (ed. 7) prefixes καl with BC¹C³D³KLℵ⁴; nearly all mss.; Goth., Syr. (both), al.; Orig., Chrys., Theod., al. (Rec., Alf.): Lachm. on the contrary omits with AC²D¹EFGℵ¹; mss.; Vulg., Clarom., Copt.; Iren. (interpr.), Tertull., al. (Tisch. ed. 2); and appy. rightly, as an insertion for the sake of keeping up the connexion seems more probable than a conformation to the LXX, where the καl is omitted.

see Reuss on Ps. lxviii.), but which may with high probability be deemed a hymn of victory in honour of Jehovah, the God of battles (Hengst. opp. to J. Olsh.), of high originality (Hitzig opp. to Ewald), and composed by David on the taking of Rabbah (Hengst. opp. to Reuss, J. Olsh.). We have therefore no reason whatever to entertain any doubt of its inspired and prophetic character; comp. Phillips, Psalms, Vol. II. p. 79. (3) The form of citation is the real difficulty: the words of the Psalm are לַלַחָהָ ים בארם in LXX, έλαβες δόματα έν άνθρώπω [-ποις, Alex., Compl., Ald.]. The difference in St Paul's citation is palpable, and, we are bound in candour to say, does not appear diminished by any of the proposed reconciliations; for even assuming that TDD = 'danda sumsit,' 'he took only to give' (comp. Gen. xv. 9, xviii. 5, xxvii. 13, and see Surenhus. Βίβλ. Καταλλ. p. 585), still the nature of the gifts, which in one case were reluctant (see Hengst.), in the other spontaneous, appears essentially different. We admit then frankly and freely the verbal difference, but remembering that the Apostle wrote under the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, we recognise here neither imperfect memory, precipitation (Rück.). arbitrary change (Calv.; comp. Theod.-Mcps.), accommodation (Morus), nor Rabbinical interpretation (Mey.), but simply the fact that the Psalm, and esp. ver. 18, had a Mes-

sianic reference, and bore within it a further, fuller, and deeper meaning. This meaning the inspired Apostle, by a slight change of language, and substitution of εδωκε for the more dubious Πρ., succinctly, suggestively, and authoritatively unfolds: compare notes on Gal. iii. 16. We now proceed to the grammatical details.

λέγει] 'He saith,' so. ὁ Θεός, not ἡ γρα- $\phi \eta$. This latter nominative is several times inserted by St Paul (Rom. iv. 3, ix. 17, x. 11, Gal. iv. 30, 1 Tim. v. 18), but is not therefore to be regularly supplied whenever there is an ellipsis (Bos, Ellips. p. 54), without reference to the nature of the passage. The surest, and in fact only guide, is the context: where that affords no certain hint, we fall back upon the natural subject, & Ocos, whose words the Scriptures are; see notes on Gal, iii. 16. dvaβàs els üψos] 'Having ascended on high;' not 'ascendens,' Vulg., Clarom., but 'quum ascendisset,' Beza;the reference being obviously to Christ's ascent into heaven (Barrow, Creed, Vol. vi. p. 358, Pearson, Creed, Art. VI. Vol. I. p. 323, ed. Burt.), and the aor. part. here being temporal, and, according to its more common use, denoting an action preceding (never in the N.T. subsequent to, see Winer, Gr. § 45. 6. b, p. 316) that of the finite verb: see Bernhardy, Synt. x. 9, p. 383, Krüger, Sprachl. § 56. 10. 1. Our Lord, it may be urged, gave the Holy Spirit before his ascension (John xx. 22); but this was only an 'arrha Pen9 τοις ανθρώποις. το δε ανέβη τί εστιν ει μη ότι καί 10 κατέβη εις τα κατώτερα της γης; ο καταβάς αὐτός

tecostes,' Beng., a limited (Alford) and preparatory gift of the Holy Spirit; see Lücke in loc. On this text, as cited from Psalm lxviii., see a good sermon by Andrewes, Serm. VII. Vol. III. p. 221 (A.-C. Libr.). ήχμαλώτ. alxμαλωσίαν] 'He led captivity captive,' 'captivam duxit captivitatem,' Vulg., Clarom.; the abstract alχμαλωσ. being used for the concrete alyμαλώτους (comp. Numb. xxxi. 12, 2 Chron. xxviii. 11, 13, and see exx. Jelf, Gr. § 353), and serving by its connexion with the cognate verb to enhance and slightly intensify it; comp. Winer, Gr. § 32. 2, p. 201, and see the copious list of exx. in Lobeck, Paralip. p. 498 sq. Who constituted this αlχμαλωσία has been much discussed. That the captives were not (a) Satan's prisoners (ἀνθρώπους ὑπὸ τὴν τοῦ διαβόλου τυραννίδα κατεχομένους, Theod.-Mops.; comp. Just. Mart. Trypho, § 39, Vol. II. p. 128 [ed. Otto], and Theod. in loc.) seems clear from the subsequent mention of ἀνθρώποις, which (though not so in the original) seems here to refer to a different class to the captives. Nor (b) can they be the souls of the righteous in Hades (Estius; comp. Evang. Nicod. § 24, in Thilo, Codex Apocryph. p. 747), as, setting aside other reasons ('captivos non duci in libertatem, sed hostes in captivitatem,' Calov.), the above interpr. of the part. dvaβds seems seriously opposed to such a view. If however (c) we regard 'the captivity' as captive and subjugated enemies (Meyer, De W.), the enemies of Christ, -Satan, Sin, and Death,-we preserve the analogy of the comparison (comp. Alf.), and gain a full and forcible meaning: so rightly Chrys., αlχμάλωτον γάρ τὸν τύραννον έλαβε (not κατήργησε, which with regard to Death is mainly future, I Cor. xv. 26) τον διάβολον λέγω καὶ τον θάνατον καὶ τὴν ἀρὰν καὶ τὴν ἀμαρτίαν: comp. Œcum. 2, Theoph.

έδωκεν δόματα] 'He gave gifts,' sc. spiritual gifts; comp. $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\delta\theta\eta$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi d\rho\iota s$, ver. 7, and as a special and particular illustration, Acts ii. 33.

9. τὸ δὲ ἀνέβη] 'Now that He ascended,' scil. 'now the predication of His ascent,' not 'the word $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta$,' as dvaβds, not dνέβη, precedes; δè here marking a slight explanatory transition; Hartung, Partik. 8é, 2. 3, Vol. I. p. 165. To evince still more clearly the truth and correctness of the Messianic application of the words just cited, St Paul urges the antithesis implied by ἀνέβη, viz. κατέβη, a predication only applicable to Christ; comp. Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 1, p. 344, where this and the preceding verses are fully investigated. TI GOTIV EL HI κ.τ.λ.] 'what is it, what doth it imply (Matth. ix. 13, John xvi. 17; comp. notes on Gal. iii. 19), except that He not only ascended but also descended?' the tacit assumption, as Meyer observes, being clearly this, that He who is the subject of the citation is One whose seat was heaven,-no man, but a giver of gifts to men; especially comp. John iii. 13. The insertion of $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau\sigma\nu$ after $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\beta\eta$ (Rec. with BC3KLN⁴; most mss.; Aug., Vulg., Goth., Syr. (both); Theod., al.) seems clearly to have arisen from an explanatory gloss; and that of uépn after κατώτερα, though strongly supported (Rec., Lachm., with ABCD3KLN; nearly all mss.; Vulg., al.), to be still fairly attributable to the same origin.

els τὰ κατώτερα τῆς γῆς] 'to the lower (parts) of the earth,' 'in loca que subter terram,' Copt., 'subter terram,'

έστιν καὶ ὁ ἀναβὰς ὑπεράνω πάντων τῶν οὐρανῶν, ἵνα

Æth. This celebrated passage has received several different interpretations, two only of which however deserve serious consideration, and between which it is extremely difficult to decide: (a) the ancient explanation, according to which $\tau \hat{a}$ κατώτερα $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ s $= \tau \hat{a}$ καταχθόνια, and imply 'Hades' (ποῦ δέ κατέβη; είς τὸν ἄδην, τοῦτον γὰρ κατώτερα μέρη της γης λέγει κατά την κοινην ὑπόνοιαν Theoph.), the gen. not being dependent on the comparative (Rück.,—still less compatible with his insertion of μέρη), but being the regular possessive gen.: (b) the more modern interpretation, adopted by the majority of recent commentators, according to which $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ is regarded as the gen. of apposition (see esp. Winer, Gr. § 59. 8, p. 470), and the expression as equivalent to είς την κατωτέραν γην. Both sides claim the comparative Ka-דְּהַלְּנִת הָאָרֶץ pressed הַחָתִּיוֹת הָאָרֶץ by Olsh. is at least equally indeterminate with the Greek, - the one as suggesting a comparison with the earth, 'a lower depth than the earth,' the other as suggested by the comparison with the heaven (Acts ii. 19, John viii. 23,—but in this latter passage κάτω reaches lower than the earth; Stier, Reden Jesu, Vol. IV. p. 447 sq.); comp. Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. I, p. 345. These arguments must be nearly set off against one another, as the positive would have been most natural in the latter case, the superlative perhaps in the former. As however the superl. would have tended to fix the locality (comp. Nehem. iv. 13) more definitely than was suitable to the present context, and as the use of the term dons would have marred the antithesis (γη̂ opp. to οὐρανός), it does not seem improbable that the more vague comparative was expressly chosen, and

that thus its use is more in favour of (a) than (b). When to this we add the full antithesis that seems to lie in ύπεράνω των ούρανων, ver. 10 ('sublimiora cælorum' opp. to 'inferiora terrarum,' Tertull.), surely more than a mere expansion of els vivos (Winer, Mey.), and also observe the sort of exegetical necessity which Ένα πληρώση τά πάντα (ver. 10) seems to impose on us of giving the fullest amplitude to every expression, we still more incline to (a); and with Irenæus (Hær. v. 31, comp. IV. 22, ed. Mass.), Tertullian (de Anima, c. 55), and the principal ancient writers (see Pearson, Creed, Art. v. Vol. 1. p. 269, and reff. on Vol. 11. p. 195, ed. Burt.), recognise in these words an allusion, not to Christ's death and burial (Chrys., Theod.), but definitely to His descent into hell: so also Olsh., Stier, Alf., Wordsw., and Baur (Paulus, p. 431); but it is to be feared that the judgment of the last writer is not unbiassed, as he urges the reference as a proof of the gnostic origin of the Epistle.

On this clause and on ver. 10 see a good sermon by South, Serm. (Posth.) I. Vol. III. p. 169 sq. (Lond. 1843); and for a general investigation of the doctrine of Christ's descent into hell, and its connexion with the last things, Guder, Lehre von der Erscheinung J. C. unter den Todten, Bern, 1853.

10. δ καταβάς] 'He that descended;' emphatic, as its position shows: the absence of any connecting or illative particle gives a greater force and vigour to the conclusion. It may be observed that αὐτὸς is not 'the same,' Auth.,—as no instance of an omission of the article occurs in the N.T., though it is occasionally dropped in the earlier (Herm. Opusc. Vol. I. p. 332), and frequently in Byzantine

11 πληρώση τὰ πάντα.

τα. Καὶ He appointed divers ministering orders, till we all come to the unity of faith, and in truth and love grow up into Christ, the head of the living body, the Church.

authors,-but is simply the emphatic 'He;' οὐ γὰρ ἄλλος κατελήλυθε καὶ άλλος άνελήλυθεν Theod.; see Winer, Gr. § 22. 4. obs. p. 135.

πάντων τών οὐρανών] 'all the heavens,' celcs omnes penetravit ascendendo, usque ad summum cælum, 'Est.; $\dot{v}\psi\eta$ λότερος των οὐρανων, Heb. vii. 26, comp. ib. iv. 14. There is no necessity whatever to connect this expression with the 'seven heavens' of the Jews (comp. Wetst. on 2 Cor. xii. 2, Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. 11. 1, p. 387): the words, both here and in Heb. ll. cc., have only a simple and general meaning, and are well paraphrased by Bp. Pearson, --- 'whatsoever heaven is higher than all the rest which are called heavens, into that place did He ascend: 'Creed, Art. VI. Vol. I. p. 320 (ed. Burton).

ίνα πληρώση τὰ πάντα] 'in order that He might fill all things;' more general purpose involved in the more special έδωκεν δόματα τοις ανθρώποις (ver. 8), though structurally dependent on the preceding participle. subjunctive with wa after a past tense is correctly used in the present case to denote an act that still continues; see Herm. Viger, No. 350, and esp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 618, who has treated this and similar uses of the subj. with wa after preterites with considerable acumen: for exx. see Gayler, Partic. Neg. p. 176, who has also correctly seized the general principle, 'subjunctivum usurpari si prævalet consilium, aut respectus ad eventum habendus; p. 165. Great caution however must be used in applying these principles to the N.T., as the general and prevailing use of the subj. both in the N. T. and in later writers makes it very doubtful whether the

finer distinction of mood was in all such cases as the present distinctly felt and intended.

It is not necessary either to limit πάντα πληροῦν, the solemn predicate of the Deity (Jerem. xxiii. 24, see Schoettg. Hor. Heb. Vol. I. p. 775), to the gift of redemption (Rück.), or to confine the comprehensive τὰ πάντα to the faithful (Grot.), or to the church of Jews and Gentiles (Meier): the expression is perfectly unrestricted, and refers not only to the sustaining and ruling power (της δεσποτείας αὐτοῦ kal everyelas, Chrys.), but also to the divine presence (præsentia et operatione suå, se ipso,' Beng.) of Christ. The doctrine of the ubiquity of Christ's Body derives no support from this passage (Form. Concord. p. 767), as there is here no reference to a diffused and ubiquitous corporeity, but to a pervading and energizing omnipresence; comp. Ebrard, Dogmatik, § 390, Vol. II. p. 139, and notes on ch. i. 23. The true doctrine may perhaps be thus briefly stated:-Christ is perfect God, and perfect and glorified man; as the former he is present everywhere, as the latter he can be present anywhere: see Jackson, Creed. Book XI. 3, and comp. Stier, Reden Jesu, Vol. vi. p. 164.

11. Kal αὐτός] 'And He,' 'jah silba,' Goth.; ἐμφατικῶς δὲ εἶπε τὸ αὐτός, Theoph. There is here no direct resumption of the subject of ver. 7, as if ver. 8-10 were merely parenthetical, but a regression to it, while at the same time the auròs is naturally and emphatically linked on to the auros in the preceding verse. This return to a subject, without disturbing the harmony of the immediate connexion or the natural sequence of αὐτὸς ἔδωκεν τοὺς μὲν ἀποστόλους, τοὺς δὲ προφήτας, τοὺς δὲ εὐαγγελιστάς, τοὺς δὲ ποιμένας καὶ διδασκάλους, πρὸς τὸν καταρτισμὸν τῶν ἀγίων, εἰς ἔργον διακονίας, 12

thought, constitutes one of the high excellences, but at the same time one of the chief difficulties, in the style of the great Apostle. ESWKEY] 'gave,' 'dedit,' Vulg., Clarom., al.; not merely Hebraistic (מָלָן, Olsh.), and equivalent to Evero (Acts xx. 28, 1 Cor. xii. 28), 'dedit Ecclesise id est posuit in Eccl.' (Est.), but in the ordinary and regular meaning of the word, and in harmony with $\epsilon\delta\delta\theta\eta$, ver. 7, δόματα, ver. 8; comp. notes on αποστόλους] ch. i. 22. 'Apostles,'-in the highest and most special sense; comp. notes on Gal. i. 1. The chief characteristics of an Apostle were an immediate call from Christ (comp. Gal. i. 1), a destination for all lands (Matth. xxviii. 19, 2 Cor. xi. 28), and a special power of working miracles (2 Cor. xii. 12); see Eadie in loc., who has grouped together the essential elements of the Apostolate with proof texts. προφήτας] 'Prophets,'-not only in the more special sense (as Agabus, Acts xi. 28), but in the more general one of preachers and expounders, who spoke under the immediate impulse and influence of the Holy Spirit, and were thus to be distinguished from the διδάσκαλοι: ο μέν προφητεύων πάντα άπο τοῦ Πνεύματος φθέγγεται, ὁ δὲ διδάσκων ἐστὶν όπου καὶ έξ οἰκείας διανοίας διαλέγεται. Chrys. on 1 Cor. xii. 28: see Thorndike, Relig. Assemblies, ch. v. 1 sq. Vol. I. p. 182 sq. (A.-C. Libr.), and comp. notes on ch. ii. 20.

εὐαγγελιστάς] 'Evangelists,'— not τους τὸ εὐαγγελιον γραψάντας (Œcum., Chrys. 2), but τους εὐαγγελιζομένους (Chrys. 1), preachers of the Gospel, who περιϊώντες έκήρυττον (Theod.), and yet, as μὴ περιϊώντες πανταχοῦ (Chrys.),

were distinguished from the Apostles, to whom they acted as subordinates and missionaries: comp. Acts viii. 14, and see Thorndike, Relig. Assembl. IV. 37, Vol. I. p. 176, ib. Right of Church. II. 30, Vol. I. p. 451, Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 2, p. 249.

ποιμένας και διδασκάλους] 'Pastor's and Teachers.' It has been doubted whether these words denote different classes, or are different names of the same class. The absence of the disjunctive τοὺs δὲ (arbitrarily inserted in Syr., but altered in Syr.-Phil.) seems clearly to show that both roup. and $\delta i \delta d \sigma \kappa$. had some common distinctions, -probably that of being stationary rather than missionary, ol καθήμενοι καὶ περὶ ἔνα τόπον ἡσχολημένοι, Chrys., -which plainly separated them from each of the preceding classes. Thus far they might be said to form one class; but that the individuals who composed it bore either or both names indifferently, is very doubtful. The ποιμένες (a term probably including έπίσκοποι and πρεσβύτεροι, Frits. Fritzsch. Opusc. p. 43 sq.) might be, and perhaps always were διδάσκαλοι (comp. 1 Tim. iii. 2, Tit. i. 9, Martyr. Polyc. § 16, see Thorndike, Relig. Assembl. 1V. 40, Vol. 1. p. 170), but it does not follow that the converse was true. The χάρισμα of κυβέρνησις is so distinct from that of διδασκαλία, that it seems necessary to recognise in the διδάσκ. a body of men (scarcely a distinct class) who had the gift of διδαχή, but who were not invested with any administrative powers and authority; see esp. Hooker, Eccl. Pol. v. 78. 8, and comp. Neander, Planting, Vol. 1. p. 149 (Bohn).

12. πρός τόν καταρτισμόν κ.τ.λ.]

13 είς οἰκοδομήν τοῦ σώματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ· μέχρι καταν-

'with a view to the perfecting of the saints, for the work of ministration, for the building up of the body of Christ;' more ultimate and more immediate end of the gifts specified in the preceding verse. It is extremely difficult to fix the exact shade of meaning which these prepp, are intended to convey. It seems clear however (a) that there is no 'trajection,' Grot.; - nor again (b) that the three members are to be regarded as merely parallel, and co-ordinately dependent on ξδωκε (ξκαστος, οἰκοδομεῖ, ξκαστ. καταρτίζει, ἔκαστ. διακονεῖ, Chrys.), for πρὸς and els must thus be regarded as synonymous (Syr., Goth., Arm.); and though St Paul studied prepositional variations (see Winer, Gr. § 5c. 6, p. 372), it still does not appear from the exx. usually cited that he did so except for the sake of definition, limitation, or presentation of the subject in a fresh point of view; see notes on Gal. i. 1. Moreover, as Mey. justly observes, the second member, els $\xi \rho \gamma o \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, would thus much more naturally and logically stand first. It also seems (c) nearly equally unsatisfactory, with Æth. (expressly; Vulg., Clarom., Copt. are equally ambiguous with the Greek), De W., al., to connect els...els closely with $\pi \rho \delta s$, as we are thus compel'ed to give διακονία the less usual, and here (after the previous accurate definitions) extremely doubtful meaning of 'christliche Dienstleitung,' De W., 'genus omnium functionum in Ecclesia,' Aret.; see below. It seems then (d) best and most consonant with the fundamental (ethical) meaning of the prepositions to connect els...els with εδωκε, and,—as els, with the idea of destination, frequently involves that of attainment (see Jelf, Gr. § 625. 3, Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 21. 5, and

comp. Hand, Tursell, 'in,' III. 23, Vol. III. 23),—to regard els...els as two parallel members referring to the more immediate, πρòs to the more ultimate and final purpose of the action; comp. Rom. xv. 2, άρεσκέτω els τὸ \dot{a} γαθον προς οίκοδομήν, which seems to admit a similar explanation, and see notes on Philem. 5. For distinctions between ϵls , $\pi \rho ls$, and $\epsilon \pi l$, see notes on 2 Thess. iii. 4, and between els, πρόs, and κατά, notes on Tit. i. 1. We may thus paraphrase: 'He gave apostles, &c., to fulfil the work of the ministry, and to build up the body of Christ, His object being to perfect his saints;' comp. Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 2, p. 109, where the same view is practically maintained.

τον καταρτισμόν] 'the perfecting,' την τελείωσιν, Theophyl.; comp. κατάρτισις, 2 Cor. xiii. q: the nature of this (definite) perfecting is explained ver. 13. The primary (ethical) meaning of καταρτίζεω, 'reconcinnare' (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v.), appears only in Gal. vi. I (comp. notes): in all other passages in the N.T. of ethical reference (e.g. Luke vi. 40, 1 Cor. i. 10, 2 Cor. xiii. 11, Heb. xiii. 21, 1 Pet. v. 10), the secondary meaning, 'to make doτιος,' 'to make perfect, complete' (τελειοῦν, Hesych.), appears to be the prevailing meaning: comp. καταρτίζειν τριήρεις, Diod. Sic. XIII. 70, see exx. in Schweigh. Lex. Polyb. s.v. Any allusion to 'the accomplishment of the number of the elect,' Pelag. (comp. Burial Service), would here be wholly out of place.

έργον διακονίαs] 'the work of (the) ministry;' scil. 'for the duties and functions of διάκονοι in the Church.' As the meaning of both these words has been unduly strained, we may remark briefly that έργον is not pleo-

τήσωμεν οί πάντες είς την ένότητα της πίστεως καί

nastic (see Winer, $Gr. \S 65. 7$, p. 541), or in the special sense of 'building' (comp. 1 Cor. iii. 13), but has the simple meaning of 'business,' 'function' (1 Tim. iii. 1),-not 'res perfecta,' but 'res gerenda,' in exact parallelism with the use of οlκοδομή. Again, Stakovía is not 'service' generally, but, as its prevailing usage in the N.T. (Rom. xi. 13, 2 Cor. iv. 1, al.) and especially the present context suggest, 'spiritual service of an official nature;' see Meyer in loc., Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. 11. 2, p. 109. The absence of both articles has been pressed (Eadie, Peile), but appy. unduly: διακονία may possibly have been left studiedly anarthrous in reference to the different modes of exercising it alluded to in ver. 11, and the various spiritual wants of the Church (Hamm.); ξργον however seems clearly definite in meaning, though by the principle of correlation (Middleton, Art. III. 3, 6) it is necessarily anarthrous in οίκοδ. τοῦ σώματος] 'building up of the body,' parallel to, but at the same time more nearly defining the nature of the Epyor. The article is not required (as with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau$.), as it was not any absolute, definite process of edifying, but edifying generally that was the object. The observation which some commentators make on 'the confusion of metaphors' is nugatory: as τὸ σῶμα τοῦ Χρ. has a distinct metaphorical sense, so has οlκοδομή. On the nature of Christian olκοδομή, see Nitzsch, Theologie, § 39, Vol. I. p. 205.

13. ptxpt καταντήσωμεν] 'until we come to, arrive at;' specification of the time up to which this spiritual constitution was designed to last. Several recent commentators (Harl., Mey., al.) notice the omission of dr

as giving an air of less uncertainty to the subj.; see notes on Gal. iii. 19. As a general principle this is of course right (see Herm. Partic. dv, II. 9, p. 100 sq., Hartung, Partik. dr. 3, Vol. II. p. 291 sq.); we must be cautious however in applying the rule in the N.T., as the tendency of later Greek to the nearly exclusive use of the subj., and esp. to the use of these temporal particles with the aor., without dv, is very discernible: see Winer, Gr. § 41. 3, p. 265. The use of the subj. (the mood of conditioned but objective possibility), not fut. (as Chrys.), shows that the καταντᾶν is represented not only as the eventual, but as the expected and contemplated result of the ξδωκεν; see Scheuerl. Synt. § 36. 1, p. 393, Jelf, Gr. § 842. 2, and comp. Schmalfeld, Synt. § 128, p. 280. This use of the subj. deserves observation. The meaning of καταντάν with έπι or εls (only the latter in the N.T.) has been unduly pressed: it has no necessary reference to former wanderings or diverse starting-points (Zanch., Vatabl. ap. Poli Syn.), but simply implies 'pervenire ad' ('occurrere in,' Vulg., Clarom.), with ref. only to the place, person, or point arrived at; see notes on Phil. iii. 11, and comp. exx. in Schweigh. Lex. Polub. s. v. oi mávres] 'we all,' 'the whole of us;'

oi πάντες] 'we all,' 'the whole of us;' scil. all Christians, implied in the τῶν ἀγίων, ver. 12. It is difficult to agree with Ellendt (Lex. Soph. s. v. πᾶs, III. I, Vol. II. p. 519) in the assertion that in the plural the addition or omission of the article, 'cum sensus fert,' makes no difference. The distinction is not always obvious (see Middleton, Art. VII. I), but may generally be deduced from the fundamental laws of the article.

της επιγνώσεως τοῦ υίοῦ τοῦ Θεοῦ, εἰς ἄνδρα τέλειον, 14 εἰς μέτρον ηλικίας τοῦ πληρώματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ, ἵνα

els την ἐνότητα τῆς πίστ.] 'to the unity of the faith;' 'that oneness of faith' (Peile, see Wordsw.) which was the sim and object towards which the spiritual efforts of the various forms of ministry were all directed; ἕως ἀν δειχθῶμεν πάντες μίαν [rather, τὴν μίαν] πίστιν ἔχοντες τοῦτο γάρ ἐστιν ἐνότης πίστεως ὅταν πάντες ἐν ῶμεν, ὅταν πάντες ὁμοίως τὸν σύνδεσμον ἐπιγιώσκωμεν Chrys.

και της έπιγνώσεως κ.τ.λ.] 'and of the (true) knowledge of the Son of God;' further development, -not only faith in the Son, but saving knowledge of Him; the gen. τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ Θεοῦ being the gen. objecti (Winer, Gr. § 30. obs. p. 168), and belonging to both substantives. The kal is thus not 'exegetice positum' (Calv.), but simply copulative; the former interpr., though grammatically admissible (see on Gal. vi. 16), would here be contextually untenable, as πίστις and ἐπίγνωσις (see notes on ch. i. 17) obviously convey different ideas (Mey.), and are terms by no means mutually explanatory; 'cognitio perfectius quiddam fide sonat:' Beng. Such sentences as the present may serve to make us careful in obtruding too hastily on every passage the meaning of mlores 'Iησοῦ Χρ. alluded to on ch. iii. 12, and noticed in notes on Gal. ii. 16. els avopa releiov] 'to a perfect, full grown, man; metaphorical apposition to the foregoing member, the concrete term being probably selected rather than any abstract term (ή τελειοτέρα των δογμάτων [better τοῦ Χριστοῦ] γνῶσις, Theoph.), as forming a good contrast to the following νήπιοι (ver. 14, comp. 1 Cor. xiii. 10, 11), and as suggesting by its 'singular' the idea of the complete unity of the holy personality, further explained in the next clause, into which they were united and consummated. Instances of a similar use of τέλειος are cited by Raphel. Annot. Vol. II. p. 477; see esp. Polyb. Hist. v. 29. 2, where παιδίον νήπιον and τέλειον ἀνδρα stand in studied contrast to each other.

els μέτρον κ.τ.λ.] 'to the measure of the stature of Christ's fulness,' i.e. 'of the fulness which Christ has,' τοῦ Χρ. being the gen. subjecti; see esp. notes on ch. iii. 19, and on the accumulation of genitives, Winer, Gr. § 30. 3, obs. 1, p. 172; comp. 2 Cor. iv. 4. It is doubtful whether ἡλικία is to be referred (a) to age (John ix. 21, so clearly Matth. vi. 27), or (b) to stature (Luke xix. 3), both being explanations here equally admissible; see Bos, Exercit. p. 183. In the former case $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \rho$. τ . $X \rho$. will be the qualifying, or rather characterizing gen. (Scheuerl. Synt. § 16. 3, p. 115, and notes on ch. i. 10), and will more nearly define της ηλικ.,—'the age when the fulness of Christ is received:' in the latter the gen. is purely possessive. The antithesis (τέλειοι...νήπιοι) seems in favour of (a); still,—as both words are metaphorical,—as μέτρον is appropriately used in reference to 'stature' (see esp. Lucian, Imag. 6, cited by Wetst.; even in Hom. Od. XVIII. 216, $\eta \beta \eta s$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho$. is associated with the idea of size), and still more, as the separate words πλήρωμα, αὐξήσωμεν, &c. no less than the context ver. 16, all suggest ideas of matured growth in respect of magnitude,-the latter interpr. (b) seems most probable and satisfactory; so Syr., Goth. ('vahstaus'), Copt. (maie), appy. Æth., and our own Auth. Version. It has been considered a question whether

μηκέτι ωμεν νήπιοι, κλυδωνιζόμενοι καὶ περιφερόμενοι παντὶ ανέμφ τῆς διδασκαλίας εν τῆ κυβεία των ανθρώ-

the Apostle is here referring solely to present (Chrys.), or to future life (Theod.). The mention of $\pi l \sigma \tau is$, and the tenor of ver. 14, 15, incline us to the former view: still it is probable (see Olsh.) that no special distinction was intended. St Paul regards the Church as one; he declares its issue and destination as $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \delta \tau \eta s$ and $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \delta \tau \eta s$: on the realization of this, whensoever and wheresoever, the functions of the Christian ministry will cease.

14. "Va μηκέτι κ. τ. λ.] 'in order that we may be no longer children;' purpose contemplated in the limitation as to duration of the gifts specified in ver. 11 sq. The connexion is not perfectly clear. Is this verse (a) co-ordinate with ver. 13, and immediately dependent on 11, 12 (Harl.), or (b) is it subordinate to it, and remotely dependent on ver. 11, 12? The latter seems most probable: ver. 13 thus defines the 'terminus ad quem' which characterizes the functions of the Christian ministry; ver. 14 explains the object, viz. our ceasing to be whatou, contemplated in the appointment of such a 'terminus,' and thence more remotely in the bestowal of a ministry so characterized; see Meyer in loc., who has ably elucidated the connexion.

For a sound sermon on this text in reference to the case of 'Deceivers and Deceived,' see Waterl. Serm. XXIX. Vol. v. p. 717 sq. μηκέτι] 'no longer;' τὸ μηκέτι δείκνυσι πάλαι τοῦτο παθόντας: Chrys. This is not however said in reference to the Ephesians only, but as the context (πάντες, ver. 13) suggests, in ref. to Christians generally. Eadie somewhat singularly stops to comment on the use of 'μηκέτι not οὐκέτι:' surely to Ένα in its present sense 'particula μὴ consen-

tanea est; 'Gayler, Partik. Neg. p. κλυδωνιζόμενοι] 'tossed about like waves' ('usvagidai,' Goth., comp. Syr., Arm.),-not 'by the waves.' Stier, assuming the latter to be the true meaning of the pass. ('metaphor from a ship lying at hull,' Bramh. Catching Lev. ch. 3, Vol. IV. p. 592), adopts the middle (comp. 'fluctuantes,' Vulg.) to avoid the then incongruous κλυδ. ἀνέμφ. The exx. however adduced by Wetst. and Krebs (κλυδωνίζεσθαι έκ τοῦ πόθου, Aristæn. Epist. I. 27, ταρασσόμενος και κλυδωνιζύμενος, Joseph. Antiq. IX. 11. 3) confirm the passive use and the former meaning; comp. James i. 6.

dνέμφ τῆς διδασκαλίας] 'wind of doctrine.' The article does not show 'the prominence which teaching possessed in the Church' (Eadie), but specifies διδασκαλία in the abstract, every kind and degree of it: see Middleton, Art. v. I, p. 89 sq. (ed. Rose). On the probable distinction between διδασκαλία and διδαχή, see on 2 Tim. iv. 2.

ev τη κυβεία κ.τ.λ.] 'in the sleight of men,'- of men, not the faith and knowledge of the Son of God, ver. 13. 'Er may be plausibly considered instrumental (Arm., Mey.); as however this would seem pleonastic after the instrumental, or what Krüger (Sprachl. § 48. 151 sq.) more inclusively terms the dynamic dat. ἀνέμω (see Heb. xiii. 9), and would mar the seeming parallelism with ev dydwn (ver. 15), the prep. appears rather to denote the element, the evil atmosphere as it were, in which the varying currents of doctrine exist and exert their force; so Vulg., Clarom., Copt., Æth .-Pol., and perhaps Goth., but see De Gabel. in loc. κυβεία (Κ'בו'א Heb.) properly denotes 15 πων, εν πανουργία πρὸς την μεθοδείαν της πλάνης, άλη-

'playing with dice' (Plato, Phædr. 274 D, πεττείας και κυβείας: see Xen. Mem. 1. 3. 2), and thence, by an easy transition, 'sleight of hand,' 'fraud' (πανουργία, Suid.; comp. κυβεύειν, Arrian, Epict. 11. 19, 111. 21, cited by Wetst.): ίδιον δὲ τῶν κυβευόντων τὸ τήδε κάκείσε μεταφέρειν τούς ψήφους καί πανούργως τοῦτο ποιείν' Theod.; see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 181, Schoettg. Hor. Heb. Vol. I. p. έν πανουργία πρός κ.τ.λ.] 775. 'in craftiness tending to the deliberate system of error,' 'in astutiå ad circumventionem erroris,' Vulg.; appositional and partly explanatory clause to the foregoing. The Auth. Ver. (comp. Syr.) is here too paraphrastic, and obscures the meaning of both $\pi \rho \delta s$ and μεθοδεία. The former is not equivalent to kard, Rück., 'with,' Peile, but denotes the aim, the natural tendency, of wavovpyla (comp. notes on Tit. i. 1); the $\mu\epsilon\theta$ oδεία $\tau\hat{\eta}$ s $\pi\lambda$. is that which πανουργία has in view (comp. πρὸς τὸν καταρτ. ver. 12), and to which it is readily and naturally disposed. As πανουργία is anarthrous, the omission of the art. before modes (which induces Rück. incorrectly to refer the clause to φερόμενοι) is perfectly regular; see Winer, $Gr. \S 20$. 4, p. 126. The somewhat rare term μεθοδεία, a δls λεγόμ. in the N.T. (see ch. vi. 11), must have its meaning fixed by μεθοδεύω. This verb denotes, 'the pursuit, &c. of a settled plan'-(a) honestly (Diod. Sic. I. 81, μ. την άληθειαν έκ της έμπειρίας), or (b) dishonestly (Polyb. Fr. Hist. XXXVIII. 4. 10), and hence comes to imply 'deception,' 'fraud,' with more or less of plan (2 Sam. xix. 27); comp. Chrys. on Eph. vi. 11. μεθοδεῦσαί έστι τὸ ἀπατησαι καὶ διὰ συντόμου (μηχανής, Sav.) έλειν: see also Münthe, Obs. p. 367.

Thus then $\mu\epsilon\theta$ o $\delta\epsilon$ la is 'a deliberate planning or system' (Peile; την μηχανήν ἐκάλεσεν, Theod.), the further idea of 'fraud' (τέχνη η δόλος, Suid., έπιβουλή, Zonar.) being here expressed in πλάνης: see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. 11. p. 329. The reading is doubtful: Tisch. (ed. 7) adopts the form μεθοδίαν with B'D'FGKLN, and several mss., but appy. without sufficient reason; as changes in orthography which may be accounted for by itacism or some mode of erroneous transcription must always be received with caution; comp. Winer, Gr. § 5. 4, p. 47. πλάνης has not here (nor Matth. xxvii. 64, 2 Thess. ii. 11) the active meaning of 'misleading' (De W., comp. Syr. ونكي ut seducant), nor even necessarily that of 'delusion' (Harl.), but its simple, classical, and regular meaning, 'error,' - 'erroris,' Vulg., 'airzeins,' Goth. The gen. is obviously not the gen. objecti (Rück.), but subjecti, -it is the πλάνη which μεθοδεύει,— and thus stands in grammatical parallelism with the preceding gen. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu d\nu \theta \rho$. The use of the article must not be overlooked: it serves almost to personify πλάνη, not however as metonymically for 'Satan' (Beng.), but as 'Error' in its most abstract nature, and thus renders the contrast to $\dot{\eta}$ $d\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota a$, implied in άληθεύοντες, more forcible and significant.

15. ἀληθεύοντες δέ] 'but holding the truth, walking truthfully;' participial member attached to αὐξήσωμεν, and with it grammatically dependent on tra (ver. 14),—the whole clause, as the use of δὲ (after a negative sentence) seems distinctly to suggest (comp. Hartung, Partik. δέ, 2. 11, Vol. I. p. 171), standing in simple and direct op-

θεύοντες δε εν άγάπη αυξήσωμεν είς αυτον τὰ πάντα, δς

position to the whole preceding verse (esp. to the concluding πλάνης, De W.), without however any reference to the preceding negation, which would rather have required dalad: see esp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 3, 361, Donalds. Cratyl. § 201. The meaning of άληθεύεω is somewhat doubtful. On the one hand, such translations as 'veritati operam dare' (Calv.) and even 'Wahrheit festhalten' (Rück.) are lexically untenable (see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. άληθ. Vol. I. p. 97); on the other, the common meaning, 'veritatem dicere' (Gal. iv. 16), seems clearly exegetically unsatisfactory. It is best then to preserve an intermediate sense, 'walking in truth' (Olsh.), or (to preserve an antithesis in transl. between $\pi \lambda d\nu \eta s$ and $d\lambda \eta \theta$.) 'holding the truth,' Scholef. (Hints, p. 100),which latter interpr., if 'holding' be not unduly pressed, is almost justified by Plato, Thecet. 202 B, άληθεύειν την ψυχήν ['verum sentire,' Ast] περί αὐτό: so in effect, but somewhat too strongly, Vulg., Clarom., Goth., 'veritatem facientes,' and sim. Copt. iv dyáπη] The connexion of these words has been much discussed. Are they to be joined—(a) with the participle (Syr., Æth., Theoph., (Ecum.), or-(b) with the finite verb (Theod., who however omits $d\lambda \eta \theta$., and appy. Chrys., τη αγάπη συνδεδεμένοι)? It must fairly be conceded that the order, the parallelism of structure with that of ver. 14, and still more the vital association between love and the truest form of truth (see Stier in loc.), are arguments of some weight in favour of (a); still the absence of any clear antithesis between & dy. and either of the preposit. clauses in ver. 14 forms a negative argument, and the concluding words of ver. 16 (whether ev dy. be

joined immediately with αδξησιν ποιείται Mey., or with οlκοδομήν) supply a positive argument in favour of (b) of such force, that this latter connexion must be pronounced the more probable, and certainly the one most in harmony with the context; comp. ch. i. 4. The order may have arisen from a desire to keep autor as near as possible to its relative. els avtov] 'into Him,' Auth. Ver.; els not implying merely 'in reference to' (Mey.), -a frigid and unsatisfactory interpretation of which that expositor is too fond (comp. notes on Gal. iii. 27), nor 'for' (Eadie), nor even simply 'unto,' 'to the standard of' (Conyb.; comp. els ἄνδρα τέλειον, ver. 13), but retaining its fuller and deeper theological sense 'into,' so that αύξ. with εls conveys both ideas, 'unto and into.' The growth of Christians bears relation to Christ both as its centre and standard: while the limits of that growth are defined by 'the stature of the fulness of Christ,' its centre is also, and must be, in Him; comp. some profound remarks in Ebrard, Dogmatik, § 445 89. τά πάντα] 'in all the parts in which we grow' (Mey.), 'in all the elements of our growth;' the article being thus most simply explained by the context. It now need scarcely be said that no 'supplement of κατά' (Eadie, Stier) is required; τὰ πάντα is the regular accus. of what is termed the quantitatire object (Hartung, Casus, p. 46), and serves to characterize the extent of the action; see Madvig, Gr. § 27, Krüger, Sprachl. § 46. 5. 4. ÖS ÉGTLY κ.τ.λ.] 'who is the Head, even Christ.' There is here neither transposition (Grot., comp. Syr.), nor carelessness of construct. for els αὐτὸν τὸν Χρ. (Pisc.). Instead of the ordinary form of simple, or what is termed parathetic

16 έστιν ή κεφαλή, Χριστός, έξ οὖ πᾶν τὸ σῶμα συναρμολογούμενον καὶ συνβιβαζόμενον διὰ πάσης άφης τῆς

apposition (see exx. Krüger, Sprachl. § 57. 9), the Apostle, not improbably for the sake of making έξ οὖ, ver. 16, perfectly perspicuous (De W.), adopts the relatival sentence, with the structure of which the apposition is assimilated; see exx. Winer, Gr. § 48. 4. p. 424 (ed. 5), and Stallb. Plat. Apol. 41 A. The reading is somewhat doubtful: Rec. prefixes the art. to $X\rho$. with DEF GKLN⁴; most mss.; Chrys., Theod. (De W., Mey.), - but appy. on authority inferior to that for its omission, viz. ABCN1; 3 mss.; Did., Bas., Cyr., al. (Lachm., Tisch., Alf.). Internal arguments cannot safely be urged, as the preponderance of instances of real omission (53) over those of insertion (31) is not very great; see the table drawn up by Rose in his ed. of Middleton, Gr. Art. Append. II. p. 490 sq., and Gersdorf, Beiträge, III. p. 272 sq. Under any circumstances the position of the word at the end of the verse gives it both force and emphasis.

16. **¿£ ob**] 'from whom,' Auth., 'ex quo,' Syr., Vulg., Clarom.,-not 'in quo,' Æth. (both); έξ οὖ, as the instructive parallel, Col. ii. 19, clearly suggests, being joined with αὔξησιν ποιείται, and έκ, with its proper and primary force of origin, source, denoting the origin, the 'fons augmentationis,' Beng.; see notes on Gal. ii. 16. It is not wholly uninteresting to remark that the force of the metaphor is enhanced by the apparent physiological truth, that the energy of vital power varies with the distance from the head: see Schubert, Gesch. der Seele. συναρμολο-§ 22, p. 270 (ed. 1). γούμενον] 'being fitly framed together;' pres. part., the action still going on: שטעשני see notes on ch. ii. 21.

Clarom., 'gagahaflib,' Goth., - or more literally and with more special reference to derivation [BA-, βalvω], 'put together;' comp. Col. ii. 19, and in a figurative sense, Acts ix. 22, xvi. 10. The difference of meaning between συναρμ. and συνβ. has been différently stated. According to Bengel, the first denotes the harmony, the second the solidity and firmness of the structure. Perhaps the more exact view is that which the simple meanings of the words suggest, viz. that συνβ. refers to the aggregation, συναρμ. to the inter-adaptation of the component parts. The external authority for the form συνβιβ. [AB(?)CD¹FGℵ] is appy. sufficient to warrant the adoption of this less usual form; see Tisch. Prolegom. p. XLVII. διὰ πάσης άφῆς] 'by means of every joint,' 'per omnem juncturam,' Vulg., Clarom., and sim. all the ancient Vv. Meyer still retains the interpr. of Chrys., Theod., $\dot{a}\phi\dot{\eta} = al\sigma\theta\eta\sigma\iota s$, and connects the clause with αδξ. ποιείται: but the parallel passage, Col. ii. 19, τῶν ἀφῶν καὶ συνδέσμων (observe esp. the omission of the 2nd article, Winer, § 19. 4, p. 116) leaves it scarcely doubtful that the meaning usually assigned (comp. Athen. III. 202 E, Plut. Anton. 27) is correct, and that the clause is to be connected with the participles.

της ἐπιχορηγίας] 'of the (spiritual) supply;' the article implying the specific ἐπιχορ. which Christ supplies, της χορηγίας τῶν χαρισμάτων, Chrys.: on the meaning of the word comp. notes on Gal. iii. 5. The gen. is not the gen. of apposition (Rück., Harl.), nor a

επιχορηγίας κατ ενέργειαν εν μέτρφ ένδς εκάστου μέρους την αύξησιν τοῦ σώματος ποιείται είς οἰκοδομην έαυτοῦ εν ἀγάπη.

mere Hebraistic gen. of quality, 'joint of ministry'='ministering joint' (Peile, Green, Gramm. N. T. p. 264; comp. Winer, Gr. § 34. 3. b, p. 211), but a kind of gen. definitivus, by which the predominant use, purpose, or destination of the aφη is specified and characterized; see Heb. ix. 21, σκεύη της λειτουργίαs, and comp. the exx. cited by Winer, Gr. § 30. 2. β, p. 170. The suggestion of Dobree (Advers. Vol. 1. p. 573), partly adopted by Scholef., that exix. may be 'materia suppeditata,' is not very satisfactory or tenable; see Phil. i. 19. everyear κ.τ.λ.] 'according to energy in the measure of (sc. commensurate with) each individual part;' τψ μέν δυναμένω πλέον δέξασθαι πλέον, τῷ δὲ έλάττω έλαττον Chrys. These words may be connected either (a) with έπιχορηγίας,—the omission of the art. is no objection (Rück.), as ή ἐπιχ. κατ' ἐνέργ. may form one idea (Winer, Gr. § 20. 2, p. 123), or (b) with the participles, or yet again (c) with the finite verb. As the expressions of the clause far more appropriately describe the nature of the growth than either the mode of compaction or the degree of the supply, the latter construction is to be preferred. Kar' ένέργ, is then a modal predication, appended to ποιείται, defining the nature of the αύξnois: this growth is neither abnormal nor proportionless, but is regulated by a vital power which is proportioned to the nature and extent of the separate parts. Dobree (Advers. Vol. 1. p. 573) strongly condemns this translation, but, as it would seem, without sufficient reason. His own translation, which connects κατ' ἐνέργ. with ἐνὸς

έκ. μέρ. and isolates ἐν μέτρφ, impairs the force of the deep and consolatory truths which the ordinary connexion suggests. For a good practical application see Eadie in loc. The reading μέλουs is fairly supported [AC; Vulg., Copt., Syr., al.; Cyr., Chrys., al.], but is appy. rightly rejected by most recent editors, as a gloss on μέρουs suggested by the preceding σῶμα and the succeeding σῶματοs.

την αύξ. του σώματος ποιείται] 'promotes, carries on, the growth of the body,'-σώματος being probably added for the sake of perspicuity, and so practically taking the place of the reciprocal pronoun; comp. Winer, Gr. § 22. 2, p. 130, Krüger, Xen. Anab. p. 27. Stier, perhaps not incorrectly, finds in the repetition of the noun an enunciation of a spiritual truth, echoed by eautou, -that the body makes increase of the body, and so is a living organism; - that its growth is not due to aggregations from without, but to vital forces from within; compare Harless. The middle moierau is perhaps not to be insisted on as confirming this (as Alf.), this form appy. being not so much reflexive (Wordsw.) as intensive and indicative of the energy with which the process is carried on; see Krüger, Sprachl. § 52. 7. 1, comp. Donalds. Gr. 432. είς οἰκοδομήν ἐαυτοῦ ἐν dy.] 'for building up of itself in love;'

[ut in caritate perficiatur ædificium ejus] Syr.; end and object of the ασξησιν ποιείται: love is the element in which the edification takes place. Meyer connects & dydπη with ασξησιν ποιείτου ποιείτο

17 Τοῦτο οὖν λέγω καὶ μαρτύρομαι ἐν Κυρίφ, μηκέτι ὑμᾶς περιπατεῖν καθώς καὶ

Do not walk as darkened, hardened, and feelingless heathens. Put off the old, and put on the new man.

17. τὰ ἔθνη] So Lachm. with ABD¹FGκ¹; 5 mss.; Clarom., Sang., Aug., Boern., Vulg., Copt., Sahid., Æth. (both); Clem., Cyr., al.,—and appy. rightly, as the addition of κ¹ may be considered more than sufficient to counterbalance the probability of λοιπὰ having been left out as being imperfectly understood (ed. 1, 2). The authorities for τὰ λοιπὰ ἔθνη are D²D³EKLκ⁴; great majority of mss.; Syr. (both); Goth., al.; Chrys., Theod. (Rec., Tisch. ed. 2 and 7).

ται, to harmonize with ver. 15, but without sufficient reason, and in opp. to the obvious objection that αδέησιν ποιείται is thus associated with two limiting prepositional clauses, and the unity of thought proportionately impaired; comp. Alf. in loc.

17. Τοῦτο οὖν λέγω] 'This I say then;' this, sc. what follows; connecting the verse with the hortatory portion commenced ver. 1-3, by resumption on the negative side (μηκέτι περιπατείν) of the exhortation previously expressed on the positive side, ver. 1-3 (παρακ. άξίως περιπατήσαι), but interrupted by the digression, ver. 4 — 16; πάλιν ἀνέλαβε τῆς παραινέσεως τὸ προοίμιον Theod. On this resumptive force of ow, see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 718, and notes on Gal. iii. The illative force advocated by Eadie after Meyer (ed. 1) is here improbable, and rightly retracted by Meyer (ed. 2); comp. Donalds. Gr. § 548. 31. μαρτύρομαι έν Κυρίφ] 'testify, solemnly declare ('quasi testibus adhibitis'), in the Lord,'-not 'per Dominum' (μάρτυρα δὲ τὸν Κύριον καλῶ, Chrys, ; see Fritz. Rom. ix. 1, Vol. 11. p. 241), nor even as specifying the authority upon which ('tanquam Christi discipulus,' Fritz. Rom. Vol. II. p. 84), but, as usual, defining the element or sphere in which the declaration is made: comp. Rom. ix. 1, άλήθειαν λέγω έν Χρ.; 2 Cor. ii. 17, ἐν Χρ. λαλοῦμεν,—scarcely correctly translated by Fritz. 'ut ho-

mines cum Christo nexi;' 1 Thess. iv. 1, παρακαλούμεν έν Κυρίφ, and see notes in loc. By thus sinking his own personality, the Apostle greatly enhances the solemnity of his declaration. On this use of $\mu a \rho \tau$, see notes on Gal. v. 3, and comp. Raphel. Annot. Vol. II. p. 478, 595. μηκέτι ύμας περιπατείν] 'that ye no longer (must) walk;' subject and substance of the hortatory declaration; see Acts xxi. 21, λέγων μη περιτέμνειν αὐτούς τὰ τέκνα. In objective sentences of this nature (see esp. Donalds. Gr. § 584 sq.) the infinitive frequently involves the same conception that would have been expressed in the direct sentence by the imperative, and is usually (but incorrectly) explained by an ellipsis of δείν: see Winer, Gr. § 44. 3. b, p. 288, Lobeck, Phryn. 753 sq., and compare Heindorf on Plato, Protag. p. 346 B. καὶ τὰ ἔθνη] 'the Gentiles also;' with tacit reference to their own former state when unconverted; the kal introducing a comparison or gentle contrast between the emphatically expressed $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$, and the $\xi\theta\nu\eta$ of which but lately they formed a part; see notes on kal, verses 4, 32, and on Phil. iv. 12. If λοιπά be retained it would imply that the Ephesians, though Christians, still fell under the general denomination of Gentiles: it would also appy. convey a hint reminding them what they once were, and what they now ought not to be; see Wolf in loc.

τὰ ἔθνη περιπατεῖ ἐν ματαιότητι τοῦ νοὸς αὐτῶν, ἐσκοτισμένοι τῆ διανοία ὅντες, ἀπηλλοτριωμένοι τῆς 18

ev ματαιότητι κ.τ.λ.] 'in the vanity of their mind:' sphere of their moral walk; compare Rom. i. 21, ἐματαιώθησαν έν τοις διαλογισμοίς αὐτών. Chrys. rightly explains the words by τὸ περὶ τὰ μάταια ήσχολησθαι, but is probably not correct in restricting them to idolatry, as µdraios and µaταιόω do not necessarily involve any such reference; compare Fritz. Rom. Vol. I. p. 65. The reference seems rather to that general depravation of the vovs (the higher moral and intellectual element), which was the universal characteristic of heathenism; see Usteri, Lehrb. I. 3, p. 35 sq., and notes on I Tim. vi. 5, 2 Tim. iii. 8.

18. ἐσκοτισμένοι ... ὄντες] 'being darkened: participial clause defining their state, and accounting for the preceding assertion (Donalds. (ir. § 616); έσκοτ. (opp. to πεφωτισμένοι, ch. i. 18; comp. Rom. i. 21, xi. 10, 1 Thess. v. 4) referring to their state of moral darkness, and ovres (rightly referred by Tisch. and Lachm. to eckor., not to dπηλλ. [Eadie],—a punctuation which mars the emphatic parallelism of the initial perf. participles) marking, somewhat pleonastically after the perf. part., its permanent and enduring state; comp. Winer, Gr. § 45. 5. p. 311. The apparently conjugate nature of the clauses (comp, ovres... οδσαν) has led Olsh. and others to couple together ἐσκοτ. κ.τ.λ. and διὰ την άγν. as relating to the intellect, άπηλλ. κ.τ.λ. and διά την πώρ. as relating to the feelings. This however, though at first sight plausible, will not be found logically satisfactory. Their being $\ell\sigma\kappa\sigma\tau$. $\kappa.\tau$. λ . could scarcely be said to be the consequence of their άγνοια ('ignorance' simply, Acts iii. 17, xvii. 30, and appy. 1 Pet. i. 14),

but rather vice verse; whereas it seems perfectly consistent to say that their alienation was caused by their ignorance, and still more by the ensuing πώρωσιs. Hence the punctuation of the text. The reading ἐσκοτισμένοι is not perfectly certain; the more classical ἐσκοτωμένοι is found in ABN; Ath. (Lachm., Tisch. ed. 7), but has scarcely sufficient support to warran its reception in the text.

τη διανοία] 'in their understanding,' 'in their higher intellectual nature,' διέξοδος λογική, Orig., comp. Beck, Seelenl. II. 19, p. 58; see ch. ii. 3, and Joseph. Antiq. 1X. 4. 3, την διάνοιαν ἐπεσκοτισμένους. The dat. ('of reference to') denotes the particular sphere to which the 'darkness' is limited; see notes on Gal. i. 22, Winer, Gr. § 31. 6, p. 193. The distinction between this dat. and the acc., as in Joseph. l. c., is not very easy to define, as such an accus. has clearly some of the limiting character which we properly assign to the dat.; see Hartung, Casus, p. 62. Perhaps the acc. might denote that the darkness extended over the mind, the dat. that it has its seat in the mind; see Kriiger, Sprackl. § 46. 4. I. **άπηλλοτριωμένοι**] 'being alienated from,' άλλοτριοι καθεστώτες, Theod.-Mops.; see notes on ch. ii. 12. τής ζωής του Θιού] 'the life of God.' This is one of the many cases (see Winer, Gr. § 30. 1. obs. p. 168) where the nature of the gen., whether objecti or subjecti, must be determined solely from exegetical considerations. As ζωή appears never to denote 'course of life' (e. g. την έν άρετη ζωήν, Theod.) in the N.T., but 'the principle of life' as opp. to $\theta dva\tau os$ (comp. Trench, Synon. § 27), τοῦ Θεοῦ will more natuζωής του Θεου διά την άγνοιαν την ουσαν έν αυτοις, διά 19 την πώρωσιν της καρδίας αυτών, οιτινες απηλγηκότες έαυτους παρέδωκαν τη ασελγεία εις εργασίαν ακαθαρσίας

rally be the gen. subj. or auctoris, 'the life which God gives;' comp. δικαιοσύνη Θεοῦ, Rom. i. 17, with ἡ ἐκ Θ. δικ., Phil. iii. 9. It is however probable that we must advance a step farther, and regard the gen. as possessive. This unique expression will then denote not merely the παλιγγενεσία, but in the widest doctrinal application, 'the life of God' in the soul of man; comp. Olsh. and Stier in loc., and see esp. the good treatise on ζωή in Olsh. Opusc. p. 185 sq. THY ούσαν έν αύτοις seems intended to point out the indwelling, deep-seated, nature of the dyroia, and to form a sort of parallelism to της καρδ. αὐτῶν. Meyer (compare Peile) conceiving that the words indicate the subordination of διά τὴν πώρ, to διά τὴν ἄγν. removes the comma after autois. This is certainly awkward: St Paul's more than occasional use of co-ordinate clauses (e. g. Gal. iv. 4) leads us to regard both members as dependent on aπηλλ. (Orig.), and structurally independent of each other; though, as the context seems to suggest, the latter may be considered slightly explanatory of the former, and (like $d\pi\eta\lambda\lambda$.) expressive of a state naturally consequent: see esp. Orig. Caten. p. 175. πώρωσιν] 'cullousness,' 'hardness,'not 'cæcitatem,' Syr. (both), Vulg., Clarom., Æth. (both), Arm. (πώρωσις, ή τύφλωσις, Suid.), but 'obdurationem,' Copt. (thom,-which however includes both significations), 'daubiþos, Goth., ή έσχάτη ἀναλγησία, Theod. The word πώρωσιs is not derived from $\pi\omega\rho\delta s$ 'execus' ('vox, ut videtur, a grammaticis ficta,' Fritz. Rom. xi. 7, Vol. II. p. 452), and certainly not from $\pi \delta \rho os$ ($\delta \iota \alpha \phi \rho d\tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$),

as appy. Chrys., but from $\pi\hat{\omega}\rho$ os 'tuffstone,' and thence from the similarity of appearance, a 'morbid swelling' (Aristot. Hist. An. III. 19), the 'callus' at the extremity of fractured bones (Med. Writers). The adject. $\pi\omega\rho$ os, in the sense of $\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha l\pi\omega\rho$ os (Hesych.), is cognate with $\pi\eta\rho$ os, and derived from IIA Ω , $\pi\delta\sigma\chi\omega$; comp. Phavor. Eclog. 150. b, p. 396 (ed. Dind.).

19. oltives 'men who;' explanatory force of δστις; see notes on Gal. ii. 4, iv. 24. άπηλγηκότες] 'being past feeling,' Auth., -an admirable translation. The use of the semi-technical term πώρωσις suggests this appropriate continuation of the metaphor. There is then no reference to mere 'desperatio' (comp. Polyb. Hist. IX. 40. 9, $d\pi a \lambda \gamma o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon s \tau a \hat{s} \epsilon \lambda$ πίσι, and exx. in Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 479), as Syr., Vulg., Goth.,but possibly with the reading of DEFG, al., dπηλπικότες,—nor even to that feelingless state which is the result of it (Cicero, Epist. Fam. II. 16. 1, 'desperatione obduruisse ad dolorem novum,' aptly cited by Beng.); but, as the context shows, to that moral apathy and deadness which supervenes when the heart has ceased to be sensible of the 'stimuli' of the conscience; τὸ δὲ ἀπηλγηκότες ὤσπερ τῶν ἀπὸ πάθους τινός μέρη πολλάκις του σώματος νενεκρωμένων, οίς άλγος οὐδὲν ἐκείθεν έγγίνεται Theod.-Mops. The gloss of Theoph. κατεβραθυμηκότες (comp. Chrys.), adopted by Hamm. on Rom. i. 29, but appy. retracted here, is untenable, as it needlessly interrupts the continuity of the metaphor.

éavroús] 'themselves,' as Meyer well says, with frightful emphasis. It has been observed by Chrys. and others

πάσης εν πλεονεξία. ύμεις δε ούχ ούτως εμάθετε τον 20

that there is no opposition here with Rom. i. 26, παρέδωκεν αὐτοὐς ὁ Θεός. The progress of sin is represented under two aspects, or rather two stages of its fearful course. By a perverted exercise of his free will man plunges himself into sin; the deeper demersion in it is the judicial act (no mere συγχώρησις, Chrys.) of God; comp. Wordsw. in loc.

τῆ dσελγεία] 'Wantonness.' On the meaning and derivation of this word, see notes on Gal. v. 19, and comp. Trench, Synon. § 16.

els ipyaciav] 'to working;' conscious object of the fearful self-abandonment: έργασ. φησίν, ξθεντο τὸ πρᾶγμα ... όρας πως αὐτούς αποστερεί συγγνώμης. Chrys. πάσης] 'of every kind,' whether natural or unnatural; μοιχεία, πορνεία, παιδεραστία, Chrys. As St Paul most commonly places π as before, and not, as here, after the abstract (anarthrous) subst., it seems proper to express in transl. the full force of $\pi d\sigma \eta s$: comp. notes on ch. i. ev πλεονεξία] 'in (not 'with') coretousness;' èv marking the condition, the prevailing state or frame of mind in which they wrought the ἀκαθ. The word πλεονεξία ('amor habendi,' Fritz., 'bonum alienum ad se redigit,' Beng. on Rom. i. 29) is here explained by Chrys. and appy. some Greek Ff. (see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 750, but comp. p. 748), followed by Hammond (in a valuable note on Rom. i. 20), and by Trench, Synon. § 24, as dμετρία, 'immoderate, inordinate desire.' In support of this extended meaning the recital of πλεονεξία with sins of the flesh, 1 Cor. v. 11, Eph. v. 3, Col. iii. 5, is popularly urged by Trench and others, but appy., as a critical examination of the passages will show, without full conclusiveness.

For example, in 1 Cor. v. 10, Toîs πόρνοις ή τοις πλεονέκταις καλ άρπαξιν (Lachm., Tisch.), the use of the disjunctive $\hat{\eta}$ between $\pi \delta \rho \nu$, and $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu$. opp. to the conjunctive kal between $\pi\lambda\epsilon\omega$, and $\delta\rho\pi$, and esp. the omission of the art. before $d\rho\pi$. (Winer, Gr. § 19, 4. d, p. 116), tend to prove the very reverse. Again in Eph. v. 3, πορνεία is joined with ἀκαθαρσία by καί, while πλεονεξ. is disjoined from them by #: see notes. Lastly in Col. iii. 5, the preceding anarthrous, unconnected nouns, $\pi o \rho \nu$., $d \kappa a \theta$., $\pi d \theta$., have no very close union with και την πλεονεξίαν κ. τ. λ., from which too they are separated by ἐπιθυμίαν κακήν: see notes in loc. While therefore we may admit the deep significance of the spiritual fact that this sin is mentioned in connexion with strictly carnal sins, we must also deny that there are grammatical or contextual reasons for obliterating the idea of coretousness and self-seeking which seems bound up in the word; see esp. Müller, Doctr. of Sin, I. 1. 3. 2, Vol. 1. p. 169 (Clark).

20. Jucis & 'But you', emphatic, with distinct and marked contrast to these unconverted and feelingless heathen. ούχ ούτως έμάθετε τὸν Xp.] 'did not THUS learn Christ,' -but on principles very different; the ουτως obviously implying much more than is expressed ('litotes'); τὰ τοῦ δεσπότου Χριστοῦ παντάπασιν έναν- τla Theod. This use of $\mu a \nu \theta$. with an accus. personce is somewhat difficult to explain, and is probably unique. Raphel (Annot. Vol. II. p. 480) cites Xen. Hell. II. I. I, but the example The common interpr. is illusory. Χριστὸς = 'doctrina Christi' (Grot., Turner) is frigid and inadmissible, and the use of $\epsilon\mu d\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ in the sense of 'learnt to know,' scil. 'who He is and

21 Χριστόν, είγε αυτον ήκούσατε καὶ εν αυτώ εδιδάχθητε 22 καθώς εστιν αλήθεια εν τώ Ίησοῦ, αποθέσθαι υμάς

what He desires' (Rück.), has not appy, any lexical authority. We can only then regard $X\rho$, as the object which is learnt (or heard, ver. 21), the content of the preaching, so that the hearer, as it were, 'takes up into himself and appropriates the person of Christ Himself' (Olsh.): compare the similar but not identical expression, $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \partial \nu X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \partial \nu ' I \eta \sigma$., Col. ii. 6; see notes in loc.

21. «Υγε] 'if indeed,' 'tum certe si;' not 'since,' Eadie: see notes, ch. iii. 2, Hartung, Partik. Vol. I. p. 407 sq. The explanation of Chrys. οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλωντος ἐστί, ἀλλὰ καὶ σφόδρα διαβεβαιουμένου, is improved on by Œcum., ώσεὶ εἶπεν, ἀμφιβάλλω γὰρ εἴ τις τὸν Χρ. ἀκούσας καὶ διδαχθεὶς ἐν αὐτῷ τοιαῦτα πράττει.

αύτὸν ἡκούσατε] 'ye heard HIM;' αὐτὸν being put forward with emphasis;—if indeed it was Him, His divine voice and divine Self, that you really heard.' Alf. pertinently compares John x. 27, but observe that the αὐτὸν is here used in the same sort of inclusive way as τον Χριστόν, ver. 20. No argument can fairly be deduced from this that St Paul had not himself instructed the readers (De W.); see on ch. iii. 2. έν αὐτῷ] 'in Him:' not 'by Him,' Auth., Arm., or 'illius nomine,' Beng., but, as usual, 'in union with Him; see Winer. Gr. § 48. a, p. 345. Meyer calls attention to the precision of the language, αὐτὸν ήκούσατε pointing to the first reception, ἐν αὐτῷ ἐδιδάχ. to the further instruction which they had received as Christians. Both are included in the foregoing εμάθετε τον Χριστόν. καθώς έστιν άλήθ. κ. τ. λ.] 'as, or according as, is truth in Jesus.' The

meaning and connexion of this clause

are both obscure, and have received many different interpretations, most of which involve errors affecting one or more of the following particulars, -the meaning of καθώς (Rück.), the position of ¿στίν (Olsh.), the meaning of άλήθεια (Harl.), the absence of the art. before it (Auth.), the designation of Christ by His historical rather than official name (Mey.), and finally the insertion of vuâs (De W.). It is extremely difficult to assign an interpretation that shall account for and harmonize all of these somewhat conflicting details. Perhaps the following will be found least open to exception. The Apostle, having mentioned the teaching the Ephesians had received (ἐδιδάχθ.), notices first (not parenthetically, Beza) the form and manner, and then the substance of it. Kaθώs κ.τ.λ. is thus a predication of manner attached to ¿διδ., and implies, not 'as truth is in Jesus' (Olsh.), which departs from the order and involves a modification of the simple meaning of άλήθ.; nor (as it might have been expressed) 'as is truth,' abstractedly,but, 'as is truth-in JESUS,' embodied, as it were, in a personal Saviour, and in the preaching of His cross. The substance of what they were taught is then specified, not without a faint imperative force, by the infin. with ύμαs; the pronoun being added either on account of the introduction of the new subject Ίησοῦ (Winer, Gr. § 44. 3, p. 288), or more probably to mark their contrast, not only with the Gentiles before mentioned, but with their own former state as implied in την προτέραν αναστροφήν. Meyer, following Œcum. 2, connects the inf. with έστιν άλήθ., a construction not grammatically untenable (Jelf, Gr. § 669,

κατὰ τὴν προτέραν ἀναστροφὴν τὸν παλαιὸν ἄνθρωπον τὸν φθειρόμενον κατὰ τὰς ἐπιθυμίας τῆς ἀπάτης, ἀνα- 23

comp. Madvig, Synt. § 164. 3), but somewhat forced and unsatisfactory. Stier, after Beng., regards $d\pi o\theta$. as a resumption of $\mu\eta\kappa$. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi$., ver. 17, but yet is obliged to admit a kind of connexion with $\epsilon\delta\iota\delta$. κ . τ . λ .

22. dmolfotat vuâs] 'that ye put off; objective sentence (Donalds. Gr. § 584) dependent on έδιδ., and specifying the purport and substance of the teaching; see Winer, Gr. § 48. a. obs. p. 349, and comp. Orig. Caten. The metaphor is obviously 'a vestibus sumpta,' Beza (Rom. xiii. 12, Col. iii. 8), and stands in contrast to ἐνδύσ. ver. 24; see Usteri, Lehrb. 11. 1. 3, p. 220. The translation of Peile, 'that you have put off,' is very questionable, as the aor. is here only used in accordance with the common law of succession of tenses (Madvig, Synt. § 171, sq.), and perhaps with reference (observe ἐνδύσασθαι ver. 24, as compared with $d\nu a\nu \epsilon o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$) to the speedy, single nature of the act; but comp. notes on ch. iii. 4, and on I Thess. v. 27. Equally untenable is the supposition that the inf. is equivalent to the imper. (Luther, Wolf); not however because υμαs is attached to it (Eadie, for see Winer, Gr. § 44. 3. b, p. 288), but because this usage is only found (excluding Epic Greek) in laws, oracles, dc. or in clauses marked by special warmth or earnestness; comp. Bernhardy, Synt. IX. 3, p. 358. But few certain instances, e. g. Phil. iii. 16 (see notes), are found in the language of the N.T. κατά την προτ. dvactp.] 'as concerns your former conversation,' 'quoad pristinam vivendi, concupiscendi, et peccandi consuetudinem,' Corn. a Lap.; specification of that with regard to which the dwo- $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \dot{\delta} \nu \pi a \lambda$. $d \nu \theta \rho$. was especially

carried out; κατά here not having its more usual sense of measure, but, as the context seems to require, the less definite one of reference to; comp. Rom. ix. 5, and see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. 1. p. 1599. The construction $\tau \partial \nu$ $\pi a \lambda$. $d \nu \theta \rho$. $\kappa a \tau d \kappa$. τ . λ . (Jerome, Œcum.) is opposed to the order, and to all principles of perspicuity, -- not however positively to 'the laws of language,' Eadie, for comp. Winer, Gr. § 20. 2, p. 123,—and is distinctly untenable. The expressive word αναστροφή is confined (in its present sense) to the N.T. (Gal. i. 13, 1 Tim. iv. 12, al.), to the Apocrypha (Tob. iv. 14, 2 Macc. v. 8), and to later Greek (Polyb. Hist. IV. 82, Arrian, Epict. I. 9); compare Suicer, Thes. Vol. I. p. 322. παλαιὸν ἄνθρωπον] 'the old man,' i.e. our former unconverted self: personification of our whole sinful condition before regeneration (Rom. vi. 6, Col. iii. 9), opposed to the kawas or véos άνθρωπος (ver. 24, Col. iii. 10) and the καινή κτίσις (Gal. vi. 15), or, if regarded in another point of view (comp. Chrys.), to the $\xi \sigma \omega d\nu \theta \rho$., ch. iii. 16, Rom. vii. 22: see Harless, Ethik, § 22, p. 97, and comp. Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 352. τὸν φθειρόµevov] 'which waxeth corrupt,' del φθείρεται, Orig. Caten.; further definition and specification of the progressive condition of the παλαιὸς άνθρ., -not however with any causal force (ed. I), as this would be expressed either by a relative clause (see on I Tim. ii. 4), or a part. without the article. The tense of the part. (pres., -not imperf., Beng.) must here be noticed and pressed, as marking that inner process of corruption and moral disintegration which is not only the

24 νεοῦσθαι δὲ τῷ Πνεύματι τοῦ νοὸς ὑμῶν καὶ ἐνδύ-

characteristic (Auth.) but the steadily progressive condition of the παλ. ἄνθρ.; contrast κτισθέντα ver. 24. Meyer refers φθειρ. to 'eternal destruction' (comp. Hows.), regarding the pres. as involving a future meaning. This is tenable (see Bernhardy, Synt. X. 2, p. 371), but seems inferior to the foregoing, as drawing off attention from the true present nature of the progressive φθορά: comp. Gal. vi. 8, and see notes in loc.

κατά has here no direct reference to instrumentality (sc. = $\delta \iota d$, Œcum., $\iota \pi b$, Theoph., comp. Syr.), but, as the partial antithesis κατά Θεὸν (ver. 24) suggests, its usual meaning of 'accordance to;' in which indeed a faint reference to the occasion or circumstances connected with or arising from the accordance may sometimes be traced; see notes on Phil. ii. 3, and on Tit. iii. 5. Κατά τὰs ἐπιθ. is however here simply 'in accordance with the lusts,' 'secundum desideria,' Vulg.,

itas] Syr.-Phil., i. e. just as the nature and existence of such lusts imply and necessitate: comp. Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. 358.

τῆς ἀπάτης] 'of Deccit;' gen. subjecti, ἡ ἀπάτη being taken so abstractedly (Middleton, Gr. Art. V. I, 2) as to be nearly personified (Mey). The paraphrase ἐπιθυμίαι ἀπατηλαί (Beza, Auth.) is very unsatisfactory, and mars the obvious antithesis to τῆς ἀληθείας ver. 24.

23. ἀνανεοῦσθαι δέ] 'and that ye be renewed;' contrasted statement, on the positive side ('δè alii rei aliam adjicit, ut tamen ubivis quædam oppositio declaretur,' Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 362), of the substance of what they had been taught, which had been

previously specified on its negative side, ver. 22. It has been doubted whether ἀνανεοῦσθαι is pass. or middle. The act, is certainly rare (Thom. M. p. 52, ed. Bern.; conip. Psalm xxix. 2, Aq.); still, as Harless satisfactorily shows, the middle, both in its simple and metaphorical sense, is so completely devoid of any reflexive force (comp. even ἀνανεοῦ σεαυτόν, Antonin. IV. 3), and is practically so purely active in meaning, that no other form than the passive (opp. to Stier) can possibly harmonize with the context; comp. ἀνακαινοῦσθαι, 2 Cor. iv. 16, Col. iii. 10, and see Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 2, p. 269. The meaning of avá, restoration to a former, not necessarily a primal state, is noticed by Winer (de Verb. c. Præp. III. p. 10); and the distinction between άνανεοῦ- $\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ('recentare,'—more subjective, and perhaps with prevailing ref. to renoration) and ανακαινοῦσθαι ('renovare,'-more objective, and perhaps with prevailing ref. to regeneration) by Tittmann, Synon. p. 60; comp. Trench, Synon. § 18, and see notes on Col. iii. 10. τῶ Πνεύματι τοῦ voòs ὑμ.] 'by the Spirit of your mind.' In this unique and somewhat ambiguous expression, the gen. vods may be explained either as-(a) appositive, 'spiritus quæ mens vocatur,' August. de Trin. XIV. xvi.; so appy. Taylor, Duct. Dub. 1. 1. 7, comp. ib. on Repent. II. 2. 12:—(b) partitive, 'the governing spirit of the mind,' De W., Eadie, την δρμην τοῦ νοὸς πνευματικήν, Theod.; - or (c) possessive, 'the (Divine) Spirit united with the human πνεθμα (comp. Hooker, Eccl. Pol. 1. 7. 1), with which the vous as subject is endued, and of which it is the receptaculum;' τῷ Πν. τῷ ἐν τῷ νῷ, Chrys. Of these (a) is manifestly, as Bp Bull

σασθαι τον καινον άνθρωπον τον κατά Θεον κτισθέντα εν δικαιοσύνη και δσιότητι της άληθείας.

designates it, 'a flat and dull interpretation; (b) even if not metaphysically or psychologically doubtful, is exegetically unsatisfactory; while on the contrary (c), now adopted by Mey., has a full scriptural significance: τὸ IIr. is the Holy Spirit, which by its union with the human πνεθμα becomes the agent of dvaκalvωσις τοῦ voos, Rom. xii. 2, and the vous is the seat of His working, --- where ματαιότης (ver. 17) once was, but now καινότης. The dat. is thus not, as in (a) and (b), a mere dat. 'of reference to' (ver. 17), but a dat. instrumenti, -scil. διά Πν. έστιν άνακαίνισις, Œcum., δπερ άνανεοί ήμαs, Orig. Caten.; see Tit. iii. 5, and comp. Collect for Christmas Day. This interpr. is ably defended by Bull, Disc. v. p. 477 (Engl. Works, Oxf. 1844); see also Waterl. Regen. Vol. v. p. 434, Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1. 3, p. 227, and Fritz. Nov. Opusc. Acad. p. 224. The only modification, or rather explanation, which it has seemed necessary to add to the view in ed. 1, is that $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Pi \nu$. (as above stated) is not the Holy Spirit regarded exclusively and per se, but as in a gracious union with the human spirit. With this slight rectification, the third interpr. seems to have a very strong claim on our attention: contra Wordsw. in loc.; comp. also Delitzsch, Bibl. Psychol. IV.

24. καὶ ἐνδύσασθαι] 'and that ye put on:' further and more distinct statement on the positive side corresponding to the ἀποθέσθαι on the negative; the change of tense (aor.) being appy. intentional; see notes on ver.
22. The arguments of Anabaptists based on this verse are answered by Taylor, Liberty of Proph. § 18. ad. 31. It is very improbable that there is here

5, p. 144.

any allusion to baptism; the 'putting on the new man' refers to the renovation of the heart afterwards; comp. Waterl. Regen. Vol. v. p. 434. The metaphorical and dogmatical meaning is investigated in Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. 1. p. 1113. τόν καινόν dvθρ.] 'the new man.' It is scarcely necessary to observe that the kaiv. $d\nu\theta\rho$, is not Christ (Zanch. ap. Pol. Syn.), but is in direct contrast to TOP $\pi \alpha \lambda$. $\alpha \nu \theta \rho$., and denotes 'the holy form of human life which results from redemption,' Müller, Doctr. of Sin, IV. 3. ad. fin., Vol. II. p. 392 (Clark): comp. Col. iii. 10, where νέος άνθρ. stands in contrast to a former state (Wordsw. aptly compares Matth. ix. 17, Mark ii. 22, Luke v. 38), as καινός here to one needing renewal; see notes in loc., Trench, Synon. Part II. § 10, and Harl. Ethik, § 22, p. 97. The patristic interpretations are given in Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 352. τον κατά Θ. κτισθ.] 'which after God hath been created,'-not 'is created,' Auth., but 'qui...creatus est,' Vulg., Clarom., sim. Copt., with the proper force of the aor. in ref. to the past creation in Christ: the new man is, as it were, a holy garb or personality, not created in the case of each individual believer, but created once for all ('initio rei Christianæ,' Beng.), and then individually assumed. The key to this important passage is undoubtedly the striking parallel, Col. iii. 10, τὸν νέον τον ανακαινούμενον els έπίγνωσιν κατ' elκόνα τοῦ κτίσαντος αὐτόν: from which it would almost seem certain (1) that κτισθέντα in our present passage contains an allusion to Gen. i. 27, and suggests a spiritual connexion between the first creation of man in Adam and

the second new creation in Christ; and

25 Διὸ ἀποθέμενοι τὸ ψεῦδος λαλεῖτε ἀλήθειαν ἔκαστος μετὰ τοῦ πλησίον αὐSpeak the truth, do not cherish anger, or practise theft: utter no corrupt speech; be not bitter.

(2) that κατά Θεόν, as illustrated by κατ' είκ. κ.τ. λ. Col. l. c., is rightly explained as 'ad exemplum Dei:' comp. Gal. iv. 28, and see Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. 358. Thus then from this passage compared with that from Col. we may appy. deduce the great dogmatic truth, - 'ut quod perdideramus in Adam, id est secundum imaginem et similitudinem esse Dei, hoc in Christo Jesu reciperemus,' Irenæus, Hær. III. 18. 1 (ed. Mass.); see notes on Col. l.c. The justice of this deduction is doubted by Müller (Doctr. of Sin, IV. 3, Vol. II. p. 302), but without sufficient reason; see esp. the admirable treatise of Bp. Bull, State of Man, &c. p. 445 sq. (English Works, Oxf. 1844), and Delitzsch, Bibl. Psychol. II. 2, p. 51. On the nature and process of this revival of the image of God, see Jackson, Creed, Book VIII. 35. 1.

έν δικαιοσ. και όσιότ.] 'in righteousness and holiness;' tokens and characteristics of the divine image; èr defining the state in which a similitude to that image consists and exhibits itself (Olsh.). The usual distinction between these two substantives, δσιότης μέν πρός Θεόν, δικαιοσύνη δε πρός άνθρώπους θεωρείται (Philo, de Abrah. Vol. II. p. 30, ed. Mang., comp. Tittm. Synon. p. 25), is not here wholly applicable: as Harless shows from 1 Tim. ii. 8, Heb. vii. 7, that the term δσιότης [on the doubtful derivation, see Pott, Et. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 126, contrasted with Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. 1. p. 436] involves not merely the idea of 'piety,' but of 'holy purity,' τὸ καθαρόν, Chry s. There is thus a faint contrast suggested between ακαθαρσία and πλεονεξία in ver. 19, and $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \circ \sigma$. and $\delta \sigma \iota \circ \tau$. in the present verse. Olshausen (in an

excellent note on this verse) comments on this passage, Col. iii. 10, and Wisdom ii. 23 (also referred to by Bull), as respectively alluring to the Divine image under its ethical, intellectual, and physical aspects: this last reference however seems somewhat doubtful; comp. Grimm, in loc.

τῆς ἀληθείας] 'of Truth;' exactly opp. to τῆς ἀπάτης, ver. 22, and of course to be connected with both preceding nouns. The adjectival solution (Beza, Auth.) wholly destroys the obvious and forcible antithesis, and the reading και ἀληθεία [D¹FG; Clarom., Sang., Boern.; Cypr., al.] has no claims on our attention.

25. Διό] 'Wherefore;' in reference to the truths expressed in the verses immediately preceding: εlπών τὸν παλαιόν άνθμωπον καθολικώς, λοιπόν αὐτόν και ὑπογράφει κατὰ μέρος Chrys. The previous mention of άλήθεια seems to have suggested the first exhortation. On the use of &id in the N.T., see notes on Gal. iv. 31. ἀποθέμενοι τὸ ψεῦδος] 'having put off (aor. with ref. to the priority of the act; comp. notes on ver. 8) lying,' or rather falsehood, in a fully abstract sense (John viii. 44),—not merely τὸ ψεύδεσθαι, scil. τὸ λαλεῖν ψευδη: falsehood in every form is a chief characteristic of the παλαιός ἄνθρωπος, and, as Müller well shows, comes naturally from that selfishness which is the essence of all sin; see Doctr. of Sin, Vol. I. pass. The positive exhortation which follows is considered by Jerome not improbably a reminiscence of Zachar. viii. 16, \aλεῖτε ἀλήθειαν ἕκαστος πρὸς [is the change to µετα intentional, as better denoting 'inter-communion,' etc. ?] τζν πλησίον αὐτοῦ. For a short sermon

τοῦ, ὅτι ἐσμὲν ἀλλήλων μέλη. ᾿Οργίζεσθε καὶ μη 26 άμαρτάνετε ὁ ῆλιος μη ἐπιδυέτω ἐπὶ τῷ παροργισμῷ

on this text see August. Serm. CLXVI. Vol. v. p. 907 (ed Migne).

öτι όσμεν κ.τ.λ.] 'because we are members one of another.' The force of the exhortation does not rost on any mere ethical considerations of our obligations to society, or on any analogy that may be derived from the body (Chrys.), but on the deeper truth that in being members of one another we are members of the body of Christ (Rom. xii. 5), of Him who was ή ἀλήθεια καὶ ἡ ζωή: see Harl. in loc.

26. 'Οργίζεσθε και μή άμαρτάνετε] ' Be anyry, and sin not:' a direct citation from Psalm iv. 5, LXX. The original words are אָל־תַּחֲטָאוֹ (אַל־תַּחָטָאוֹ which, though appy. more correctly translated 'tremble and, &c.' (Gesen., Ewald, J. Olsh., opp. to Hengst. and Hitzig), are adduced by St Paul from the Greek version, as best embodying a salutary and practical precept; comp. ver. 25. The command itself has received many different explanations, though nearly all become ultimately coincident. (1) The usual interpr. 'si contingat vos irasci' ('though ye be angry,' Butler, Serm. VIII.; still maintained by Zyro, Stud. u. Krit. 1841, p. 681 sq.) is founded on the union of two imperatives in Hebrew (Gen. xlii. 18, Prov. xx. 13, Gesen. Gr. § 127. 2), and in fact any cultivated language, to denote condition and result. This however is here inapplicable, for the solution would thus be not δργιζόμενοι μη άμαρ., hut έὰν δργίζησθε οὐχ άμαρτήσετε [not -σεσθε in N.T.], which cannot be intended. (2) Winer (Gr. § 43. 2, p. 279) more plausibly conceives the first imper. permissive, the second jussive: comp. the version of Symm. όργ. άλλὰ μὴ άμαρτ. It is true indeed that a permissive imper. is

found occasionally in the N. T. (1 Cor. vii. 15, perhaps Matth. xxvi. 45), still the close union by kal of two imperatives of similar tense, but with a dissimilar imperatival force, is, as Meyer has observed, logically unsatisfactory. (3) The following interpr. seems the most simple: both imperatives are jussire; as however the second imper. is used with $\mu\eta$, its jussive force is thereby enhanced, while the affirmative command is by juxta-position so much obscured, as to be in effect little more than a participial member, though its intrinsic jussive force is not to be denied. There is undoubtedly an anger against sin, for instance, against deliberate falsehood, as the context appy. suggests (see Chrys.), which a good man not only may, but ought to feel (see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 504), and which is very different from the δργή forbidden in ver. 31: compare Trench, Synon. § 37, and on the subject of resentment generally, Butler, Serm. VIII., and the good note of Wordsw. in loc. ό ήλιος κ.τ.λ.] 'let not the sun go down on your irritation.' The command is the Christian parallel of the Pythagorean custom cited by Hammond, Wetst., and others, είποτε προαχθείεν είς λοιδορίας ὑπ' ὀργης, πρίν ή τὸν ήλιον δῦναι τάς δεξιάς εμβάλλοντες άλλήλοις καί άσπασάμενοι διελύοντο Plutarch, de Am. Frat. 488 B [\$ 17]. There does not appear any allusion to the possible effect of night upon anger, μήπως ή νύξ πλέον άνακαύση τὸ πῦρ διὰ τῶν ἐννοιῶν Theoph. (see Suicer, Thes. s. v. ηλιος, III. 2), but to the fact that the day ended with the sunlight; 'quare si quem irascentem nox occuparet, is iram retinebat in proximum diem;' τῷ παροργισμῷ] Estius.

27 υμων, μηδε δίδοτε τόπον τῷ διαβόλφ. Ὁ κλέπτων μηκ-

'irritation,' 'exasperation,' and therefore to be distinguished from δργή, which expresses the more permanent state. The word is non-classical and rare, but is found I Kings xv. 30, 2 Kings xix. 3 (where it is joined with θλίψις and έλεγμός), ib. xxiii. 26, Nehem. ix. 18, 26, and Jerem. xxi. 5 (Alex.) with θυμός and δργή. $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$ is not merely intensive (Mey.), nor even indicative of a deflection from a right rule (Wordsw.), but probably points to the irritating circumstance or object which provoked the $\delta\rho\gamma\eta$: comp. παροξύνω, and Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. IV. I, Vol. II. p. 670.

The article before $\pi a \rho o \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ is omitted by Lachm. with ABN¹; al.,—but appy. without fully sufficient grounds, as the external authority is not strong, and as the omission may be accounted for as a correction suggested both by the frequent disappearance of the art. after a prep. and by the apparently sufficient definiteness of the $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

27. μηδέ] 'nor yet;' 'also do not;' μηδέ here serving to connect a new clause with the preceding (Jelf, Gr. § 776), on the principle that δè in negative sentences has often practically much of the conjunctive force which kal has in affirmative sentences; see Wex, Antig. Vol. II. p. 157. It must surely however be very incorrect to say that the clauses 'are closely connected, and that μηδέ indicates this sequence' (Eadie); there is a connexion between the clauses, and μηδέ has practically a conjunctive force (per enumerationem), but it is always of such a nature as δè would lead us to expect, 'sequentia adjungit prioribus, non apte connexa, sed potius fortuito concursu accedentia,' Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 707; see esp. Franke, de

Part. Neg. Part II. 2, p. 6. On the most appropriate translation of $\mu\dot{\eta}$... μηδέ, see notes on I Thess. ii. 3 (Transl.). The reading $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon$ [Rec. with a few mss.; Chrys. (1), Theod.] seems clearly to be rejected (opp. to Matth.), not only on critical, but even on grammatical grounds, as the position of $\mu\eta$ in the previous clause shows that it cannot be regarded as equivalent to $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, which supposition, or the strictest union of the clauses (Franke, § 25, p. 27), can alone justify the abnormal sequence: see Winer, Gr. § 55. 6, p. 433, Klotz, δίδοτε Devar. Vol. II. p. 709. τόπον] 'give room,' 'ne detis viam' (fenot), Æth.; scil. 'give no room or opportunity to the Evil One to be active and operative; comp. Rom. xii. 19, and see exx. of this use of $\tau \delta \pi o \nu$ διδόναι in Wetst. Rom. l. c., and Loesner, Obs. p. 263. τῷ διαβόλφ] 'to the Devil' (ch. vi. 11); the constant and regular meaning of o διάβ. (subst.) in the N.T., not excluding John vi. 70, and I Tim. iii. 6; see esp. Stier, Red. Jesu, Vol. IV. p. 345. It is obvious that Σατανα̂s (Æth.) is more a personal appellation; δ διάβ., () (icalumniatori] Syr.) a name derived from the fearful nature and, so to say, office of the Evil One; the usage however of the N.T. writers is by no means uniform. St John (in Gosp. and Epp.) once only uses the former; St Mark never the latter; St Paul more frequently the former, the latter being only found in this and the pastoral Epp. (and once in Heb.). The former is not found in the Catholic Epistles. The subject deserves fuller investigation. On the nature of this Evil Spirit generally, see the

έτι κλεπτέτω, μαλλον δε κοπιάτω εργαζόμενος ταις ίδιαις χερσιν το αγαθόν, ίνα έχη μεταδιδόναι τῷ χρείαν

28. ται̂s lôlais χερσίν τὸ ἀγαθόν] The variations of reading in this passage are great, and, considering the simplicity of the passage, difficult to account for. The choice appears to lie between four. (a) That in the text with ADE FGN1; 37. al. 6; Vulg., Clarom., Goth., Copt., Sahid., Æth., Arm.; Bas., Naz., al.; Hier., al. (Lachm., Tisch. ed. 1, Rück., Wordsw.); (b) τὸ ἀγ. ται̂s ἰδ. χ ερ. with K; 10 mss.; Syr.-Phil.; Theod.: (c) ται̂s χ ερ. τὸ ἀγ. with BX4; Amit.; Ambrosiaster (Meyer): (d) $\tau \delta$ $d\gamma$. τ . $\chi \epsilon \rho$. with L; great majority of mss.; Slav.; Chrys., Dam., Theoph., (Ecum. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, Tisch. ed. 2 and 7, Alf.). Harless and Olshausen (see Mill, Prolegom. p. 168) favour a th and shorter reading ται χερ., after Tertull. de Resurr. 45, urging the probability of lδ. being interpolated from 1 Cor. iv. 12, and τὸ άγ. from Gal. vi. 10. It will be seen however that Gal. vi. 10 contains no such allusion to manual labour as might have suggested a reference to it; and if idiais (see notes) is maturely considered, it will seem to have a proper force in this place, though not at first sight apparent. As it seems then more likely that idias was an intentional omission (its force not being perceived) than an interpolation from I Cor. iv. 12, we retain (a) as not improbable on internal grounds, and as supported by a clear preponderance of external evidence.

curious and learned work of Mayer, *Historia Diaboli* (ed. 2, Tubing. 1780), and in ref. to the question of his real personal nature, the sound remarks on p. 130 sq.; comp. notes on 1 Thess. ii. 18.

28. 'Ο κλέπτων] 'He who steals, the stealer;' not imperf. 'qui furabatur,' Vulg., Clarom., nor for ὁ κλέψας, but a participial substantive; see Winer, Gr. § 45. 7, p. 316, and notes on Gal. i. 23. All attempts to dilute the proper force of this word are wholly untenable; ὁ κλέπτων (not ὁ κλέπτης on the one hand, nor ὁ κλέ-ish character' (qui furatur,' Copt.), whether displayed in more coarse and open, or more refined and hidden practices of the sin. Theft, though generally, was not universally condemned by Paganism: see the curious and valuable work of Pfanner, Theol. Gentilis, XI. 25, p. 336. For a sermon on this text, see Sherlock, Scrm.

XXXVII. Vol. II. p. 227 (ed. Hughes). μάλλον δε] 'but (on the contrary) rather;' οὐ γὰρ ἀρκεῖ παύσασθαι τῆς άμαρτίας, άλλά και την έναντίαν αὐτης όδον μετελθείν Theoph.; see also Kühner, Xen. Mem. 111. 13. 6, and notes on Gal. iv. 9, where however the corrective force is more strongly marked. ταίς ίδίαις χερσίν] 'with his own hands.' The pronominal adjective loss (Donalds. Crat. § 139), like olkelos in the Byzantine writers, and 'proprius' in later Latin (see Krebs, Antibarb. p. 646), appears sometimes in the N.T. to be nearly pleonastic (see exx. in Winer, Gr. \$ 22. 7, p. 139); here however an intentional force appears to lie in the use of the word. The thievish man lives by the labours and hands of others: he is now himself to labour, and with his own hands-those very hands that robbed others (Beng.)—to work, not at τὸ κακόν, but at τὸ dγαθόν: see Rück. in loc.

29 ἔχοντι. Πᾶς λόγος σαπρὸς ἐκ τοῦ στόματος ὑμῶν μὴ ἐκπορευέσθω, ἀλλὰ εἴ τις ἀγαθὸς πρὸς οἰκοδομὴν τῆς

τὸ ἀγαθόν] 'that which is good,' that which belongs to the category of what is good and honest,' τὸν δίκαιον πορισμόν, Schol. ap. Cram. Caten.: 'τὸ ἀγαθ. antitheton ad furtum, prius manu piceatâ male commissum;' Beng. There may perhaps be also involved in τὸ ἀγ. the notion of what is beneficial instead of detrimental to others; comp. notes on Gal. vi. 10.

Υνα κ.τ.λ.] 'in order that he may have,'—not merely 'what is enough for his own wants,' but 'to give to him that needeth;' the true specific object of all Christian labour (Olsh.); comp. Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. 1. p. 778.

29. $\hat{\Pi}$ as... μ $\hat{\eta}$] The negation must be joined with the verb; what is commanded is the non-utterance of every $\lambda \delta \gamma os$ $\sigma a\pi \rho \delta s$. On this Hebraistic structure, see Winer, Gr. § 26. 1, p. 155, and notes on Gal. ii. 16.

λόγος σαπρός] 'corrupt, worthless speech,' 'sermo malus,' Vulg., Clarom., Copt., sim. Goth., - not necessarily 'filthy,' Hows. (comp. Bp. Taylor, Serm. XXII., though he also admits the more general meaning), as this is specially forbidden in ch. v. 4, nor again quite so strong as 'detestabilis,' Syr., but rather 'pravus,' Æth., esp. in ref. to whatever is profitless and unedifying (Chrys.), e. g. αlσχρολογία, λοιδορία, συκοφαντία, βλασφημία, ψευδολογία, και τὰ τούτοις προσόμοια: Theod. The exact shade of meaning will always be best determined by the context. Here $\sigma a \pi \rho \delta s$ is clearly opposed, not τώ διδόντι χάριν (Kypke, Obs. Vol. 11. p. 298), but to dyaθòs πρός οίκοδ. της χρείας: Wetst. cites Arrian, Epict. II. 15, ὑγιès opp. to σαπρόν και καταπίπτον. On the general metaphorical use, see Lobeck,

Phryn. p. 377, and the exx. collected by Kypke, loc. cit. 'good,' i.e. 'suitable for,' ὅπερ οἰκοδομεῖ τὸν πλησίον, Chrys.: instances of this use of $\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \delta s$ with ϵls , $\pi \rho \delta s$, and the inf., are of sufficiently common occurrence; see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v., exx. in Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 298, and Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 219. πρός οἰκοδ. τῆς χρείας] 'for edification in respect of the need,' 'ad ædifi. cationem opportunitatis,' Amit. ('fidei,' Vu'g.). Neither the article nor the exact nature of the genitive has been sufficiently explained. It seems clear that τῆς χρείας cannot be merely 'quâ sit opus' (Erasm.), but must specify the peculiar need in question (observe et ris), the xpela which immediately presses, - της παρούσης χρείas, Œcum. It would seem to follow then that the gen. xpelas is not a mere gen. of quality ('seasonable edification,' Peile) nor in any way an abstr. for concr. ('those who have need,' Rückert, Olsh., comp. Eadie), nor, by inversion, for an accus. ('use of edifying,' Auth., comp. Syr.), but is simply a gen. of 'remote reference' (see Winer, Gr. § 30. 2, p. 169), or, as it has been termed, of 'the point of view' (comp. Scheuerl. Synt. § 18, p. 120)-'edifying as regards the need,' i. e. which satisfies the need, dναγκαΐον ον τη προκειμένη χρεία, as rightly paraphrased by Theoph. On the practical bearing of this passage, see esp. 4 sermons by Bp. Taylor, Serm. XXII.xxv. Vol. 1. p. 734 sq. (Lond. 1836), and Harless, Ethik, § 50, p. 261. The reading $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, though found in D'E'FG; Vulg. (not Amit.), and some Latin Vv., Goth.; Eas., Naz., al. (partially approved of by Griesb.), is still certainly to be rejected, both

χρείας, Ίνα δῷ χάριν τοῖς ἀκούουσιν· καὶ μὴ λυπεῖτε τὸ 30 Ηνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον τοῦ Θεοῦ, ἐν ῷ ἐσφραγίσθητε εἰς ἡμέραν ἀπολυτρώσεως.

as inferior in external authority to $\chi \rho elas$, and as an almost self-evident correction.

δφ χάριν] 'may impart a blessing.' The ambiguous term $\chi d\rho$ is has been explained (a) as χάρις Θεού, Œcum. (who however does not refer to Rom. i. II for a proof, as Eadie singularly asserts), 'omnia salutis adminicula,' Calv.; (b) as little more than θυμηδία; seil. **ἴνα φαν** ἢ δεκτὸς τοῖς ἀκούουσι, Theod., 'ut invenietis gratiam,' Æth.-Pol., comp. Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 298,-but remove the ref. to Eur. Suppl. 414, which is not in point; (c) as retaining its simple and regular meaning in connexion with διδόναι, 'favour, benefit' (Harl., Olsh., Mey.). Of these (c) is much the most probable (see Exod. iii. 21, Psalm lxxxiv. 12, and perhaps James iv. 6, 1 Pet. v. 5): still, as xápis has so notably changed its meaning in the N.T., it seems uncritical, even in this phrase, to deny the reference of $\chi d\rho \iota s$ to a spiritual 'benefit;' see Stier in loc. The most exact transl. then here is 'blessing' ('minister grace,' Auth., is ambiguous), as it hints at the theological meaning, and also does not wholly obscure the classical and idiomatic meaning of the phrase.

30. και μή λυπείτε κ. τ. λ.] 'and grieve not the Holy Spirit of God;' not a new, unconnected exhortation (Lachm.), but a continued warning against the use of παι λόγοι σαπρόι by showing its fearful results; έὰν εἰπῆς μῆμα σαπρὸν και ἀνάξιον τοῦ Χριστιανοῦ στόματοι, οὐκ ἄνθρωπον ἐλύπησας ἀλλά τὸ Πν. τοῦ Θεοῦ Theoph. The tacit assumption clearly is that the Spirit dwelt within them (see Basil,

Spir. Sanct. XIX. 50, Hermas, Past. Mand. 10), and that too, as the solemn and emphatic title τὸ Πν. τὸ ἄγιον τοῦ $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ and the peculiar term $\lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \tau \epsilon$ further suggest, in His true holy personality; comp. Pearson, Creed, Art. VIII. Vol. I. p. 366 (ed. Burt.), and for an excellent sermon on this text, see Andrewes, Serm. VI. Vol. III. p. 201 sq. (A.-C. Libr.): see also a very good practical sermon by Bp. Hall, Serm. XXXVI. Vol. v. p. 489 sq. (Talboys). έν φ έσφραγίσθητε] 'in whom ye were sealed,'-not 'quo,'Goth., Arm. (comp. 'per quem,' Beza), but 'in quo,' Vulg., Clarom., 'in whom, as the holy sphere and element of the sealing." This clause seems intended to enhance still more the warning by an appeal to the blessings they had received from the Holy Spirit: elra kal i προσθήκη της εύεργεσίας, ίνα μείζων γένηται ή κατηγορία Chrys. It does not then seem that there is here any reminiscence of Isaiah lxiii. 10, παρώξυναν τὸ ΙΙν. τὸ ἄγ. αὐτοῦ (cited by Harl.), which would have given the warning a different tone. For the explanation of these words, see notes on ch. i. 13; and for the doctrinal applications, Hammond in loc., and Petav. de Trin. VIII. 5. 3, Vol. II. p. 823 sq. For some comments on this clause, see Andrewes, Serm. VI. previously cited, and another serm. by Bp. Hall, Serm. XXXVII. Vol. v. p. 504 (Talboys). είς ήμέραν απολυτρώσεως] 'for the day of redemption,' for the day on which the redemption will be fully realized: see exx. of this use of the gen. in definitions of time in Winer, Gr. § 30. 2, p. 169. On the meaning of ἀπολύτρωσις, see notes on 31 Πᾶσα πικρία καὶ θυμὸς καὶ ὀργὴ καὶ κραυγὴ καὶ 32 βλασφημία ἀρθήτω ἀφ' ὑμῶν σὺν πάση κακίᾳ· γίνεσθε δὲ εἰς ἀλλήλους χρηστοί, εἴσπλαγχνοι, χαριζόμενοι ἑαυτοῖς καθὼς καὶ ὁ Θεὸς ἐν Χριστῷ ἐχαρίσατο ὑμῖν.

ch. i. 14, and on 'final perseverance,' of which Eadie here finds an affirmation (comp. Cocc. in loc.), see Thorndike, Cov. of Grace, ch. XXXI. Vol. III. p. 615 sq. (A.-C. Libr.).

31. Haga mikpla] 'All bitterness,' i.e. 'every form of it' (see notes on ch. i. 8), and that not merely as shown in expressions, 'sermo mordax,' but, as the context suggests, in feeling and disposition (see Acts viii. 23, Heb. xii. 15), πικρία marking the prevailing temperament and frame of mind; ò τοιούτος και βαρύθυμός έστι και οὐδέποτε ανίησι την ψυχήν, αεί σύννους ών καὶ σκυθρωπός. Chrys. The contrast is not merely γλυκύτης (comp. Orig. Cat.), but χρηστότης: see Wetst. on Rom. iii. 14, and for an able sermon on this text (the obligations and advantages of good-will), Whichcote, Serm. LXXXII. Vol. IV. p. 198 sq.

θυμός και όργή] 'wrath and anger;' the emanations from, and products of the πικρία; ρίζα θυμοῦ καὶ δργής πικρία· Chrys. With regard to the distinction between these two words, it may be observed that θυμός is properly the agitation and commotion to which πικρία gives rise (ἡ ἐναρχομένη ἐπί τινα γενέσθαι δργή· Orig. Cat.; comp. Diog. Laert. VII. I. 63. 114), δργή the more settled habit of the mind (ή έτοίμη καὶ ένεργητική πρός την τιμωρίαν τοῦ ἠδικηκέναι νομιζομένου, Orig. ib.); see Tittm. Synon. p. 132, Trench, Synon. § 37, and notes on Gal. v. 20. κραυγή και βλασφημία] 'clamour and evil speaking;' outward manifestations of the foregoing vices; $l\pi\pi os$ γάρ έστιν άναβάτην φέρων ή κραυγή την ὀργήν Chrys. The distinction

between the two words is sufficiently obvious. $K\rho\alpha\nu\gamma\dot{\gamma}$ is the cry of strife ('in quem erumpunt homines irati,' Est.); $\beta\lambda\alpha\sigma\phi\eta\mu\dot{\iota}\alpha$, a more enduring manifestation of inward anger, that shows itself in reviling,—not, in the present case, God, but our brethren, $(\lambda o\iota\delta o\rho \iota a\iota$, Chrys.); it has thus nearly the same relation to $\kappa\rho\alpha\nu\gamma\dot{\gamma}$ that $\dot{o}\rho\gamma\dot{\gamma}$ has to $\theta\nu\mu\dot{o}s$: see Col. iii. 8, I Tim. vi. 4, and comp. Rom. iii. 8, Tit. iii. 2. For a good practical sermon against evil speaking see Barrow, Serm. XVI. Vol. I. p. 447.

κακ(φ] 'malice;' the genus to which all the above-mentioned vices belong, or rather the active principle to which they are all due (comp. μετὰ πίστ. ch. vi. 23, and notes), i.e. uncharitableness in all its forms, 'animi pravitas, humanitati et æquitati opposita,' Calv.; comp. Rom. i. 29, Col. iii. 8, and on the difference between this word and πονηρία (its outcoming and manifestation), see Trench, Synon. § 11.

32. γίνεσθε & ot become ye; contrasted exhortation: not 'be ye,' Auth., Alf., but 'vairbaiduh' [fiatis] Goth.,-there were evil elements among them that were yet to be taken away; see ch. v. 1. Lachm. omits δέ with B; 4 mss.; Clem., Dam., al.; but this omission as well as the variation our [D1FG; 2 mss.; Clarom., Sang., Boern.] seems due to a corrector who did not perceive the antithesis between the commands in the two verses. χρηστοί, ευσπλαγχνοι] 'kind, tender-hearted.' On the former of these words ('sweet in disposition'), comp. notes on Gal.

v. 22, and Tittmann, Synon. p. 140.

Strive then to imitate (fod, and, like Christ, to walk in love. $\Gamma'_{l}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon \quad o\tilde{v}\nu \quad \mu\iota\mu\eta\tau\alpha i \quad \tau o\hat{v} \quad \theta\epsilon o\hat{v}, \ \acute{\omega}\varsigma \quad \tau \acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\alpha \quad V.$

The latter evσπλαγχνος occurs Orat. Manass. 6, 1 Pet. iii. 8, and designates the exhibition of that merciful feeling of which the σπλάγχνα were the imaginary seat; comp. Col. iii. 12, and notes in loc.; for additional exx., see Polyc. Phil. 5, 6, Clem. Rom. Cor. 1. 54, Test. XII. Patr. p. 537. The substantive εὐσπλαγχνία is found in classical Greek, in the sense of 'good heart,' 'courage' (comp. Eurip. Rhesus, 192), and also in the primary and medical sense (comp. Hippocr. 89, ed. Foes.), but the adjective appears to be rare.

χαριζόμενοι έαυτοις] 'forgiving each

other;' participle of concomitant act, specifying the manner in which the χρηστότης and εὐσπλαγχνία were to be manifested; comp. Col. iii. 13 and notes in loc. Origen (Caten.) calls attention to éautois as involving the idea that what was done to another was really done to themselves; it is however doubtful whether this can be maintained; see notes on Col. l.c., and for exx. of the use of eavrois for the personal pronoun, Jelf, Gr. § 54. 2. kalds kal & Ocos] 'even as God,' 'as (iod also;' καθώς (as in ch. i. 4) having a slightly argumentative force, while kal introduces a tacit comparison; see Klotz, Derar. Vol. II. p. 635 sq., and notes on Phil. iv. 12. The two combined do not then simply compare, but argue from an example (Harl.), τον Θεον παράγει είς υπόδειγμα, Theoph.; comp. ch. v. 2, 25, 29. Χριστῷ] 'in Christ;' not 'for Christ's sake,' Auth., nor 'per Christum,' Calv.,

but 'in Him,' i.e. in giving Him to he a propitiation for our sins, μετά τοῦ κινδύνου τοῦ υἰοῦ αὐτοῦ καὶ τῆς σφαγῆς αὐτοῦ. Theoph.; comp. 2 Cor. v. 19. ἐχαρ. ὑμῖν] The context seems clearly to show that the meaning of χαριζό-

μενοι (and hence of έχαρισατο) is not 'donantes,' Vulg., Clarom., 'largientes, libenter dantes,' Erasm. (comp. Orig. I. ap. Cat.), but 'condonantes,' Copt., Syr., Goth., συγγνωμικοί, Chrys.: they were not only to be χρηστοί and εὔσπλαγχνοι, but also merciful and forgiving, following the example of Him who 'præbuit se benignum, misericordem, - condonantem; Beng. The reading is doubtful: Lachm. reads ήμῶν with B2DEKL; 25 mss.; Amit., Syr. (both), al.; Orig. Cat., Chrys. (Comm.), Theod., al., -but scarcely on sufficient authority, as the pronoun of the first person might have been probably suggested by the ἡμαs in ch. v. 2; see crit. note in loc.

CHAPTER V. I. Tiveode odv K.T.A.] Become then followers (imitators) of God;' resumption of the previous γίνεσθε, ch. iv. 32, the our deriving its force and propriety from the concluding words of the last verse. Stier, on rather insufficient grounds, argues against the connection of these verses, referring our to the whole foregoing subject, the new man in Christ. In this latter case, on would have more of what has been called its reflexive force ('lectorem revocat ad id ipsum quod nunc agitur,' Klotz, Devar. Vol. 11. p. 717); that it is here however rather collective ('ad ea quæ antea revera posita sunt lectorem revocat,' Klotz, ib.) seems much more probable; comp. Hartung, Partik. odv, 3. 5, Vol. II. p. 22.

dγαπητά] 'belored;' not 'liebe Kinder,' Rück. (compare Chrys.), but 'geliebte.' The reason is given by Œcum., who however does not appear to have felt the full force of the word; τοῖς γὰρ τοιούτοις (ἀγαπητοῖς) ἐξ ἀνάγκης τινὸς ἡ μίμησις. The ἀνάγκη consisted in the fact of God having loved them;

- 2 ἀγαπητά· καὶ περιπατεῖτε ἐν ἀγάπη, καθὼς καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς ἠγάπησεν ἡμᾶς καὶ παρέδωκεν ἐαυτὸν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν προσφορὰν καὶ θυσίαν τῷ Θεῷ εἰς ὀσμὴν εὐωδίας.
- ἡμᾶs] Tisch. (ed. 2 and 7) reads ὑμᾶs with ABN¹; 37. 73. 116, &c.;
 Sah., Æth. (both); Clem. (2), Theoph., al. The text is supported by DEFGK
 LN⁴; most mss. and Vv.; Chrys., Theod., Lat. Fathers.

 $\dot{v}\pi$. $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}v$] Here Tisch. (ed. 2 and 7) reads $\dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}v$, which is supported by B; 37. 73. 116; Sah., Æth. (both); but without sufficient reason, as it is plainly a conformation to the preceding $\dot{v}\mu\hat{a}s$.

love must be returned by love; and in love alone can man imitate God: see 1 John iv. 10, and comp. Charnock, Attrib. p. 618 (Bohn). For two practical sermons on this text, see Farindon, Serm. LXXXVII. (two parts), Vol. III. p. 494 sq. (ed. Jackson).

2. και περιπ. ἐν ἀγάπη] 'and walk in love;' continuation of the foregoing precept, και serving to append closely a specification of that in which the imitation of God must consist.

καθώς και δ Χρ. κ.τ.λ.] 'even as Christ also loved,'—not 'has loved;' the pure aoristic sense is more appropriate and more in accordance with the historic aor. which follows.

παρέδωκεν έαυτ.] 'and gave up Himself;' specification of that wherein ('non tantum ut Deus sed etiam ut homo, 'Est.) this love was pre-eminently shown, kal having a slightly explanatory force; see Gal. ii. 20, and comp. notes on Phil. iv. 12. The supplementary idea to παρέδ. must surely be els θάνατον (Harl.), as in every case where παραδ. is used by St Paul in ref. to Christ, els $\theta d\nu$. or some similar idea seems naturally included in the verb: see esp. Rom. iv. 25, where $\pi a \rho \epsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta$ is followed by $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \theta \eta$, and comp. Rom. viii. 32, Gal. ii. 20, Eph. v. 25. For a sound and clear sermon on this text (Christ's sacrifice of Himself), see Waterl. Serm. XXXI. Vol. v. p. 737 ὑπèρ ἡμῶν] 'for us,'--q.

and also, as the context indisputably shows, 'in our stead:' on the meaning of $\dot{v}\pi\dot{e}\rho$ in this connexion, see Usteri, *Lehrb.* II. 1. 1, p. 115 sq., and notes on Gal. iii. 13, comp. i. 4.

προσφοράν και θυσίαν] 'an offering and sacrifice;' not 'a sacrifice offered up,' θυσίαν προσφερομένην, Conyb.,-a mode of translation ever precarious and insufficient. It may be doubtful whether $\theta v\sigma$. and $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi$, are intended to specify respectively bloody and unbloody sacrifices, for προσφ. is elsewhere used in ref. to bloody (Heb. x. 10), and $\theta v\sigma$. to unbloody offerings (comp. Heb. xiii. 15, 16), and further, the rough definition that θυσία implies 'the slaying of a victim' (Eadie) is by no means of universal application; see esp. John Johnson, Unbl. Sacr. 1. 1, p. 73 sq. (A.-C. Libr.). Equally doubtful, esp. in reference to Christ, is the definition that a $\theta v\sigma la$ is a ' $\pi \rho o\sigma \phi$. rite consumpta,' Outram, de Sacrif. VIII. 1, p. 182 (ed. 1677). Still it is probable that a distinction was here intended by St Paul, and that $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi$., as the more general term, relates not only to the death, but to the life of obedience of our blessed Lord (comp. Heb. v. 8), His θυσία ζώσα (Rom. xii. 1); θυσία, as the more special, more particularly to His atoning death. On this accus., which in its apposition to the foregoing is also practically predicative, and serves to complete

Avoid fornication, covetousness and all forms of impurity, for on such comes the wrath of God.

Πορνεία δε και ακαθαρσία πασα ή 3 πλεονεξία μηδε ονομαζέσθω εν υμιν καθώς

Ye were once in heathen darkness, but now are light: reprove the works of darkness, awake and arise.

the notion of the verb, see Madvig, Synt. § 24. Θω is commonly explained either (a) as the ordinary transmissive dative, sc. παρέδ. τῷ Θεῷ (Mey.; so appy. J. Johns. Vol. 1. p. 161), or (b) as a dat. of limitation to els δσμ. answering to the Heb. ריח ניחוֹת לֵיהוֹה (Stier). As however the meaning of παρέδωκεν (see above) and the distance of the dat. (De W. compares Rom. xii. 1, but there τŵ Θεŵ is not joined with the verb) do not harmonize with the former, and the prominent position of $\tau \hat{\omega} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ is difficult to be explained on the latter hypothesis, it seems more simple to regard $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ as an ethical dative or dat. commodi appended to the two substantives; so Beng. and appy., by their studied adherence to the order of the original, all the ancient Vv.; see Scheuerl. Synt. § 23. 1, р. 186. είς όσμ. εύωδίας] 'for, sc. to become, a savour of sweet smell;' sc. a θυσία εὐπρόσδεκτος, Chrys.; see Phil. iv. 18, Lev. i. 9, 13, 17, ii. 12, iii. 5, comp. Gen. viii. 21. The authors of the Racov. Catech. (§ 8) have correctly explained the constr., but have erroneously asserted that these words ('quæ de pacificis creberrime, de expiatoriis autem vix uspiam usurpantur,'-but see Deyling, Obs. Vol. I. p. 315, No. 65) do not represent Christ's death as an expiatory sacrifice; comp. even Ust. Lehrb. 11. 1. 1, p. 113. To this, without needlessly pressing $\dot{v}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\rho$, we may simply say with Waterland, that the contrary 'is as plain from the N.T. as words can make it,' and that St Paul's perpetual teaching is that Christ's death was 'a true and proper expiatory

sacrifice for the sins of mankind; see proof texts, Vol. IV. p. 513, and esp. Jackson, Creed, Book IX. 55, Vol. IX. p. 589 sq. (Oxf. 1844). The nature of the gen. evodias is rightly explained by Wordsw. as that of the characterizing quality; see notes on Phil. iv. 18, and comp. Winer, Gr. § 34. 3. b, note, p. 212.

3. Hopvela & But fornication; gentle transition to another portion of the exhortation, with a resumption of the negative and prohibitive form of address (ch. iv. 31): the ôè being mainly μεταβατικόν (see on Gal. i. 11), though perhaps not without some slight indication of contrast to what has preceded. On the Apostle's constant and emphatic condemnation of the deadly sin of moprela, as one of the things which the old Pagan world deemed άδιάφορα, comp. Mey. on Acts πâσα] 'of every kind:' XV. 20. placed rightly after anabapola by Tisch. with ABN; mss.; Copt., al. On the use of was with abstract nouns compare notes on ch. i. 8. πλεονεξία] 'or covetousness;' the η is not explanatory (Heins. Exercit. p. 467), but has its full and proper disjunctive force, serving to distinguish πλεον. from more special sins of the flesh; see notes on ch. iv. 19. μηδε δνομαζέσθω] 'let it not be even

μηδὲ ὁνομαζέσθω] 'let it not be even named,'—not, 'ut facta' (Reng. 1), a meaning which όνομαζ. will scarcely justify; but, 'let it not be even mentioned by name' (Beng. 2), ol γὰρ λόγοι τῶν πραγμάτων εἰσὶν όδοί Chrys.; see ver. 12, and comp. Psalm xvi. 4. Meyer cites Dio Chrys. 360 b, στάσω δὲ οὐδὲ ὀνομάζειν ἄξιον παρ' ὑμῖν. καθὼς πράπει ἀγίοις] 'as becometh

4 πρέπει άγίοις, καὶ αἰσχρότης καὶ μωρολογία ή εὐτρα-

saints,'—sc. thus to avoid all mention even by name of these sins; lκανως τὸ μυσαρὸν των εἰρημένων ὑπέδειξε, καὶ αὐτὰς αὐτῶν προσηγορίας τῆς μνήμης εξορίσαι κελεύσας. Theod.

4. και αισχρότης] 'and filthiness,' not merely in words (Æth., Theoph., Œcum.), which would be αlσχρολογία (Col. iii. 8), but, as the abstract form suggests, τὸ αἰσχρόν, whether actively exhibited or passively approved, in word, gesture, or deed. The context obviously limits its reference to $d\kappa a\theta$. and sins of the flesh; αlσχρότης δὲ τίς έστιν καθ' Εκαστον είδος άκολασίας. Orig. Cat. Lachm. reads ¶ alσχρ. ¶ μωρολ. with AD¹E¹FG; 4 mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Sahid.; Bas., al. (Mey), but in opp. to good external authority [BD3E2KLℵ2; nearly all mss.; Syr., Copt., Æth. (both), al.; Clem., Chrys., al.], and to the internal probability of a conformation to the following ή: K1 reads και αίσχρ. ή μωρολογία] 'foolish talking, 'stultiloquium, Vulg., Clarom.,

[sermones stultitiæ] Syr.; a απαξ λεγόμ. in the N. T., of which the exact meaning must be defined by the context. Of the two definitions of Origen, the first, ή ἀσκουμένη ὑπὸ τῶν μωρολόγων καὶ γελωτοποιών, is too lax; the second. τὸ μωρὸν είναι ἐν τοῖς δογματιζομένοις, too restrictive. The terms with which it stands in connexion may at first sight appear to preclude any idea of positive profanity (comp. Calv.); however Trench is probably right in here superadding to the ordinary meaning of idle, aimless, and foolish talk, a reference to that sin and vanity of spirit which the talk of fools is certain to bewray; see Synon. § 34, and Wordsw. in loc. εύτραπελία] 'jesting,' 'wittiness:' a second amag λεγόμ.: ἔνθα γέλως ἄκαιρος ἐκεῖ ἡ εὐτραπελία. Chrys. The word, as its derivation suggests, properly means versatility, whether in motion, manners, or talk (Dissen, Pind. Pyth. 1. 93); from which a more unfavourable signification, 'polished jesting' (evτράπελος, δ δυνάμενος σκώψαι έμμελώς. Aristot. Moral. I. 31), 'use of witty equivoque' ('ingenio nititur,' Beng.), is easily and naturally derived: see Trench, Synon. § 34, and the excellent sermon by Barrow on this text, Serm. xiv. Vol. 1. p. 383 sq. The disjunctive f (surely not 'conjunctive,' Bp. Taylor, Serm. XXIII.) marks it as a different vice to $\mu\omega\rho\sigma\lambda$., and thus appy. as not only a sin of the tongue (Trench), but as including the evil 'urbanitas' (in manners or words) of the witty, godless, man of the world. The practical application may be found in Taylor, Serm. XXIII. (Gold. Grove), and esp. in the latter part of Chrys. Hom. XVII. άνήκοντα] 'things which are not convenient;' in apposition to the last two words, to both of which εύχαρ., as denoting oral expression yet implying inward feeling, forms a clear contrast. It is instructive to compare Rom. i. 28, τὰ μὴ καθήκοντα: there the subjective denial seems appropriately introduced ('facere quæ, si quæ, essent indecora,' Winer, Gr. § 59. 4, p. 564, ed. 5); here is a plain objective fact that such things οὐκ ἀνῆκεν. The reading & οὐκ dνηκεν is found in ABN; 3 mss.; Clem., al. (Lachm.), and has considerable claims to attention, though appy. not quite sufficient to justify its being placed in the text. On the use of où and $\mu\eta$ with participles, see Gayler, Partic. Neg. p. 287, but observe the caution suggested in notes on πελία, τὰ οὐκ ἀνήκοντα, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον εὐχαριστία. τοῦτο 5 γὰρ ἴστε γινώσκοντες ὅτι πᾶς πόρνος ἢ ἀκάθαρτος ἢ πλεονέκτης, ὅς ἐστιν εἰδωλολάτρης, οὐκ ἔχει κληρονομίαν ἐν τῆ βασιλεία τοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ Θεοῦ. μηδεὶς ὑμᾶς 6

1 Thess. ii. 15, iii. 1. εύχαριστία] 'giving of thanks:' see Trench, Synon. Part II. § 1. The meaning of this word adopted by Hammond, several of the older, and some later expositors, 'edifying discourse,' 'devoutness,' cannot be justified by St Paul's use either of the verb or the subst.; comp. Petav. Dissert. Eccl. 11. 10. 4, 5, and on the true force of the ethical connexion, see Harl. Ethik, § 32. a. On the duty generally, so frequently inculcated by St Paul, see notes and reff. on Phil. iv. 6, and on Col. iii. 15. The verb here omitted 'per brachylogiam' (Jelf, Gr. § 895) is differently supplied; perhaps γινέσθω ἐν ὑμῖν is the supplement most natural, ἀνήκει (Beng.) that least so.

5. τοῦτο γὰρ ἴστε γινώσκ.] 'For this ye know, being aware, or as ye are aware; confirmation of the preceding prohibitions by an appeal to their own knowledge of the judgment against those who practise them. It is scarcely critically exact to connect this with the Hebraistic (but comp. also Jelf, Gr. § 705. 3) mode of expression, γυώσκων γνώση, Gen. xv. 13, 'thou shalt know full well,' &c. (Stier), as ίστε and γινώσκ. are not portions of the same verb. The part. must be joined more immediately with ort, and seems used with a slightly causal force which serves to elucidate and justify the appeal; see Winer, Gr. § 45. 8, p. 318. Whether love be taken as imperative or indicative must be left to individual judgment. The former interpr. is adopted by Vulg., Clarom., Arm. (comp., -but with different reading,

Syr., Æth.), and by some Ff., e.g. appy. Clem. Alex. (Pædag. III. 4), but seems scarcely so impressive as the latter (Copt.), and somewhat tends to diminish the force of the now isolated and emphatic imperative in ver. 6; comp. Alf. in loc. The reading έστε γιν. (Rec.) is supported by D³ EKL; mss.; Syr. (both), al.; Theod., Dam., but is altogether inferior to tore in external autority [ABD¹FGN; 30 mss.; Vulg., Clarom., Copt., al.; Clem., al.], and is rightly rejected by nearly all recent editors. ouk] On this Hebraistic mode of expression, see notes on ch. iv. 29. δς έστιν refers immediately to $\pi \lambda \epsilon o$ νέκτης, not to the three preceding substantives: comp. Col. iii. 5, την πλεονεξίαν ήτις έστιν είδωλολατρεία. Covetousness is truly a definite form of idolatry, it is the worship of Mammon (Matth. vi. 24) instead of God; comp. Theod. To this therefore, rather than to the other sins, which are veritable but more subtle forms of the same sin, the Apostle gives the above specific designation. The passages adduced by Wetst. and Schoettg. illustrate the form of expression, but nothing more. The reading 8 is found in BK; 3. 67**, al.; Cyr. (Lachm., Alf.), and, followed by είδωλολατρεία, in FG; Vulg.: as the less obvious reading it ούκ έχ. κληρ.] deserves notice. 'hath no inheritance;' a weighty present, involving an indirect reference to the eternal and enduring principles by which God governs the world,-not so much 'has no inheritance, and shall have none' (Eadie), as 'has...and can have none; comp. ver. 6, and Col.

ἀπατάτω κενοῖς λόγοις διὰ ταῦτα γὰρ ἔρχεται ἡ ὀργή

iii. 6, δι' α έρχεται ή δργή τοῦ Θεοῦ: 800 Winer, Gr. § 40. 2, p. 237. Xρ. καl Θ.] 'of Christ and God,'-not 'of God,' Auth. This is the first decided instance (the reading being doubtful in Acts xx. 28) adduced by Granville Sharp to prove that the same Person in Scripture is called Christ and God, see Middleton, Greek Art. p. 362 sq. (ed. Rose), and ch. III. 4. 2, p. 57 sq. When however we maturely weigh the context, in which no dogmatic assertions relative to Christ find a place (as in Tit. ii. 13, 14),-when we recall the frequent use of Oeds without an article, even where it might have been expected (compare Winer, Gr. § 19. 1, p. 110),—and lastly, when we observe that the presence of the art. τοῦ Θεοῦ would really have even suggested a thought of subordination (as if it were necessary to specify that the kingdom of Christ was also the kingdom of God,—the inadvertence of the Auth.), we seem forced to the conviction that Sharp's rule does not apply here. Christ and God are united together in the closest way, and presented under a single conception (comp. Winer, Gr. § 19. 4, p. 116),—an indirect evidence of Christ's divinity of no slight value,—still the identity of the two substantives ('of Him who is Christ and God,' Wordsw.) cannot be safely or certainly maintained from this passage. On the meaning of the term βασιλεία Θεοῦ, see notes and reff. on Gal. v. 21.

6. μηδεὶς ὑμᾶς κ.τ.λ.] 'Let no one deceive you with vain words, i.e. sophistries:' emphatic warning (without any particle) against all who sought to deceive them as to the real nature of the sins condemned. It does not seem necessary to limit the regular meaning of κενός ('empty,' οὐδαμῶς

έπι των έργων δεικνύμενοι, Chrys.,hence 'a veritate alieni,' Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 299), and to refer the kevol λόγοι specially to heathen philosophers (Grot.), to Judaizers (Neand. Planting, Vol. I. p. 184, note, Bohn), or to Christian Antinomians (Olsh.). The Apostle generally condemns all apologists for vice, whoever they might be. These would of course be most commonly found among the heathen, and to them the passage most naturally points. The palliation or tacit toleration of vice, especially sensuality, was one of the most fearful and repulsive features of heathenism; see esp. Tholuck, Influence of Heathenism, Part διά ταῦτα γάρ]

'for on account of these sins:' confirmation of the preceding warning; it is on account of these things (obs. the emphasis on διὰ ταῦτα) that God's wrath and vengeance is directed against the perpetrators. The reference of $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ is clearly to the sins above mentioned (τούτων ξκαστον ξδρων, Theod.); comp. Col. iii. 6, &' a, and Gal. v. 21, α προλέγω ὑμῖν, in reference to a foregoing list of vices. The pronoun has been referred to the $d\pi d\tau \eta$ of the κενοί λόγοι (Theoph. 2), or to the $d\pi d\tau \eta$ and the foregoing vices. The first interpr. is not grammatically untenable, as the plural $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ may be idiomatically used to denote a single object in its different manifestations (see Bernhardy, Synt. vi. 8. d, p. 282, Winer, Gr. § 23. 5, p. 146), but, equally with the second, is open to the contextual objection that ver. 7 seems a general warning against Gentile sins, to which consequently the present verse will be more naturally

ή όργη τοῦ Θεοῦ] 'the wrath of God;' certainly not to be restricted to this

τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐπὶ τοὺς υίοὺς τῆς ἀπειθείας. μὴ οὖν γίνεσθε 7 συνμέτοχοι αὐτῶν. ἢτε γάρ ποτε σκότος, 8 νῦν δὲ φῶς ἐν Κυρίψ ὡς τέκνα φωτὸς περιπατεῖτε,

life, 'ordinaria Dei judicia,' Calv., but, as the solemn present (comp. ξχει, ver. 5) indicates, to be extended also, and perhaps more especially, to the judgments έν τἢ βασ. τοῦ Χρ. καὶ Θεοῦ.

τοὺς νίοὺς τῆς ἀπειθεῖς, Chrys., ἐχοντες τὸν τῆς μητρὸς χαιακτῆρα, Orig.: see esp. notes on ch. ii. 2, and Suicer, Thes. Vol. II. p. 1357. The ἀπείθ. here is disobedience to the principles and practice of the Gospel; see more on ch. ii. 2.

7. μη οδν γίνεσθε] 'Do not then become;' our having its full collective force (see on ver. 1), and referring to the previous statement that the wrath of God certainly does come on all such. The γίνεσθε ('nolite fieri,' Clarom.; 'nolite effici,' Vulg.,-perhaps somewhat too strongly) is not to be explained away: the Apostle does not warn them only against being (Alf.), but against becoming ('ni vairbaib, Goth.) partakers with them, against allowing themselves to lapse into any of their prevailing sins and depravities. συνμέτοχοι αθτών] 'partakers with them;' not in their punishment (Holzh.), nor their punishment and sins (Stier), but, as the context, esp. ver. 11, obviously suggests, their sins; 'nolite similia facere;' Estius. On συνμέτοχος, see notes ch. iii. 6, and on the orthography (which has here the authority of AB1D1FGN), comp. Tisch. Prolegom. p. XLVII.

8. ἦτε γάρ] 'For ye WERE;' emphatic, the time is now past, Rom. vi. 17. It is this very difference between the past and present state that confirms and proves (γάρ) the propriety

of the preceding warning; 'as that state is past, do not recur to it,—do not lapse again into a participation in vices from which you have now turned away;' comp. note on $\gamma \omega \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (ver. 7), of which the present verse seems tacitly confirmatory.

The assertion of Ruck. that in this and several other passages in St Paul's Epp. (e.g. Rom. v. 13, vi. 17, 1 Cor. iii. 12, 21, Gal. ii. 6, 15, vi. 8) μèν ought to be inserted is sufficiently refuted by Harless. The rule is simple,—if the first clause is intended to stand in connexion with and prepare the reader for the opposition in the second, μèν is inserted; if not, not: see the excellent remarks of Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 356 sq., Fritz. Rom. x. 19, Vol. II. p. 423, and notes on Gal. ii. 15.

σκότος] 'darkness;' not merely living or abiding in it (comp. Rom. ii. 19, 1 Thess. v. 4), but themselves actual and veritable darkness; for examples of this vigorous and appropriate use of the abstract term, see Jelf, Gr. § 353. I. φως έν Κυρίφ] 'light in the Lord;' not dia Th's belas χάριτος, Theoph., but 'in fellowship with the Lord;' 'extra Christum Satan omnia occupat,' Calv. The continued and corresponding use of the abstr. for concr. (see above) suitably prepares for the energetic exhortation (without ov) which follows. They were $\phi \hat{\omega}_s$, not only in themselves (πεφωτισμένοι), but to others (comp. Matth. v. 14), and were to pursue their moral walk in accordance with such a state of privilege. On the use of the terms φωs and σκότοs, see Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1. 3, p. 229.

9 ο γὰρ καρπὸς τοῦ φωτὸς ἐν πάση ἀγαθωσύνη καὶ δικαιο-10 σύνη καὶ ἀληθεία, δοκιμάζοντες τί ἐστιν εὐάρεστον τῷ 11 Κυρίφ· καὶ μὴ συνκοινωνεῖτε τοῖς ἔργοις τοῖς ἀκάρ-

is τέκνα φωτὸς περιπ.] 'walk as children of light,' as those who stand in nearest and truest connexion with it; see notes on ch. ii. 3. The absence of the article can hardly be pressed (Alf.), as it appears due only to that common principle of correlation, by which, if the governing noun is without the article, the governed will be equally so; see Middleton, Art. III. 3. 7, p. 49 (ed. Rose). On the meaning of περιπατεῦν, which however must not always be too strongly pressed, see notes on Phil. iii. 18, and on 1 Thess. iv. 12.

9. & ydp k.T. l. for the fruit of the light;' parenthetic confirmation of the foregoing command, and incitement to follow it. Γάρ is thus not simply explanatory (ὥσπερ ἐφερμηνεύει τί έστι τὸ τέκνα τοῦ φωτός Theoph), but, as the order seems to suggest, confirms the propriety of using the term $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \epsilon$, and also supplies its fuller explanation; 'As children of the light walk ye, for the fruit of light is shown in a moral walk, in practical instances of άγαθωσύνη.' The modal participle δοκιμάζοντες (see below) is thus closely joined with περιπατείτε, and ver. 9 is clearly parenthetical in sense, though not fully so in form: contra Stier, who however fails to explain properly and grammatically the use of the parti-The reading πνεύματος [Rec. with D3E2KL; great majority of mss.; Syr.-Phil., al.; Chrys., Theod.] seems clearly a gloss from Gal. v. 22, and is rightly rejected by nearly all recent editors.

[v] 'consistit in,' Beng., or, more exactly, 'continetur, ponitur in:' the

assertion that &v is here the 'Beth essentiæ' (compare Gesen. § 151. 3. a) is distinctly untenable; see Winer, Gr. § 29. 3. obs. p. 166.

πάση dyaθωσύνη] 'all goodness,' i.e. all forms and instances of it; see notes on ch. i. 8. On the meaning of dyaθ. see notes on Gal. v. 22. The special appositions which Chrys. finds in these three nouns, πρός τούς δργιζομένους, πρός τούς πλεονεκτούντας, πρός τὴν ψευδή ἡδονήν, are too limited. As Meyer correctly observes, the whole of Christian morality is presented under its three great aspects, the good, the right, the true; the durlστοιχα are κακία, άδικία, ψεῦδος: comp. Harl. in loc., and for a Sermon on this text, see Tillotson, Serm. CXLVIII. Vol. II. p. 311 (Lond. 1717).

10. Sokipatortes] 'proving,' 'testing;' predication of manner appended to περιπατείτε, defining its character and distinctive features. The verb δοκιμάζειν is not 'to have a just conception of,' Peile, nor 'examinando cognitum habere,' Borger, ad Rom. p. 12 (cited by Fritz.); but in its simple and primary sense, 'to prove, to try,' the word marking the activity and experimental energy that should characterize the Christian life; see Rom. xii. 2, and Fritz. in loc., Trench. Synon. Part II. § 24, and notes on Phil. i. 10, where the meanings of this word are briefly discussed. The sense then is well expressed by Eadie; 'the one point of the Christian's ethical investigation is, Is it well pleasing to the Lord?' ἄρα ἀδοκίμου καὶ παιδικής διανοίας τὰ άλλα. Œcum.

11. μη συνκοινωνείτε] 'have no fellowship with,' Auth. — a good

ποις τοῦ σκότους, μᾶλλον δὲ καὶ ἐλέγχετε· τὰ γὰρ 12 κρυφῆ γινόμενα ὑπὰ αὐτῶν αἰσχρόν ἐστιν καὶ λέγειν·

and accurate translation; compare [commercium ha bentes] Syr., 'gadailans,' Goth. The version of Eadie and De W., 'take no part in,' is questionable if not erroneous, as this would imply a genitire; comp. Rom. xi, 17, 1 Cor. ix. 23, Phil. i. 7. Though the sense is nearly the same, there is still no reason, either here, Phil. iv. 14, or Rev. xviii. 4, for departing from the exact translation. The form συνκοιν. is found in AB1D1FGLN; and on such evidence is appy. rightly adopted by Tisch. (ed. 7); see Prolegom. p. XLVII. τοις έργοις τοις ακάρπ.] 'the unfruitful works;' comp. Gal. v. 19, 22, where there is a similar opposition between ξργα and καρπός. The comment of Jerome (cited by Harl.) is very good, 'vitia in semet ipsa finiuntur et pereunt, virtutes frugibus pullulant et redundant;' see notes on μάλλον δὲ καὶ Gal. v. 22. cannot be correctly considered as a single formula, 'yea, much more,' Eadie: μᾶλλον δὲ is corrective (see notes on Gal. iv. 9), while kal is closely connected with the verb, preserving its full ascensive force, 'not only μη συγκ. but rather even έλέγχετε,' 'non satis abstinere est,' Beng.; comp. Fritz. Rom. viii. 34, Vol. II. p. 216. έλέγχετε] 'reprove them,' 'redarguite,' Vulg., Clarom., -not by the passive virtual reproof of your holy lives and conversation (Peile), but, as St Paul's use of the word (see esp. 1 Cor. xiv. 24, 2 Tim. iv. 2, Tit. i. 9, 13, ii. 15), and still more the context, suggest,by active and oral reprobation. The antithesis is thus most fully marked; 'do not connive at them or pass them over unnoticed, but take aggressive measures against them; try and raise the Gentiles to your own Christian standard; see Olsh. in loc.

12. τὰ γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] 'For the things, &c.;' confirmatory reason for the command in the preceding clause. The connexion of this verse with the preceding has been differently explained. If the correct meaning of ἐλέγχ. (see above) be retained, there seems but little difficulty; $\gamma d\rho$ then gives the reason for the και έλέγχετε, 'reproof is indeed necessary, for some of their sins, their secret vices for instance, are such that it is a shame even to speak of them, much less connive at them or join in them.' Harl. refers γάρ more to μη συνκ.; 'do not commit these sins, for they are too bad even to mention.' This however assumes a perfect identity between 7à έργ. τοῦ σκ. and τὰ κρυφή γιν., which (see below) is highly doubtful; and also gives to the negative part of the command (which, as the corrective μαλλον δέ suggests, is obscured by the positive) an undue and untenable prominence. τά...κρυφή κ.τ.λ.] the things which are done in secret by them,' sc. by the viol This areibelas, ver. 6. There is not enough in the context to substantiate a reference to the mysteries and orgies of heathenism (Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 223). The use of $\kappa \rho \nu \phi \hat{\eta}$ (which obviously has here a simple, and not an ethical meaning like $\sigma\kappa\delta\tau$ os), and its emphatic position, seem alike to show that 7à κρυφή γιν. are sins, not simply identical with τὰ ἔργα τ. σκότους, ver. II (Harl.), but a specific class of the genus. These 'deeds done in secret' then were all those 'peccata occulta' which presented the worst features of the genus, and which, from their na13 τὰ δὲ πάντα ἐλεγχόμενα ὑπὸ τοῦ φωτὸς φανεροῦται

ture and infamy, shunned the light of day and of judgment.

καὶ λέγειν] 'even to speak of,' 'only to mention.' This is an instance of what may be termed the descensive force of καί; see exx. in Hartung, Partik. καί, 2. 9, Vol. 1. p. 136; comp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. 11. p. 364, and notes on Gal. iii. 4. Elsner compares, not inappropriately, Isocr. Demon. p. 5, & ποιεῦν αἰσχρόν, ταῦτα νόμιζε μηδὲ λέγειν εἶναι καλόν.

τὰ δὲ πάντα] 'but all of them," they all," 20122 250 [illa omnia] Syr.-Phil.; continuation of the reason for the command μᾶλλον δὲ καὶ ἐλέγχ.— with antithetical reference to the κρυφη γινόμενα, δè retaining its proper force in the opposition it suggests to any inference that might have been deduced from ver. 12; 'it is true these deeds are done in secret. but all of them, &c.; see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 363, 365. Τὰ πάντα is not 'all things,' taken generally (Rück., Alf.), but, as the antithesis between κρυφη and φανερ. (comp. Mark iv. 22) clearly suggests, 'all the κρυφη γινόμ.,' 'haud dubie quin ea quæ occulte fiunt,' Jer.; so rightly De W. and Meyer in loc.

they course of when they are reproved, and some of the appended to τὰ πάντα. The absence of the art. before ἐλεγχ. distinctly precludes the translation 'quæ arguuntur' (Vulg., Clarom., Auth.,—comp. Copt.), and shows that the participle is not an epithet but a secondary predicate; see Scholef. Hints, p. 103.

ύπὸ τοῦ φωτὸς φανεροῦται] 'are made manifest by the light.' It is somewhat

difficult to decide whether these words are to be connected with the part. (Syr., Copt.), or with the finite verb (Æth., Syr.-Phil.,—appy.): a connexion with both (Scholef., comp. Stier) is an evasion, but not an explanation, of the difficulties. The following positions will perhaps serve to narrow the discussion. (a) 'Ελεγχόμενα, both in tense as well as meaning (contr. Hamm., Peile), must stand in closest reference to ἐλέγχετε: it may still be said however that the secondary meaning of the word (comp. Clem. Alex. Protrept. 11. p. 19, έλέγχει τὸν "Ιακχον τὸ φῶs) may have suggested the metaphorical language which follows. (b) Φωs (φάος, φανερός) and φανερόω are closely allied terms; the one so obviously explains, elucidates, and implies the other, that the connexion of the two in the same clause seems in a high degree natural and probable. (c) $\Phi \hat{\omega}$ s must have the same meaning in both clauses; if simply metaphorical in the latter clause, then also simply metaphorical (not ethical, as in τέκνα φωτόs) in the (d) The voice of φανερόω must be the same in both clauses, and is certainly passive; the verb occurs 49 times in the N.T., and never in a middle sense; see Winer, Gr. § 38. 6, p. 230. These premises being applied, it seems clear that if we adopt the first-mentioned connexion, έλεγχ. ὑπὸ τοῦ φωτ. (Chrys., al.), conditions (a) and (c) cannot be fully satisfied; for either έλεγχ. must be taken as nearly synonymous with φανερ. (De W.), or φωs must have an ethical reference ('lux verbi,' Croc.) in the former clause which it can scarcely bear in the latter; and further, έλεγχόμ. will thus have a specification attached to

πᾶν γὰρ τὸ φανερούμενον φῶς ἐστίν. διὸ λέγει Έγειρε 14 ὁ καθεύδων καὶ ἀνάστα ἐκ τῶν νεκρῶν, καὶ ἐπιφαύσει σοι ὁ Χριστός.

it, which is not in harmony with ver. 12, where the act alone is enjoined without any special concomitant mention of the agent. It would thus seem to be almost certain that ὑπὸ τοῦ φωτόs must be joined with φανεροῦται, which it somewhat emphatically precedes. We translate then, in accordance with (a), (b), (c), (d), as follows; 'but all things (though so κρυφή yw.) when reproved are made manifest by the light (thus shed upon them), for everything that is made manifest is light (becomes daylight, is of the nature of light); 'comp. Scholef. l.c., and Wordsw. in loc. In a word, the reasoning depends on the logical proposition which Meyer has adduced,-'quod est in effectu (φω̂s έστί), id debet esse in causa (ὑπὸ τοῦ φωτός). That this φανέρωσις however does not necessarily imply or involve a 'mutatio in melius' (Jer. comp. Wordsw.), seems clear from (c). All that is asserted is that 'whatever is illumined is light;' whether that tend to condemnation or the contrary depends upon the nature of the case, and the inward operation of the outwardly illuminating influence; see Alf. in loc. 14. Si6] 'On which account;' since this Exergis is so urgent and necessary a duty, and its nature such as described. On the use of did, see notes on Gal. iv. 31. Meyer] 'He saith;' scil. & Ocos, according to the usual form of St Paul's quotations; see notes on ch. iv. 8, and on Gal. iii. 16. The words here quoted are not found exactly in the same form in the O. T., but certainly occur in substance in Isaiah lx. 1 sq. Meyer represents it as a quotation from an apocryphal writing which the Apostle introduce:

by a lapse of memory; De W. as an application from a passage in the O.T., which he had so constantly used as at last to mistake for the original text. Alii alia. It seems much more reverent, as well as much more satisfactory, to say that St Paul, speaking under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, is expressing in a condensed and summary form the spiritual meaning of the passage. The prophet's immediate words supply in substance the first part of the quotation, אוֹרָי כִּי בָא אוֹרֶךּ; while καὶ ἐπιφ. κ.τ.λ. is the spiritual application of the remainder of the verse, viz. וַכְבוֹר יָהוָה עַלִיְהְ וַכָּח. and of the general tenor of the prophecy: see esp. Is. lx. 19, and comp. Surenhus. Βίβλ. Καταλλ. p. 588. Any attempt to explain λέγει impersonally ('one may say,' Bornem. Schol. in Luc. p. XLVIII.) is not only opposed to St Paul's constant use of héyes, but is grammatically unsupported: $\phi\eta\sigma l$ (comp. Lat. 'inquit') is so used, especially in later writers, but no instances have been adduced of a similar use of λέγει: comp. Bernhardy, Synt. XII. 4, "Eyerpe] 'Awake,' ' Up/' This expression is now generally correctly explained: it is not an instance of an 'act. pro medio' (Porson, Eurip. Orest. 288), or of an ellipsis of σεαυτόν, but simply a 'formula excitandi;' consult the excellent note of Fritz. Mark ii. 9, p. 55. The reading of the Rec. Eyespas, found only in some cursive mss., is undoubtedly a correction, and is rejected by all the best editors. dνάστα] 'arise.' This shortened form occurs Acts xii. 7, and may be compared with κατάβα, Mark xv. 30, drdβa, Rev. iv. 1; see Winer, Gr. § 14. 1, p. 73.

15 Βλέπετε οὖν πῶς ἀκριβῶς περιπα- Walk strictly: avoid excess, but be filled with the Spirit; sing psalms outwardly with your lips, and make melody with thankfulness in your hearts within.

καὶ ἐπιφαύσει κ.τ.λ.] 'and Christ shall shine upon thee,'—obviously not in the derivative sense, 'Christus tibi propitius erit' (Bretsch.), but simply 'illucescet tanquam sol' (Beng.), 'per gratiam te illuminabit' (Est.): ὅταν εὐγερθῆ τις ἀπὸ τῆς ἀμαρτίας, τότε ἐπιφαύσει αὐτῷ ὁ Χριστός, τουτέστιν, ἐπιλάμψει ὤσπερ καὶ ὁ ἥλιος τοῖς ἐξ ὕπνου ἐγερθεῖσιν' Theoph.

15. Bhénere ouv] 'Take heed then;' resumption of the preceding exhortations (ver. 8) after the digression caused by the latter part of ver. II. It is quite unnecessary to attempt to connect this closely with the preceding verse (Harless, Eadie); this resumptive use of our being by no means of rare occurrence (see Klotz, Devar. Vol. 11. p. 718, notes on Gal. iii. 5), and indeed being involved in the nature of the particle, which nearly always implies retrospective reference rather than direct inference; see Donalds. Gr. § 548. 31, p. 571. It is scarcely necessary to add that $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ has no reference whatever to the $\phi \hat{\omega}_s$ previously alluded to (comp. Est.), but simply implies 'take heed;' see I Cor. xvi. 10, Col. iv. 17 and notes in loc.

πῶς ἀκριβῶς περιπατείτε] 'how ye walk exactly, or with strictness,' soil. 'quomodo illud efficiatis ut provide vivatis' (πῶς τὸ ἀκριβῶς ἐργαζεσθε), Fritz. Fritz. Opusc. p. 208, 209, note,—where this passage is carefully investigated; see also Winer, Gr. § 41. 4. c. obs. p. 268, who has long since given up the assumption that the text is an abbreviated expression for βλέπετε οὖν πῶς περιπατεῖτε, δεῖ δὲ ὑμᾶς ἀκριβῶς περιπατεῖτ, though cited by Meyer (ed. 2, 1853) as retaining it. Thus then the indic. is not used for

the subj. (Grot.), which (if an admissible structure) would be 'quomodo provide vivere possitis;' nor for the future, which would be 'quomodo provide vitam sitis acturi;' but simply calls attention to that in which τὸ ἀκρι- $\beta \hat{\omega}$ s $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ finds its present manifestation, and which is specified more precisely in the clause which follows. As $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$. appy. here implies little more than ζην (see Fritz. Rom. xiii. 12, Vol. III. p. 141, comp. notes on ver. 9), there is no necessity to depart from the literal meaning of ἀκριβῶs, -not 'caute,' Vulg., Syr., still less, 'without stumbling,' Conyb., but 'exactly,' 'accurate,' Beza, 'tanquam ad regulam et amussim,' Fritz. Opusc. l.c.: see Neander, Planting, Vol. 1. p. 486 μή ώς ἄσοφοι (Bohn). κ.τ.λ.] 'to wit, not as unwise but as

wise;' more exact specification of the terms of the preceding clause. It is thus not necessary to supply either περιπατούντες to this clause (Harl.), or περιπατήτε to its second member (as in effect Fritz., 'sed ut homines sapientes [vitam instituatis'], loc. cit., p. 209): the clause is simply dependent on περιπατείτε, explaining the foregoing adverbs first on the negative and then on the affirmative side: both the strictness of their walk and the way in which that strictness was to be shown were to reflect the spirit of wise men and not of fools: comp. Gayler, Part. Neg. p. 63, where similar positions of the negative clause are incidentally cited.

16. ἐξαγοραζόμενοι τὸν καιρόν] 'buying up for yourselves (making your own) the opportunity, the fitting season;' part. of manner exemplifying the wise spirit of action specified in the fore-

γοραζόμενοι τὸν καιρόν, ὅτι αι ἡμέραι πονηραί εἰσιν. διὰ τοῦτο μὴ γίνεσθε ἄφρονες, ἀλλὰ συνιέντες τί τὸ 17

going member. This expression occurs twice in the N. T.; here with, and in Col. iv. 5 without an appended causal sentence: compare also Dan. ii. 8, καιρον εξαγοράζετε (appy. 'hanc opportunitatem capiatis,' see Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. 1. p. 780, not 'dilationem quæritis,' Schleusn.). The numerous and in most cases artificial explanations of this passage arise from the attempts to specify (a) those from whom (comp. Beng., 'mali homines;' Calv., 'Diabolus') the καιρός is to be purchased, or (b) the price (all worldly things, τὰ πάντα, Chrys., Theoph., Schrader) paid for it; both of which are left wholly undefined. The force of ek does not appear intensive (Mey., comp. Plutarch, Crass. § 2), or simply latent (a Lap.), but directs the thoughts to the undefined time or circumstances out of which in each particular case the καιρός was to be bought; comp. Gal. iii. 13, iv. 5, where however the meaning is more special, and the reference of the preposition better defined by the context. The expression then seems simply to denote that we are to make a wise use of circumstances for our own good or that of others, and, as it were, like prudent merchants (comp. Beza, Corn. a Lap.) to 'buy up the fitting season' for so doing; 'diligenter observare tempus, ut id tuum facias, eique ut dominus imperes,' Tittm. Synon. p. 42; so Sever. (ap. Cram. Caten.), and in effect Origen (ib.), though he has too much mixed up the ideas of a right purchase of the time and a right expenditure of it. For a sermon on this text see August. Serm. CLXVII. Vol. v. p. 909 sq. (ed. Migne). τον καιρόν] 'the opportunity;' not 'hoc tempus, scil. tempus breve quod restat huic ævo,' Bretsch. (Sever. ò καιρός ὁ παρών, comp. Stier), but, as rightly explained by Cornel. a Lap., 'occasionem et opportunitatem scil. mercandi.' On the use of καιρός ('tempus, seu punctum temporis opportunum') and its distinction from alde. χρόνος, and ώρα, see Tittm. Synon. p. 39 sq., comp. Trench, Synon. Part II. movnpal] 'evil,' in a § 7. moral sense (Gal. i. 4), not 'difficultatum et asperitatis plena,' Beza (comp. Gen. xlvii. 9), which would introduce an idea foreign to the context. Christians are bidden to walk ἀκριβῶs, and to seize every opportunity, because 'the days' (of their life, הַּלְּמִים or of the period in which they lived) were marked by so much moral evil and iniquity; έπει οθν ό καιρός δουλεύει τοίς πονηροίς, έξαγοράσασθε αὐτόν, ὤστε καταχρήσασθαι αὐτῷ πρὸς εὐσέβειαν Sever. ap. Cram. Caten.

17. διά τοῦτο] 'For this cause;' commonly referred to the clause immediately preceding, ἐπειδὴ ἡ πονηρία dνθεί Œcum., Theoph. (so De W., Olsh.), but far more probably (see Mey.) to ver. 15, 16,—'for this cause, sc. because ye ought to walk with such exactness;' εὶ γὰρ ἔσεσθε ἄφρονες ἀκριβώς οὐ περιπατήσετε Schol. ap. άφρονες] 'un-Cram. Caten. wise,' senseless; 'άφρων est qui mente non recte utitur,' Tittm. Synon. p. 143, -where the distinctions between this word, νήπιος, ανόητος, and ασύνετος are investigated; but see notes on Gal. συνιέντες] 'understandiii. I. ing;' 'plus est συνιέναι quam γινώσκειν, ut apparet ex hoc loco cum Luc. xii. 47; γινώσκειν est nosse, συνιέναι attente expendere; Grot. (Pol. Syn.). The reading is perhaps doubtful. Lachm. reads συνίετε with ABN; 6 mss.; Chrys. (ms.), but on external evidence 18 θέλημα τοῦ Κυρίου. καὶ μὴ μεθύσκεσθε οἴνφ, ἐν ῷ 19 ἐστὶν ἀσωτία, ἀλλὰ πληροῦσθε ἐν Πνεύματι, λαλοῦν-τες ἑαυτοῖς ψαλμοῖς καὶ ὅμνοις καὶ ϣδαῖς πνευματικαῖς.

hardly equal to that for the participle [συνιέντες, D³EKL (συνίοντες, D¹FG; Alf.); nearly all mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Goth., Syr.-Phil., al., and many Ff.], and in the face of the high probability that the imper. is due to a conformation to ver. 18.

18. και μή μεθύσκ.] 'And be not made drunk with wine; specification of a particular instance; kal being here used to append the special to the general: on this and on the converse use, see notes on Phil. iv. 12, and comp. the good note of Fritz. Mark i. 5, p. 11. لَهُ مِنْ] 'wherein,' Auth.; referring not simply to olvos (Schoettg.), but to μεθύσκεσθαι οίνω, scil., 'in inebriatione,' Beza; so rightly Orig. 1, ap. Cram. Cat. dowrla] 'dissoluteness,' Hamm., 'luxuria,' Vulg., Clarom.; not inappropriately Goth., 'usstiurei' [unyokedness]; τους άκρατείς και είς ακολασίαν δαπανηρούς ασώτους καλουμεν Arist. Ethic. Nic. IV. 1; "Ασωτος comp. Cic. de Fin. 11. 8. (σώζω) appears to have two meanings, the rarer, 'qui servari non potest,' a meaning which Clem. Alex. (Pædag. II. 2, p. 184, ed. Pott.) applies to this place, τὸ ἄσωστον τῆς μέθης διὰ τῆς dσωτίας αίνιξάμενος,—and the more common, 'qui servare nequit;' see Trench, Synon. § 16. The latter meaning passes naturally into that of 'dissoluteness,' the only sense in which ἀσωτία and ἀσώτως are used in the N.T., e.g. Luke xv. 13, Tit. i. 6, 1 Pet. iv. 4: the substantive is found Prov. xxviii. 7 (Trench), to which add 2 Macc. vi. 4, where it is joined with κῶμοι; see also Tittm. Synon. p. 152. ev Πνεύματι] 'with the Spirit;' ev being appy. primarily, though not exclusively, instrumental (Vulg., Arm.; see

Orig. Cat.),-though an unusual construction with $\pi\lambda\eta\rho\delta\omega$: see however ch. i. 23. Meyer cites also Phil. iv. 19, but this is a doubtful instance; still more so are Col. ii. 10, iv. 12 (cited by Eadie after Harl.), as in the first of these passages ev is obviously 'in,' and in the second the reading is more than doubtful; see notes in loc. There would seem to have been an intentional inclusiveness in the use of this prep., as Matthies (misrepresented by Eadie) suggests: the Spirit is not the bare instrument by which, but that in which and by which the true Christian is fully filled. Whether the passive $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ hints at our 'reluctant will' (Mey.) seems doubtful: there is no doubt however that the opposition is not between olvos and Πνεῦμα, but, as the order of the words suggests, between the two states expressed by the two verbs. On the omission of the article (which is inserted in FG), see notes on ch. ii. 20, and on Gal. v. 5.

19. λαλούντες έαυτοίς] 'speaking to one another;'-not 'to yourselves,' Auth.; ἐαυτοῖs being used for ἀλλήλοις, as in ch. iv. 32; comp. Col. iii. 16, and see Jelf, Gr. § 654. 2. Scholefield (Hints, p. 103), and before him Bull (Prim. Trad. 1. 12), compare the well known quotation, 'carmen Christo quasi Deo dicere secum invicem,' Pliny, Epist. x. 97. Whether the reference is here to social meetings (comp. Clem. Alex. Pædag. 11. 4, p. 194, Pott.), or expressly to religious service (Olsh.), or, as is more probable, to both, can hardly be determined from the conψαλμοῖς κ.τ.λ.] 'with psalms and hymns and spiritual songs.' The distinctions between these words have been somewhat differently estiάδοντες καὶ ψάλλοντες εν τῆ καρδία υμῶν τῷ Κυρίφ, ευχαριστοῦντες πάντοτε υπέρ πάντων εν ονόματι τοῦ 20

mated. Olsh. and Stier would confine ψαλμ. to the Psalms of the Old. Test., υμνος to any Christian song of praise: this does not seem borne out by I Cor. xiv. 26 (see Alf.), compare James v. 13. Harless refers the former to the Jewish, the latter to Gentile Christians; Origen (Cat.) still more arbitrarily defines the ψαλμ. as περί τῶν πρακτέων, the ψδή as περί της τοῦ κόσμου τάξεως και τών λοιπών δημιουργημάτων. In a passage so general as the present, no such rigorous distinctions seem called for: ψαλμός most probably, as Meyer suggests, denotes a sacred song of a character similar to that of the Psalms (ὁ ψαλμός έμμελής έστιν εύλογία και σώφρων Clem. Alex. Pædag. 11. 4, p. 194); υμνος a song more especially of praise, whether to Christ (ver. 19), or God (ver. 20, comp. Acts xvi. 25, Heb. ii. 12); 464 a definition generally of the genus to which all such compositions belonged (ψόλην πνευματικήν ὁ ᾿Απόστολος είρηκε τον ψαλμόν Clem. Alex. l. c.): so Trench, Synon. Part II. § 28. To this last the epithet *revµarikaîs is added,-sc. not merely 'of religious import,' Olsh. ('sancta,' Æth.), 'having to do with spiritual things,' Trench, but in accordance with the last clause of ver. 18, 'such as the Holy Spirit inspired and gave utterance to:' ψάλλοντες γάρ Πνεύμ. πληρούνται άγίου Much curious information Chrys. will be found in the article 'Hymni a Christianis decantandi,' in Deyling, Obs. No. 44, Vol. III. p. 430 sq.: for authorities, see Fabricius, Bibliogr. Antiq. XI. 13, and for specimens of ancient Juros, ib. Bibl. Graca, Book v. Lachm. inserts év in brackets before \u03c4a\u00e4ois, but on authority [B; 5 mss.; Clarom., Sangerm., Vulg.,

Goth., al.; Chrys.] nearly the same and apparently equally insufficient with that [B; Clarom., Sangerm.; Ambret. ed.] on which he (so Alf.) similarly encloses the scarcely doubtful wrevάδοντες και ψάλματικαίς. λοντες κ. τ. λ.] 'singing and making mclody in your heart;' participial clause, co-ordinate with (Mey.) not subordinate to (so as to specify the moral quality of the psalmody, μετά συνέσεως, Chrys.) the foregoing λαλούντες κ. τ. λ. Harl. very clearly shows that & τη καρδία even without ύμων could not indicate any antithesis between the heart and lips, much less any qualitative definition,- without lip-service' (comp. Theod., Eadie), or 'heartily,' like ἐκ τῆς καρδίας (κατά την καρδ. Œcum.), but that simply another kind of psalmody is mentioned, that of the inward heart; 'canentes intus in animis et cordibus vestris,' Bulling. (cited by Harl.). reading ἐν ταῖς καρδίαις, though well supported [Lachm. with ADEFG №; 47; Clarom., Vulg., Syr., Goth., Copt., Syr. - Phil. in marg.; Bas., Chrys. (2), al.], is still properly rejected by Tisch., as an emendation of $\epsilon r \hat{\eta}$ καρδία [BN¹(both omit έν)KL; nearly all mss.; Syr.-Phil.; Chrys., Theod., al.] derived from. Col. iii. 16.

20. εὐχαριστ. πάντ.] 'giving thanks always;' third, and more comprehensive participial member, specifying the great Christian accompaniment of this and of all their acts (see notes on ver. 4, Phil. iv. 6, and Col. iv. 2), and preparing the way for the further duty expressed in ver. 21. It would thus appear that the imperative πληρ. ἐν Πν. has four participial clauses appended, two of which specify more particular, and the third a more pervading mani-

- 21 Κυρίου ήμων Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ τῷ Θεῷ καὶ πατρί, ὑποτασσόμενοι ἀλλήλοις ἐν φόβφ Χριστοῦ.
- 22 Ai γυναίκες, τοίς ιδίοις ανδράσιν Wives be subject to your husbands as the Church is to Christ.

 Husbands love your wives as Christ loved His Church. Marriage is a type of the mystical union of Christ and the Church.

22. ἀνδράσω] Tisch. has with good judgment rejected the addition of ὑποτάσσεσθε,—whether after γυναῖκες with DEFG; Lect. 19; Syr., al.: or after ἀνδράσω, with KL; very many Vv.; Chrys., al. (Rec., Scholz),—though supported in the omission only by B, all Gr. MSS. used by Jerome, and Clem. (Harl., Mey., De W.). Lachm. inserts ὑποτασσέσθωσαν after ἀνδράσω with AN; 10 mss.; Vulg., Copt., Goth.; Clem. (1), Bas., al.; the variations however, and still more the absence of the word in the MSS. mentioned by Jerome, render it in a very high degree probable that the original text had no verb in the sentence.

festation of the fruits of the Holy Spirit, viz. ώδαι χειλέων (Ecclus. xxxix. 15), ψόαι έν τη καρδία, and εύχαριστία, while the fourth, ὑποτασσ., passes onward to another form of Christian duty; see notes ver. 21, and for two good sermons on this text, Barrow, Serm. VIII. IX. Vol. 1. p. 179 sq. ὑπὲρ πάντων] 'for all things,' Auth.; not masc., sc. ὑπὲρ πάντων τῶν τῆς εὐεργεσίας μετειληχότων Theod. Meyer needlessly limits the mdvra to blessings; surely it is better to say, with Theoph., ούχ ὑπὲρ τῶν ἀγαθῶν μόνον, άλλα και των λυπηρών, και ών ίσμεν, καί ων ούκ ζσμεν, και γάρ διά πάντων εὐεργετούμεθα καν άγνοωμεν. Numerous instances of similar cumulation and παρήχησιs are cited by Lobeck, Paralipom. p. 56, 57. ovougrel 'in the name;' obviously not 'ad honorem' (Flatt.), nor even 'per nomen,' scil. 'per Christum' (a Lap.), but 'in nomine,' Vulg., Clarom., Copt., al.: the name of Christ is that general and holy element, as it were, in which everything (as Harless forcibly remarks) is to be received, to be enjoined, to be done, and to be suffered; see Col. iii. 17. The context will always indicate the precise nature of the application; see the exx. cited by Alf. in loc. τῷ Θεῷ καὶ πατρί]
'to God and the Father;' see notes
on ch. i. 3, on Gal. i. 4, and on the
most suitable mode of translating this
special and august title, notes to Gal.
i. 4 (Transl.).

21. ίποτασσόμενοι άλλήλ.] 'ευδmitting yourselves to one another;' not for the finite verb (Flatt.; see contra Hermann, Viger, No. 227, Winer, Gr. § 45. 6, p. 314), but a fourth participial clause appended to $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon$. The first three name three duties, more or less special, in regard to God, the last a comprehensive moral duty in regard to man, which seems to have been suggested by the remembrance of the humble and loving spirit which is the moving principle of εὐχαριστία. In the following paragraph, and under a somewhat similar form (ὑπακοή) in vi. 1 sq. and vi. 5 sq., this general duty is inculcated in particular instances: έπειδή κοινήν την περί της ύποταγής νομοθεσίαν προσήνεγκε κατ' είδος, λοιπον παραινεί τα καταλληλα. Theod. On the distinction between $\dot{v}\pi o \tau a \sigma \sigma$. (sponte) and πειθαρχείν (coactus), see Tittm. Synon. Part II. p. 3. must be admitted that there is some difficulty in the connexion between this and the foregoing participial member.

ώς τῷ Κυρίφ, ὅτι ἀνήρ ἐστιν κεφαλή τῆς γυναι- 23

We can however hardly refer the clause to the remote μη μεθύσκ. ('don't bluster, ... but be subject,' Eadie, Alf.), but may reasonably retain the connexion indicated above, the exact connecting link being perhaps the ὑπἐρ πάντων; 'thanking God for all things (joys-yea sorrows, submitting yourselves to Him, yea), submitting yourselves to one another;' comp. Chrys., ΐνα πάντων κρατώμεν τών παθών, ΐνα τῷ Θεῷ δουλεύωμεν, ἴνα τὴν πρὸς ἀλλήλους άγάπην διασώζωμεν. φόβφ Xp.] 'in the fear of Christ:' the prevailing feeling and sentiment in which ὑποταγὴ is to be exhibited; 'ex [in] timore Christi; quia scilicet Christum reveremur, eumque timemus offendere;' Corn. a Lap. The reading Θεοῦ (Rcc.) is only supported by cursive mss.; Clem., Theod.; and is rightly rejected by all modern editors.

22. Ai yuvaîkes] 'Wires, -sc. be subject:' first of the three great exemplifications (husbands and wives,parents and children, ch. vi. 1 sq.,masters and servants, ch. vi. 5 sq.) of the duty of subjection previously specified. A verb can easily and obviously be supplied from the preceding verse,—either ὑποτασσέσθωσαν (Lachm.), or more probably, as the imper. in ver. 25 and Col. iii. 18 suggests, ὑποτάσσεσθε (Rec.). ίδίοις ανδράσιν] 'your own husbands;' those specially yours, whom feeling therefore as well as duty must prompt you to obey; comp. 1 Pet. iii. 1. The pronominal adject. Idloss is clearly more than a mere possess. pronoun (De W.), or, what is virtually the same, than a formal designation of the husband, 'der Ehemann' (Harl., Winer), for St Paul might have equally well used τοις ανδράσιν, as in Col. iii. 18. seems rather to retain its proper force both here and 1 Pet. iii. 1, and imply by a latent antithesis the legitimacy (comp. John iv. 16), exclusiveness (1 Cor. vii. 2), and speciality (1 Cor. xiv. 35) of the connexion; see esp. 1 Esd. iv. 20, έγκαταλείπει...την ίδ. χώραν καί πρός τὴν ίδ. γυναῖκα κολλᾶται. We may also adduce against Harl. his own quotation, Stobæus, Floril. p. 22, Θεανώ...έρωτηθείσα τι πρώτον είη γυναικί τὸ τῷ ίδίῳ, ἔφη, ἀρέσκειν ἀνδρί: clearly 'her own husband,-no one except in that proper and special relationship.' It may still be remarked that the use of loios in later writers is such as to make us cautious how far in all cases in the N.T. (see Matth. xxii. 5, John i. 42) we press the usual meaning: see Winer, Gr. § 22. 7, p. 139, and notes on ch. iv. 28.

ώς τῷ Κυρίφ] 'as to the Lord;' clearly not 'as to the lord and master,' which perspicuity would require to be rois kuplois, but-to Christ; 'vir Christi imago,' Grot., καλόν τῆ γυναικί Χριστόν αίδεῖσθαι διά τοῦ ἀνδρός Greg.-Naz. The meaning of $\dot{\omega}_s$ is somewhat doubt-Viewed in its simplest grammatical sense as the pronoun of the relative (Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 737), the meaning would seem to be 'yield that obedience to your husbands which you yield to Christ;' comp. Beng. As however the immediate context and. still more, the general current of the passage (comp. ver. 32) represent marriage in its typical aspect, ws will seem far more naturally to refer (as in ch. vi. 5, 6, comp. Col. iii. 23) to the aspect under which the obedience is to be regarded ('quasi Christo ipsimet, cujus locum et personam viri repræsentant,' Corn. a Lap.), than to describe the nature of it (Eadie), or the manner (De Wette) in which it is to be tendered; see notes on Col. iii. 23. Still

κὸς ως καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς κεφαλή της εκκλησίας, αὐτὸς 24 σωτήρ τοῦ σώματος. ἀλλὰ ως ή εκκλησία ὑποτάσσεται

less probable is a reference merely to the similarity between the duties of the wife to the husband and the Church to Christ (Koppe, comp. Eadie), as this interpr. would clearly require ως ἡ ἐκκλ. τῷ Κυρ.: see Meyer. It is thus well and briefly paraphrased by Chrys., ὅταν ὑπείκης τῷ ἀνδρί, ὡς τῷ Κυρίῳ δουλεύουσα ἡγοῦ πείθεσθαι (Sav.): see also Greg.-Naz. Orat. XXXI. p. 500 (ed. Morell.).

23. dνήρ] 'a husband.' The omission of the article [with all the uncial MSS., and nearly all modern editors] does not affect the meaning of the proposition, but only modifies the form in which it is expressed: δ ἀνηρ would be 'the husband,' i.e. 'every husband' (see notes on Gal. iii. 20); ἀνηρ is 'a husband,' i. e. any one of the class; comp. Winer, Gr. § 19. 1, p. 111: γυνή, on the contrary, has properly the article as marking the definite relation it bears to the drhρ ('his wife'), on which the general proposition is based. ώς καλ ὁ Χρ. κ.τ.λ.] ' as Christ also is head-of the Church:' the 'being head' is common to both $d\nu\eta\rho$ and $X\rho$.; the bodies to which they are so are different. In sentences thus composed of correlative members, when the enunciation assumes its most complete form, kal appears in both members, e.g. Rom. i. 13; comp. Kühner, Xen. Mem. 1. 1. 6. Frequently it appears only in the demonstrative, or, as here, only in the relative member; see Hartung, Partik. Kal, 2. 2, Vol. I. p. 126. In all these cases however the particle kal preserves its proper force. In the former case, 'per aliquam cogitandi celeritatem,' a double and reciprocal comparison is instituted between the two words to each of which kal is annexed; see Fritz. Rom. Vol. I. p. 38:

in the two latter cases a single comparison only is enunciated between the word qualified by kal and some other, whether expressed or understood; see Klotz, Devar. Vol. 11. p. 635, and comp. Winer, Gr. § 53. 5, p. 390, who however on this construction is not wholly satisfactory. αύτὸς σωτήρ] 'He Himself is the saviour of the body:' declaration, apparently with a paronomasia (σωτήρ...σώματος), of an important particular in which the comparison did not hold; the clause not being appositional (Harl.), but, as the use of dλλd in the following verse seems distinctly to suggest (see notes on ver. 24), independent and emphatic (Mey.); 'He-and, in this full sense, none other than He—is the $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho$ of the body.' The reading και αὐτός ἐστι [Rec. with D2D3E2KL X4; majority of mss.; Syr. (both), Goth., al.; many Ff.] seems clearly an explanatory gloss, and is rightly rejected by nearly all recent editors.

24. dll 'Nevertheless.' The explanation of this particle is here by no means easy. According to the usual interpr. αὐτὸς κ. τ. λ. (ver. 23) forms an apposition to the preceding words, the pronoun airds (comp. Bernhardy. Synt. VI. 10, p. 287) being inserted with a rhetorical emphasis. The proof is then introduced by alla, which, according to De W., preserves its adversative character in the fresh aspect under which it presents the relation; 'But as the Church, &c.: ' see Winer, Gr. § 53. 10. 1. a, p. 400. This is plausible, but, as Meyer has ably shown, cannot be fairly reconciled with the clear adversative force of dλλd,-'aliud jam esse de quo sumus dicturi ' (Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 2): đè or our would have been appropriate;

τῷ Χριστῷ, οὕτως καὶ αἱ γυναῖκες τοῖς ἀνδράσιν ἐν παντί. Οἱ ἄνδρες, ἀγαπᾶτε τὰς γυναῖκας καθὼς 25 καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς ἠγάπησεν τὴν ἐκκλησίαν καὶ ἑαυτὸν παρέδωκεν ὑπὲρ αὐτῆς, ἵνα αὐτὴν ἀγιάση καθαρίσας 26

dλλà is wholly out of place. Rückert and Harless explain it as resumptive (Hartung, Partik. άλλά, 2. 7, Vol. II. p. 40), but surely, after a digression of only four words, this is inconceivable. Eadie supposes an ellipsis, 'be not disobedient, &c.' an assumption here still more untenable; as in all such uses of dλλd, and in all those which he has adduced (some of which, e.g. Rom. vi. 5, 2 Cor. vii. 11, are not correctly explained), the ellipsis is simple and almost self-evident; compare Klotz; Devar. Vol. 1. p. 7. Amid this variety of interpretation, that of Calv., Beng., Meyer, and recently Alf., alone seems simple and satisfactory. A vrds $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. is to be considered as forming an independent clause; it introduces a particular peculiar to Christ, and therefore in the conclusion is followed, not by our or oe, but by the fully adversative dand: 'He is the saviour of the body (man certainly is not that), nevertheless, as the Church is subject unto Christ, so, &c.' The various attempts to explain the σωτηρία in reference to the other members of the comparison, the husband and wife (comp. Bulling., Beza, Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. II. 2, p. 115), are all forced and untenable. The reading ωσπερ for ws [Rec. with D3EKL; most mss.; Theod., Dam.] is rightly rejected by most recent editors. OUTUS καὶ κ.τ.λ.] 'so let wives also (be subject) to their husbands in everything,'scil. ὑποτασσέσθωσαν, supplied from the preceding member. The Rec. inserts idlois before avopdow with AD3. E2KL; many mss., Vv. and Ff.,—but in opp. to preponderant authority [BD¹E¹FGℵ; Clarom., Sangerm., al.], and to the internal objection that the word was an interpolation taken from ver. 22.

25. Ol ανδρες κ.τ.λ.] 'Husbands, love your wives; ' statement of the reciprocal duties of the husband; acove και πως σε πάλιν αναγκάζει άγαπαν αὐτήν, άλλ' οὐχὶ δεσποτικώς προσφέρεσθαι. άγάπα γάρ αὐτήν ποίψ μέτρψ; ψ και δ Χρ. την εκκλησίαν. προυδει αὐτης, ώς και δ Χρ. έκείνης καν δέη τι παθείν, καν αποθανείν δι' αὐτήν, μη παραιτήση. Theoph. On this and the two following verses, see a good sermon by Donne, Serm. LXXXV. Vol. IV. p. 63 sq. (ed. Alf.). It may be remarked that we seem right in returning to the reading of ed. 1, tas yuvaîkas, not τάς γυν. ἐαυτῶν as in ed. 2; the critical balance being altered in consequence of the testimony of & in favour of the shorter reading.

katha k.t. \lambda.] 'even as Christ also loved the Church and gave Himself for it;' nearly a repetition of the latter part of ver. 2, where see the notes on the different details.

26. Iva atτήν dγ.] in order that He might sanctify it; immediate, not (as De W.) remote purpose of the παραδιδόναι,—sanctification of the Church attendant on the remission of sins in baptism; see Pearson, Creed, Vol. 1. p. 435 (Burt.), Taylor, Bapt. IX. 17, Waterland, Eucharist, IX. 3, Vol. 1v. p. 645. Both sanctification and purification are dependent on the atoning death of Christ, the former as an act contemplated by it, the latter as an act included in it. There is thus no necessity to modify the plain and

27 τῷ λουτρῷ τοῦ ὕδατος ἐν ῥήματι, ΐνα παραστήση

natural meaning of the verb; dyiáj. here neither implies simple consecration (Eadie) on the one hand, nor expiation, absolution (Matth.), on the other, but the communication and infusion of holiness and moral purity; see Pearson, Creed, Vol. 1. p. 404, comp. Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. II. a, Vol. kalaplous] 'having I. p. 54. purified it;' temporal participle, here more naturally denoting an act antecedent to dyiáon (Olsh., Mey.) than one contemporaneous with it, as appy. Syr., Vulg., al., and, as it would seem, the Authorized Version. Eadie is far too hasty in imputing 'error' to Harl. for maintaining the latter: it is clearly tenable on grammatical (see Bernhardy, Synt. x. 9, p. 383, and notes ch. i. 9), but less probable on dogmatical grounds: comp. 1 Cor. vi. 11, άλλά άπελούσασθε, άλλὰ ἡγιάσθητε.

τῷ λουτρῷ του δδατος] 'by the [well-known] laver of the water;' gen. 'materiæ,' Scheuerl. Synt. § 12, p. 82; comp. Soph. Œd. Col. 1599. The reference to baptism is clear and distinct (see Tit. iii. 5, and notes in loc.), and the meaning of λοῦτρον ('lavacrum,' Vulg., Clarom.,

Syr., 'pvahla,' Goth.)—indisputable: instances have been urged in behalf of the active sense of λοῦτρον (adopted by Auth., and perhaps by Copt., Æth.), but in all that have yet been adduced (e.g. Ecclus. xxxiv. 25 [30], τὶ ἀφέλησεν τῷ λουτρῷ αὐτοῦ;), the peculiar force of the termination (instrumental object; comp. Donalds. Crat. § 267, Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. II. p. 403) may be distinctly traced: see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. Vol. II. p. 83, and comp. Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. II. p. 277. It seems doubtful whether Olsh. is quite correct

in denying that there is here any allusion to the bride's bath before marriage (Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 226); see ver. 27, which considered in reference with the context, and compared with Rev. xxi. 2, makes such an allusion far from improbable. έν ρήματι] 'in the word,' 'in verbo,' Vulg., Clarom., Copt., Goth. There is great difficulty in determining (1) the exact meaning, (2) the grammatical connexion of these words. With regard to the former, we may first remark that ρημα occurs (excluding quotations) five times in St Paul's Epp. and four in Heb., and in all cases directly (Rom. x. 17, Eph. vi. 17, Heb. vi. 5, xi. 3) or indirectly (Rom. x. 8, 2 Cor. xii. 4, Heb. i. 3, xii. 19) refers to words proceeding ultimately or immediately from God. The ancient and plausible reference to the words used in baptism (Chrys., Waterl. Justif. Vol. VI. p. 13) would thus, independently of the omission of the article, scarcely seem probable; see Estius in loc. The same observation applies with greater or less force to every interpr. except 'the Gospel,' τὸ βημα της πίστεως, Rom. x. 8, the word of God preached and taught preliminary to baptism (comp. notes ch. i. 13); the omission of the article being either referred to the presence of the prep. (Middleton, Gr. Art. VI. I), or more probably to the fact that words of similarly definite import (e. g. νόμος, χάρις, κ. τ. λ.) are frequently found anarthrous; see Winer, Gr. § 19. 1, p. 112. Three constructions obviously present themselves;—(a) with $d\gamma \iota d\sigma y$; (b) with τῷ λουτρῷ τοῦ υδατος; (c) with καθαploas, or rather with the whole expression, καθ. τ. λουτρ. τ. ῦδ. Of these (a), though adopted by Jerome, and recently maintained by Rück., Winer

αὐτὸς ἐαυτῷ ἔνδοξον τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, μὴ ἔχουσαν σπίλον ἢ ρυτίδα ἤ τι τῶν τοιούτων, ἀλλ' ἵνα ἢ ἀγία καὶ ἄμω-

(Gr. § 20. 2, p. 125), and Meyer, is seriously opposed to the order of the words, and (if èv be considered simply instrumental) introduces an idea (dy. έν ἡήμ.) which is scarcely doctrinally tenable: the second (b) is plainly inconsistent with the absence of the article, this being a case which is not referable to any of the three cases noticed on ch. i. 15,-appy. the only ones in which, in constructions like the present, the omission can be justified:-the third (c), though not without difficulties, is on the whole fairly satisfactory. According to this view έν ἡήματι has neither a purely instrumental, nor certainly a simple modal force ('verheissungsweise,' Harl.), but specifies the necessary accompaniment, that in which the baptismal purification is vouchsafed (comp. John xv. 3), and without which it is not granted: comp. Heb. ix. 22, ἐν αίματι πάντα $\kappa a \theta a \rho i \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$, where the force of the prep. is somewhat similar.

27. Iva παραστήση] 'in order that He might present: further and more ultimate purpose of έαυτον παρέδωκεν ὑπὲρ αὐτῆς (ver. 25), the full accomplishment of which must certainly be referred to δ alw δ μέλλων (August., Est.), not to & alws ovros (Chrys, Beng., Harl.), see Pearson, Creed, Vol. 1. p. 406 (ed. Burt.). Schoettg. appositely cites the Rabbinical interpr. of Cant. i. 5, אָני וְגָאני in which the swarthiness is referred to the Synagogue בעולם הזה [in hoc seculo], the comeliness to it אבעולם הבא [in seculo futuro]; see Petersen, con der Kirche, III. 220. The verb mapaστήση is here used as in 2 Cor. xi. 2, of the presentation of the bride to the bridegroom, - not of an offering (Harl.;

Rom. xii. 1), which would here be a reference wholly inappropriate.

aυτὸς ἐαυτῷ] 'Himself to Himself',' not 'for Himself,' i.e. for His joy and glory (Olsh.), but, with local reference, 'to Himself.' Christ permits neither attendants nor handmaids to present the Bride: He alone presents, He receives. The reading παραστ. αὐτὴν ἐαυτῷ [Rec. with D³EK; most mss.; Syr. (both); Chrys., Theod.] is rightly rejected on preponderant evidence [ARD¹FGLN; 15 mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Goth., al.; Greek and Lat. Ff.] by most modern editors.

ένδοξον την ἐκκλησίαν] 'the Church glorious,' the tertiary predicate ἐνδοξον (Donalds. Gr. § 489) being placed emphatically forward and receiving its further explanation from the participial clause which follows: so, with a correct observance of the order, Copt., Æth., probably Vulg., Clarom., and all the best modern commentators.

μή έχουσαν σπίλον] 'not having a spot.' The word σπίλος (μιασμός, δύ- π os, Suid.) is a δls λ e γ $\delta\mu$. in the N. T. (2 Pet. ii. 13), and belongs to later Greek, the earlier expression being κηλίε, see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 28. Lachm., Tisch., Bruder (Concord.), Meyer, and others, still retain the accentuation σπίλος. As the iota is short (comp. dowithos, Antiph. ap. Anthol. Vol. VI. 252) the accentuation in the text seems most correct; comp. Arcad. Accent. VI. p. 52 (ed. Barker). ουτίδα] 'a wrinkle:' purls, ή συνελκυσμένη σάρξ. Etym. M.; derived from PTΩ, ἐρύω, see Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. 11. p. 317. Ruga and 'wrinkle' are probably cognate forms; see ib. p. 314. and comp. Diffenbach, Lex. Vol. 1. dad lva fi] 'but in order р. 23б.

28 μος. οΰτως ὀφείλουσιν οἱ ἄνδρες ἀγαπᾶν τὰς ἐαυτῶν γυναῖκας ὡς τὰ ἐαυτῶν σώματα. ὁ ἀγαπῶν τὴν ἐαυτοῦ

that it might be;' change of construction, as if tra μη έχη had preceded: similar exx. of 'oratio variata' are cited by Winer, Gr. §63. II. 1, p. 509. On the true meaning of dyla as applied to the Church, see Pearson, Creed, Art. 1x. Vol. 1. p. 403 (Burt.), Jackson, Creed, XII. 4. 3, and on dμωμος, see notes ch. i. 4. The context might here seem to favour the translation 'omni macula carens' (comp. Cant. iv. 7), but it seems more correct to say that the first part of the verse presents the conception of purity, dec. in metaphorical language, the second in words of simply ethical meaning.

28. οῦτως] 'Thus,' 'in like manner;' 'ita, scilicet uti Christus dilexit ecclesiam quemadmodum jam dixi,' Corn. a Lap. Even if the reading of the Rec. be retained (οῦτως ὀφ. οἱ ἄνδρ. $d\gamma$. κ . τ . λ .; see below), the reference must still clearly be to καθώς και δ $X\rho$. κ . τ . λ . ver. 25—27, not as Est. (comp. De W.) suggests, to the following &s; this latter construction being contrary, not necessarily 'to grammatical law' (Eadie; for comp. John vii. 46, 1 Cor. iv. 1), but to the natural use of ovitus, of which 'non alia est vis quam quæ naturæ ejus consentanea est, ut eo confirmentur præcedentia; Herm. Viger, Append. x. p. 747. In passages like 1 Cor. l.c. there is an obvious emphasis, which would here be out of place. The reading is doubtful, as in addition to the former evidence in favour of Rec. [KL; nearly all mss.; Syr., Arm.; Chrys., Theod., al.] that of B (ôφείλ. και οι άνδρες) and of ℵ may now be urged for the inversion. The authority for the longer and non-inverted reading, και οι ανδρες οφείλουσω, viz. ADEFG; 2 mss.; Clarom., Vulg., Goth., Copt.; Clem., Lat. Ff. (ed. 2, Lachm.), is not inconsiderable, but may perhaps now be rightly considered inferior to that for the text. ώς τα ξαυτών σώματα] 'as (being) their own bodies;' not 'wie ihre eigenen Leiber,' Meier (comp. Alf.), but 'als ihre eigenen Leiber,' Luth., Mey. The context clearly implies that Christ loved the Church not merely just as (comparatively)He loved His own body (scil. ωs ἐαυτόν, Schoettg.), but as being His own body, the body of which He is the Head. In the hortatory application therefore is must have a similarly semi-argumentative force; otherwise, as Harl. remarks, we should have two comparisons, the one with οὖτωs, the other with ws, which would mar the perspicuity of the passage. In the present view, on the contrary, the distinction is logically preserved: οὖτως alone introduces the comparison; ώς with its regular and proper force marks the aspects (see notes on ver. 22) in which the wives were to be regarded ('as being, in the light of, their own bodies'), and thus tacitly supplies to the exhortation an argument arising from the acknowledged nature of the case. For a defence of the simple comparative use of ώs, see ό άγαπῶν κ. τ. λ.] Alf. in loc. 'He that loveth his own wife loveth himself;' explanation of the preceding ώς τὰ ἐαυτῶν σώμ. The Apostle's argument rests on the axiom that a man's wife is a part of his very self. Husbands are to love them as being their own bodies: thus their love to them is in fact self-love; it is not κατ' όφειλήν, but κατά φύσιν.

γυναϊκα έαυτον άγαπά· ουδεὶς γάρ ποτε την έαυτοῦ 29 σάρκα ἐμίσησεν, άλλὰ ἐκτρέφει καὶ θάλπει αὐτήν, καθώς καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς την ἐκκλησίαν· ὅτι μέλη ἐσμὲν τοῦ 30.

29. οὐδεὶς γάρ κ.τ.λ.] 'For no one ever hated;' confirmation and proof of the position just laid down, ὁ ἀγαπῶν $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$: first, it is ultimately based on a general law of nature, oùôels wore κ.τ.λ. ('insitam nobis esse corporis nostri caritatem,' Senec. Epist. 14, cited by Grot.); secondly, it is suggested by the example of Christ, $\kappa a \theta \dot{\omega} s \kappa a \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} X \rho$. κ . τ . λ . The whole argument then seems to run, 'Men ought to love their wives as Christ loves His Church, as being in fact (I might add) their own (ἐαυτῶν) bodies; yes, I say the man who loves his wife loves himself (ἐαυτόν); for if he hated her he would hate (according to the axiom in ver. 28) his own flesh, whereas, on the contrary, unless he acts against nature, he nourishes it, even as (to urge the comparison again) Christ nourishes His Church.'

This word appears undoubtedly to have been chosen in preference to $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu a$, on account of the allusion to Gen. ii. 23, which is still further sustained by the longer reading of ver. 30 and the quotation in ver. 31.

dλλd ἐκτρέφει] 'but nourisheth,' 'ministers to its outward growth and development.' The prep. does not appear intensive ('valde nutrit,' Beng.), but marks the evolution and development produced by the τρέφεω: comp. Xen. Œcon. XVII. 10, ἐκτρέφεω τὴν γῆν τὸ σπέρμα εἰς καρπόν.

Vulg., Clarom.,—more derivatively,

[et curat] Syr., sim. Æth.
Platt. 'solicite conservat;' Meyer

maintains the literal meaning, 'warmeth' (comp. Goth. 'varmeip'), citing Beng., 'id spectat amictum, ut nutrit victum.' This seems however here an interpr. far too definite and realistic: $\theta d\lambda \pi \epsilon w$ certainly primarily and properly implies 'to warm,' but still may, as its very etymological affinities $(\theta \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}, \theta d\omega)$ suggest, bear the secondary meaning, 'to cherish,' the fostering warmth of the breast (comp. Theoor. Idyll. XIV. 38) being the connecting idea; see I Thess. ii. 7, $\dot{\omega}$ s $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $\tau\rho \phi \dot{\phi}\dot{s}$ s $\theta \dot{\alpha}\lambda \pi \eta$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau \dot{\eta}\dot{s}$ ς $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \nu a$.

καθώς και κ.τ.λ.] 'Even as Christ the Church,' scil. ἐκτρέφει και θάλπει, with general reference to the tender love of Christ towards His Church. Any special applications ('nutrit eam verbo et Spiritu, vestit virtutibus,' Grot.) seem doubtful and precarious. The reading of Rec. (ὁ Κύριος τὴν ἐκκλ.) rests only on D³KL; majority of mss.; Dam., Œcum., and is rightly rejected by nearly all modern editors.

30. The ment for the because we are members;' reason why Christ thus nourishes and cherishes His Church. The position of $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ seems emphatic: 'members,'-not accidental, but integral parts of His body (Mey.), united to Him not only as members of His mystical body, the Church, but by the more mysterious marital relation in which Christ in His natural and now glorified body stands to His Church. On the important dogmatical application of this passage to the Holy Communion, see Waterland, Eucharist, ch. vii. Vol. iv. p. 600, 608, and comp. J. Johnson, Works, Vol. II. p. 129 sq. (A.-C. Libr.).

σώματος αὐτοῦ, ἐκ τῆς σαρκὸς αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐκ τῶν ὀστέων

30. ἐκ τῆς σαρκὸς αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐκ τῶν ὀστέων αὐτοῦ] Tisch. (ed. 2) and Lachmomit these words, with ABN¹; 17. 67**; Copt., Æth. (both); Method. (?), Ambrst. (Mill, Prolegom. p. 69). The external authorities for their insertion are DEFGKLN⁴; nearly all mss., and Vv.; Iren., Chrys., Theod., Dam., al.; Hier., al. (Rec., Scholz, Harl., Mey., De W. (?), Alf., Words.,—to which now may be added Tisch., ed. 7). The preponderance of external authority (owing to the divided nature of the testimony of N) is perhaps still in favour of the text; paradiplomatic considerations (see Pref. to Galat. p. xxii, ed. 3) also suggest the probability of an accidental omission, from the transcriber's eye having fallen on the third αὐτοῦ instead of the first; and lastly, internal considerations seem to suggest that the words, if an insertion from the LXX, would have been cited more exactly, while the omission might so easily have arisen from the appy. material conception presented by the clause. On these grounds we still retain the longer reading.

έκ της σαρκός κ.τ.λ.] 'being of His flesh and of His bones;' more exact specification of the foregoing words, ek with its primary and proper force pointing to the origin to which we owe our spiritual being; comp. notes on Gal. ii. 16. The true and proper meaning of these profound words has been much obscured by a neglect of their strict reference to the context, and by the substitution of deductions and applications for the simple and grammatical interpretation. We must thus set aside all primary reference to the sacraments (Theod.), to the Holy Communion (Olsh.), to Baptism (comp. Chrys.), and certainly to the crucifixion ('per corporis ejus et sanguinis pretium redempti,' Vatabl. ap. Poli Syn.). A reference to the ἐνσάρκωσις (Iren. Hær. v. 2) is plausible, but untenable; for Christ, thus considered, is of our flesh, not we of His, John i. 14; and even if this be explained away ('quia in hác naturâ ipse caput est,' Est., comp. Stier), the reference would have to be extended to all mankind, not, as the context requires, limited to the members of Christ's Church. The most simple

and natural view then (comp. Chrys., Beng., Mey.) seems to be this, that the words are cited in substance from Gen. ii. 23, to convey this profound truth,-that our real spiritual being and existence is as truly, as certainly, and as actually (not & orep, Theod.-Mops., but γνησίως έξ αὐτοῦ, Chrys.) 'a true native extract from His own body' (Hooker), as was the physical derivation of Eve from Adam; see esp. the forcible language of Hooker, Eccl. Pol. v, 56. 7, and comp. Bp. Hall, Christ Mystical, ch. III. § 2, 3, and the good note of Wordsw. in loc. This is the general truth, which of course admits a forcible secondary application to the sacraments (comp. Kahnis, Abendm. p. 143 sq.): we may truly say with Waterland, 'the true and firm basis for the economy of man's salvation is this, that in the sacraments we are made and continued members of Christ's body, of His flesh and of His bones. Our union with the Deity rests entirely in our mystical union with our Lord's humanity, which is personally united with His divine nature, which is essentially united with God the Father, the head

αὐτοῦ. ἀντὶ τούτου καταλείψει ἄνθρωπος πατέρα καὶ 31 μητέρα καὶ προσκολληθήσεται πρὸς τὴν γυναῖκα αὐτοῦ,

and fountain of all, 'Charge, A.D. 1739, Vol. v. p. 212. These are weighty words.

31. dvtl τούτου] 'For this cause;' ένεκεν τούτου, Gen. ii. 24. The meaning is practically the same : dvtl passes by a natural transition from its primary idea of local opposition (Xen. Anab. IV. 7. 6) through that of counterchange (see Winer, Gr. § 47. a, p. 326) to that of mere ethical relation. It can scarcely be doubted that this verse is nothing more than a free citation from Gen. ii. 24, dvtl taking the place of Evekev, and referring to the same fact, the derivation of woman from man, which is clearly presupposed in the allusions of ver. 30. Meyer with punctilious accuracy refers duri τούτου to the words immediately preceding, and gives the passage a directly mystical interpretation in reference to the final and future union of Christ with His Church. Somewhat differently and more probably, Chrys., Theod., Theoph., Jer., refer to Christ's coming in the flesh: compare Taylor, Serm. XVII. 1, 'Christ descended from His Father's bosom and contracted His divinity with flesh and blood, and married our nature. and we became a church;' see Beng. in loc. To denounce summarily such an interpr. as 'wild and visionary' (Eadie), seems alike rash and inconsiderate. That St Paul adduces the verse as containing a definite allegorical meaning, may perhaps be considered doubtful; but that St Paul intended his readers to make some such application, seems to have been the general opinion of the early commentators, is by no means incompatible with the context, and cannot be confidently denied: see Alf. in loc.

Thus then, in a certain sense, we may with Hofmann (Weiss. u. Erf. Vol. I. p. 71) recognise in this the first prophecy in Scripture; 'primus vates Adam,' Jer. καταλείψει κ.τ.λ.] 'shall a man leave father and mother.' Mey, presses the tense somewhat unnecessarily, as referring to something yet to come. Even if in the original passage it designate something positively future, there is no reason why in this application and free citation it may not state not only what will, but whatever shall and ought to happen: on this ethical force of the future, see Winer, Gr. § 40. 6, p. 250, Thiersch, de Pent. III. 11, p. 158 sq.

The longer reading of Rec. τὸν πατ. αὐτοῦ καὶ τὴν μητ. is fairly supported [ADSEKLN1 (omitting air.) N4; most mss.; Syr., Copt., al.; Orig., al.], but is rightly rejected by Lachm., Tisch., Mey., al., as a conformation to the LXX; see especially the critical comment of Origen, cited by Tisch. in προσκολλ. πρός την γυν. air. I 'shall be closely joined unto his wife:' comp. Matth. xix. 5, κολληθήσεται τ $\hat{\eta}$ γυναικὶ αὐτοῦ, where the dat. is used, but with little difference of meaning. On the close affinity between the dat. and the accus. with els and woos, and their interchange in many passages, see Winer, Gr. § 31. 5, p. 190. The reading however is doubtful; Lachm. maintains the dat. with AD¹E¹FGℵ¹ (om. αὐτοῦ); 3 mss.; Meth., Epiph. (compare 1 Cor. vi. 16); but owing to the fair evidence for the text [BD3EKLN4; nearly all mss.; Orig., Chrys., Theod.], and the distinct notice by Origen (see Tisch. in loc.), the accus. with $\pi \rho \delta s$ (Tisch., Mey., al.) is perhaps slightly more probable.

32 καὶ ἔσονται οἱ δύο εἰς σάρκα μίαν. τὸ μυστήριον τοῦτο μέγα ἐστίν, ἐγὼ δὲ λέγω εἰς Χριστὸν καὶ εἰς τὴν

32. τὸ μυστήριον κ.τ.λ.] 'This mystery is great, sc. deep: explanatory comment on the preceding verse. But what mystery? The answer is not easy, as four antecedents are possible;—(a) the text immediately preceding; τὸ είρημένον, τὸ γεγραμμένον, Stier, Meyer, comp. Chrys., Theodorus; -(b) the whole preceding subject, the strict parallelism between the conjugal relation and that between Christ and His Church; -(c) the spiritual purport, 'non matrimonium humanum sed ipsa conjunctio Christi et ecclesize, Beng.;—(d) the simple purport and immediate subject of the text, 'arctissima illa conjunctio viri et mulieris,' Est. Of these (a), though not otherwise untenable, involves a meaning of μυστήριον which cannot be substantiated by St Paul's use of the word; $\mu\nu\sigma\tau$. being only used by the Apostle to imply either something not cognizable by (ch. i. 9, iii. 4, and appy. vi. 19), or not fully comprehensible by unassisted human reason (1 ' Cor. xiv. 2, 1 Tim. iii. 9, 16), but not, as here (compare Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. I. p. 783), 'a passage containing an allegorical import:' see Tholuck, Rom. xi. 25, and comp. Lobeck, Aglaoph. Vol. 1. p. 85, 89. Of the rest (b) and (c) are less plausible, as in both cases-more especially in the latter—the remark έγω δὲ λέγω κ.τ.λ. would seem superfluous and the force of the pronoun obscure. On the whole then (d) seems best to harmonize with the context. Thus then ver. 29 states the exact similarity (καθώς) of the relationship; ver. 30 the ground of the relation in regard of Christ and the Church; ver. 31 the nature of the conjugal relation, with a probable application also to Christ; ver. 32 the

mystery of that conjugal relation in itself, and still more so in its typical application to Christ and to His Church. It is needless to observe that the words cannot possibly be urged in favour of the sacramental nature of marriage (Concil. Trid. XXIV. init.), but it may fairly be said that the very fact of the comparison (see Olsh.) does place marriage on a far holier and higher basis than modern theories are disposed to admit: see Harl. in loc., and for two good sermons on this text, Bp. Taylor, Serm. XVII. XVIII. Vol. I. p. 705 sq. (Lond. 1836). èyω δè λέγω] 'but I am speaking; antithetical comment on the foregoing; eyà having no special reference to his own celibacy (comp. Stier), but, as De W. admits, marking, and that with emphasis, the subjective character of the application and comparison (Winer, Gr. § 22. 6, p. 138, ed. 6), while the slightly oppositive: ôè contrasts it with any other interpretation that might have been adduced (Mey.): 'the mystery of this closeness of the conjugal relation is great, but I am myself speaking of it in its still deeper application, in reference to Christ and the Church;' μέγα δντως μυστήριον, τέως μέντοι είς Χριστον έκλαμβάνεται, παρ' έμοῦ τοῦτό, φησιν, ώς προφητικώς περί αὐτοῦ λεχθέν Theoph. On the general use of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, formula 'explanandi atque pressius eloquendi ea quæ antea obscurius erant dicta,' see Raphel on 1 Cor. i. 12, and notes on Gal. iv. 1. els Xp.] 'in reference to;' not 'of,' Conyb., still less 'in Christo,' Vulg., but 'in Christum,' Beza (comp. Æth., Syr.-Phil.), the preposition correctly marking the ethical direction of the speaker's words; comp. Acts ii. 25, and see

έκκλησίαν. πλην καὶ ύμεῖς οἱ καθ' ἔνα ἕκαστος την 33 ἐαυτοῦ γυναῖκα οὕτως ἀγαπάτω ὡς ἐαυτόν, ἡ δὲ γυνη ἵνα Φοβηται τὸν ἄνδρα.

Children, obey and honour your parents according to God's commandment: fathers, provoke not your children, but educate them holily.

Winer, Gr. § 49. a, p. 354, and notes on 2 Thess. i. 11. The prep. is omitted by BK; 10 mss.; Iren., Epiph., Marc., and is bracketed by Lachm., but without sufficient reason, as the external authorities against it are weak, and the probability of an omission, from not being understood, by no means slight.

33. πλήν] 'Nevertheless,' i. e. not to press the mystical bearings of the subject any further; the particle not being resumptive (Beng., Olsh.), but in accordance with its primary meaning, comparative, and thence contrasting and slightly adversative; see esp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 725, Donalds. Gr. § 548. 33, and esp. notes on Phil. i. 18. και ύμεις οί καθ' ένα] 'Ye also severally;' ye also—as well as Christ towards His Church. The plural thus specified by the distributive ol καθ' ἔνα, 'vos singuli' (comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 27, 31, and see Winer, Gr. § 49. d. b, p. 357), passes easily and naturally into the singular in the concluding member of the sentence. On the striking equivalence of karà with drà in nearly all its meanings (here evinced in the distributive use), see esp. Donalds. Cratyl. § 183 sq.

se savróv] 'as himself,' scil. 'as being one with himself,' see notes on ver. 28. η δε γυνη κ.τ.λ.] 'and the wife (I bid) that she fear her husband.' emphatic specification (with slight contrast) of the duties of the wife; η γυνη being a simple and emphatic nominative absolute (Mey.; contra Eadie,—but erroneously), though not of a kind so definitely unsyntactic as Acts vii. 40.

and exx. cited by Winer (Gr. § 28. 3, p. 207, ed. 5; see p. 509, ed. 6), and most probably dependent, not on an imper., but on some verb of command which can easily be supplied from the context; see Meyer on 2 Cor. viii. 7, Fritz. Diss. in 2 Cor. p. 126, Winer, Gr. § 44. 4, p. 365 (ed. 5). Alford supplies 'I order,' or 'let her see,' referring to his note on 2 Cor. l. c., where 1 Cor. xvi. 10 is cited as illustrative: this is not fully in point, as the subject of the imperative and the subjunctive is not the same: more pertinent is Soph. Œd. Col. 156, where, as Ellendt correctly observes, 'φύλαξαι adsignificatum habet loquentis consilium; hæc tibi dico ne, &c.,' Lex. Soph. Vol. 1. p. 840.

CHAPTER VI. 1. ὑπακούετε κ.τ.λ.] 'obey your parents in the Lord;' èv Kυρίω (Christ, -not God, as Chrys., Theod.; compare ch. iv. 7, v. 21) as usual denoting the sphere to which the action is to be limited (not for κατά Kύρ., Chrys.), and obviously belonging, not to τοιs γονεύσιν, nor to τοιs γον. and to ὑπακ. (comp. Orig. Cat.), but simply to the latter,-serving thus to define and characterize the nature and possibly the limits of the obedience; èv οίς αν μη προσκρούσης [Κυρίφ], Chrys. On the more exact nature of these limits (here however perhaps not very definitely hinted at; comp. Alf.), see Taylor, Duct. Dub. III. 5, Rule I and 4 sq. The reading is somewhat doubtful, as έν Κυρίφ is omitted by Lachm. on fair authority [BD1FG; Clarom., Sang., Aug., Boern.; Clem., al.]. The exter2 ύμων εν Κυρίφ· τουτο γάρ εστιν δίκαιον. τίμα τον πατέρα σου και την μητέρα, ητις εστιν εντολή πρώτη 3 εν επαγγελία, ίνα εθ σοι γένηται και έση μακροχρόνιος

nal authorities however for its insertion [AD2D3EKLX; nearly all mss. and Vv.; Chrys. (expressly), Theod.] seem clearly to predominate, and the internal arguments are in its favour, as if it had come from Col, iii. 20 it would have been inserted after δίκαιον: τοῦτο see Meyer, p. 238. γάρ ἐστιν δίκ.] 'for this is right;' not merely πρέπον, nor merely κατά τὸν τοῦ Θεοῦ νόμον (Theod.), but 'in accordance with nature' $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \alpha ... \gamma o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \hat{v} \sigma v)$, and, as the next verse shows, the law of God: και φύσει δίκαιον, και ύπο τοῦ νόμου προστάσσεται Theoph.; comp.

Col. iii. 20. On the position of children in the early church, and the relation such texts bear to infant baptism, see Stier, Reden Jes. Vol. VI. p. 924 sq.

2. τίμα κ.τ.λ.] 'Honour thy father and thy mother;' specification of the commandment as an additional confirmation of the foregoing precept, and as supplying the reason on which it was based. Had dirator referred only to this command, some causal particle would more naturally have been appended. As it stands however, the solemn recitation of the commandment blends the voice of God with that of nature. ήτις] 'the which;' the pronoun not having here a strongly causal, but rather an explanatory force; see notes on Gal. ii. 4, iv. 24. πρώτη εν επαγγελία] 'the first in regard of promise,' scil. 'as a command of promise; comp. Syr. [primum quod promittitur]: not exactly 'with promise,' Beza, Alf., al., as the prep. here seems naturally used not so much to state the accompaniment as to specify the exact point in which the predication of πρώτη was to be understood; so rightly Chrys. (οὐ τῆ τάξει ['in regard of order,' notes on Gal. i. 22] είπεν αὐτὴν πρώτην, άλλὰ τῆ ἐπαγγελία), and expressly Winer, Gr. § 48. a. obs. p. 349. Meyer cites Diodor. Sic. XIII. 37, ἐν δὲ εὐγενεία και πλούτφ πρώτος. Some little difficulty has been found in the use of πρώτη, owing to the 2nd commandment seeming to involve a kind of promise; see Orig. Cat. If this be considered as not a definite έπαγγελία (Calv.), still πρώτη would seem unusual, as the fifth commandment would then be the only one which has a promise: nor would the assumption that it is 'first' on the second table (not such a recent division as Meyer after Erasm. seems to think, see Philo, de Special. Legg. Vol. II. p. 300, ed. Mang.) relieve the difficulty, as the same objection would still remain. We may perhaps best explain the statement of priority by referring it, not to all other foregoing commands (Harl.), but to all the other Mosaic commands (Mey.) of which the decalogue forms naturally the chief and prominent portion; simply then 'the first command we meet with which involves a It may be observed promise.' that the article is not needed with πρῶτος, ordinals being from their nature sufficiently definite; comp. Acts xvi. 12, and see Middleton, Greek Art. VI. 3, p. 100.

3. [va eð σοι κ.τ.λ.] 'in order that it may be well with thee;' a slightly varied citation from the LXX, Exod. xx. 12, Deut. v. 16, ba eð σοι γένηται και ba μακροχρόνιος γένη έπι της γης [της άγαθης, Εχοά.] ης Κύριος δ Θεός

έπὶ τῆς γῆς. Καὶ οἱ πατέρες, μὴ παροργίζετε τὰ 4 τέκνα ὑμῶν, ἀλλὰ ἐκτρέφετε αὐτὰ ἐν παιδεία καὶ νουθεσία Κυρίου.

σου δίδωσί σοι. The omission of the latter words can scarcely have arisen from the Apostle's belief that his hearers and readers (Gentiles) were so familiar with the rest of the quotation, that it would be unnecessary to cite it (see Mey.); for thus της γης must be translated 'the land' (of Canaan,simply and historically, Mey.) and the promise denuded of all its significance to Christian children. It is far more probable (see Eadie) that the omission was intended to generalize the command, and that, not merely 'toti genti' (Beng.), nor in typical ref. to heaven (Hamm., Olsh., see Barrow, Decal. Vol. VI. 524), but simply and plainly, to individuals, subject of course to the conditions which always belong to such temporal promises; see Leighton, Expos. of Command. p. 487 (Edinb. 1845). ral ton marp.] 'and (that) thou be long-lived,' 'et sis longsevus,' Vulg. The future is commonly explained as a lapse into the 'oratio directa' (comp. Winer, Gr. § 41. b. 1, p. 258), but is more probably to be regarded as dependent on Iva (so Vulg., Æth., Arm., all of which use the subjunct.), - a construction which though not found in Attic Greek (see Klotz, Devar. Vol. 11. p. 630) certainly does occur in the N.T. (comp. 1 Cor. ix. 18, Rev. xxii. 14, and see Winer. l. c.), harmonizes perfectly with the classical use of $\delta\pi\omega$ s (see the numerous exx. cited by Gayler, Partic. Neg. p. 209, sq.), and is here eminently simple and natural; comp. Mey. in loc. Whether however we can here recognise a 'logical climax' (Mey.), is doubtful: the future undoubtedly does often express the more lasting and certain result (compare Rev. l. c.,

where the single act is expressed by the aor. subj., the lasting act by the future); still, as the present formula occurs in substance in Deut. xxii. 7 (Alex.), and might have thence become a known form of expression, it seems better not to press the future further than as representing the temporal evolution of the εδ γενέσθαι.

4. Kal oi πατέρες] 'And ye fathers;' corresponding address to the parents in the persons of those who bore the domestic rule, the marépes: comp. Meyer in loc. Bengel remarks on the presence of the kal here and ver. 9, and its absence in ch. v. 25; 'facilius parentes et heri abutuntur potestate sua quam mariti.' This distinction is perhaps over-pressed: kal here and ver. 9 introduces a marked and quick appeal (see Hartung, Partik. Kal, 5. 7, Vol. 1. 149), and also marks that the obligation was not all on one side, but that the superior also had duties which he owed to the inferior. The duty is then expressed negatively and posiμή παροργίζετε] 'provoke not to wrath;' see Col. iii. 21, μή έρεθίζετε τὰ τέκνα (Rec., Tisch.): negative side of exhortation (οὐκ εἶπεν άγαπατε αὐτά, τοῦτο γάρ καὶ ἀκόντων ή φύσις ἐπισπᾶται Chrys.), not with reference to any stronger acts such as by disinheriting, &c. (Chrys.), but, as Alf. rightly suggests, by all the vexatious circumstances which may occur in ordinary intercourse; θεραπεύεω καλ μη λυπείν έκέλευσε Theod. ектрефете] 'bring up, educate;' in an

έκτρέφετε] 'bring up, educate;' in an ethical sense, καλῶς ἐκτρέφει πατὴρ δἰκαιος, Prov. xxiii. 24; frequently so in Plato; comp. Polyb. Hist. 1. 65. 7, ἐν παιδείαις καὶ νόμοις ἐκτεθραμμένων (Winer). In ch. v. 29 the reference

Οί δούλοι, ύπακούετε τοίς κυρίοις κατά σάρκα μετά φόβου καὶ τρόμου, ἐν and ye shall receive your reward: masters, do the like in return.

Servants, obey and faithfully do your duty to your mas-ters as unto Christ,

is simply physical, but the force of the compound is the same in both passages; see notes in loc.

ev maidela kal voulerla] 'in the discipline and admonition; 'in disciplina et correptione,' Vulg.; not instrumental, but as usual 'in the sphere and influence of; see Winer, Gr. § 48. a. p. 346 note. These two words are not related to one another as the general (mail.) to the special (Harl., Mey.), but specify the two methods in the Christian education of children, training by act and discipline, and training by word; so Trench, Synon. § 32, and before him Grot., '#aid. hic significare videtur institutionem per pænas; νουθ. autem est ea institutio quæ fit verbis.' This Christian meaning of παιδεύω and παιδεία, 'per molestias eruditio' (August.), seems occasionally faintly hinted at in earlier writers; comp. Xen. Mem. I. 3. 5, and Polyb. Hist. II. 9. 6, where the adverb ἀβλαβῶs marks that the παιδεύειν was a word that needed limitation. On the latter form $vov\theta \epsilon \sigma la$ instead of νουθέτησις, see Moeris, Lex. p. 248 (ed. Koch), Lobeck, Phryn. Kuplou] ' Of p. 512, 520. the Lord;' subjecti,-belonging to the general category of the possessive genitive, and specifying the Lord (Christ) as Him by whom the νουθεσία and παιδεία were, so to say, prescribed, and by whose Spirit they must be regulated; so Harl., Olsh., Mey. The gen. objecti 'about the Lord' ('monitis ex verbo Dei petitis,' Beza), though apparently adopted by all the Greek commentators (comp. Theod. 7à 0 cia παιδεύειν), seems far less satisfactory. Meyer reads του Κυρίου, but, as it would seem, by accident: there is no trace of such a reading in any of the

critical editions.

5. τοις κυρίοις κατά σάρκα] 'your masters according to the flesh;' κατά σάρκα here, as in Col. iii. 22 (where it precedes κυρ.), serving to define and qualify kuplous, 'your bodily, earthly masters:' comp. notes on ch. i. 19, ii. 11. Both here and Col. l. c. (where the mention of δ Κύριοs immediately follows) the adverbial epithet would seem to have been suggested by the remembrance of the different relation they stood in to another Master, τῷ κατὰ πνεῦμα καὶ κατὰ σάρκα Κυρ. Whether anything consolatory (κατά σάρκα έστιν ή δεσποτεία, πρόσκαιρος και βραχεία Chrys.) or alleviating ('manere illis nihilominus intactam libertatem spiritualem,' Calv.) is further couched in the addition, is perhaps doubtful (see Harl,), still both, especially the latter, are obviously deductions which must have been, and which the Apostle might possibly have intended to be made. On the stricter but here neglected distinction between κύριος and δεσπότης, see Trench, Synon. § 28. Lachm. places κατά σάρκα before kupious with ABN; 10 mss.; Clem., Chrys. (1), Dam., al., —but such a position is rejected by Tisch. and most recent editors, as so probable a conformation to Col. iii. 22.

μετά φόβου και τρόμου] 'with fear and trembling.' By comparing 1 Cor. ii. 3, 2 Cor. vii. 15, Phil. ii. 12, where the two words are united, it does not seem that there is any allusion to the 'durior servorum conditio' (Wolf, Beng., comp. Chrys.), but only to the 'anxious solicitude' they ought to feel about the faithful performance of their duties; comp. Hamm. on Phil. ii. 12. where however the idea of ταπεινοάπλότητι τῆς καρδίας ύμῶν, ώς τῷ Χριστῷ· μὴ κατ' 6 ὀφθαλμοδουλείαν ώς ἀνθρωπάρεσκοι, ἀλλ' ώς δοῦλοι Χριστοῦ, ποιοῦντες τὸ θέλημα τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐκ ψυχῆς,

φροσύνη (Hamm.) is not so prominent as that of distrust of their own powers, anxiety that they could not do enough: see notes in loc.

έν άπλότητι της καρδίας] 'in singleness of heart;' 'in simplicitate cordis,' Vulg., Clarom., Syr.; element in which their anxious and solicitous obedience was to be shown: it was to be no hypocritical anxiety, but one arising from a sincere and single heart; καλώς είπεν, ένι γάρ μετά φ. καί τρ. δουλεύειν, ούκ έξ εύνοίας δέ, άλλ' ώς αν $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\xi}\hat{\eta}$. Chrys. The term $\hat{a}\pi\lambda\delta\tau\eta$ s occurs seven times (2 Cor. i. 12 is doubtful) in the N.T., always in St Paul's Epp., and in all marks that openness and sincerity of heart (not per se 'liberality,' see the good note of Fritz. Rom. Vol. III. p. 62) which repudiates duplicity in thought (2 Cor. xi. 3) or action (Rom. xii. 8). It is joined with drarla (Philo, Opif. § 41, p. 38, § 55, p. 61), and dγαθότης (Wisd. i. 1), and is opposed to ποικιλία (Plato, Rep. 404 E), πολυτροπία (comp. Hipp. Min. 365 B, where Achilles is contrasted with Ulysses), κακουργία, and κακοηθεία (Theoph., Theod., in loc.); see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 436, and Trench, Synon. Part II. § 6; comp. Tittm. Synon. p. 29, and on the scriptural aspects of singleness of heart, Beck, Seelenl. III. § 26, p. 105 sq.

6. μη κατ' ὁφθαλμοδουλείαν] 'not in the vay of eye-service;' further specification on the negative side of the preceding ἐν ἀπλότ, the prep. with its usual force designating the rule or 'normam agendi,' which in this case they were not to follow; see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. 358. The word ὁφθαλμοδ. appears to have been coined

by St Paul, being only found here and Col. iii. 22: the adj. ὀφθαλμόδουλοs occurs in Constitut. Apost. Vol. I. p. 299 A (ed. Cotel.), but in reference to this passage. The meaning is well expressed by Clarom., Vulg., 'non ad oculum servientes' (comp. Syr.), the ref. being primarily to the master's eye (μή μόνον παρόντων τῶν δεσποτών και δρώντων άλλα και απόντων Theoph.; compare Xen. Œcon. XII. 20); the word therefore meaning generally, as here, η οὐκ ἐξ είλικρινοῦς καρδίας προσφερομένη θεραπεία, άλλά τῷ σχήματι κεχρωσμένη. Theod. The more correct form seems δφθαλμοδουλία, see L. Dindorf in Steph. Thesaur. Vol. v. p. 1088, 2446.

ἀνθρωπάρεσκοι] 'men-pleasers;' ὁ Θεὸς διεσκόρπισεν όστὰ ἀνθρωπαρέσκων' Ps. liii. 6. Lobeck (Phryn. p. 621) remarks on the questionable forms εὐδρεσκος, δυσάρεσκος, but excepts ἀνθρωπάρεσκος.

ἀλλ' ἐς

δούλοι Xp.] 'but as bondservants of Christ;' contrasted term to dνθρωπάρ.; τίς γάρ Θεοῦ δοῦλος ών ανθρώποις αρέσκειν βούλεται; τίς δε ανθρώποις αρέσκων Θεοῦ δύναται είναι δοῦλος; Chrys.: comp. ver. 7, where the opposition is more fully seen. Rückert removes the stop after Xp., thus regarding ποιούντες as the principal member in the opposition, δούλοι Χρ. only a subordinate member which gives the reason and foundation of it. This, though obviously harsh, and completely marring the studied antithesis between dνθρωπάρεσκοι and δοῦλοι Χριστοῦ, is reintroduced by Tisch. (ed. 7), but properly rejected by other recent editors. The article before Xpiorov [Rec. with D*EKL; 7 μετ' εὐνοίας δουλεύοντες ώς τῷ Κυρίφ καὶ ο ἰκανθρώ-

most mss.; Chrys., Theod.] is rightly struck out by Lachm., Tisch., al., on preponderant external authority. ποιούντες κ.τ.λ.] 'doing the will of God from the soul; participial clause defining the manner in which their δουλεία to Christ was to be exhibited in action. The qualifying words èx ψυχής are prefixed by Syr., Æth.-Platt, Arm., Chrys., and some recent editors and expositors (Lachm., Alf., De W., Harl., al.) to the participial clause which follows, but more naturally, and it would seem correctly, connected by Clarom. (where ἐκ ψυχῆs concludes the $\sigma \tau i \chi o s$), Copt., Æth.-Pol., Syr.-Phil., Auth. (Tisch., Wordsw., Mey., al.), with the present participial clause. Far from there being thus any tautology (De W.), there is rather a gentle climactic explanation of the characteristics of the $\delta o \hat{\nu} \lambda$. $X \rho$.; he does his work heartily, and besides feels a sincere good-will to his master: comp. Col. iii. 23, έκ ψυχῆς ἐργά- $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, which, though claimed by De W. as supporting the other punctuation, is surely more in favour of that of the text. On the varied uses of ψυχή (here in ref. to the inner principle of action), see Delitzsch, Psychol. IV. 6, p. 159 sq.

7. μετ' εύνοίας δουλ.] 'with good will doing service;' further specification of the nature and character of the service; μετ' εύνοίας implying not merely 'lubenti animo' (Grinf. N. T. Ed. Hell.), but 'cum benignitate,' Clarom., 'cum cogitatione bonâ,' Copt., in reference to the well-disposed ('well-affected,' Eadie) mind with which the service was to be performed. Raphel (Obs. Vol. II. p. 489) very appositely cites Xen. Œcon. XII. 5, οὐκοῦν εὔνοιαν πρῶτον, ἔφην ἐγώ, δεήσει αὐτὸν [τὸν

έπίτροπον] έχειν σοί και τοις σοίς εί μέλλοι άρκέσειν άντι σου παρών. άνευ γάρ εὐνοίας τί δφελος; κ.τ.λ. quotation certainly seems to confirm the distinction made by Harl. (to which Mey. objects), that while &x $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ s seems to mark the relation of the servant to his work, µετ' εὐνοίας points to his relation to his master: so also the author of the Constit. Apost. ΙΥ. 22, εύνοιαν είσφερέτω πρός τόν δεσπότην Vol. I. p. 302 (ed. Cotel.): see exx. in Elsner, Obs. Vol. 1. p. 228. The Atticists define εὔν. as both ἀπὸ τοῦ μείζονος πρός τον έλάττονα and vice versa, εὐμένεια as only the former, see Thom. Mag. p. 368 (ed. Jacobitz), and exx. in Wetst. in loc. insertion of $\dot{\omega}_s$ before $\tau \dot{\varphi}$ Kup. [Rec. omits with D3EKL; mss.; Theod., al.] is supported by preponderant authority.

8. elbotes] 'seeing ye know;' concluding participial member, giving the encouraging τeason (σφόδρα θαβρείν $\pi \epsilon \rho l \ \tau \hat{\eta} s \ d\mu o \iota \beta \hat{\eta} s$ Chrys.) why they were to act with this honesty and diligence. The imperatival translation, 'atque scitote' (Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 491), is not grammatically tenable (comp. Winer, Gr. § 45. 6, p. 313), and mars the logical connexion of the clauses. The translation of participles, it may be observed, must always be modified by the context; see Winer, Gr. § 45. 2, p. 307, but correct there what cannot be termed otherwise than the erroneous observation that such participles admit of a translation by means of relatives: the observation so often illustrated in these commentaries-that a participle without the article can never be strictly translated as a part. with the article-appears to be of universal application; see

ποις, είδότες ὅτι ὁ ἐάν τι ἔκαστος ποιήση ἀγαθόν, 8 τοῦτο κομίσεται παρὰ Κυρίου, εἴτε δοῦλος εἴτε ἐλεύθερος. Καὶ οἰ κύριοι, τὰ αὐτὰ ποιεῖτε πρὸς αὐτούς, 9

8. 8 êdr ti Exactos] So Tisch. with KL; great majority of mss.; Syr. (both), al.; Chrys. (3), but $d\nu\theta\rho$. for $\ell\kappa$. (2), Theod. (adds $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$), Dam., Theoph., Œcum. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, De W., Meyer). The easiest, and therefore suspicious reading, tar roths. Exastes, is found in & (84 8 tar), while of the inverted readings, &k. &dv Ti is supported by B, and &k. & &dv by strong external authority, viz. by AE(D1FG dv); many mss.; Vulg., Clarom., al.; Bas., al. (Lachm., Ruck., Wordsw.); still the internal arguments derived from paradiplomatic (see Pref. to Gal. p. xxii, ed. 3) considerations are so decided that we seem fully authorized in retaining the reading of Tisch. The example is instructive, as it would seem the numerous variations can all be referred either to (a) correction, or (b) error in transcription, or both united. For example, (a) the tmesis seems to have suggested a correction δ τι εάν, and then, on account of the juxtaposition of ore o 74, the further correction of AB, al. Again it is (b) not improbable that owing to homosoteleuton, 8 ddv Tt was in some mss. accidentally omitted, and that the unintelligible reading one exacts mother then received various emendations: thus we may account for the insertion of & êdr tis (1. 27. 31), êdr tis (62. 179), êdr ti (46. 115), & êdr (23. 47), between ore and ex., all of which have this value, that they attest the position of ξκαστ. adopted in the text.

esp. Donalds. Gr. § 490.

o laν τι κ.τ.λ.] 'whatsoever good thing each man shall have done; ' ¿àv coalescing with the relative and being in such connexions used simply for a both by writers in the N.T., LXX, and late Greek generally. In the passages collected by Viger (Idiom. VIII. 6) from classical authors dv clearly must be written throughout; see Herm. in loc. and Winer, Gr. § 42. 6. obs. p. 277. The relative is separated from τ_i by a not uncommon 'tmesis,' instances of which are cited by Meyer, e.g. Plato, Legg. IX. 864 B, אי מי דונים καταβλάψη, [Lysias] Polystr. p. 160, ðs ἄν τις ὑμᾶς εδ ποιβ,—but here some edd. read örav. The reading κομιείται [Rec. with D³EKLℵ⁴; most mss.; Bas., Chrys., Theod.] is rightly rejected by recent editors, both on preponderant external authority, and as derived from Col. l.c. The $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ is also rightly struck out before Kuplou.

τοῦτο κομ. παρα Κυρίου] 'this shall he receive (back) from the Lord (Christ); 'this, -and fully this,' expressed more at length Col. iii. 24, 25. The 'appropriative' middle κομίζεσθαι (see esp. Donalds. Gr. § 432. bb, and § 434, p. 450) refers to the receiving back again, as it were, of a deposit; so that in κομιείται δ ήδίκησεν, Col. l. c. (comp. 2 Cor. v. 10) there is no brachylogy; see Winer, Gr. § 66. 1. b, p. 547, and compare notes in loc. The tense seems obviously to refer to the day of final retribution; ἐπειδή εἰκός ἐστι πολλούς των δεσποτών μη αμείβεσθαι της εψορίας τοίς δούλοις, έκαι αὐτοίς ὑπισχνείται τὴν άμοιβήν Œcum.

Soûlos etre th.] 'whether he be bondslave or free.' whatever be his social condition here, the future will only regard his moral state; μετὰ τὴν ἐντεῦθεν ἐκδημίαν [ἔδειξεν] οὐκ ἔτι δουλείας διαφοράν' Theod.

9. Kal ol κύριοι] 'And ye masters;'

ἀνιέντες την ἀπειλήν, είδότες ὅτι καὶ αὐτῶν καὶ ὑμῶν ὁ Κύριός ἐστιν ἐν οὐρανοῖς καὶ προσωπολημψία οὐκ ἔστιν παρὶ αὐτῷ.

Tο λοιπον, ενδυναμοῦσθε εν Κυρίω of God; arm yourselves against your
spiritual foes with all the defensive portions of Christian armour, and the sword of the Spirit. Pray that we may be bold.

larly enunciated positively and negatively (ἀνιέντες τὴν ἀπ.), concluding with a similar participial clause expressing the motive. The negative statement of the duty is omitted in the parallel passage, Col. iv. 1. On the use of rai, see notes on ver. 4. τὰ αὐτὰ ποιείτε πρὸς αὐτ.] 'do the same things towards them;' 'evince in action the same principles and feelings towards them; preserve the jus analogum (Calv.) in your relations to them.' It does not seem necessary to restrict τὰ αὐτὰ to μετ' εὐνοίας δουλεύειν (Chrys.), or to ποιείν τὸ θέλ. κ.τ.λ. (Rück.), or on the other hand, to extend it to έν ἀπλ., as well as to the other details (Orig. Cat.; comp. Eadie); the reference being rather to

the general expression of feeling, the

εθνοια which was to mark all their

actions, ΐνα εὐνοϊκῶς — θεραπεύσωσι,

Theod., or, as more correctly modified

by Stier, --κυριεύσωσι; 'ea quæ bene-

corresponding duties of masters simi-

volentiæ sunt compensate,' Beng. ducentes την dπειλήν] 'giving up your threatening,' 'the too habitual threatening,' 'quemadmodum vulgus dominorum solet,' Erasm. Paraphr. (cited by Meyer): explanatory participial clause (De W., here wholly mis-cited by Eadie), specifying a course of action, or rather of non-action, in which the feeling was to be particularly exhibited. As ἀπειλή expresses, by the nature of the case, a certain and single course of action, the article does not appear to be used, as with abikla, άκολασία, al., to specify the particular acts (Middleton, Art. V. I. I), but to

hint at the common occurrence of $d\pi\epsilon\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}$, see ib. v. i. 4. It is thus not necessary to modify the meaning of $d\pi$. ('hardness of heart,' Olsh.): St Paul singles out the prevailing vice and most customary exhibition of bad feeling on the part of the master, and in forbidding this naturally includes every similar form of harshness.

είδότες ότι κ.τ.λ.] 'seeing ye know that both their and your master is in heaven;' causal participial member exactly similar to that in ver. 8; see notes in loc. The reading is somewhat doubtful: the order in the text is adopted by Lachm., Tisch., and long since by Simon Colinæus (ed. N.T. 1534) with ABD'N1 (éavr.); mss.; Vulg., Goth., Copt., al.; Clem., al. [$\kappa a l \ b \mu$. $\kappa a l \ a b \tau$. is given by LN4 (¿avr.); 6 mss.; al.].—but designated by Mill, Prolegom. p. 115, as 'argutius quam verius.' This is not a judicious criticism, for the probability of an omission of και ὑμῶν, owing to homœoteleuton, is far from small, and seems very satisfactorily to account for the various readings; see Meyer in loc. (Crit. Notes), p. 239.

προσωπολημψία] 'respect of persons;' 'personarum acceptio,' Vulg., Clarom., 'vilja-hatþei,' Goth.: on the meaning of this word, see notes on Gal. ii. 6, and on the orthography, Tisch. Prolegom. p. XLVII.

10. Τὸ λοιπόν] 'Finally,' 'as to what remains for you to do;' μετὰ τὸ διατάξαι, φησί, τὰ εἰκότα τοῦτο ἀκόλουθον καὶ ὑπόλουπον' Œcum.: 'formula concludendi [see Chrys.] et ut ad magnam rem excitandi,' Beng.; see 2

καὶ ἐν τῷ κράτει τῆς ἰσχύος αὐτοῦ. ἐνδύσασθε τὴν 11 πανοπλίαν τοῦ Θεοῦ, πρὸς τὸ δύνασθαι ὑμᾶς στῆναι

Cor. xiii. 11, Phil. iii. 1 (see notes), iv. 8, 2 Thess. iii. 1. On the distinction between τὸ λοιπὸν and τοῦ λοιποῦ [adopted here by Lachm. with ABN1; 3 mss.; Cyr., Dam., -evidence obviously insufficient], see notes on Gal. vi. 17; and between it and τὸ μέλλον (merely 'in posterum') the brief distinctions of Tittmann, Synon. p. 175. The insertion of άδελφοί μου before ένδυν. [Rec., Wordsw. with KLN⁴ (FG. al., Vulg., omit µov); most mss.; Syr., Copt., al.; Theod., al.] has the further support of A, which adds άδελφοι after ἐνδ.,—but is appy. rightly rejected by Lachm., Tisch., al. on good external authority [BDEN1: Clarom., Sang., Goth., Æth. (both), Arm.; Cyr., al.], and as appy. alien to the style of an Epistle in which the readers do not elsewhere appear so addressed; see Olsh. and Alf. in loc.

**δυναμοῦσθε] 'be strengthened;'

less definitely, 'be strong,' Auth.; not middle, 'corroborate vos,' Pisc., but (as always in the N. T.) passive; comp. Acts ix. 22, Rom. iv. 20, 2 Tim. ii. 1, Heb. xi. 34, and see Fritz. Rom. l. c. Vol. I. p. 245. The active occurs in Phil. iv. 13, 1 Tim. i. 12, 2 Tim. iv. 17, in each case in reference to Christ. The simple form [here adopted by B; 17; Orig. Cat.] is only found in Col. i. 11, and Heb. xi. 34 [AD¹N¹], see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 605. καl ev τῷ κ.τ.λ.] 'and in the power of His might;' not an ev δια δυοίν, Beng., but with a preservation of the proper sense of each substantive, on which comp. notes ch. i. 19. This appended clause (kal) serves to explain and specify the principle in which our strength was to be sought for, and in which it dwelt; comp. 2 Cor. xii. 9, tra ἐπισκηνώση ἐπ' ἐμὲ ἡ δύναμις τοθ Χριστοῦ. On the familiar ἐν Κυρίω ('in the Lord,' our only element of spiritual life), see notes ch. iv. τ.

11. ἐνδύσ. τὴν πανοπλίαν] 'Put on the whole armour, the panoply.' The emphasis rests on this latter word (Mey.), as the repetition in ver. 13 still more clearly shows, not on τοῦ Θεοῦ (Harl.): 'significat debere nos omni ex parte instructos esse, ne quid nobis desit,' Calv.; the term here clearly denoting not merely the 'armatura,' Vulg., but the 'universa armatura,' Beza, the armour in all its parts, offensive and defensive; 'omnia armorum genera, quibus totum militis corpus tegitur,' Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. 401; see Judith xiv. 3, πανοπλίας, compared with ver. 2, τὰ σκεύη τὰ πολεμικά, and comp. παντελής πανoπλla, Plato, Legg. VII. 796 B. It has been doubted whether St Paul is here alluding to the armour of the Hebrew or the Roman soldier; the latter is most probable, but both were substantially the same : see esp. Polyb. Hist. VI. 23, a good Art. in Kitto, Cyclop. ('Arms, Armour'), and Winer, RWB. Art. 'Waffen,' Vol. II. p. 667. For a sermon on this text see Latimer, Serm. III. p. 23 (Lond. 1858).

τοῦ Θεοῦ] 'of God;' quæ a Deo donantur,' Zanch.; gen. of the source, origin, whence the arms came (Hartung, Casus, p. 23, notes on 1 Thess. i. 6), well expressed by Theod. ἄπασω διανέμει τὴν βασιλικὴν παντευχίαν.

πρός τὸ δύνασθαι κ.τ.λ.] 'in order that ye may be able to stand against;' object and purpose contemplated in the equipment; see notes on ch. iii. 4, iv. 12. The verb στῆναι, as Raphel (Annot. Vol. II. p. 493) shows, is a

12 πρὸς τὰς μεθοδείας τοῦ διαβόλου ὅτι οὐκ ἔστιν ἡμῖν ἡ πάλη πρὸς αἷμα καὶ σάρκα, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὰς ἀρχάς,

military expression, 'to stand one's ground,' opp. to φεύγεω; see esp. Kypke, Obs. Vol. 11. p. 301. second $\pi \rho \delta s$ in this connexion has thus the meaning 'adversus' (Vulg., Clarom.), with the implied notion of hostility ('contra'), which is otherwise less usual, unless it is involved in the verb; see Winer, Gr. § 49. h, p. 361 note. τας μεθοδείας τοῦ διαβ.] 'the wiles of the Devil,'or perhaps, as more in harmony with the context, 'the stratagems' (Eadie; μεθοδεῦσαί ἐστι τὸ ἀπατήσαι καὶ διὰ μηχανής έλειν. Chrys.); the plural denoting the various concrete forms of the abstract singular; see notes on Gal. v. 20. On the form μεθοδίας, which is here very strongly supported [AB1D1EFGKLN; many mss.], see notes on ch. iv. 14. The only reason for not accepting it is that in cases of apparent itacism caution is always required in estimating the value of external evidence. The number of those in X, in this Ep. alone, is very great.

12. ὅτι οὐκ ἔστιν ἡμῖν ἡ πάλη] 'because our struggle is not,' 'the struggle in which we are engaged:' reason for the special mention of the μεθοδείας τοῦ διαβόλου, ver. 11. It is commonly asserted that the metaphor is not here fully sustained, on the ground that $\pi d\lambda \eta$ ($\pi d\lambda \lambda \omega$) is properly 'lucta;' see Plato, Legg. VII. 796 A. As however we find πάλη δορός (Eur. Heracl. 160), πάλην μίξαντες λόγχης (Lyc. Cassand. 1358), it is clear such a usage as the present can be justified: indeed it is not unlikely that the word (an āπ. λεγόμ. in N. T., not found in LXX) was designedly adopted to convey the idea of the personal, individualizing, nature of the encounter.

The reading vulv adopted by Lachm.

is well supported [BD¹FG; 3 mss.; Clarom., Sang., Aug., Boern., Syr., Goth., al.; Lucif., Ambrst.], but appyless probable than ἡμῶν [AD³EKLℵ; nearly all mss.; Vulg., Copt., Syr.-Phil., al.; Clem., Orig., al.], for which it might have been easily substituted as a more individualizing address.

as a more individualizing address. πρὸς αίμα και σάρκα] 'against flesh and blood,' mere feeble man; οὐ πρὸς τούς τυχόντας έχομέν φησιν, οὐδὲ πρὸς άνθρώπους δμοιοπαθείς ήμεν και ίσοδυνάμους Theoph.: comp. Polyænus, Strateg. III. 11, μή ώς πολεμίοις συμβάλλοντες άλλ' άνθρώποις αίμα καί σάρκα έχουσι [the exhortation of Chabrias to his soldiers], and see notes on Gal. i. 16, where the formula is more fully explained. dλλά] There is here no ground for translating οὐκ... άλλά 'non tam...quam;' comp. Glass. Philolog. I. 5. 22, Vol. I. p. 420 sq. (ed. Dathe). The negation and affirmation are both absolute; 'non contra homines ['vasa sunt, alius utitur,' August.], sed contra dæmones,' Cornel. a Lap.; see esp. Winer, Gr. § 55. 8, p. 430, where this formula is very satisfactorily discussed, and comp. Kühner on Xenoph. Mem. 1. 6. 2, and notes on I Thess. iv. 8. In those exx. where the negation cannot from the nature of the case be considered completely absolute, it will be observed, as Winer ably shows, that the negation has designedly a rhetorical colouring, which in a faithful and forcible translation ought always to be preserved without anytoning down; see Fritz. Mark, Excurs. II. p. 773 sq., Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 9, 10. πρός τας αρχάς] 'against the princi-

πρὸς τὰς ἀρχάς] 'against the principalities;' see esp. notes on ch. i. 21, and observe that the same terms which are there used to denote the classes προς τὰς εξουσίας, προς τους κοσμοκράτορας του σκότους τούτου, προς τὰ πνευματικὰ τῆς πονηρίας εν τοῖς

comp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2. B, p. 335. τούς κοσμοκράτορας κ.τ.λ.] 'the world-rulers of this darkness;' those who extend their world-wide way over the present (comp. ch. ii. 1) spiritual and moral darkness; ποίου σκότους; άρα της νυκτός [comp. Wetst.]; οὐδαμως, άλλα της πονηρίας Chrys., see ch. v. 8. Meyer rightly maintains (against Harless) the full meaning of κοσμοκρ., as not merely 'rulers' ('magnates,' Æth.), 'fairwuhabandans,' Goth., but 'rulers over the world,' munditenentes, Tertull. (Marc. v. 18), κόσμος preserving its natural and proper force. So even in the second of the three exx. cited by Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. 1. p. 790, out of Rabbinical writers ('qui vocem hanc כויור civitate sua donarunt'), which Harl. here adduces, - 'Abraham persecutus est quatuor קוומוקרטרין, sc. reges,'-the word appears used designedly with a rhetorical force: ex. 3 is perfectly distinct. Further exx. from later writers are cited by Elsner,

Obs. Vol. 1. p. 219. The dogmatical

meaning is correctly explained by the

Greek commentators: the evil spirits

exercise dominion over the κόσμος, not

in its mere material nature (οὐχὶ τῆς κτίσεως κρατοῦντες, Theoph.), but in

its ethical and perhaps intellectual

character and relations (ώs κατακρα-

τούντες των τα κοσμικά φρονούντων,

Œcum.), the depravation of which is expressed by τοῦ σκ. τούτου: see

John xvi. 11, ὁ ἄρχων τοῦ κ. τούτου:

John v. 19, δ κ. δλος ἐν τῷ Πονηρῷ
 [see notes, ver. 16] κεῖται: 2 Cor. iv.

4, δ Θεδε τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτου: comp. John xiv. 30. On the meanings of

κόσμος, see Bauer, de Regno Divino,

and orders of good, are here similarly

applied to evil angels and spirits;

III. 2, 3 (Comment. Theol. Vol. II. p. 144, 154), and comp. notes on Gal. iv. 3. The insertion of τοῦ alŵros before τούτου [Rec. with D3EKLN4 ('sed rursus abstersit'); majority of mss.; Syr.-Phil. with an ast.; Orig., Chrys., Theod., al.] seems clearly explanatory, and is rightly rejected by nearly all modern editors. τά πνευματικά τῆς πονηρίας] 'the spiritual hosts, communities, of wickedness,' sc. characterized by essential mornpla, gen. of 'the characteristic quality' (Scheuerl. Synt. § 16. 3, p. 115, Winer, Gr. § 34. 3. b, p. 211); ἐπειδὴ γάρ είσι καὶ οί άγγελοι πνεύματα προσέθηκε της πονηρίας Theoph., comp. Œcum. in loc. Τὰ πνευματικά are not however merely τὰ πνεύματα (Elsn. I, comp. Syr., Æth.), but, in accordance with the force of the collective neut. adject. (Bern. Synt. vi. 2, p. 326, Jelf, Gr. § 436. I. 8), denote the bands, hosts, or confraternities of evil spirits: Winer and Meyer aptly cite τὰ ληστρικά ('robber-hordes'), Polyæn. Strateg. v. 14. Ι [τὰ δοῦλα, τὰ αλχμάλωτα, cited by Mey. after Bernhardy, are not fully appropriate; see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 378]; comp. τὰ δαιμόνια, and see esp. Winer, Gr. § 34. 3. b. obs. 3, p. 213. The gloss of Auth. (from Tynd.) 'spiritual wickedness' does not seem tenable, for if τὰ πνευματικά be taken as the abstract neuter (so perhaps Copt., - which adopts the singular πνευματικόν) expressive of the properties or attributes (the 'dynamic neut. adj.' of Krüger, Sprachl. § 43. 4. 27; comp. Stier), the meaning must be, not 'spiritales malignitates,' Beza, but 'spiritualia nequitiæ,' Vulg., Clarom. (comp. Goth.), i.e. 'spiritual elements, properties, of wickedness' (see Jelf, Gr. § 436. obs. 2),—an abstract mean13 επουρανίοις. διὰ τοῦτο ἀναλάβετε τὴν πανοπλίαν τοῦ Θεοῦ, ΐνα δυνηθητε ἀντιστῆναι εν τῆ ἡμέρα τῆ πονηρῷ

ing which obviously does not harmonize with the context; see Meyer in loc. The concrete interpretation, on the other hand, is grammatically correct, and far from unsuitable after the definite τοὺς κοσμοκράτορας.

ev τοις επουρανίοις] 'in the heavenly regions,' 'in the sky or air;' Dobree, Adv. Vol. 1. p. 574: see ch. i. 20, ii. 6. Here again we have at least three interpretations: (a) that of Chrys. and the Greek commentators, who give $\tau \alpha$ έπουρ. an ethical reference, 'heavenly blessings;' (b) that of Rück., Matth., Eadie, al., who refer the expression to the scene, the locality of the combat, 'the celestial spots occupied by the church;' (c) the ancient interpr. (see Jer. in loc.; comp. Tertull. Marc. v. 18, where however the application is too limited), according to which èv τοιs έπ. is to be joined with τd πν. τηs πον. as specifying the abode or rather haunt of the τd πνευματ.; 'qui infra cælum,' Æth. (both). Of these (a) is opposed to the previous local interpretations of the words, and involves an explan. of $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ (= $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$, Chrys., or $\pi\epsilon\rho l$, Theod.) wholly untenable; (b) seems vague and not fully intelligible; (c) on the contrary is both grammatically admissible (as the clause thus presents a single conception, 'supernal spirits of evil,' see notes on ch. i. 18) and exegetically satisfactory. The haunt of the evil spirits was indirectly specified in ch. ii. 2 as being in the regions τοῦ άέρος; here the latent opposition,αίμα και σάρξ on earth, and τὰ πνευμ. in supernal regions,-suggests a word of greater antithetical force, which still can include the same lexical meaning; comp. Matth. vi. 26, 7à πετεινά τοῦ ούρανοῦ. As in ch. ii. 2 there was no reason for limiting the

term to the mere physical atmosphere, so here still less need we adopt any more precise specification of locality; see notes in loc., and comp. generally Hofm. Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 401 sq. The repetition of $\pi\rho\delta$ before each of the substantives is somewhat of a rhetorical nature, designed to give emphasis to the enumeration; see Winer, $Gr. \S 50. 7.$ obs. p. 374.

13. δια τοῦτο] 'On this account,' wherefore:' since we have such powerful adversaries to contend with; ἐπειδή φησι χαλεποι οι ἐχθροι Œcum. ἀναλάβετε] 'assume,' 'take up,' not necessarily 'to the field of battle,' Conyb., but with simple local reference, as opposed to κατατίθεσθαι; ἀναλαμβ. τὰ ὅπλα κ.τ.λ. being the technical expression: see Deut. i. 41, Jer. xlvi. (xxvi.) 3, Judith xiv. 3, 2 Macc. x. 27, xi. 7, and exx. in Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 302, Elsner, Obs. Vol. I. p. 231, and Wetst. in loc.

έν τη ήμέρα τη πονηρά] 'in the evil day - of violent temptation,' Fell, Cocc.: ἡμέραν πονηράν τὴν τῆς παρατάξεως ημέραν καλεί, άπὸ τοῦ ἐνεργούντος αὐτή διαβόλου τὸ δνομα τεθεικώς Theod.; Schoettgen compares י בשעה רעה 'in hora mala, quando periculum nobis imminet,' Hor. Hebr. Vol. I. p. 793. The use of ἡμέρα rather than alŵr (Gal. i. 4) is opposed to the interpr. of Chrys., Œcum., Theoph., τον παρόντα βίον φησί, and the foregoing earnest tone of exhortation to the idea that any consolation (scil. τὸ βραχὺ ἐδήλωσε, Theoph., comp. Chrys.) was implied in the use of ημέρα. Still more untenable is the view of Meyer, that St Paul is here specifying the day when the last great Satanic outbreak was to take place (comp. notes on Gal. i. 4); the Apor. καὶ ἄπαντα κατεργασάμενοι στηναι. στητε οὖν περιζω- 14 σάμενοι τὴν ὀσφὸν ὑμῶν ἐν ἀληθεία, καὶ ἐνδυσάμενοι τὸν

stle has at heart what he knew was much more present and more constantly impending; 'bellum est perpetuum; pugna alio die minus, alio die magis fervet;' Beng.

άπαντα κατεργασάμενοι] 'having accomplished, fully done, all,' not merely in preparing for the fight (Beng.), but, as στηναι ('to stand one's ground') obviously suggests, in and appertaining to the fight; all things that the exigencies of the conflict required. The special interpr. of Œcum. (comp. Chrys.) $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma = \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$, i.e. 'having overcome all,' Auth. Marg. (comp. Ezek. xxxiv. 4, 3 Esdr. iv. 4), though adopted by Harl., is very doubtful; for in the first place, the masc. would have seemed more natural than the neut. dwarta (Est., contr. De W.); and secondly, though κατεργάζ. occurs 20 times in St Paul's Epp., it is only in one of two senses, either perficere ('notat rem arduam,' Fritz.), as here, Rom. vii. 18, Phil. ii. 12, al., or perpetrare ('de rebus quæ fiunt non honeste'), Rom. i. 27, ii. 9, al.: see Fritz. Rom. ii. 9, Vol. I. p. 107, and the numerous exx. cited by Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 495 sq. The concluding στήναι is then not 'stare tanquam triumphatores' (Zanch. ap. Pol. Syn., comp. even Meyer), but as in ver. 11, 'to stand firm' (the battle is life-long), 'ut non cadatis aut loco cedere cogamini;' Est.

14. στήτε οὖν] 'Stand then,' not as in ver. 13, in the fight, but, as the context obviously requires, ready for the fight; 'kampffertig,' De Wette. The several portions of the πανοπλία are then specified in regular order; παραθαρσύνας αὐτοὺς λοιπὸν αὐτοὺς καὶ καθοπλίζει.' Chrys. περίζων. τὴν ὀσφὸν ὑμ.] 'having girt your loins

about; comp. Isaiah xi. 5, ξσται δικαιοσύνη έζωσμένος την όσφυν αυτου, και άληθεία είλημένος τάς πλευράς. The remark of Holz., that the acrists are improperly used for presents, is wholly mistaken; the different acts specified by the participles were all completed before the soldier took up his position; comp. notes on ch. iv. 8. may be observed that the girdle was no mere ornament (Harl., comp. Eadie), but the first and most necessary part of the equipment; a στρατιώτης άζωστος was, as Meyer observes, a very 'contradictio in adjecto.' Independently of serving to keep the armour in its proper place, it appears also-except in the Homeric age, when it formed a part of the cuirass, and in later times, when ornamented 'baltei' came into use (Smith, Dict. of Antiq. Art. 'Balteus')—to have been commonly used to support the sword; see plates in Montfaucon, L'Antiq. Expl. Vol. IV. 1, p. 19 sq., and Suppl. Vol. IV. p. 14 sq., Smith, Dict. Art. 'Zona,' and Winer, RWB. Art. 'Gürtel,' Vol. 1. iv dangela with p. 448. truth,' as the girdle which bound all together, and served to make the Christian soldier expedite and unencumbered for the fight; èv being instrumental, or perhaps rather semilocal, with a ref. to the cincture and equipment; comp. Psalm lxv. 7, περιεζωσμένος έν δυναστεία, and see Green, Gramm. p. 289. It has been doubted (see Œcum. in loc.) whether by άληθεια is meant what is termed objective truth (ἀλήθεια δογμάτων, Œcum. 1), i.e. 'the orthodox profession of the Gospel' (Hamm. on Luke xii. 35), or subjective truth: the latter is most probable, provided it is not unduly limited to mere 'truthfulness' (Chrys. 1) or sincerity

15 θώρακα τῆς δικαιοσύνης, καὶ ὑποδησάμενοι τοὺς πόδας ἐν 16 ἐτοιμασία τοῦ εὐαγγελίου τῆς εἰρήνης ἐπὶ πᾶσιν ἀναλα-

(Calv., Olsh.). It must be taken in its widest sense dhήθ. ἐν τῷ Ἰησοῦ, ch. iv. 21, the inward practical acknowledgment of the truth as it is in Him; δύνη δὲ ώς πρός τὸν Χρ. νοῆσαι, τὸν δντως άλήθειαν Œcum.; comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 16, Vol. II. p. 169. τής δικαιοσύνης] 'of righteousness; gen. of apposition or identity; see Winer, Gr. § 59. 8, p. 470, comp. Scheuerl. Synt. § 12. 1, p. 82: so, similarly in regard of sentiment, Isaiah lix. 17, καὶ ἐνεδύσατο δικαιοσύνην ώς θώρακα: Wisd. v. 19, ἐνδύσεται θώρακα δικαιοσύνην. This δικαιοσύνη is not 'righteousness' in its deeper scriptural sense, scil. by faith in Christ (Harl.), as wloves is mentioned independently in ver. 16, but rather Christian moral rectitude (Mey., Olsh., Usteri, Lehrb. II. 1. 2, p. 190; τὸν καθολικὸν καὶ ἐνάρετον βίον, Chrys.), or, more correctly speaking, the righteousness which is the result of the renovation of the heart by the Holy Spirit; see Waterl. Regen. Vol. IV. p. 434. Eadie presses the article, but without grammatical grounds; its insertion is merely due to the common principle of correlation; see Middl. Art. 111. 1. 7, p. 36.

τ5. ύποδησάμενοι τούς πόδας] 'having shod your feet,' 'calceati pedes,' Vulg., Clarom. It does not seem necessary to refer this specially to the Roman 'caliga' (Mey.; see Joseph. Bell. Jud. VI. 1. 8), as the reference to the Roman soldier, though probable, is not certain: any strong military sandal (Heb. אָלאָד), Isaiah ix. 4, see Gesen. Lex. s. v.) is perhaps all that is implied; comp. Lydus, Synt. Sacr. III. 2, p. 46 sq. έν έτοιμασία] 'with the readiness;' not 'in præparationem,' Clarom., but 'in præparatione,' Vulg. (Amit.), Copt.; èv being instrumental, or semi-local, as in ver. 14. The somewhat peculiar form etoupaola, used principally in the LXX and eccl. writers, denotes properly 'preparation' in an active sense (Wisd. xiii. 12, έτοιμ. τροφης; Mart. Polyc. § 18, ἄσκησίν τε καὶ ἐτοιμ.); then 'a state of readiness,' whether outwardly considered (Joseph. Antiq. X. 1. 2, lππουs εls έτοιμ. παρέχειν) or inwardly estimated (Hippocr. de Dec. Habitu, Vol. I. p. 74, ed. Kühn; comp. Psalm x. 17, έτοιμ. καρδίας, i.e. τὸ ἐμπαράσκευον, Chrys.); and thence by a conceivable transition (esp. as הַכִּין admits both meanings, see Gesen. Lex. s. v.), 'something fixed, settled' (comp. Prov. iv. 18 Theod., ετοιμασία ημέρας = σταθερά $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho l a$), and further even 'a basis, a foundation.' Heb. מכנו (Dan. xi. 7 Theod., της ρίζης αὐτης της έτοιμασίας αὐτοῦ· compare Ezra ii. 68, Psalm lxxxix. 15). This last meaning however may possibly have originated from a misconception of the translator (see Holzh. and Meyer in loc.), but at any rate is very inappropriate in this place. There is then no reason to depart from the more correct meaning, 'readiness,'

'preparedness' (σιρία, Syr., 'manviþa,' Goth.), not however &στε έτοιμους εἶναι πρὸς τὸ εὐαγγελιον (Chrys.), but, as the context and metaphor suggest, 'ad militiam, impedimentis omnibus soluti,' Calv.

τοῦ εὐαγγ. τῆς εἰρήνης] 'of the Gospel of peace;' scil. caused by the εὐαγγ. τῆς εἰρ.; the first gen., εὐαγγελίου, being that of the source or agent (see notes on 1 Thess. i. 6, Scheuerl. Synt. § 17, p. 126), the second, εἰρήνης, that of the purport and contents: compare ch. i. 13, τὸ εὐαγγέλ. τῆς σωτηρίας, where see notes, and Bernhardy, Synt.

βόντες τὸν θυρεὸν τῆς πίστεως, ἐν ῷ δυνήσεσθε πάντα τὰ βέλη τοῦ πονηροῦ τὰ πεπυρωμένα σβέσαι. καὶ τὴν 17

III. 44, p. 161. The sum and substance of the Gospel was ή είρηνη, Peace, not with one another merely, but with God (Est.), a peace that can only be enjoyed and secured if we war against His enemies: ἄν τῷ διαβόλφ πολεμώμεν είρηνεύομεν πρός τον Θεόν. Chrys. On the different terms with which εὐαγγ. is associated in the N.T., see Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 8, Vol. II. p. 81.

16. in maσιν 'in addition to all;' not, with local ref., 'super omnibus, quæcunque induistis,' Beng. (comp. Goth. 'ufar all'), nor, with ethical ref., 'above all,' Auth.,—but simply in ref. to the last accompaniment; comp. Luke iii. 20, προσέθηκεν και τοῦτο έπι maσι, and see Winer, Gr. § 48. c, p. 350. Eadie cites Col. iii. 14, ἐπὶ πᾶσι τούτοις, but neither this passage nor Luke xvi. 26 are strictly similar, as the addition of τούτοις implies a reference to what has preceded, while έπι πᾶσιν is general and unrestricted, and more nearly approaches a 'formula concludendi;' see Harl., and exx. collected by Wetst. on Luke xvi. 26. In both the force of $\epsilon \pi l$ is the same, 'accession,' 'superaddition;' comp. Donalds. Gr. § 483. aa. reading ev maour, adopted by Lachm., with BN; 10 mss.; Clarom.; Vulg. (appy.); Method., Greg.-Naz.; al., has not sufficient external support, and may have been a correction for the ambiguous eml τον θυρεόν] 'the shield,' 'scutum,' Vulg., Clarom. The term θυρεός, as its derivation suggests, is properly anything 'quod vicem januæ præstat' (Homer, Od. ix. 240, 313, 340), thence in later writers (see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 366) a large oblong or oval shield (old tis bupa ouλάττων τὸ σῶμα Theoph.), differing both in form and dimensions from the round and lighter dσπίε ('clypeus'): see esp. Polyb. Hist. VI. 23. 2, comp. Lips. de Milit. Rom. III. 2, and exx. in Kypke, Elsner, and Alberti in loc. Harless doubts whether bupeds was intentionally used instead of $d\sigma\pi ls$, and cites the very similar passage, Wisd. v. 20, λήψεται άσπίδα... όσιότητα: it is not however improbable that in the time of St Paul (perhaps 150 years later) the distinction had become more commonly recognized; see Plutarch, Flamin. § 12. דיוף הוסדנשון 'of faith;' appositional gen. similar

to της δικαιοσύνης, ver. 14.

ev & δυνήσεσθε] ' with which ye will be able;' scil. as protected by and under cover of which (comp. ver. 16), or, with a still more definite instrumental force (Goth., Arm.), as specifying the defensive implement by which the extinction of the fire-tipt darts will be facilitated and effected; \$\eta\$ \pi \pi \sigma \lambda \tau \text{s} ταῦτα σβέννυσιν Theoph. The future must not be unduly pressed (Mey.); it points simply and generally to the time of the contest, whenever that might be: the future is only 'a conditioned present; see Bernhardy, Synt. x. 5, p. 377. τοῦ πονηροῦ] 'the wicked One;' 'nequissimi,' Vulg., Clarom.; not 'evil,' τὸ πονηρόν, but in accordance with the individualizing and personal nature of the conflict which the context so forcibly depicts, -the Devil; μόνον έκείνος πονηρός κατ' έξοχην λέγεται Chrys. de Diab. II. Vol. II. p. 309 (ed. Ben. 1834), comp. 2 Thess. iii. 3 and notes, I John v. 18, probably Matth. v. 37, John xvii. 15, al., and see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. II. p. 807, and on the conflict generally, the instructive remarks of Mayer, Hist. Diab. § 7, p. 681 sq.; comp. also

περικεφαλαίαν τοῦ σωτηρίου δέξασθε, καὶ τὴν μάχαι-

Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 20, Vol. II. τά βέλη...τά p. 226 sq. **πεπυρ.**] 'the fire-tipt, or fiery, darts;' the addition of the epithet serving to mark the fell nature of the attack, and to warn the combatant; πεπ. δὲ αὐτὰ κέκληκεν διεγείρων τούς στρατιώτας, καί κελεύων άσφαλώς περιφράττεσθαι. Theod. Allusion is here distinctly made to the πυρφόροι δίστοί, arrows, darts, &c. tipt with some inflammable substance, which were used both by the Hebrews (Psalm vii. 14), Greeks (Herod. VIII. 52, Thucyd. II. 75, Arrian, Alex. II. 18), and Romans ('malleoli,' Cicero pro Milone, 24: 'falaricæ,' Livy XXI. 8, were much larger), in sieges, or, under certain circumstances, against the enemy in the field; see Vegetius, de Re Mil. IV. 18, Winer, RWB. Art. 'Bogen,' Vol. 1. p. 190. Any reference to 'poisoned' darts (Hamm., al.) is not in accordance with the meaning and tense of the part. πεπυρωμένα. It may be remarked that the art. is not found in BD1FG, and is rejected by Lachm.; in which case $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho$. will become a 'tertiary' predicate, and must be translated 'fire-tipt as they are,' see esp. Donalds. Gr. § 489 sq., and comp. Winer, Gr. § 20. 1. obs. p. 122. It seems however much more probable that the art. was omitted by an oversight, than that the transcriber felt any grammat. difficulty, and sought to remedy it by insertion. σβέσαι] 'to quench.' It seems too much to say with Calv., in reference to the metaphor, 'improprie loquitur.' That the use of σβέσαι was suggested by $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho$, is not improbable; as however it is certain that the larger shields, which for lightness were made of wood, were covered with hides (μοσχείω δέρματι, Polyb. Hist. VI. 23. 3, Lips. de Milit. III. 2) and similar materials

designed to prevent the full effect of the $\beta \ell \lambda \eta$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho$., the particular verb cannot in any way be considered here as inappropriate; comp. Arrian, *Alex.* II. 18.

17. και την κ.τ.λ.] Meyer rightly

objects to the punctuation of Lachm. and Tisch .: a comma, or perhaps rather a colon (Wordsw.), is here far more suitable than a period. We have here only one of St Paul's rapid transitions from the participial structure to that of the finite verb; see Col. i. 6, and notes ch. i. 20. δέξασθε] 'receive,' as from Him who furnishes the armour (ver. 13), and whose Spirit puts in our hands the sword; 'accipite, oblatam a Domino, Beng. verb is omitted by D1FG; Clarom.; Cypr., Tertull., al., and converted into δέξασθαι (but perhaps an itacism) by Matth. with AD3 (E?) KL; mss.; Cypr. (I),—but in neither case on sufficient external evidence. σωτηρίου] 'of salvation;' gen. of apposition, as in ver. 14, 16. The use of this abstract neuter is, with the exception of this place, confined to St Luke (see Luke ii. 30, iii. 6, Acts xxviii. 28), though sufficiently common in the LXX; compare Isaiah lix. 17, περικεφ. σωτηρίου, - a passage to which its present occurrence may perhaps be referred. There is no ground for supposing that τοῦ σωτ. is masculine ('salutaris, i.e. Christi,' Beng.), either here or Acts l. c., nor can we say with Mey. that τὸ σωτήριον is 'any ideal possession:' in τ Thess. v. 8, the περικεφαλαία is the έλπις σωτηρίας, in the present case there is no such limitation. Salvation in Christ, as Harl. remarks, forms the subject of faith; in faith (by grace, ch. ii. 5) it is apprehended, and becomes, in a certain sense, even a present possession; see

ραν τοῦ Πνεύματος, ὅ ἐστιν ρῆμα Θεοῦ. διὰ πάσης 18 προσευχῆς καὶ δεήσεως προσευχόμενοι ἐν παντὶ καιρῷ ἐν Πνεύματι, καὶ εἰς αὐτὸ ὰγρυπνοῦντες ἐν πάση προσκαρ-

notes, ch. ii. 8. Πνεύματος] 'of the Spirit;' sc. given by, supplied by the Spirit; the gen. of the source or origin, as in verse 13, την πανοπλ. τοῦ Θεοῦ. The gen. is clearly not appositional (Œcum. I, Theoph. I, and even Harl., Olsh.), as the explanatory clause would thus be wholly out of place. Still less probably is it a gen. of quality, ή μάχαιρα πνευματική (Chrys. 2), or a simple gen. of possession in reference to the τιμωρητική ἐνέργεια (Sever. ap. Cram. Cat.) of the Spirit, both of which seem at variance with the general tenor of the passage, which represents the 'armatura' as furnished to us by God. Thus then it is from the Spirit that we receive the sword, that sword being the Word of God, the Gospel (ver. 15), which is the δύναμις Θεοῦ (Rom. i. 16, 1 Cor. i. 18) to every one who believeth; comp. Heb. iv. 12.

18. Sid majons K. T. A.] 'with all (every form of) prayer and supplication praying; participial clause expressive of the manner and accompaniments of the action, dependent on the principal imperative στητε οὖν, ver. 14 (Mey.), not on the subordinate aor. imper. δέξασθε, which is only a variation of the participial structure, and with which the idea of duration expressed in πάσης and παντί καιρφ would not be consistent. The seeming tautology and an imaginary logical difficulty in προσεύχεσθαι διά πάσης προσ. έν παντί καιρώ have induced Mey. to disconnect διά πάσης κ.τ.λ. and προσευχόμενοι. This, though not inconsistent with the use of &id ('conditio in qua locatus aliquid facias,' Fritz. Rom. ii. 27, Vol. I. p. 138), is still neither necessary nor satisfactory: διά πάσης κ.τ.λ. simply and correctly denotes the earnest, because varied, character of the prayer (see Theoph.); ἐν παντὶ καιρῷ the constancy of it (ἐνδελεχῶs, Theod., comp. Luke xviii. 1, 1 Thess. v. 17, 2 Thess. i. 11); ἐν Πνεύματι (see infra) the holy sphere of it. Conyb. (comp. Syr., but not Æth., Syr.-Phil.) translates the part. as a simple imperat., and makes ver. 18 the beginning of a new paragraph; this however cannot be justified; see Winer, Gr. § 45. 6, It has been doubted p. 313. whether there is here any exact distinction between προσευχή (ΠΣΕΙ) and δέησις (תֹּחְנָה). Chrys. and Theod., on I Tim. ii. I, explain προσ. as altησις αγαθών (see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. 1), δέησ. as ὑπὲρ ἀπαλλαγῆς λυπηρών ικετεία (80 Grot., as άπὸ τοῦ δεοῦς, but see 2 Cor. i. 11); comp. Orig. de Orat. § 33, Vol. XVII. p. 292 (ed. Lomm.). Alii alia. The most natural and obvious distinction is that adopted by nearly all recent commentators, viz. that προσευχή is a 'vocabulum sacrum' (see Harl.) denoting 'prayer' in general, precatio; δέησις a 'vocabulum commune' denoting a special character or form of it, 'petition,' rogatio; see Fritz. Rom. x. 1, Vol. 11. p. 372, Trench, Synon. Part II. § 1, and notes on 1 Tim. ii. 1. έν παντί καιρφ] 'in every season.' There is no necessity to restrict this to 'every fitting season,' Eadie: the mind of prayer (τὸ ὁμιλεῖν τω Θεω. Theoph. on I Thess. v. 17) is alluded to as much as the outward act; see Alf. on Luke xviii. 1.

ev Hvetuari] 'in the Spirit:' certainly not the human spirit ('cum devoto cordis effectu,' Est.), nor as in contrast

19 τερήσει καὶ δεήσει περὶ πάντων τῶν άγίων, καὶ ὑπερ ἐμοῦ, ἵνα μοι δοθῆ λόγος ἐν ἀνοίξει τοῦ στόματός μου ἐν

to βαττολογείν (Chrys.), but the Holy Spirit (Jude 20), in whose blessed and indwelling influence, and by whose merciful aid, we are enabled to pray (Rom. viii. 15, Gal. iv. 6), yea, and who Himself intercedes for us (Rom. viii. 26). els atro] 'for it,' ' hereunto:' scil. τὸ προσεύχεσθαι ἐν wartl καιρώ έν Πνεύματι. The reference is obviously not to what follows (Holzh.), but to what precedes. It was 'for this' (scarcely more than 'in respect of this,' Mey.) that the Ephesians were to be watchful; not that all should abide in continual prayer (Olsh., Harl.), for the prayer for the Apostle (ver. 10) is to be for a different spiritual grace, but that they themselves might have that grace ('ut quotidie oretis,' Est.), and exercise it in general, persistent, and appropriate supplications for all saints. addition of τοῦτο after αὐτὸ [Rec. with D3EKL; mss.; Chrys.-text, Theod., al.] is rightly rejected by Lachm., Tisch., al., with ABD¹FGℵ; Clarom., Vulg., Copt., al., as a mere explanatory addition: 'airòs sæpius dicitur de eo de quo cummaxime sermo est,' Kühn. Xen. Mem. III. 10. 14, comp. άγρυπ. Matth. Gr. § 469. 7. έν πάση προσκαρτ. κ.τ.λ.] 'watching in all perseverance and supplication, 'in omni instantia et obsecratione,' Vulg.; supplementary clause, specifying a particular accompaniment to their prayer and watchfulness in regard to themselves, and a particular phase and aspect which it was to assume; 'in praying for themselves they were uniformly to blend petitions for all the saints,' Eadie: comp. Col. iv. 2, γρηγορούντες έν αὐτῆ (προσευχῆ) έν εὐχαριστία, where ἐν εὐχ. denotes the accompanying act, one of the forms

which $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \eta$ was to assume.

The two substantives προσκαρτ. καί δεήσ., though not merely equivalent to 'precantes sedulo' (Syr., comp. Æth.), still practically amount to a 'hendiadys.' According to the regular rule, the substantive which contains the 'accidens' ought to follow rather than precede (see Winer, de Hypall. et Hendiad. p. 19), still here προσκ. so clearly receives its explanation from και δεήσει, that the expression, though not a strict and grammatical, is yet a virtual, or what might be termed a contextual de dià duoir: see esp. Fritz. Matth. p. 857. On προσκαρτ. comp. notes on Col. iv. 2.

19. kall 'and, to add a particular case:' on this use of kal in appending a special example to a general classification, see Winer, Gr. § 53. 3, p. 388, notes on ch. v. 18, and on Phil. iv. 12. υπέρ έμου] 'for me,' 'in behalf of me.' Eadie (after Harl.) endeavours to trace a distinction between und here and περί ver. 18, as if the former was more special and individualizing, the latter more general and indefinite; 'sorgt um Alle, auch für mich,' Harl. This in the present case, where the two prepp. are so contiguous, is plausible; but as a general rule little more can be said than that ὑπἐρ in its ethical sense perhaps retains some stronger trace of its local meaning than mepl: see notes on Gal. i. 4, on Phil. i. 7, and comp. Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 28. 3. ໃνα μοι δοθη] 'that there may be given to me; 'particular object of the άγρυπν. έν προσκαρτ., with an included reference to the subject of the prayer; comp. notes on ch. i. 17. The $\delta o\theta \hat{\eta}$, as its position seems to indicate, is emphatic; it was a special gift of God, and felt to be so by the Apostle, 'non

παρρησία γνωρίσαι το μυστήριον του εθαγγελίου, υπέρ 20

nitebatur Paulus habitu suo,' Beng. The reading of Rec. δοθείη (which rests only on the authority of a few cursive mss.) would give the purpose a more subjective reference, and represent the feeling of a more dependent realization; compare ch. i. 17, and see esp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 622, Herm. Soph. Elect. 57.

ev dvolen ton otop. poul 'in the opening of my mouth;' act in which and occasion at which the gift was to be realized, the connexion clearly being with the preceding (Syr., Chrys., al.), not with the following words (Auth., Kypke), and the meaning not 'ad apertionem, i.e. 'ut os aperiam' (Beza), or in passive reference to himself and active to God, 'ut Deus aperiat os meum' (comp. Æth.), i.e. 'that my mouth may be opened ' (a Lap., Olsh.; comp. Psalm l. 17), but simply 'in the opening of my mouth' ('occasione datā,' Grot.), 'dum os aperio,' Est.; so Mey., Eadie, al.; see esp. Fritz. Dissert. II. ad 2 Cor. p. 99 sq.

The expression dvolγεω στόμα may be briefly noticed. When not specially modified or explained by the context (compare 2 Cor. vi. 11), it does not, on the one hand, appear to have any prelusive reference to the nature or quality of the discourse (our doa èueλέτα ἄπερ έλεγεν, Chrys., 'ore semi clauso proferuntur ambigua,' Calv.), nor, on the other, is it to be considered as merely graphic and unemphatic (Fritz. loc. cit., and on Matth. v. 2), but nearly always appears to specify the solemnity of the act and the occasion; comp. Matth. v. 2, Job iii. 1, Dan. x. 16, Acts viii. 32, and appy. xviii. 14 [it was a grave answer before a tribunal], and see Tholuck, Bergpr. р. 60 вд. έν παφόησία γνωρίσαι] with boldness (of speech) to make

known,' 'cum fiducia notum facere,' Vulg., Clarom.; specification of the result contemplated in the gift ('ut mihi contingat λόγος, inde autem nascatur τὸ ἐν παρρ. γνωρίσαι,' Fritz. ad 2 Cor. p. 100), and of the spirit by which it was to be marked. As èv dvolξ. τοῦ στόμ. hinted at the solemn and responsible nature of the act, so έν παρρ. refers qualitatively to the character and spirit of the preaching; θάρσος και λόγου χορηγίαν ίνα κατά τόν θείον λόγον πληρώσω τον δρόμον Theod. On the meaning of mappyola, see notes on 1 Tim. iii. 13. דל עטסד. του ευαγγελ.] 'the mystery of the Gospel.' The gen. is somewhat different to τὸ μυστ. τοῦ θελήματος, ch. i. q; there it was 'the mystery in the matter of, concerning, the θέλημα,'-gen. objecti; here it is rather 'the mystery which the εὐαγγέλ. has, involves,'-gen. subjecti. The distinction between these two forms of gen. is briefly but ably stated by Krüger, Sprachl. § 47. 7. On the meaning of μυστήριον, comp. notes on ch. v. 32. The concluding words του εὐαγγελ. are omitted by BFG; Boern.; Tert., Ambret., and bracketed by Lachm., but rightly retained by Tisch., Alf., Wordsw., on distinctly preponderating evidence.

20. ὑπὶρ οδ] 'in commodum cujus,' 'to preach which.' The reference of oῦ is doubtful; it can however scarcely be 'to the preceding clause,' Eadie; for as this involves two moments of thought, ἐν παρρ. and γνωρ., and as αὐτὸ would certainly seem to have the same reference as δ, there would be an inevitable tautology in ἐν αὐτῷ (scil. τὸ ἐν παρρ. κ. τ. λ.) παρρησιάσωμαι. The reference must then be either simply to τὸ εὐαγγελ. (Harl.) or more probably to τὸ μυστ. τοῦ εὐαγγελ.

οῦ πρεσβεύω ἐν αλύσει, ἵνα ἐν αὐτῷ παρρησιάσωμαι ώς δεῖ με λαλησαι.

21 "Iva δὲ καὶ ὑμεῖς εἰδητε τὰ κατ' ἐμέ, I have sent Tychicus to tell you of my state and to comfort you.

21. Kal δμεῖς είδ.] The reading is somewhat doubtful. The order in the text is adopted with ADEFGN (AD¹FGN ίδ.); Clarom., Vulg., al.; Theod., Lat. Ff. (Lachm.). In ed. 2 the order είδῆτε και ὑμεῖς was followed with BKL; great majority of mss.; Syr. (both), Basm.; Chrys., Dam., Jer., al. (Tisch., al.), but is now reversed, the newly discovered N appearing to turn the scale.

(Mey.), as this was what the Apostle έγνώρισεν, and in the matter of which he prayed for the grace of παρρησία. πρεσβεύω εν άλύσει] 'I am an ambassador in a chain,' 'in catena,' Vulg., Clarom., but 1 catenis] Syr., and similarly Copt., Goth., Arm. [gābānok, no sing.]; a noticeable and appy. designedly antithetical collocation, 'I am an ambassador-in chains;' 'alias legati jure gentium sancti et inviolabiles,' Wetst., comp. Theoph. It seems doubtful whether any historical allusion to a 'custodia militaris' (Beza, Grot.; on which see esp. Wieseler, Synops. p. 394, note) is actually involved in the present use of the singular; comp. Acts xxviii. 20, 2 Tim. i. 16, Joseph. Antiq. XVIII. 6. 10, and see Paley, Hor. Paul. VI. 5, Wieseler, Synops. p. 420. As the singular is not conclusive, being often used, especially in the case of material objects, in a collective sense (see Krüger, Sprachl. § 44. 1. 1, Bernhardy, Synt. II. I, p. 58), and as the use of the word in St Paul's Epp. (here and 2 Tim. i. 16) is confined to the singular, it seems uncritical to press the allusion. though it still may be regarded as by no means improbable: ἄλυσις is used in the singular (els την άλυσιν έμπίπτειν), but with the article and in a more general sense, in Polyb. Hist. XXI. 3. 3, IV. 76. 5. lya...

παρόησ.] 'in order that I may speak boldly;' second purpose and object of the άγρυπν. κ. τ. λ., ver. 18. There seems no reason to depart from the ordinary interpr.; the second Iva κ.τ.λ. is not dependent on πρεσβ. έν ἀλύσει (Beng.), nor subordinate to Iva δοθŷ (Harl.), but co-ordinate with it (comp. Rom. vii. 13, Gal. iii. 14), and involves no tautology. The first of the two final sentences relates to the gift of utterance and παβὸ, generally, the second, to the gift of a conditioned παρὸ,,—scil. ὡς δεῖ με λαλῆσαι.

ἐν αὐτῷ] 'in it,' 'therein;' scil. έν τῷμυστ. τοῦ εὐαγγελ.,—'occupied with it, engaged in preaching it.' 'Er here marks, not so much the (official) sphere in which (see Rom. i. 9, λατρεύω... ἐν $\tau \hat{\varphi} \in i\alpha \gamma \gamma$.), as the substratum on which the παβρησία was to be displayed and exercised; see Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 12. 6, and notes on Gal. i. 24. It can scarcely denote the source or ground of the παβρ., Harl.; for,—as I Thess. ii. 2, ἐπαρρησιασάμεθα ἐν τῷ Θεῷ κ. τ. λ. (cited by Harless) clearly shows -God was the source and causal sphere of the $\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho}$. (see notes in loc.), the Gospel (here 'the mystery of the Gosp.') the object in which and about which it was to be manifested: see exx. in Bernhardy, Synt. v. 8. b, p. 212.

21. "Iva δὲ καὶ ὑμ. εἰδῆτε] ' But in order that ye also may know;' transition by means of the δὲ μεταβατικὸν

τί πράσσω, πάντα ύμιν γνωρίσει Τύχικος ο άγαπητος άδελφος καὶ πιστος διάκονος εν Κυρίφ, δν έπεμψα 22

'particle of transition' (Eadie, Ruck.). It indisputably refers to others besides the Ephesians, but who they were cannot be satisfactorily determined. If the Epistle to the Colossians was written first, kal might point to the Colossians (Harl. Einleit, p. 60, Wiggers, Stud. u. Krit. 1841, p. 453, Meyer, Einleit. p. 17, Wieseler, Synops. p. 432), but as the priority of that Ep., though by no means improbable both from internal (Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 329 Bohn, comp. Schleierm. Stud. u. Krit. 1832, p. 500) and perhaps external considerations (see Wieseler, Syn. p. 450 sq), is still very doubtful (see Credner, Einleit. § 157, Reuss, Gesch. des N. T. § 119), all that can be said is this, viz. that the use of ral is certainly noticeable, and not to be explained away, and that though per se it cannot safely be relied upon as an argument in favour of the priority of the Ep. to the Colossians, it still, on that hypothesis, admits of an easy and natural explanation. The article by Wiggers above referred to, though in several points far from conclusive, deserves perusal. τί πράσσω] 'how I fare;' not 'quid (in carcere) agam' (Wolf), but simply 'quid agam,' Vulg., Clarom.,-in simple explanation of τὰ κατ' ἐμέ: see Arrian, Epict. I. 19, τί πράσσει Φηλικίων; Ælian, Var. Hist. 11. 🏂, ήρετο τί πράττοι [ο ὑπο ἀσθενείας καταληφθείs]; comp. Hor. Sat. 1. 9. 4. Illustrations of τὰ κατ' ἐμέ, 'res meas'

(Phil. i. 12, Col. iv. 7), are cited by

Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 234: see Wetst.

Tuxucos] Not

and Kypke.

(see notes on Gal. i. 11) to the last and valedictory portion of the Epistle.

In the words καὶ ὑμεῖς the καὶ is cer-

tainly something more than a mere

Τυχικός (Griesb., Tisch. ed. 7), see Winer, Gr. § 6, p. 49. Tychicus was an 'Actavos, and is mentioned Acts xx. 4, Col. iv. 7, 2 Tim. iv. 12, Tit. iii. 12. Tradition represents him as afterwards bishop of Chalcedon in Bithynia, of Colophon, or of Neapolis in Cyprus; see Acta Sanct. April 29, Vol. III. p. The order γνωρίσει ύμιν, though found in BDEFGX; 3 mss.; Clarom., Sangerm., Aug., Boern., Goth., al.; Ambrst. (Lachm.), is perhaps rightly reversed by Tisch., Alf., Wordsw., on the evidence of AKL; nearly all mss.; Vulg. (Amit., Demid., -not Fuld.), Syr.-Phil., al.; Chrys., Theod., al.; as being not unlikely a conformation to Col. iv. 7. This however is one of those cases in which, until we know more of N, it seems hard to decide. πιστός] 'faithful,' 'trusty;' not άξιόπιστος, scil. οὐδὲν ψεύσεται άλλα πάντα άληθεύσει, Chrys., Beng.; for, as Mey. remarks, he was probably known to the Ephesians (comp. Acts xx. 4), though probably not to the Colossians. κονος εν Κυρίφ] 'minister in the Lord;' Christ was the sphere of his ministrations, Christ's Spirit animated and actuated his labours. It does not seem necessary to refer the term διάκονος to any special ('sacra ordinatione diaconum fuisse,' Est.), or even general office ('qui Evangelio navat operam,' Grot.) in relation to the Gospel, but merely in reference to his services to St Paul; see Col. iv. 7, πιστός διάκονος και σύνδουλος έν Κυρ., where, as Meyer and De W. observe, the latter term is intended to heighten and dignify the former; comp. also 2 Tim. iv. 11.

22. Öν ἔπεμψα πρὸς ὑμᾶς] 'whom I have sent to you;' not 'I send' (Wordsw.),—which, though not appy.

προς ύμας είς αὐτο τοῦτο, ἵνα γνῶτε τὰ περὶ ήμῶν καὶ παρακαλέση τὰς καρδίας ύμῶν.

23 Εἰρήνη τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς καὶ ἀγάπη μετὰ Peace be to the brethren, and grace to all true Christians.

inconsistent with the usage of the N.T. (see Winer, Gr. § 40. 5. 2, p. 240), does not seem accordant with the probable circumstances. Tychicus appears to have been sent with Onesimus to Colosse on a special mission (Col. iv. 8), of which the Apostle availed himself so far as to send this letter by him; this mission however the Apostle naturally regards as an act belonging to the past, and so probably uses $\xi \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$ in its ordinary sense. atto τοῦτο] 'for this very purpose, and no other,' viz. in reference to what follows: not 'for the same purpose,' Auth.; comp. Phil. i. 6, Col. iv. 8 and notes in loc. The preposition is sometimes omitted; see Plat. Sympos. 204 A, and Stallb. in loc.; comp. ib. Legg. III. 686 D, Protag. 310 E.

'in order that ye may know the things concerning us;' obviously similar in meaning to είδητε τὰ κατ' ἐμέ, but perhaps with a more inclusive reference both to himself and those with him. παρακαλέση] 'comfort,' 'consoletur,' Vulg. (comp. Goth. 'gabvasstjai'), here judiciously changed from the 'exhorte[n]tur' of Clarom.; see Col. iv. 8. The subject of the παράκλησις may have been 'ne offenderetis in meis vinculis' (Beng.), or 'ne animis deficiatis ob meas tribulationes' (Est.; comp. ch. iii. 13); so also Œcum., Theoph.: it is better however, owing to our ignorance of the exact state of the church, to leave the precise reference undefined, and to extend it generally to all particulars in which they needed it. On the meaning of the word, see notes on ch. iv. I, and on I Thess. v. II.

23. Elphyn] 'Peace,' simply; not

'concordia,' Calv., 'peaceableness,' Hamm. (comp. εἰρηνεύετε, 2 Cor. xiii. 11), as the Epistle, though eloquicos (De Wette) in relation to the doctrinal aspects of the union of Jews and Gentiles (see ch. ii.), contains no special exhortations on the subject of concord generally. Elphrn is however no mere parting salutation (comp. notes ch. i. 2, and on Gal. i. 3), but is in effect a valedictory prayer for that γαλήνη καί εὐδία ψυχής (Orig. Cat.) which was the blessed result of reconciliation with God, and His Spirit's special gift; see Steiger on 1 Pet. i. 2, Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 18, Vol. II. p. 200 sq.

τοις άδελφοις] 'the brethren at Ephesus.' Wieseler (Synops. p. 444) refers $d\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi$. specially to the Jewish Christians, $\pi d\nu \tau \omega \nu$ to the Gentile Christians. This is surely a very doubtful, and even improbable interpretation; for is it likely that in an epistle so opposed in its tenor to all national distinctions any such special recognition of their existence would be found? Clearly of $d\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi ol$ can only mean 'the whole Christian brotherhood.'

dγάπη μετὰ πίστεως] 'love with faith,' not dγάπη και πίστες: the Apostle does not simply pray for the presence of each of these graces in his converts, for, as Olsh. correctly observes, he assumed πίστις to be there already; what he prays for is their co-existence. As love (not here the divine love, Beng.) is the characteristic of a true faith, the medium by which its energy is displayed (Gal. v. 6), so here faith is represented as the perpetual concomitant of a true love. If it had been dγάπ. σὺν πίστει it would rather have conveyed the here scarcely realizable

πίστεως ἀπὸ Θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Κυρίου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ. Ἡ χάρις μετὰ πάντων τῶν ἀγαπώντων τὸν Κύριον 24

conception of their coherence; compare ch. iv. 31, πικρία...σὺν κακία [badness of heart was the 'fermentum,' the active principle]; I Cor. x. 13, σὺν τῷ πειρασμῷ καὶ τὴν ἔκβασιν [not the one without the other]: see Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 13. I. On the connexion of love and faith, comp. Reuss, Théol. Chrét. IV. 19, Vol. II. p. 205; and on the whole verse, a short but not very connected sermon of Augustine, Serm. CLXVIII. Vol. V. p. 911 (ed. Migne).

24. 'Η χάρις] 'Grace,' κατ' έξοχήν, the grace of God in Jesus Christ (Mey.). The use of the article is in harmony with the immediately preceding and succeeding mention of Him through whom ἡ χάρις ἐγένετο, John i. 17.

'with all that love our Lord J. C.;' second and more comprehensive form of benediction. Meyer compares the similar maledictory form in 1 Cor. xvi. 22.

العدلاً ين [sine incorruption,' corruptione] Syr., 'in incorruptione,' Vulg., Copt., 'incorruptione,' Clarom., Arm., 'in unriurein,' Goth., 'in non-interitu,' Æth.-Platt. The connexion of this clause and the meaning of the words are both somewhat doubtful, and must be noticed separately. (1) Meaning: excluding all. arbitrary interpretations of the preposition, e.g. ὑπέρ (Chrys. 2), διά (Theoph.), μετά (Theod.), είς (Beza), and all doubtful explanations of ἀφθαρσία, whether temporal (sc. εls τον alŵra, Matth.), brachylogical (lua ζωήν έχωσιν έν άφθ., Olsh.), abstr. for concrete-really (& άφθάρτοις, (hrys. 2) or virtually ('in unvergänglichem Wesen,' Harl.),-we have three probable interpr.; (a) ethi-

cal, 'sincerity,' Auth., Chrys., comp. I Pet. iii. 4: (b) quasi-local, in reference to the sphere of the dydwn, comp. èr emouparlois: (c) simply qualitative, i. c. 'imperishableness,' Œcum., Mey., al. To (a) the lexical meaning of the word is seriously opposed: see Meyer. St Paul's use of ἀφθαρσία is perhaps rather in favour of (b), as in all the six other passages where it occurs (Tit. ii. 7 [Steph.] is extremely doubtful), $d\phi\theta$. refers directly or indirectly to a higher sphere than the present; still as $d\phi\theta$. is anarthrous, and the explanation difficult unless the unsatisfactory construction (β) , see below, be adopted, we decide in favour of (c), and regard èv as marking the manner, or rather conditioning sphere, in which the action takes place; comp. esp. Tit. iii. 15. (2) Connexion: three constructions have been suggested; (a) with $'I\eta\sigma$. Xρ., scil. 'Christum immortalem non humilem,' Wetst.;—(β) with ή χάρις, Harl., Stier;—(γ) with dγαπώντων, Chrys., Theod. Of these (a) is inadmissible, being exegetically unsatisfactory, and, on account of the absence of the artic'e, grammatically suspicious; (β) is harsh, especially in a simple benediction, on account of the intercalation of so many words between the nom. and the modal factor of the sentence; (γ) is adopted by all the Greek commentators, and seems most simple and satisfactory; we translate therefore, 'grace be with all who love our Lord Jesus Christ in incorruption, i. e. in a manner and in an element that knows neither change, diminution, nor decay; η γάρ είς τὸν Χρ. άγάπη άφθαρτος και άμείωτος μάλλον δὲ καθ' ἐκάστην ἐπιδιδοῦσα τὴν ἡμέραν ώφελεν elvat Œcum. Thus then this

ήμων Ίησοῦν Χριστον εν άφθαρσία.

significant clause not only defines what the essence of the $d\gamma d\pi \eta$ is, but indicates that it ought to be perennial, immutable, incorruptible. The concluding $d\mu d\gamma$ [Rec. with DEKL84;

most Vv. and Ff.] is rightly rejected by Lachm., Tisch., al. [with ABF GN!; 2 mss., Aug., Boern., Amit*., Tol., Basm., Æth.-Pol., and some Ff.], as a liturgical addition. TRANSLATION.

NOTICE.

THE principles on which this translation is based are explained I in the general Preface to the commentary on the Galatians, and in the notice prefixed to the translation of that Epistle. English Versions with which the translation is compared, and the editions which have been used, are the same as those used in the Translation of the former Epistle, with this exception, that the Version, here used and referred to as the Standard Genevan Version, is not (as before) the Version of the New Testament published in the year 1557 (reprinted in the English Hexapla) but the Version of the whole Bible published in the year 1560. This Version differs in many respects from the Version of 1557, and appears alone entitled to be considered as THE GENEVAN VERSION. In the present edition the citations have been carefully verified anew, some additions have been made, and errors, as far as possible, removed. One unimportant change may be specified. It has been suggested that it might be better to change 'unto' into 'to,' wherever 'unto' appears in the Authorized Version as marking a simple dative, and to reserve the former for the translation of prepositions with the accusative. As this is professedly a 'version for the closet,' and as rhythm (the usual reason for the interchange in the A.V.) is thus of less consequence, the suggestion has been adopted.

THE EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS.

PAUL, an apostle of Christ Jesus by the will of God, I. to the saints which are [in Ephesus], and to the faithful in Christ Jesus. Grace be to you, and peace, 2 from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

Blessed be God and the Father of our Lord Jesus 3 Christ, who blessed us with every blessing of the Spirit in the heavenly regions in Christ: even as He chose us 4

CHAPTER I. 1. Of Christ Jesus]
Of * Jesus Christ, AUTH. In
Ephesus] At Ephesus, AUTH. and all
the other Vv.

2. And the Lord Sim. WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.: and from the Lord, AUTH. and remaining Vv. The prep. in such cases as the present should certainly be omitted, as it tends to make that unity of source from whence the grace and peace come less apparent than it is in the Greek; comp. note on Phil. i. 2 (Transl.).

3. God and the Father] So WICL., Cov. Test., RHEM.: the God and Father, AUTH.; God even the Father, GEN.; God the father, TYND. and remaining Vv. Blessed us] Hath blessed us, AUTH. and all the other Vv. The acrist here ought certainly to be maintained in translation, as the allusion is to the past act of the redemption. The idiom of our language frequently interferes with the regular application of the rule, but it is still no less certain that the English præterite is the nearest equivalent of

the Greek aor., see Latham, Engl. Lang. § 360, 361, and compare Scholef. Hints (Pref.), p. xi. It is possible that there are cases when the English present, owing to its expressing an habitual action (Latham, § 573), might seem to correspond to the Greek sor., but as the iterative force of the latter tense, even if admitted (see notes on Gal. v. 24), seems radically to differ from that of the Engl, pres. (the one expressing indefinite recurrence in the past, see Jelf, Gr. § 402. 1, the other indef. recurrence in the present), it will seem best not to venture on any such translation. Every blessing] So Cov. Test.; all maner of ... blessinges, TYND. (blessynge, CRAN., COV.); al... blessynge Wick., GEN., BISH., RHEM.: all...blessings, AUTH. Of the Spirit] Spiritual, AUTH. and all the other Vv.; see notes. The heavenly regions] Heavenly places, AUTH .: cælestials, RHEM.; heuenli thingis, WICL. and remaining Vv.

4. Even as] According as, AUTH., TYND., COV., CRAN., BISH.; as, WICL.,

in Him before the foundation of the world, that we should 5 be holy and blameless before Him; IN LOVE having fore-ordained us for adoption through Jesus Christ into Him-6 self, according to the good pleasure of His will, to the praise of the glory of His grace, wherein He bestowed

- 7 grace on us in the beloved; in whom we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of our transgressions,
- 8 according to the riches of His grace, which He made to

COV. Test., GEN., RHEM.: see note on I Thess. i. 5 (Transl.). So RHEM.: hath chosen, AUTH., WICL., COV. Test., GEN.; had chosen, TYND., and remaining Vv. Blameless] Without blame, AUTH., TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; without wemme, WICL.; unspotted, Cov. Test.; immaculate, RHEM. The slight change has been made for the sake of retaining the same translation both here and ch. v. 27. On the distinction between aμωμος ('in quo nihil est quod reprehendas') and ἄμεμπτος ('in quo nihil desiderari potest'), see Tittm. Synon, p. 20.

5. In love having] AUTH. and all the other Vv. connect 'in love' with the preceding verse; see notes. The participle expresses probably a temporal relation, 'after He had, &c.,' but in so profound a subject it seems best to retain the more undefined transl. of AUTH. Foreordained] Bifore ordeyned, Wicl.; ordeyned... before, TYND., COV., CRAN.; predestinated, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

For adoption] Unto the adoption of children, Auth.; in to adop. of sones, Wicl., Rhem. (vnto the ad.); to be heyres, Tynd., Cran.; to recease vs as children, Cov.; to be adopted, Gen.,—a good translation, but perhaps scarcely sufficiently literal. Through] So Tynd. and other Vv.: by, Auth., Wicl., Bish., Rhem. Into Himself] To himself, Auth.; in to him, Wicl.; vnto him silfe, Tynd., Cran.,

- GEN., BISH., RHEM.; in hymselfe, Cov. Test. Whether we adopt the translation 'into' or 'unto' matters but little, both approximating to, but neither fully expressing the meaning of the inclusive els, perhaps English idiom ('adopt into') is slightly in favour of the former. It seems also best in English, for the sake of perspicuity, to return to the reflexive form: 'into Him' (ed. I), though literal, perhaps may seem ambiguous.
- 6. Bestowed grace on us] Hath glorified us, Wicl.; hath gratified vs, Rhem.; hath made us accepted, Auth. and all other Vv.
- 7. Redemption] Here we must be content to omit (with all the Vv.) the expressive article, our idiom seeming to require some adject., e.g. 'the promised red.,' to make the article perfectly intelligible. Of our transgressions] Of sins, AUTH. and all Vv.
- 8. Which He made to abound toward us] Which grace he shed on us aboundantly, TYND., and sim. Cov.; wher of he hath ministred vnto vs aboundantly, CBAN.; whereby he hathe bene abundant toward vs, GEN.; wherein he hath abounded toward us, AUTH., BISH. On this clause a friend and accurate scholar has made the observation, that as all verbs of the character of περισσεύω may practically be resolved into a 'verbum faciendi' with an appended

abound toward us in all wisdom and discernment; having 9 made known to us the mystery of His will, according to His good pleasure which He purposed in Himself in re- 10 gard of the dispensation of the fulness of times, to gather up again together all things in Christ, the things that are in heaven and the things that are on earth, even in Him; in whom we were also chosen as His inheritance, having 11 been foreordained according to the purpose of Him who worketh all things after the counsel of His will; that we 12 should be to the praise of His glory, who have before hoped

accus. elicited from the verb ('make an abundance of '), the gen. η 's may here receive a simple explanation without reference to the principles of attraction. This remark appears to deserve some consideration. Discernment] Prudence, AUTH., WICL., Cov. (both), CRAN., BISH., RHEM.; perceavaunce, TYND.; understanding, GEN. transl. 'prudence' appears to give the word a more decided reference to practice than the context will admit: 'understanding,' on the other hand, is too abstract, and fails to recognise the distinction between σύνεσις and φρόνησις. Perhaps the transl. in the text, or 'intelligence,' as indicating an application and exercise of the done. and a result of (spiritual) σοφία (comp. 1 Cor. ii. 13), approaches more nearly to the true meaning of the word in this passage.

9. Purposed] So WICL., TYND., Cov. Test., RHEM.: had purp., AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

10. In regard of the disp.] In the disp., AUTH., COV. Test., GEN., BISH., RHEM.; paraphrastically, to have it declared when the tyme were full come, TYND., CRAN. (was), sim. COV. The translation in the text, or 'with a view to' (see notes), seems to make the meaning a little more distinct than the more usual 'for.'

To guther up again together] That...he

might gather together in one, AUTH., GEN., BISH.; that he might set vp... perfectly, CRAN., that...shuld be gaddered togedder, TYND., COV.; to enstore, WIOL.; to set up, COV. Test. The things that are in hearen and the things that are Similarly TYND., COV., CRAN., and also COV. Test.: both which are in hearen and which are, AUTH., GEN., BISH. (heavens). The repetition which the older translators thus preserve is not without force in this solemn enunciation of the eternal purpose of God.

11. We were also chosen as His inheritance] Also we have obtained an inheritance, AUTH.; we ben clepid bi sorte, Wick., sim. Cov. Test., RHEM.; we are made heyres, TYND., CRAN.; also we are come to the inheritaunce, Cov.; also we are chosen, GEN., BISH. It may be observed that also is omitted by Wicl., TYND., CRAN. Having been fore-ordained | Being predestinated, AUTH., BISH. (-nate); and were therto predestinate, TYND., CRAN.; bifor ordeyned, WIOL.; predestinate, COV. Test., RHEM. The simpler translation in the text seems decidedly preferable; see notes on ver. 5.

His will] So WICL., RHEM.: his own will, Auth. and remaining Vv.

12. Have before hoped] First trusted, Auth. (hoped, Auth. marg.), GEN.; han hopid bifor, Wiol.; afore have

- 13 in Christ: in whom ye too, having heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation,—in whom, I say, having also believed, ye were sealed with the holy Spirit of
 14 promise, which is the earnest of our inheritance, for the redemption of the purchased possession, unto the praise of His glory.
- 15 For this cause I also, having heard of the faith which is among you in the Lord Jesus, and the love which ye 16 have unto all the saints, cease not to give thanks for you, 17 making mention of you in my prayers; that the God of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of glory, would give to

hoped, Cov. Test., RHEM. before; before beleved, TYND., CRAN., BISH. The force of the perf. part. should be retained in transl., especially as this can so easily be done by the inserted 'have,' as Cov. Test., RHEM.; the English perfect expresses the past in connexion, by its effects or consequences, with the present: see Latham, Engl. Lang. § 579 (ed. 3).

13. Ye too, having heard You also.

when you had heard, RHEM., with a

similarly suspended member; ye also trusted after that ye heard, &c., AUTH., sim. GEN.; also ye beleved after that ye herde, Cov., sim. Cov. Test.; also we believe for asmoch as we have hearde, CRAN.; also ye [hoped] after that ye heard, BISH. TYND. connects, ye also (after that ye hearde...) were sealed. I say, having also Also after that ye, AUTH. The change to the particip. structure in both members seems to make the sentence a little more distinct, and to preserve in the latter the close connexion of και with πιστεύσαντες: see notes. · The holul So all the Vv. except AUTH., that

14. Which] On the form 'which,' see notes on Gal. i. 2 (Transl.).

For] So Cov. Test., CRAN.: until,
AUTH., GEN.; in to, WIGL.; unto,

BISH.; to, RHEM. The translation of TYND. (to redeme) is paraphrastic.

15. For this cause | Wherefore, AUTH., TYND., Cov. (both), CRAN., BISH.; therefore, WICL., GEN., RHEM. The transl. 'for this cause' is more consonant with the general style of AUTH. than the equally literal and correct 'on this account,' and so 'Wherefore' is substituted for it. (AUTH.) is rather the transl. of διό. Having heard] After I heard, AUTH.; herynge, WICL., Cov. Test., RHEM.; after that I heard, TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; in so moch as I have herde, Cov. The faith, &c.] Your faith, AUTH., WICL., RHEM.; the fayth which ye have, TIND., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; your f. whych is, Cov. Test. The love which ye have] Love, AUTH. and the other Vv. except WICL., the love, and Cov., of youre love.

17. Would give] May give, AUTH., COV. (both), CRAN., BISH.; myght geve, TYND., GEN. The change in the text is made as an attempt to express the conditioned, hoped for, realization ('would please to give') expressed by the opt. $\delta\psi\eta$; comp. Latham, Engl. Lang. § 592, Wallis, Gramm. Angl. p. 107. Hermann (Soph. Elect. 57) asserts that in German the distinction may be observed by translating the Greek subj. by the German ind. pres.,

you the Spirit of wisdom and revelation in full knowledge of Him; having the eyes of your heart enlightened, that 18 ye may know what is the hope of His calling, and what the riches of the glory of His inheritance are among the saints, and what the surpassing greatness of His power is 19 to us-ward who believe, according to the operation of the power of His might, which He wrought in Christ, when 20 He raised Him from the dead,—and He set Him on His right hand in the heavenly regions, over above all Princi-21 pality, and Power, and Might, and Dominion, yea and

the opt. by the German imperf. subjunctive. The transl. of TYND., al., though practically preserving the correct shade of meaning, violates the law of 'the succession of tenses;' see Latham, Engl. Lang. § 616.

Full knowledge] The knowledge, AUTH. and all the other Vv. (knowynge, WICL.). It may be doubted whether this stronger translation can in all cases be maintained. That there is generally a clear recognition of the increased force of the compound may be inferred from a comparison of the passages in which the simple and compound forms are respectively used. Caution however is required in exhibiting this in translation.

18. Having the eyes of your heart enlightened] The eyes of your *understanding being inlightened, AUTH.; and lighten the eyes of youre myndes, TYND., CRAN. (understondinge, Cov.); the eyes of youre harte beynge lyghtened, Cov. Test. (myndes, BISH.); that y eyes of your and, may be lightened, GEN.; the eies of your hart illuminated, RHEM., sim. WICL. Are among] Apon TYND., COV., CRAN.; in, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. It may be observed that TYND., Cov., GEN., BISH., similarly insert the auxiliary verb immediately before the prep. (WICL., COV. Test., RHEM. before the riches; CRAN. after the glorye), showing that they did not consider ἐν τοῖς ἀγίοις as merely appended to τῆς κληρονομίας αὐτοῦ; see notes.

19. What the...power is] What is the, &c., Auth., and the same order is kept by all the other Vv.

Surpassing] Excellent, Wigh.; passing, Rhem.; exceeding, Auth. and the other Vv.

To us-ward] So Auth., Tind., Cran., Bish.; in to us, Wigh.; towarde vs, Cov. (both), Gen., Rhem.

Operation] So Rhem.: working, Auth. and the remaining Vv.; see notes on ch. iii. 7.

The power of His

and the remaining Vv.; see notes on ch. iii. 7. The power of His might] His mighty power, AUTH., Cov., GEN., BISH.; the myght of his vertu, WICL.; that his mighty power, TYND., CHAN.; the myght of hys power, Cov. Test., RHEM.

20. And He set] And set, AUTH.: the change in the original from the participial structure to that of the aor. indic. is better preserved by inserting the pronoun. On His] So WICL., TYND., COV., CRAN., BISH., RHEM.: at his own, AUTH.; at hys, COV. Test., GEN. The heavenly regions] The heavenly places, AUTH., GEN., BISH. (om. the); heuenli thingis, WICL., TYND., COV. (both), CRAN.; celestials, RHEM.

21. Over above] Far above, AUTH., GEN., BISH.; above, WICL. and the remaining Vv. Yea and] And,

every name that is named, not only in this world, but also 22 in that which is to come; and put all things under His feet, and gave HIM as Head over all things to the church, 23 which indeed is His body, the fulness of Him that filleth all with all.

And you also being dead by your trespasses and your II. 2 sins,—wherein ye once walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the empire of the

AUTH.; see notes.

22. Put] Hath put, AUTH., TYND., COV., CRAN., BISH.; hath he subdued, Cov. Test., RHEM. (he hath); hathe made ... subject, GEN.; made ... suget, WICL.,-the only version which omits the auxiliary verb. Gave HIM, &c.] Gave him to be the head over all things to, Auth., Bish.; hath made him aboue all thynges, the heed of, TYND., Cov., CRAN.; made hym heade ouer all, Cov. Test.; hathe appointed him over all things to be the heade to, GEN.; hath made him head over al, RHEM. The emphatic position of αὐτὸν in the original should not be left unnoticed.

23. Which indeed] Which, AUTH. and the other Vv. except Wick. (that). If the distinction usually made between 'that' and 'which' is correct, viz. that the former is restrictive, the latter resumptive (see Brown, Gramm. of Grammars, II. 5, p. 293, and notes on Col. iii. 1, Transl.), 'that' will often be a correct translation of \(\eta \tau \text{is} \) when used differentially (see notes on Gal. iv. 24), e.g. ή πόλις ήτις έν Δέλφοις κτίζεται: in the present case however Wicl. is not correct, as ήτις appears here to be used explicatively.

With all In all, AUTH., Cov. (both). CRAN., BISH., RHEM.; in alle thingis, WICL., TYND., GEN.

CHAPTER II. 1. You also being dead] You hath he quickened who were dead, AUTH. The participle ovras has been differently translated : who were, AUTH.; that were, TYND., GEN., BISH.; whan ye were, Cov. (both); where as ye were, CRAN. Of these the last two translations, though more correct in point of grammar than TYND., al., which tacitly supply an article, seem scarcely so satisfactory as the more simple one in the text, esp. if the present verse be compared with verse 5. The part. όντας obviously marks the state in which they were at the time when God quickened them. While in verse 5 this is brought prominently forward by the rai, here, on the contrary, the kal is joined with, and gives prominence to vuâs. In the present case then, a simple indication of their state without any temporal or causal adjunct, 'when,' 'whereas,' &c., seems most suitable to the context, as less calling away the attention from the more emphatic ὑμᾶς. By your] So RHEM. : in, AUTH., TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; thorow, Cov.; in youre, WICL.; thorowe your, Cov. Test. Your sins] Sins, AUTH. and all the

other Vv. (synne, TYND.).

2. Ye once walked In time past ye walked, AUTH., TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; ye wandriden sumtyme, WICL.; ye walked somtyme, Cov. Test.; sometime you vv., RHEM. empire of] Of the power of, AUTH., WICL., Cov. Test. (om. the), RHEM.; that ruleth in, TIND., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH. The somewhat modern air, of the spirit that now worketh in the sons of disobedience; among whom even we all once had our conversation in 3 the lusts of our flesh, doing the desires of the flesh and of the thoughts, and we were children by nature—of wrath, even as the rest:—but God, being rich in mercy, because of His 4 great love wherewith He loved us, even while we were 5 dead by our trespasses, quickened us together with Christ

form of expression in the text seems the only one that exactly represents the view taken in the notes of the collective term ecovalas. Of the spirit] So Wigl., Rhem.: the spirit, Auth., Tind., Cov. Test., Cran., Bish.; namely, after y sp., Cov.; euen the sp., Gen. Sons] So Wigl.: children, Auth. and the other Vv.

3. Eren we] Also we, AUTH., WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.; we also, TYND., COV., GEN.; we, CRAN., BISH., but see next note. Once had our convers.] Had our convers. in times past, AUTH.; lyueden sumtyme, WICL.; had oure conversacion in tyme past, TYND., COV., GEN. (CRAN., BISH. insert also before in); have led our conversacion somtyme, Cov. Test.; conversed sometime, RHEM. This lighter translation of more seems preferable both here and in ver. 2. The order of the Greek would seem to require 'had our conversation once,' but this would lead to ambiguity when read in connexion with the succeeding words.

Doing] So Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem.; fulfilling, Auth., Bish.; and fulfilled, Tind., Cran.; and dyd, Cov.; in fulfilling, Gen. Thoughts] So Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem.; mind, Auth. and the remaining Vv.

We were] So WIGL: were, AUTH. and all the other Vv. except Cov. Test., were we. Children] The children, AUTH. and all the other Vv. except WIGL., the sones. Children by nature—of wrath] By nature the chil-

dren of wrath, AUTH., CRAN., GEN., BISH., RHEM., and sim. Cov. Test.; bi kynde the sones of wraththe, WICL.; naturally the children of wrath, TYND., Cov. All attempts to explain away the simple and ordinary meaning of these words must be, somewhat summarily, pronounced to be both futile and untenable. Such a translation as 'children of impulse' (Maurice, Unity, p. 538) has only to be noticed to be rejected. The substantive δργή is used in thirty-four other places in the N. T., and in none does it appear even to approach to the meaning thus arbitrarily assigned to it. The rest] So RHEM.; others, AUTH., GEN.; other men, WICL.; other, TYND. and the remaining Vv.

- 4. Being rich] Who is rich, Auth.; that is riche, WICL.; which is rich, TYND. and the remaining Vv.
- Because of...live] For, &c., Auth., Wich., Cran., Bish., Rhem.; thorow, &c., Tynd., Cov., Gen.: for...loues sake, Cov. Test.
- 5. While] When, AUTH. and all Vv. The change is only made to express more forcibly the existing state; see notes. By our trespasses] In sins, AUTH., WICL., COV.; thorow synnes, COV. Test. The remaining Vv. give what seems the more correct transl. of the dative; by synne, TYND.; by synnes, CRAN., GEN., BISH., RHEM. Quickened] So WICL., CRAN., RHEM.: hath quickened, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Have ye been]

- 6 (by grace have ye been saved), and raised us up with Him, and made us sit with Him in the heavenly regions,
- 7 in Christ Jesus; that He might shew forth in the ages that are coming the surpassing riches of His grace in
- 8 kindness towards us in Christ Jesus. For by GRACE have ye been saved through faith; and this cometh not of your-
- 9 selves, the gift is GoD's; not of works, that no man should 10 boast: for we are HIS workmanship, created in Christ
- Jesus for good works, which God before prepared that we should walk in them.

Ye are, AUTH., COV. Test., GEN., BISH.; ye ben, WICL.; are ye, TYMD., COV., CRAM.; you are, RHEM. On the simplest practical rule of choosing between 'am' and 'have been' in the translation of the Greek perf. pass., see notes on Col. i. 16 (Transl.). 'Are' might indeed be retained on the ground that 'am' with the part. does involve an essentially past element (Latham, Eng. Lang. § 568); still the change seems a little more in harmony with the context.

- 6. Raised] So Wiol. (agenreisid), Cran., Rhem.; hath raised, Auth. and the remaining Vv. Up with Him] So Cov. (both), Rhem.: to gidre, Wiol.; vp tog. wyth hym, Cran.; up together, Auth. and the remaining Vv. With him (2)] So Cov. (both), Rhem.; together with him, Cran.: together, Auth. and the remaining Vv. In the heavenly regions] In h. places, Auth.; in the k. places, Gen.; in h. thingis, Wiol., Tynd., Cov. (both), Bish.; amonge them of heaven, Cran.; in the celestials, Rhem.
- 7. That He might, &c.] So, as to order, Wick., Tynd., Gen., Rhem.: that in the ages to come, he might, Auth., and sim. Cov. (both), Cran., Bish. Shew forth] Shew, Auth. and all the other Vv.

 That are coming] Aboue comyng, Wick.; succeding, Rhem.; to come, Auth. and the other

Vv. Surpassing] Plenteuous, WIOL.; abundaunte, COV. Test., RHEM.; exceeding, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. In kindness] So TYBD., COV., CRAN.: in his kindness, AUTH., BISH.; in goodnes, WIOL., COV. Test.; through his kindnes, GEN.; in bountie, RHEM. In Chr. Jes.] So all the Vv. except AUTH., CRAN., BISH., through Chr. Jes.

- 8. Have ye been] Are ye, AUTH.: see notes on ver. 5. And this cometh] And this, WIGL.; and that, AUTH. and all remaining Vv. It does not seem necessary to change 'of' into 'from,' the former being frequently a very suitable translation of ex; see notes on Gal. ii. 16. The gift is God's] It is the gift of God, AUTH. and all the other Vv. The emphasis is maintained, appy. more in accordance with English idiom, by placing the gen. at the end rather than at the beginning.
- That no So WIGL, RHEM.: lest any, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.
- NICL., COV. Test., RHEM.; unto g. w., AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

 Which] Vnto the wh., Tind., Cov. (to).

 Before prepared] Hath before ordained,

 AUTH., BISH.; hath ordeyned, WIGL.,

 GEN.; ordeyned vs before, Tind.,

 COV.; hath prepared, COV. Test.,

 RHEM.; ordeyned, CRAM.

Wherefore remember, that aforetime ye, Gentiles in 11 the flesh, who are called the Uncircumcision by the so-called Circumcision, performed by hand in the flesh,—that ye were at that time without Christ, being aliens 12 from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of the promise, having no hope, and without God in the world: but now in Christ Jesus ye who once 13 were far off are become nigh by the blood of Christ. For 14 He is our Peace, who made both one, and broke down the middle wall of the partition—to wit, the enmity—in His 15

11. That aforetime ye] . That ye being in time past, AUTH. This translation of more (Cov.) is perhaps a little simpler than that of AUTH. (and remaining Vv. except Wick., Cov. Test., RHEM., sumtyme), and serves equally well to keep up the antithesis between ποτέ and τῷ καιρῷ ἐκείνῳ in The so-called] That Ver. 12. which is called, AUTH. (adding the), CRAN., BISH., RHEM., and sim. the remaining Vv. Performed by hand in the flesh] So, as to order, WICL., made bi hond in fleisch, and sim. Cov. Test., made wyth hande in the fleshe: in the flesh made by hands, AUTH., GEN. (with h.), BISH.; in the flesshe, which circumcision is made by hondes, TYND., CRAN.; after the flesh, whiche circ. is made with the hande, Cov. The transposition in the text seems desirable, as marking that ev σαρκί is not to be closely connected with heyeμένης περιτ. (the error of TYND., CRAN., Cov., and sim. remaining Vv.), but rather to be regarded as a separate member qualifying what has preceded, and in more immediate connexion with γειροποιήτου: see notes in loc.

12. Ye were at that time] So TIND., sim. WICL., RHEM.; ye were, I say, at y' time, GEN.: ye at the same tyme were, Cov.; at that time ye were, AUTH., CRAN., BISH.

The promise] So CRAN., Cov. Test.,

RHEM.; biheest WICL.: promise, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

13. Once] So GEN.: sometimes, AUTH.; sumtyme, WIOL., Cov. Test., CRAN., BISH., RHEM.; a whyle agoo, TYND.; afore tyme, Cov. Are become] Are now made, Cov. (both); are made, AUTH. and the other Vv. The change however seems desirable, if only to obviate the supposition that εγενήθητε is here used with a passive force; see notes on ch. iii. 7. The aorist cannot be preserved in English when in association with the particle of present time (rurl); comp. notes on ch. iii. 5.

14. Made | So WICL.: hath made, AUTH. and all the remaining Vv. Broke down] Vnbyndynge, WICL.; hath broken down, AUTH., TYND., COV., CRAN., BISH.; broken downe, Cov. Test.; hathe broken, GEN.; dissoluing, RHEM. The middle wall of the partition] So RHEM.: the middle wall of partition between us, AUTH.; the myddil walle of a wal with out morter, WICL.; the wall that was a stoppe bitwene vs, TIND., COV., CRAN., BISH. (mydle wal); the myd wall of ye stoppe, Cov. Test.; the stoppe of the particion wall, GEN.

15. To wit the enmity, &c.] Having abolished in his flesh the enmity, even, AUTH., and similarly as to connexion the other Vv. except WIGL., COV. Test.,

flesh, having abolished the law of commandments expressed in decrees; that He might make the two in Him16 self into one new man, so making peace, and might reconcile again both of us in one body to God by the cross,
17 having slain the enmity thereby. And He came and preached peace to you which were afar off, and peace to
18 them that were nigh; since through Him we both in one
19 Spirit have our admission unto the Father. So then ye are no more strangers and sojourners, but ye are fellowcitizens with the saints, and of the household of God,
20 built up upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ Himself being the chief corner stone;
21 in whom all the building being fitly framed together

RHEM., which, as following the Vulg., appy. connect έν σαρ. with την έχθρ., and εν δόγμ. with καταργ.: see notes. Expressed,&c.]Contained in ordinances, AUTH., BISH.; contayned in the lawe written, TYND., COV., CRAN.; which standeth in ordinances, GEN .: bi domes, WICL.; by judgementes, Cov. Test.; in decrees, RHEM., see previous note. That he might make] So Cov. Test. (that...he myght make); for to make, AUTH., TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; that he make, WIOL.; that...he mighte create, Cov.; that he may cr., RHEM. The two in Himself | So RHEM.; ij. in hym silf, WICL.; in hymselfe....two, Cov. Test.; in himself, of twain, AUTH.; of twayne...in him silfe, TYND., Cov., CRAN., GEN., BISH. Into one | So WICL., RHEM.; in one, Cov. Test.; one, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. 16. And might] And that he might,

16. And might] And that he might,
AUTH. Reconcile again] Reconcile,
AUTH. and all the other Vv.; see
notes in loc. Both of us] Both,
AUTH. and all the other Vv.
In one hody unto God! In one hody...

In one body unto God] In one bodye...
unto God, COV. Test.; in o bodi to god,
WICL., RHEM.: unto God in one body,
AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

17. And He came and] And he

comynge, Wicl., Cov. Test.; and comming he, RHEM.; and came and, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

And peace to And *to, AUTH.

18. Since] For, AUTH. and all the other Vv. Both in one Spirit, So RHEM.: both...by one Spirit, AUTH., and similarly, as to order, all the remaining Vv. The only two Vv. that adopt the translation 'by' are GEN. and AUTH., all the rest more correctly adopt 'in.' Our admission] An access, AUTH.; nyg comynge, WIOL.; an open waye in, TYND.; intraunce, Cov.; an intr., Cov. Test., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; accesse, RHEM.

19. So then] Therfor now, WIGL.; therfor, Cov. Test.; now then, RHEM.; now therefore, AUTH. and remaining Vv. Strangers and sojourners] Gestis and straungers, WIGL., Cov. (both); str. and foreigners, AUTH. and all other Vv. But ye are] So Cov. Test., WIGL., RHEM. (you); *but, AUTH. and all other Vv.

20. Built up] Aboue bildid, WICL.; buylded, Cov. (both); built, RHEM.; and are built, AUTH. and the other Vv.

21. All the building] So AUTH., GEN., BISH.; eche bildynge, WICL.; every bildynge, TIND., Cov. (both);

groweth unto an holy temple in the Lord; in whom ye 22 also are builded together for an habitation of God in the Spirit.

For this cause I Paul, the prisoner of Christ Jesus for III. you Gentiles,—if indeed we have heard of the dispensation 2 of the grace of God which was given me to you-ward; how 3 that BY REVELATION the mystery was made known to me, as I have before written in few words; in accordance with 4 which, when ye read, ye can perceive my understanding in the mystery of Christ, which in other generations was 5 not made known to the sons of men, as it hath now been revealed to His holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit; to wit, that the Gentiles are fellow-heirs, and of the same 6

what buyldyng soeuer, CRAN.; al building, RHEM.; see notes. Being fitly] Fitly, AUTH.

22. Ye also] You also, AUTH. In the Spirit] So TYND., COV.; in the hooli gooste, WICL., COV. Test., CRAN. (thorow), RHEM.: through the Sp., AUTH., BISH.; by the Sp., GEN.

CHAPTER III. 1. Christ Jesus] So WICL.; Jesus Christ, AUTH. and all the other Vv., but without any difference of reading in the Rec. Text.

- 2. If indeed] If, AUTH., TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; if netheless, Wich.; accordinge as, Cov.; yf so be yet that, Cov. Test.; if yet, RHEM. Which, &c.] It is nearly impossible (without paraphrase) to imply that 'which' refers to 'grace.' In the edition of 1611 'God' was followed by a comma. Was giren] Is given, AUTH. and all the other Vv.
- 3. The mystery, &c.] •He made known unto me the mystery, AUTH. Have before written | Wrote afore, AUTH., COV. Test., CRAN., BISH.; aboue wrote, WICL.; wrote above, TYND., Cov., GEN.; have veritten before, RHEM. 4. In accordance with which As,
- WICL.; like as, Cov. Test.; according

- as, RHEM.; whereby, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Can] May, AUTH. and all the other Vv., but not correctly; the rule apparently being, 'may et can potentiam innuunt, cum hoc tamen discrimine, may et might vel de jure vel saltem de rei possibilitate dicuntur, at can et could de viribus agentis; 'Wallis, Gramm. Angl. p. 107. Perceive my understanding | So Cov.: understand my knowledge, AUTH, CRAN., BISH.; knowe myne understondynge, TYND., GEN.; undurstonde my prudence, WICL., Cov. Test.; vnd. my vvisedom, RHEM.
- 5. Other generations] So WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.: other ages, AUTH., GEN., BISH.; tymes passed, TYND., COV. (past), CRAN. It hath now been] It is now, AUTH, and the other Vv. except RHEM., norv it is. This is a case where the strict translation cannot be maintained: in English the aorist has no connexion with pres. time (Latham, Eng. Lang. § 579), and therefore cannot here properly be connected with vûv; in Greek this is possible, from the greater temporal latitude of the tense; comp. notes on 1 Tim. v. 15 (Transl.).
 - 6. To wit, that] Similarly Cov.,

body, and joint-partakers of the promise, in Christ Jesus, 7 through the Gospel; whereof I became a minister, according to the gift of the grace of God, which was given 8 to me according to the operation of His power. To me, who am less than the least of all saints, was this grace given,—to preach among the Gentiles the unsearchable 9 riches of Christ, and to make all men see what is the dispensation of the mystery, which from the ages hath been 10 hid in God, who created ALL THINGS; to the intent that now to the Principalities and the Powers in the heavenly regions might be made known through the church the 11 manifold wisdom of God, according to the purpose of the

except RHEM. (which is excessively harsh), the Gentils to be. Are So WICL., COV. Test.: should be, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except RHEM., to be; see above. partakers] Sim. Cov. Test., lyke partakers: parteneris to gidre, WICL.; comparticipant, RHEM.; partakers, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. The promise] *His promise, AUTH. Christ Jesus] *Christ, AUTH. Through | So Cov. Test.: by the meanes of, TYND., CRAN.; by, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. 7. I became] I was made, AUTH., BISH.; I am made, WICL. and all the Which was remaining Vv. given] Whiche is gouun, WICL., COV. (both), CRAN., BISH., RHEM.; given, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. According to (2)] So Cov., RHEM.: by, AUTH., WICL.; thorow, TYND., GEN.; after, Cov. Test., CRAN., BISH. Operation] So RHEM .: effectual working, AUTH.; worchynge, WICL. and all the remaining Vv. This word is always difficult to translate: 'effectual working' is perhaps too strong; 'working' alone is appy. too weak. Perhaps the term in the text as mark-

namely, that; how yt, Cov. Test.:

that, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

ing a more formal nature of working is slightly preferable; comp. notes on 2 Thess. ii. 11, where however the present translation would seem less suitable.

8. Was] Is, AUTH. and all the other Vv. To preach] So WICL., Cov. Test.; to euangelize, RHEM.: that I should preach, AUTH. and all the remaining Vv. The change is made to preserve a similar translation of the two infinitives; see Scholef. Hints, D. 100.

9. Dispensation] *Fellowship, AUTH.
From the ages] Fro worldis, WICL.,
RHEM.; sence the worlde beganne, COV.
Test.; from the beginning of the world,
AUTH. and the other Vv.
All
things] *All things by J. C., AUTH.

10. The powers Powers, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., Rhem., potestatis. The heavenly regions Heavenly places, Auth., Gen.; heuenli thingis, Wicl., Cov. Test., Cran., Bish.; heven, Tind., Cov.; the celestials, Rhem. Might be made known Might be known, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., be known, and Rhem., may be notified. Through By, Auth. and all the other Vv.

11. Purpose of the ages] Eternal

ages which he made in Christ Jesus our Lord; in whom 12 we have our boldness and our admission, in confidence, through the faith in Him. Wherefore I entreat you not 13 to lose heart in my tribulations for you, seeing it is your glory.

For this cause I bow my knees unto the Father, from 14 whom every race in heaven and on earth is thus named, 15 that He would grant you, according to the riches of His 16 glory, to be strengthened with might through His Spirit in the inner man, so that Christ may dwell in your hearts 17 by faith,—ye having been rooted and grounded in love,— 18 that ye may be fully able to comprehend with all saints what is the breadth, and length, and depth, and height,

purpose, AUTH. and the other Vv. except WIGL., bifor ordenaunce of worldis, and RHEM., prefinition of worldes.

Made] So WIGL., RHEM.; purposed, AUTH., TYND.; wrought, CRAN., GEN., BISH.; hath shewed, COV. (both).

12. Have our boldness] Have boldness, AUTH., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; han trist, WICL., COV. Test., are bolde, TYND.; have affiance, RHEM. And our admission] And access, AUTH., RHEM.; and nyg comynge, WICL.; to drawe nye, TYND.; and intraunce, COV. (both), CRAN., GEN., BISH.
In confidence] So, as regards the prep., WICL., COV. (both), BISH., RHEM.: with, AUTH., CRAN., GEN. The words την προσαγωγήν ἐν πεκοιθήσει are joined together by TYND. and appy. all the Vv. except WICL., and AUTH. (ed. 1611).

13. I entreat you, &c.] I desire that ye (you, RHEM.) faint not, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except WICL., I axe: that ye faile not. Seeing it is] Which is, AUTH. and all the other Vv.

14. The Father] The Father *of our Lord Jesus Christ, AUTH.

15. From] Of, AUTH. and the other

Vv. except Tynd., Cov., Cran., which Every race] The whole paraphrase. family, AUTH., GEN.; eche fadirheed, WICL.; al fatherhode, COV. Test.; all that ye called father, TIND., COV., CRAN.; al the familie, BISH.; al paternitie, RHEM. On the difficulty of properly translating this clause, see Trench on Auth. Ver. ch. II. p. 26 (ed. 2). And on earth] And earth, AUTH. Is thus named] Is named, AUTH. The word thus is introduced only to make the paronomasia in the original a little more apparent.

16. Through] By, AUTH. and all the other Vv. In the inner man] In the former edd. 'into' was adopted, as designed to mark that inflowing of spiritual strength which is so clearly implied in the original. It seems however contrary to the idiom of our language, and so has been altered.

17. So that] That, AUTH. and the other Vv. except RHEM., Chr. to dvvel.

18. Ye having been...that ye] That ye, WIGL., RHEM. (you); that ye (you, Cov. Test.) being, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

May be fully able to] May be able to, AUTH.,

- 19 and to know the love of Christ which passeth knowledge, that ye may be filled up to all the fulness of God.
- Now to Him that is able to do beyond all things, abundantly beyond what we ask or think, according to 2i the power that worketh in us, to Him be glory in the church, and in Christ Jesus, to all the generations of the age of the ages. Amen.
- I exhort you therefore, I the prisoner in the Lord, that ye walk worthy of the calling wherewith ye were called,
 with all lowliness and meekness, with longsuffering, forbearing one another in love; giving diligence to keep the
- 4 unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace. There is one

COV., GEN., RHEM.; moun, WICL., COV. Test.; myght be able to, TIND., CRAN., BISH.

19. May] So Cov. (both), GEN., RHEM.: might, AUTH., TYND., CRAN., BISH.; omitted by WICL. The change is made to avoid the violation of the law of 'the succession of tenses;' see Latham, Engl. Lang. § 616.

Up to] In, Wicl.; into, Cov. Test.; unto, Rhem.; with, Auth. and the remaining Vv.

20. Beyond all things, &c.] Alle thingis more plenteuousli (abundauntly, Cov. Test., RHEM.) thanne, WICL.; exceeding abundantly above all that, AUTH. and the other Vv.

21. And in Chr. Jes.] So WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.: by Chr. Jes., AUTH. (Jes. Chr., TYND., CRAN.), GEN., BISH.; which is in Chr. Jes., Cov. To all the generations, &c.] Throughout all ages, world without end, AUTH., BISH.; in to alle the generaciouns of the worldis, WICL.; thorowout all gen. from tyme to tyme, TYND., CRAN.; at all tymes for euer and euer, Cov.; into all gen. of the worlde of worldes, Cov. Test.; throughout all gen. for euer, GEN.; vnto al gen. vvorld vvithout end, RHEM.

CHAPTER IV. 1. I exhort you, &c.]

I therefore the prisoner...beseech you that. AUTH., and in similar order all the other Vv. It seems however desirable to maintain the emphatic collocation ('ad excitandum affectum, quo sit efficacior exhortatio,' Est.) of the original. There is some variation in the translation of παρακαλώ. The translation in the text is found in TYND., COV., CRAN., BISH.: beseech, AUTH., WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.; praye, GEN. In the Lord | So Cov. (both), GEN., BISH., RHEM. (our L.); of the Lord, AUTH.; of the Lordes, CRAN.; for the lord, WICL.; for the lordes sake, TYND. Calling] So Wick. (clepynge), Cov. (both): vocation, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Were called Are called, AUTH. and all the other Vv.

3. Giving diligence] Sim. TIND., CRAN.; bisie, WIGL.; beynge carefull, Cov. Test.; careful, RHEM.; endeavouring, AUTH., GEN., BISH. The present current use of the verb 'endeavour' seems to fall so short of the real meaning of σπουδάζεω as to warrant the change in the text, as more clearly indicative of the σπουδή and zeal that was evinced in the matter; see Trench on Auth. Ver. ch. III. p. 43.

4. There is, &c.] It can scarcely be

body, and one Spirit, even as ye were called in one hope of your calling; one Lord, one faith, one baptism; one 5 God and Father of all, who is over all, and through all, and in all.

But to each one of us the grace which he has was 7 given according to the measure of the gift of Christ. Wherefore He saith, When He ascended on high, He led 8 captivity captive, He gave gifts to men. Now that He 9 ascended, what doth it imply but that He also descended into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended above all the heavens, that He might fill all things.

And Himself gave some to be 11 Apostles; and some, Pastors and Teachers; with a view to the per-12 fecting of the saints, for the work of ministration, for the

doubted that the AUTH. is right in retaining (after GEN.) this assertory form. Some of the older Vv., WICL., Cov. (both), BISH., RHEM., supply nothing; others, TXND., CRAN., supply the participle beynge; both of which forms fail to convey the force of the original; see notes.

Were called Are called, AUTH. and all the other Vv.

- Over] So RHEM: above, AUTH. and all the remaining Vv.
 In all] In *you all, AUTH.
- 7. Each one] Sim. WIOL., eche: every one, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. This change seems desirable to avoid a confusion with the usual translation of marrl. The grace which, &c.] Is given grace, AUTH. and the other Vv. except WIOL., grace is gounn.
- 8. Ascended Ascended up, AUTH. He gave] *And gave, AUTH.
- 9. What doth it imply] What is it?
 AUTH., WIGL., COV. (both), GEN.,
 BISH., RHEM.; what meaneth it? TYND.,
 CRAN. Descended] Descended
 *first, AUTH.
 - 10. He it is | So WICL. : is the same

also, AUTH.; the same is he...also, COV.
Test.; the same is also he, RHEM.; is
even the same also, TIND., CRAN.,
BISH.; is even the same, COV., GEN.
Ascended] Ascended up, AUTH.
Above] So COV. (both), CRAN., RHEM.:
far above, AUTH.
The heavens]
So COV. Test., RHEM.: heavens, AUTH.
and the remaining Vv.

- II. And Himself] And he, AUTH., WIGL., COV. Test., BISH., RHEM.; and the very same, TYND., CRAN.; and y same, COV.; he therefore, GEN.

 To be Apostles] So COV., GEN.: Apostles, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. The insertion of the words in italics seems necessary to make the sense perfectly clear.
- 12. With a view to] For, AUTH., COV. Test., GEN.; to, WICL., CRAN., BISH., RHEM. The two remaining Vv., TIND. and COV., here paraphrase.

 Of ministration] So BISH., and sim. COV. Test., of the ministration: of the ministry, AUTH., GEN., RHEM.; of mynysteri, WICL.; and minystracyon, CRAN. TIND. and COV. paraphrase.

 The building up]

- 13 building up of the body of Christ; till we all arrive at the unity of the faith and of the full knowledge of the Son of God, unto a full-grown man, unto the measure of
- 14 the stature of the fulness of Christ: that we may no longer be children, tossed to and fro and borne about by every wind of doctrine, in the sleight of men, in crafti-
- 15 ness tending to the settled system of Error; but holding the truth may in love grow up into Him in all things,
- 16 which is the head, even CHRIST: from whom the whole body being fitly framed together and compacted by means

The edifying, AUTH. and the other Vv. except WIGL., edificacioun, and GEN. the edification. This translation is perhaps slightly preferable to that of AUTH. and to that adopted in ed. 1 ('edification'), as both verb and substantive are now commonly associated with what is simply instructive or improving, without necessarily suggesting the wider sense which seems to prevail in the present passage. The article is required by the principles of English idiom, though confessedly thus not in exact harmony with the Greek.

13. All arrive at] All come in, AUTH.; ennen alle in to, WICL.; all come vnto, COV., (into) COV. Test., (to) CRAN.; all mete together in, GEN., (into) BISH.; meete al into, RHEM. TYND., inverting the order, every one (in the vnitie...) growe vp vnto, &c.

The full knowledge] The knowledge,
AUTH.: all the other Vv. omit the
article. Full-grown] Perfect,
AUTH. and all the other Vv.

14. May no longer be] Henceforth be no more, AUTH. Borne about by] Borun aboute with, WICL.; caryed with, TYND.; carried about with, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. In...in] So WICL., COV. Test., BISH., RHEM.: by...and, AUTH., TYND.; thorow...and, COV.; by...thorow, CRAN.

Craftiness] So all Vv. except the following: cunning c., AUTH.; sutil witte, WIGL.; sutylte, Cov. Test.

Tending, &c.] Whereby they lie in wait to deceive, AUTH., GEN.; to the disceyuynge of errour, WICL.; wherby they laye a wayte for vs to deceave vs, TYND., COV., CRAN.; to the deceatfulnes of erroure, Cov. Test.; to the layeing wayte of deceipt, BISH.; to the circumuention of errour, RHEM. It is by no means easy to devise a literal and at the same time perfectly intelligible translation of the last clause of this verse. The difficulty lies mainly in the brief and almost elliptical form of expression introduced by the prep.: of the translations that have hitherto been proposed, that in the text, or 'furthering, promoting the system, &c.' (but see notes on Phil. iv. 17, Transl.), or more simply, 'with a view to the system, &c.,' seems the most suitable.

- 15. Holding the truth] Speaking the truth, Auth.; do we truthe.....and, WIOL.; let vs folowe the trueth...and, TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN.; perfourmynge the truth, COV. Test.; folowing trueth, BISH.; doing the truth, RHEM. May in love] In love, may, AUTH.
- 16. Being fitly framed together] Fitly joined together, AUTH. It seems desirable to retain the same translation

of every joint of the *spiritual* supply, according to active working in the measure of each single part, promoteth the increase of the body for the building up of itself in love.

This then I say, and testify in the Lord, that ye must 17 no longer walk as the Gentiles also walk, in the vanity of their mind, being darkened in their understanding, 18

here and in ch. ii. 21. Compacted] So Auth. The translation of several of the older Vv., knet togedder (TYND., Cov. Test., CRAN., GEN., BISH.), is not unsatisfactory; 'compacted' however has the advantage of preserving the σύν in each verb without repetition, otherwise 'knit together' would perhaps have been a more genuinely English translation. Bu means of, &c.] By that which every joint supplieth, AUTH.; bi eche ioynture of undir seruynge, WICL.; in every ioint wherwith one ministreth to another, TYND., and similarly CRAN. (thorow out every, &c.); thorow out all ye iountes. Wherby one mynistreth vnto another, Cov.; thorowe out every joynt of subministration, Cov. Test.; by euerie joynt, for the furniture thereof, GEN.; by every joynt, yeeldyng nourishment, BISH.; by al iuncture of subministration, RHEM. Active working] The effectual working, AUTH.; worchynge, WICL.; the operacion, TYND., Cov. (both), CRAN., RHEM.; the effectual power, GEN., BISH. The addition of the epithet 'active' or 'vital,' Alf.,if in italics (see notes on ch. iii. 7, and on 2 Thess. ii. 11), may perhaps here be rightly admitted as serving slightly to clear up the meaning.

Each single] Sim. WICL., eche: every,
AUTH. and all the remaining Vv.; see
notes on verse 7. Promoteth
the increase] Maketh increase, AUTH.,
COV. Test., BISH.; makith encreesynge,
WICL.; receiveth increase, GEN.;

maketh the increase, RHEM.; TYND., COV., CRAN., slightly paraphrase. The more modern term 'promoteth,' seems admissible as both literal and also tending to clear up the sense.

For the building up] Unto the edifying, AUTH. It seems desirable, for the sake of uniformity, to preserve the same translation as in ver. 12; the simplest (paraphrastic) translation would be 'so as to build itself up in love.'

17. This then I say This I say therefore, AUTH. and the other Vv. except Wich., therfor I seie, and RHEM., this therfore I say. The resumptive character of the address is appy. here best preserved by the more literal translation of our; comp. notes on I Tim. ii. I. Ye must no longer walk] Ye henceforth walk not, AUTH., TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; ye walke not now, WICL., Cov. Test.; ye walke nomore, Cov.; novv you wralke not, RHEM. The Gentiles | So RHEM.: The *other Gentiles, AUTH. Also walk | Sim. Cov. Test., also do walke: walk, AUTH. and the other Vv. except RHEM., which inserts also, but before the Gentiles.

18. Being darkened in their understanding] Having the understanding darkened, AUTH.; that han endirstondynge derkned with derknessis, WICL.; blynded in their end., TYND., COV.; hauynge an und. blynded through darknesse, COV. Test.; whyle they are blynded in their end., CRAN.; having their cogitation darkened, GEN.;

alienated from the life of God because of the ignorance that is in them, because of the hardness of their heart:

19 who as men past feeling have given THEMSELVES over unto Wantonness, for the working of all manner of unceleanness in greediness. But ye did not so learn Christ;

21 if indeed ye heard HIM and were taught in HIM, as is

22 truth in Jesus, that ye must put off, as concerns your former conversation, the old man, which waxeth corrupt

23 according to the lusts of Deceit, and rather become re-

darkened in cogitation, BISH.; having their vnd. obscured with darkenes, RHEW

Alienated] Being alienated, AUTH. On account of the absence of bries in the second member, it seems best to omit the part. of the verb substantive. Because of (I)] Through, AUTH.

Hardness] So GEN.: blindness, AUTH. and remaining Vv.; see Trench on Auth. Ver. ch. VII. p. 117.

19. Who as men] Who being, AUTH., and sim., as to the translation of oltwes, all the other Vv.

Wantonness] So TIND., Cov., CRAN., GEN., BISH.: lasciviousness, AUTH.; vnchastite, WICL.; vnclennesse, Cov.

Test.; impudicitie, RHEM. The article joined with it tends almost to personify it, hence the capital in the Text.

For the working of [Sim. WICL., in to the worchynge of; in the workynge of, Cov. Test.; vnto the operation of, RHEM.: to work, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

All manner of] So TYND., COV., CRAN.: all, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.; see notes on ver. 31.

Uncleanness] So all Vv. except Cov. Test., fylthynesse. In greediness] In coueitise, Wicl., unto gr., Cov. Test.; unto auarice, Rhem.; with greediness, Auth., Bish.; even with gr., Tind. and remaining Vv. This translation of πλεονεξία may be retained if qualified by the remarks in

loc., and not understood as indicating a mere general $d\mu\epsilon\tau\rho la$. The true idea of $\pi\lambda\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\xi la$ is 'amor habendi:' the objects to which it is directed will be defined by the context.

20. Did not so learn] Have not so learned, AUTH. and all the other Vv.

21. If indeed] If so be that, AUTH., COV., CRAN., BIBH.; if netheles, WICL.; if so be, TYND., GEN.; yf so be yet that, COV. Test.; if yet, RHEM.

Heard] So WICL.: have heard, AUTH. and all the remaining Vv.

Were taught in Him Have been taught by him, AUTH., GEN.; ben taugte in hym, WICL., TYND., COV.; be instructe in hym, COV. Test.; have bene taught in him, CRAN. and the remaining Vv. As is truth] So WICL.: even as the tr. is, TYND., COV.; as the truth is, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

22. That ye must] That ye, AUTH.

As concerns your] Concerning the,
AUTH. Waxeth corrupt]
Is corrupt, AUTH. and the other Vv.
except Cov., marreth himselfe, and
RHEM., is corrupted.

Lusts of Deceit] Deceitful lusts, AUTH.; desiris of errour, WICL., RHEM.; deceavable lustes, TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN.; lustes of erroure, COV. Test., BISH.

23. And rather] And, AUTH.

Become renewed] Be renewed, AUTH.

This change is made as an attempt to express the contrast between the pres.

newed by the Spirit of your mind, and put on the new 24 man, which after God's *image* hath been created in righteousness and holiness of Truth.

Wherefore, having put away Falsehood, speak truth 25 each man with his neighbour; because we are members one of another, Be angry, and sin not: let not the sun 26 go down upon your angered mood; nor yet give place to 27 the devil. Let the stealer steal no more: but rather let 28 him labour, working with his own hands the thing that

draveοῦσθαι and the aor. ἐνδύσασθαι. By the Spirit] In the spirit, AUTH. and all the other Vv.

24. And put on So Cov. (both), GEN.: and that ye put on, AUTH. After God's image] Sim. TYND., after the ymage of God: after God, AUTH. and the other Vv. except RHEM., according to God. The order of the Greek τον κατά Θεον κτισθ. is similarly retained by all the Vv. except WICL., Cov. (both). It may be observed that the transl. of RHEM., 'according to,' has the advantage of preserving the antithesis κατά τὰς ἐπιθ. κ.τ.λ. (ver. 23), and κατά Θεόν, but fails in bringing out clearly the great doctrinal truth appy. implied in the latter words. Hath been created] Is created, AUTH. and (as to the auxiliary) all the other Vv. The transl. 'hath been,' is perhaps here slightly preferable to 'was,' as the latter tends to throw the krious further back than is actually intended; the ref. being to the new kriois in Christ. Holiness of Truth] So WICL., Cov. Test., BISH., and sim. RHEM. (the tr.): true holiness, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except Cov., where it is more correctly, true righteousnes and holynes.

25. Having put away] Putting away, AUTH. False-hood] Lying, AUTH. and the other Vv. (lenge, WICL.).

Truth each man] So WIGL.; the truth every man, Cov. Test.; truth every one, RHEM.; every man the trueth, Cov.; every man truth, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

Because So Cov. Test., RHEM.; for, AUTH., WIGL., GEN.; for as moche as, TIND., Cov., CRAN., BISH.

26. Be angry] So TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN., RHEM.; be ye angry, AUTH., COV. Test., BISH.; be ye wrooth, WIGL.

Angered mood] Wrath, AUTH. and all the other Vv. except RHEM., anger. The change may perhaps be considered scarcely necessary, as the expression has become so familiar: still παροργισμός, 'exacerbatio,' 'exasperation,' cannot strictly be translated 'wrath.'

27. Nor yet] *Neither, AUTH.: see notes on I Thess. ii. 3 (Transl.).

28. The stealer] Him that stole, AUTH., TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; he that stal, WICL., RHEM.; he that hath stollen, Cov.; he y' dyd steal., Cov. Test. The AUTH, in ver. 20 supplies a precedent for this idiomatic translation of the present part. with the article. His own] His, AUTH. and all the other Vv. The thing that is good] The thing which is g., AUTH., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; that that is g., WICL.; some g. thinge, TYND.; some good, Cov.; that whych is g., Cov. Test., RHEM. The slight change to 'that' is perhaps more criis good, that he may have whereof to give to him that 29 needeth. Let no corrupt speech proceed out of your mouth, but whatever is good for edification of the need, 30 that it may minister a blessing to the hearers; and grieve not the holy Spirit of God, in whom ye were sealed for 31 the day of redemption. Let all bitterness, and wrath, and anger, and clamour, and evil speaking, be put away 32 from you, with all malice; but become kind one to an-

tically exact; see Brown, Gram. of Gramm. II. 5, p. 293, and notes on ch. i. 23. Have whereof to give] So Cov. Test., and very sim. Wicl., h. wherof he schal geve, and Rhem., h. vvhence to give: geve, Cran., Bish.; have to give, Auth. and remaining Vv. The slight change is made for the sake of preserving the idea of 'sharing' or 'imparting' apparently conveyed by the compound verb.

Speech] So RHEM.; word, 29. WICL.: communication, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Whatever is] If ony is, WICL.; yf ony be, Cov. Test.; if there be any, RHEM.; that which is, AUTH. and the remaining For edification of the need] To the use of edifying, AUTH., GEN.; to edefye with all, when (as oft as, CRAN.) nede ys, TYND., Cov., Bish. On the difficulty of properly translating these words, see Trench on Auth. Ver. ch. x. p. 178. Minister a blessing to Geue grace to, WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.; have faveour with, TYND.; be gracious to, Cov.; minister grace unto, AUTH. and remaining Vv.

30. In whom] Sim. WIGL, RHEM., in whiche: whereby, AUTH.; by whome, TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; wherwith, COV.; where in, COV. Test.
Were] Are, AUTH. and all the other

Vv. For the Unto the, AUTH. and all the other Vv. except Wiol., in the, and Cov.

Test., agaynst the.

31. All bitterness] So AUTH. is not always desirable to preserve the more literal transl. of $\pi \hat{a}s$ ('all manner of'), esp. when it is prefixed to more than one abstract substantive, as it tends to load the sentence without being much more expressive. When the adj. follows, as in ver. 19, the longer translation will often be found more admissible. Wrath] So AUTH., WICL., COV. Test.: fearsness, TYND., COV., CRAN., BISH.; anger, GEN., RHEM. The translation may be retained, whenever θυμός and δργή occur together, as sufficiently exact, provided that by 'wrath' we understand rather the outbreak ('excandescentia,' Cicero, Tusc. Disput. IV. 0), by 'anger' the more settled and abiding habit. It is perhaps doubtful whether 'wrath' does not imply a greater permanence than θυμός; see Cogan on the Passions, I. 1. 2. 3, p. III; still as $\theta \nu \mu \delta s$ is several times ascribed to God as well as to man, the above seems generally the most proper and satisfactory translation. Malice] So AUTH., WICL., COV. Test., RHEM .: naughtinesse, BISH .; maliciousness, Tynd. and the remaining Vv. As kakla points rather to the evil habit of the mind, as distinguished from wornpla, the outcoming of the same (Trench, Synon. § 11),- 'malice,' which is defined by Crabb (Synon. s.v.) as 'the essence of badness lying in the heart,' would appear a other, tender-hearted, forgiving one another, even as God also in Christ forgave you.

Become then followers of God, as beloved children; V. and walk in love, even as Christ also loved us, and gave 2 Himself for us, an offering and a sacrifice to God, for a savour of sweet smell.

But fornication, and all manner of uncleanness or 3 covetousness, let it not be even named among you, as becometh saints; and no filthiness, and foolish talking or 4 jesting,—things which are unbecoming,—but rather giving

correct translation; see Cogan on the Passions, I. 3. 2. 1, p. 159.

32. But] So Cov. (both): and, AUTH., WICL., RHEM.; omitted by TYND., CRAN., GEN., BISH.

Become Be ye, AUTH. and all the other Vv. (om. ye, Cov. Test., RHEM.); corresponding to ἀρθήτω ἀφ' ὑμῶν, God also] So Cov. Test.; also God, WICL., RHEM.; God, AUTH. and the remain-In Christ] ing Vv. So Wich., Cov. (both), RHEM.; for Christ's sake, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Forgave] So WICL., TYND., GEN.: hath forgiven, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except RHEM., hath pardoned. The acrist seems more exact, as pointing to the past act of God's mercy and forgiveness displayed in 'Christ,' i.e. in giving Him to die for the sins of the

CHAPTER V. I. Become then] Be ye therefore, AUTH. (be ye...therfore, Cov.) and the other Vv. except Wicl., therfor be ye. Tind. leaves the obvuntranslated. The more literal transl. of $\gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ might perhaps be here dispensed with, as necessarily involved in the action implied in $\mu \mu \eta \tau a i$; as however it seems an echo and resumption of the preceding $\gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (ch. iv. 32), it will be most exact to retain

this more literal translation.

Beloved] Moost dereworthe, WICL.; most deare, Cov. Test., RHEM.; dear, AUTH.

and the remaining Vv.

2. Even as Christ also] As Chr. also, Auth., Rhem.; as cr., Wiol.; lyke as Chr., Cov. Test.; even as Ch., Tind. and remaining Vv.: see notes on 1 Thess. i. 5 (Transl.).

Loved] So Wiol., Tind., Cov., Cran.,

RHEM.; hath loved, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Gave]
So Wiol., Tynd., Cov., Cran.; deliuered, RHEM.; geuen, Cov. Test.; hath given AUTH., GEN., BISH.

A savour of sweet smell] A sweet smelling savour, Auth., Gen., Bish.; the odour of swetnesse, Wicl.; a swete saver, Tynd., Cov., Cran.; an odoure of swetnesse, Cov. Test., Rhem.

- 3. All manner of uncleanness] All uncleanness, AUTH.; see notes on ch. iv. 31 (Transl.). Not be even]
 Not be once, AUTH., CRAN., GEN.,
 BISH.; be not once, TYND.; not so much as be, RHEM. WICL. and Cov. (both) leave the kal untranslated.
- 4. And no...and] Neither...nor, AUTH. As several MSS., AD¹E¹FG; 4 mss.; Vulg., Clarom., al. (Lachm., Meyer, al.), read η...η, it seems desirable to mark in the translation the reading adopted.

Or] Nor, AUTH. Jesting]
So AUTH. and all the other Vv. ex-

- 5 of thanks. For this ye know, being aware that no whoremonger, nor unclean person, nor covetous man who is an idolater, hath an inheritance in the kingdom of Christ
- 6 and God. Let no man deceive you with vain words: for because of these sins cometh the wrath of God upon the
- 7 sons of disobedience. Do not then become partakers
- 8 with them. For ye WERE once darkness, but now are ye
- 9 light in the Lord: walk as children of light,—for the fruit of the light is in all goodness and righteousness and
- truth,—proving what is well-pleasing to the Lord. And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness,
- 12 but rather even reprove them. For the things which are done by them in secret it is a shame even to speak of.
- 13 But all these things, when they are reproved, are made manifest BY THE LIGHT; for every thing that is made

copt Wich., harlotrie, and Rhem., scurrilitie. Things which are unbecoming] Which are not convenient, Auth.; that perteyneth not to profiyt, Wich.; which are not comly, Tind., Cov., Cran., Bish.; which thynges pertayne not to the matter, Cov. Test.; which are things not comelie, Gen.; being to no purpose, Rhem.

- 5. Ye know, being aware] *Ye know, Auth. An inheritance, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wiol., Cov. (both), Rhem., which leave the substantive without any prefix.
- Of Christ and God Of Christ and of God, AUTH. and all the other Vv.
- 6. These sins] These things, AUTH. Sons] So WICL.: children, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.
- 7. Do not then become] Sim. RHEM., become not therfore: be not ye therefore, AUTH., COV. (both), CRAN., BISH.; therfor nyle ye be made, WICL.; be not therfore, TYND., GEN.: the insertion of 'ye' is not in accordance with the original.
 - 8. Once] So TYND., GEN.: some-

times, AUTH., BISH.; sumtyme, WICL., Cov. (both), CRAN., RHEM.

- 9. The light] The *Spirit, AUTH.
- 10. Well-pleasing] So WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.: acceptable, AUTH., BISH.; pleasinge, TYND. and the remaining Vv.
- 11. But rather even] So BISH.; similarly, but rather awkwardly, GEN., but even...rather: but rather, AUTH. and remaining Vv. except WICL., but more.
- 12. For the things, &c.] So, as to order, Wicl., RHEM: for it is a shame even to speak of those things which are done of them in secret, AUTH. and, in similar order, the remaining Vv.
- 13. All these] All, AUTH.

 When they are] So TYND., COV., CRAN.,
 GEN., BISH.: that are, AUTH., WICL.,
 COV. Test., RHEM. Everything
 that is made, &c.] whatsoever doth
 make manifest, is light, AUTH.; al
 thing that is schewid: is ligt, WIOL.;
 whatsoever is manifest, that same is
 light, TYND., COV., CRAN.; every thynge
 y is manifest, is lyghte, COV. Test.;

manifest is light. Wherefore He saith, Up! thou that 14 sleepest, and arise from the dead, and Christ shall shine upon thee.

Take heed then how ye walk with strictness, not as 15 fools, but as wise, buying up for yourselves the oppor-16 tunity, because the days are evil. For this cause do not be-17 come unwise, but understanding what the will of the Lord is. And be not made drunk with wine, wherein is disso-18 luteness, but be filled with the Spirit; speaking to one 19 another in psalms and hymns and spiritual songs, singing and making melody in your heart to the Lord, giving 20 thanks always for all things to God and the Father in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, submitting yourselves 21 one to another in the fear of Christ.

Wives, submit yourselves to your own husbands, as 22 to the Lord; for a husband is head of his wife, as Christ 23 also is head of the church; HE is the saviour of the

it is light that maketh all things manifest, GEN.; al that whiche dooth make manifest, is light, BISH.; al that is manifested, is light, RHEM.

14. Up/] So Cov. Test.: rise, Wich., Rhem.; awake, Auth. and the remaining Vv. Shine upon thee] Ligtne thee, Wich.; illuminate thee, Rhem.: give thee light, Auth. and remaining Vv.

15. Take heed] So all the other Vv. except Wicl. (se ye), RHEM., AUTH., see. How ye] So Cov. (both), CRAN., RHEM. (you), sim. Wicl. (hou warli ye): that ye, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. With strictness] Circumspectly, AUTH. and the other Vv. except Wicl., RHEM., warli (vvarily).

16. Buying up, &c.] Agenbiynge tyme, WIOL.; and redeme the tyme, Cov.; avoydyng occasyon, CRAN.; redeeming the time, AUTH. and remaining Vv.

17. For this cause] Wherefore, AUTH. and all the other Vv. except

WIGL., RHEM., therfor. Do not become] Sim. RHEM., become not: be ye not, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except WIGL., nyle ye be made, and Cov. (both), be not ye.

18. Be not made drunk] Nyle ye be drunken, WIOL.; be not dronken, COV.; be not ye drunken, COV. Test.; be not drunk, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Dissoluteness] Leccherie, WIOL.; voluptuousnesse, COV. Test.; rioteousnes, RHEM.; excess, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

19. One another] Yourselves, AUTH. and all the other Vv.

21. Of Christ] Of *God, AUTH.

22. Submit yourselves Italics; but not so in AUTH. which adopts the insertion.

23. A husband] *The husband,
AUTH. Head of his] The head
of the, AUTH. As Christ also]
As Chr., WIOL., COV. Test., RHEM.;
euen as Chr. also, COV.; even as Chr.,
AUTH. and the remaining Vv.
He is] *And he is, AUTH.

- 24 body. Nevertheless as the church is subject unto Christ, so let the wives also be to their husbands in every thing.
- 25 Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the
- 26 church, and gave Himself for it; that He might sanctify it, having cleansed it by the laver of the water in the
- 27 word, that He might Himself present to Himself the church in glorious beauty, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing; but that it should be holy and blameless.
- 28 Thus ought husbands to love their own wives as being their own bodies. He that loveth his own wife loveth
- 29 himself. For no man ever hated his own flesh; but nourisheth it and cherisheth it, even as Christ also doth
- 30 the church: because we are MEMBERS of His body, of His
- 31 flesh, and of His bones. For this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall be joined unto his wife, and
- 32 they two shall be one flesh. This mystery is a great one;
- 24. Nevertheless] Therefore, AUTH. and the other Vv. except WICL., Cov. Test., BISH., RHEM., but. Also be] Be, AUTH. Their husbands] Their *own husbands, AUTH.
- 26. That he might sanctify it, having cleansed it | That he might sanctify and cleanse it, AUTH., GEN.; to make it holi, and clensid it, WICL.; to sanctifie it, and clensed it, TYND., Cov., CRAN.; to sanctifie it, when he had cleansed [it], BISH.; that he might sanctifie it, cleansing it, Cov. Test., RHEM. By the laver of the water in the word] So RHEM. (of water): with the washing of water by the word, AUTH.; with the waischynge of watir in the word of liif, WICL.; in the fountayne of water thorow the worde, TYND., CRAN.; in the f. of w. by the worde, Cov.; with the f. of w. in the worde of lyfe, Cov. Test.; by the washing of water through ye worde, GEN.; in the fountayne of water in the woorde, BISH.
- 27. That He might Himself, &c.] That he might present *it to (vnto,

- BISH.) himself a glorious Church, AUTH.; to geue the chirche glorious to him silf, WICL.; to make it vnto himselfe, a glorious congregacion, TYND., COV., CRAN., and sim. COV. Test., that he myght make it, &c. Blameless] Without blemish, AUTH.; vndefoulid, WICL., COV. Test.; vnspotted, RHEM.; with out blame, TYND. and the remaining Vv.: see notes on ch. i. 4 (Transl.).
- 28. Thus ought husbands] So ought men, AUTH. Own wives...wife] AUTH. omits own. As being] Euen as, Cov.; as AUTH. and all the other Vy.
- 29. Ever] So WICL., RHEM.: ever yet, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except Cov. Test., at ony tyme.
 Christ also, &c.] •The Lord the Church,
- 30. Because] So RHEM.: for, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except WICL., and.
 - 31. Father] *His father, AUTH.
- 32. This mystery, &c.] This is a great mystery, AUIH., COV. Test.; this

H however am speaking in reference to Christ and to the church. Nevertheless ye also severally, let each one of 33 you thus love his own wife as himself; and the wife, let her reverence her husband.

CHILDREN, obey your parents, in the Lord; for this is VI. right. Honour thy father and thy mother, the which is 2 the first commandment in regard of promise; that it may 3 be well with thee, and that thou mayest live long upon the earth. And ye fathers, provoke not your children to 4 wrath; but bring them up in the discipline and admonition of the Lord.

Bond-servants, obey your masters according to the 5 flesh with fear and trembling, in singleness of your heart, as unto Christ; not with eye-service as men-pleasers, but 6 as bond-servants of Christ; doing the will of God from

sacrament is greet, WICL.; this is a great secrete, TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; this is a great sacr., RHEM. I however am, &c.] Ye I seie, WICL.; but I say, COV. Test.; but I speak, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. In reference to] Concerning, AUTH., GEN.; in, WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.; bitwene, TYND.; of, COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH. And to] And, AUTH., TYND., COV.; and in, WICL., COV. Test., RHEM.; and of, CRAN., BISH.; and concerning, GEN.

33. Ye also severally, &c.] Let every one of you in particular, AUTH.; ye alle, eche man, WICL.; do ye so that every one of you, TYND., COV., CRAN. (om. of you); you also let every one, Cov. Test.; everie one of you, do ye so: let everie one, GEN., so BISH., adding of you. The slight asyndeton in the original is perhaps best retained. Thus love his own wife as] So love his wife even as, AUTH. The wife, let her reverence] The wife, see that she reverence, AUTH.; let the wyfe se that she feare, TYND., GEN.; let the wyfe feare, Cov. (both), CRAN., RHEM.;

[let] the wyfe reverence, BISH.

CHAPTER VI. 2. Thy mother] So WICL., Cov. (both), RHEM.: mother, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. The which] Which, AUTH., Cov. Test., GEN., BISH., RHEM.; that, WICL., TYND., Cov.; the same, CRAN. In regard of promise] With promise, AUTH., GEN.; in biheest, WICL.; that hath eny promes, TYND., Cov.; in the promyse, Cov. Test., CRAN., RHEM.; in promise, BISH.

- 3. And that thou] And thou, AUTH. Upon On, AUTH.
- 4. Discipline] So RHEM.; nurture, AUTH., TYND., COV. (both), CRAN.; techynge, WICL.; instruction, GEN., BISH.
- 5. Bond-servants] Servants, AUTH.: change to maintain the opposition in ver. 8. Obcy] So Cov. (both), BISH., and sim. WICL. (obeische ye to): be obedient to (al. unto), AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Your] Them that are your, AUTH.
- 6. Bond-servants] The servants,
 AUTH. From the soul] From

- 7 the soul; with good will doing service, as to the Lord, 8 and not to men: seeing ye know that whatsoever good thing each man shall do, THIS shall he receive of the 9 Lord, whether he be bond or free. And ye masters, do the same things unto them, giving up your threatening; seeing ye know that both their Master and yours is in heaven, and there is no respect of persons with Him.
- Finally, be strengthened in the Lord, and in the power of His might. Put on THE WHOLE ARMOUR of God, that ye may be able to stand against the stratagems of the devil: because our wrestling is not against flesh and blood, but it is against Principalities, against Powers, against the World-Rulers of this darkness, against the spiritual hosts of wickedness in the heavenly regions.

the mynde, Cov. Test.: from the heart, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except WIOL., bi discrescioun.

- 8. Seeing ye know] Knowing, AUTH., WIGL. (witynge), Cov. Test., BISH., RHEM.; knowynge this, CRAN.; and remember, TIND.; and be sure, Cov.; and Each man] So know ye, GEN. Wick.: a man, Cov.; euery man, Cov. Test., eu. one, RHEM.; any man, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. do] So WICL., RHEM.: doeth, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. The more exact 'shall have done' is not sufficiently in accordance with our usual mode of expression to make it desirable in translation, except where it is obviously necessary that the relation of time should be very exactly defined. This] So WICL.: the same, AUTH., Cov. Test., CRAN.; that, TYND., BISH.; it, COV.; that same, GEN.
- 9. Giving up your] Forbearing, AUTH.; forgeuynge, WICL.; puttinge awaye, TYND., COV., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; remitting, RHEM. Seeing ye know, &c.] Knowing that *your master also is in heaven, neither is there, AUTH.

 10. Finally] *Finally my brethren, AUTH.

 Be strengthened] So

- RHEM.: be ye stronge, Cov. Test.; be strong, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except WICL., be ye counfortide.
- 11. Stratagems] Wiles, AUTH.; aspingis, WICL.; crafty assautes, TYND., COV.; assautes, COV. Test., CRAN., GEN., BISH.; deceites, RHEM. The translation in the text seems better calculated to convey the idea of a fixed and settled plan: see notes on ch. iv. 14.
- 12. Because our wrestling is not] For our verestling is not, RHEM.; for we wrestle not, AUTH. and remaining Vv. except Wich., for why stryuynge is not to us. But it is] But, AUTH. The World-Rulers | The rulers, AUTH.; governouris, WICL., Cov. Test.; the rulers of the worlde, COV.; worldy rulars, TYND., CRAN.; the worldlie gouernours, GEN., BISH. (omitting the); the rectors, RHEM. Of this darkness of the darkness of this world, AUTH. The spiritual hosts of wickedness | Spiritual wickedness, AUTH., BISH.; spiritual thingis of wickidnesse, WICL., COV. Test. (the sp.); spretuall w., TYND.; y' spretes of w., Cov.; spretuall craftynes, CRAN.; spiritual wickednesses, GEN.; the spiri-

For this cause take up THE WHOLE ARMOUR of God, that 13 ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having fully done all, to stand. Stand therefore, having girt your 14 loins about with truth, and having put on the breast-plate of righteousness, and having shod your feet with 15 the preparedness of the gospel of peace; in addition to 16 all, having taken up the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked One; and receive the helmet of salvation, and the sword 17 of the Spirit, which is the word of God; with all prayer 18 and supplication praying always in the Spirit, and watching thereunto, with all perseverance and supplication for all the saints, and in particular for me, that utterance 19

tuals of vv., RHEM. In the heavenly regions] In high places, AUTH.; in heuenli thingis, WICL., TYND. (for), Cov. Test., CRAN.; vnder the heaven, Cov.; which are in the hie places, GEN.; in heavenly [places], BISH.; in the celestials, RHEM.

13. For this cause] So Tind., Cov., Gen.: wherefore, Auth., Cov. Test., Chan., Bish.; therfor, Wicl., Rhem. Take up] Take, Rhem.; take ye, Wicl., Cov. (both); take unto you, Auth. and the remaining Vv. Having fully, &c.] Having done all, to stand, Auth.; in alle thingis stonde parfiyt, Wicl.; to (om. to, Cov., Chan.) stonde perfect in all thinges, Tind., Cov. Test.; stand in al things perfect, Rhem.; having finished all things, stand fast, Gen., Bish. (to st.).

14. Having girt, &c.] Having your loins girt about, AUTH., BISH.; and be ye girde aboute youre leendis, WICL.; and youre loynes gyrd aboute, TYND., COV., CRAN. (om. aboute), GEN.; having your loines girded, RHEM.

Having put on] Having on, AUTH. and all Vv. except WICL., RHEM., clothid with.

15. Having shod your feet] Your feet shod, AUTH., WIOL., COV. Test.,

GEN., BISH.; shood, TYND.; shod upon youre fete, Cov.; having shoes on your fete, CRAN.; having your feete shod, RHEM. With the preparedness of With the preparation of, AUTH., GEN.; in the pr. of, BISH.; to the pr. of, RHEM.; in makynge redi of, WIOL.; with showes prepared by, TYND.; into the preparynge of, Cov. Test.; that ye maye be prepared for, CRAN. Cov. transposes, with the gospell of peace, that ye maye be prepared.

16. In addition to] Above, AUTH. and the other Vv. except WIGL., COV. Test., RHEM., in. Having taken up] Taking, AUTH., COV. Test., BISH., RHEM.; take ye, WIGL.; take to you, TYND., CRAN.; take holde of, COV.; take, GEN. Wicked One] Sim. RHEM., most vvicked one: worst, WIGL.; moost wicked, COV. Test.; wicked, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. The addition in the text seems desirable as marking the personality of τοῦ πονηροῦ.

17. Receive] Take, AUTH. and all the other Vv.: WIGL., Cov. Test., add ye, and RHEM., vnto you.

18. With all prayer, &c.] Praying always with all prayer, AUTH.
All the Saints] So RHEM.: all Saints,

may be GIVEN to me in the opening of my mouth, so that with boldness I may make known the mystery of the 20 gospel, for which I am an ambassador in a chain; that therein I may speak boldly, as I ought to speak.

- 21 But that ye also may know my condition, how I fare, Tychicus, the beloved brother and faithful minister in the 22 Lord, shall make known to you all things: whom I have sent unto you for this very purpose, that ye may know our affairs, and that he may comfort your hearts.
- 23 Peace be to the brethren, and love with faith, from 24 God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ. Grace be with all them that love our Lord Jesus Christ in incorruption.

AUTH. and the remaining Vv. except Wich., alle holi men.

19. And in particular And, AUTH .: use of ral to add the particular to the general; see Fritz. on Mark, p. 11, 713, and comp. notes on Phil. iv. 12. In the opening of my mouth] So Cov. Test., RHEM., and WICL. (omitting the): that I may open my mouth, AUTH. and the remaining Vv., all of which (so too Cov. Test., RHEM.) except GEN., which leaves it open, connect ἐν παρρησία with what precedes; see below. So that with boldness I may make known | Boldly, to make known, AUTH.; with trist to make knowun, WICL.; boldly, to otter, TYND., Cov.; with boldnesse, to declare, Cov. Test.; frely, to vtter, CRAN., BISH.; boldely to publish, GEN.; vvith confidence, to make knowen, RHEM.

20. In a chain] So AUTH. marg., WICL.; in thys cheyne, Cov. Test., RHEM.: in bonds, AUTH. and the remaining Vv.

21. My condition] Sim. TYND., CRAN. what condicion I am in: my affairs, AUTH., GEN. (mine), BISH.; what thingis ben about me, WIOL.; what case I am in, COV.; the thynges that

are aboute me, Cov. Test., RHEM. (om. that are): change merely to avoid the homeoteleuton.

How I fare] And how I do, AUTH.: all the other Vv., and what I do (om. and, Wicl., Cov. Test., RHEM.): but as either of these might be misunderstood and referred to what the Apostle was actually engaged in (see Wolf in loc.), it seems best, with Harl., to refer τὰ κατ' ἐμὲ to 'meine Lage,' τὶ πράσσω to 'mein Befinden.'

The beloved] A beloved, AUTH.; my moost dere, WICL.; my deare, TYND., COV., GEN.; y moost deare, COV. Test.; the deare, CRAN.; a deare, BISH.; my deerest, RHEM.: a curious variety of renderings of two simple words.

22. This very] This same, WIGL., RHEM.; the same, AUTH. and all the other Vv. May...may] Might... might, AUTH.: change in accordance with the law of the succession of tenses; see Latham, Engl. Lang. §616.

24. In incorruption] So WICL., RHEM., and similarly AUTH. marg., with incorruption: in sincerity, AUTH., BISH.; in puernes, TYND.; unfaynedly, COV., CRAN.; syncerely, COV. Test.; to [their] immortalitie, GEN.

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY C. J. CLAY M.A. AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.









